

DELIVERANCE!



*A royal commission and 'pizzagate'
reveal society's hidden controllers*

MARY W MAXWELL, LLB

Copyright: Mary Maxwell, 2017

ISBN: 978-09807627-3-0

Keywords:

child sexual abuse, mind control, Pizzagate, False Memory Syndrome, Steve McMurray, Fiona Barnett, Tavistock, John Coleman, Trish Fotheringham, Sarah Moore

Author's email:

mary.maxwell @ alumni.adelaide.edu.au

Author's Youtube channel: Mary W Maxwell

Author's website: ProsecutionForTreason.com

Other recent books by Mary W Maxwell, LLB:

Fraud Upon the Court

Marathon Bombing: Indicting the Players

Port Arthur: Enough Is Enough (co-authored with Dee McLachlan)

Truth in Journalism (co-authored with Dee McLachlan)

Inquest: Siege in Sydney

To Alisha Owen, Fiona Barnett, and Wanda Karriker

“The world will know”

and with a salute to

Australia’s Senator Bill Heffernan

and US Senator Frank Church (1924-1984)

From a letter written for his family archives:

“To me, the greatest blessing is, of course, life itself, the great gift God has given us all. In a very practical sense, I have chosen, with God’s guidance, a difficult career path for sure, taking on, it seems at times, the entire conventional medical world.

“Though I am a very small David in comparison to the Medical Pharmaceutical alliance, I and my treatment still survive. I have many patients, who were told they were going to die, turn around and live and achieve excellent good health. My work continues to grow in prominence, silencing or at least minimizing the complaints of the critics.

“I have learned that hard work, determination, refusal to give up when the going gets rough, and above all, sticking to one’s ideals make for a successful career and a contented life. I never compromise ever, and have no intention of compromising my ideals or my devotion to truth.”

-- Nicholas Gonzalez, MD (1947-2015)

PREFACE

Finally! Something to rejoice about!

I am referring to the fact that the Pizzagate scandal has proved to be the breakthrough we have needed – *for centuries!*

Something had to bring the reign of evil to an end, and what better way than for high mucky-mucks to be rounded up and punished for the crime of secretly stealing and killing children.

Not that this is the main thing they were getting up to. No. They were getting up to all manner of society-destroying activities. But they made a mistake in e-mailing their fellow criminals about pedophile parties. Then the rest of us could see how the protection of pedophile rings has been connected to many other things. Yay!

The layout of this book reflects that fact that its first half had already been written published by me (in the form of articles) *before* the Pizzagate thing broke.

That first half is Part One. It has to do with the Australian Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse. This RC has been running for four years and 4,500 abused persons have made submissions.

I attended hearings and analyzed the problem of the huge and continuing cover-up. I believe that most of the institutional pedophiles are *following instructions* to hurt children and families. Yes. Even when it seems that a bishop was being “thoughtless” of the child’s welfare, no he wasn’t!

Part Two is called “Pizzagate: They Stand Incriminated.” One chapter names some cases where the prosecution did

not go all the way, such as with the Dutroux affair in Belgium and the McMartin Pre-school inquiry in California. Another chapter looks at lawsuits that succeeded and legislatures that conducted honest investigations.

Part Three, “Tavistock, Social Engineering, and Lucifer,” argues that the overall boss is Tavistock in England and that the CIA’s MK-Ultra is but a subsidiary of that. (Imagine the CIA measuring up as “peanuts”? Well, there you have it.) Also Part Three looks at Satanism and at the tortured life of Wendy Hoffman.

Part Four, “Considering Humanity,” starts with an attempt to trace the human nature of these bizarre behaviors. Next, it describes how to create a Truth Commission, and how to do a citizen’s arrest. (Should it come to that – and it may!) The concluding chapter, which I hope is worth the price of admission, is about restoring “normalcy,” and once again celebrating the greatness of human life. Free at last!

A wonderful thing about the Royal Commission – actually there are many wonderful things about it – is that one citizen who went forward to give her report – Fiona Barnett – was supported by an Australian senator in Canberra.

Only one of the 76 senators you ask? Well, yes, the other 75 follow the herd into silence. But as is often the case, one is enough. Fiona would have been unable to reach the public but for Senator Bill Heffernan’s speaking out.

If you want a video introduction, go to Fiona Barnett’s 8-minute video on Youtube in which she tells us that she attended a lunch, connected to the Bathurst Races in New South Wales, at which several children were decapitated for the pleasure of the audience. I believe her.

You won’t neglect the Appendices, will you? They’re good. Some are treatises on how the brain works; two are about

the law. Most are autobiographical accounts -- talk about testaments to human endurance!

Note: If the reading gets too distressing, you are advised to seek a support person. If *absolutely* no one will do that for you, I will do it. (I mean we are talking last, last resort: mary.maxwell@ alumni.adelaide.edu.au)

Acknowledgments

My main thanks goes to a spectacular Australian, Aishe, who told me about the goings-on in her family dating back to the 1940s. My next yell of gratitude is to “the MK-Ultra girls” in the US whom I have thanked many times before.

Trish Fotheringham helped me make a video series about mind control. She cheers me and soothes me regularly!

You can't imagine my gratitude to the head of the Royal Commission, Justice McClellan, and his intrepid barrister, Angus Stewart. Their competence and honesty are shocking, if I may put it that way -- and I do.

Ta to the ever-helpful Craig for making the cover. Dee, the editor of GumshoeNews.com, egged me on. Gumshoe readers provided many ideas and made me laugh. *Danke*.

Sarah kindly indexed this book. Kane argued against me all the time, so I thank him for making me work harder. Zachary proofread it. (So he is responsible for all mistakes.)

It wasn't easy to get someone to write a Foreword. But a friend offered to make one up out of whole cloth. He is 29 and his Dad was a cop. You'll see what resulted. Thank you, “Frank Fictitious.”

Mary W Maxwell

Adelaide, April 10, 2017

CONTENTS

Preface	5
Letters Patent to Establish the Royal Commission	10
Foreword	13
Timeline	16

Part One: The Royal Commission into Institutional Response to Child Sexual Abuse

1 - Child Sexual Abuse and Jehovah's Witnesses' Rules	20
2 - Catholic Hierarchy and Pedophilia	28
3 - Power and Dr Day's Predictions	34
4 - Geelong Grammar, Student Suicides, and Silence	42
5 - Cardinal Pell and Mind Control	49
6 - What We Know about Brainwashing	56
7 - Denis Ryan Reveals the Police Cover-Up	63
8 - Mental Furniture	70
9 - Justice McClellan, Face the Music!	78
10 - Navy: Anal Penetration with a Broom Handle	87
11 - Beauty Happened Today	96

Part Two: Pizzagate: They Stand Incriminated

12 - John Podesta's E-mails, and the Oz PM	107
13 - Almost-Got 'ems, such as the McMartin Affair	116
14 - Actual Findings by Congress and Courts	122

Part Three: Tavistock, Social Engineering, Lucifer

15 - Tavistock Is in Charge of the Human Species	131
16 - Tavistock in Australia	138

17 - Does the Occult Matter?	146
18 - Wendy Hoffman and Alison Miller	152
Part Four: Considering Humanity	
19 - Where Be Human Nature?	158
20 - Citizen's Arrest and a Truth Commission	167
21 – Believe the Victims	175
22 – Conclusion: Normalcy	180

Appendices and Suggestions for Further Reading

A. Brice Taylor: NASA Tortures a Little Boy	186
B. Paul Verdier: A Legal Test for Brainwashing	197
C. Martin Bryant As “Child Fodder”	198
D. The US Domestic Law against Genocide	200
E. Kathleen Sullivan's Lincoln Memorial Speech	202
F. EO Wilson: Music, Religion, and Tribalism	207
G. John Coleman: How To Engineer a Drug Culture	210
H. Dicks' List of Medical Articles from Tavistock	217
J. Lynn Mary Karjala: Protectors and Critical Voice	220
K. Rabbi Antelman: Satanism and Sabbatian Cult	224
L. Anne Hamilton-Byrne, Sarah Moore, the Family	227
M. Daniel Estulin: The Fun of TransEvolution	233
N. Trish Fotheringham: the Canadian Experience	235
P. Henry Kissinger: Obituary for David Rockefeller	242
Q. Reflections on the Appendices	245
Photo Credits	247
Bibliography (pictorial format)	248
About the Author	250
Index	251

LETTERS PATENT FROM HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN, TO ESTABLISH AUSTRALIA'S ROYAL COMMISSION INTO INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE TO CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE.



ELIZABETH THE SECOND, by the Grace of God Queen of Australia and Her other Realms and Territories, Head of the Commonwealth....

WHEREAS all children deserve a safe and happy childhood.

AND Australia has undertaken international obligations [regarding] reporting, referral, investigation, treatment and follow up of incidents of child abuse.

AND all forms of child sexual abuse are a gross violation of a child's right to this protection and a crime under Australian law

AND it is important that claims of systemic failures ... be fully explored....

AND noting that, without diminishing its criminality or seriousness, your inquiry will **not** specifically examine the issue of child sexual abuse but that any recommendations you make are likely to improve the response to all forms of child sexual abuse in all contexts.

NOW THEREFORE We do, by these Our Letters Patent ... appoint you to be a Commission of inquiry, **and require**

and authorise you, to inquire into institutional responses to allegations and incidents of child sexual abuse and related matters, and in particular....

c. what should be done to eliminate or reduce impediments that currently exist for responding appropriately to child sexual abuse

AND We direct you to make any recommendations arising out of your inquiry that you consider appropriate, including recommendations about any policy, legislative, administrative or structural reforms. [!!!!]

AND, without limiting the scope of your inquiry or the scope of any recommendations arising out of your inquiry that you may consider appropriate, We direct you, for the purposes of your inquiry and recommendations, to have regard to the following matters:

e. the experience of people directly or indirectly affected by child sexual abuse

f. the need to focus your inquiry and recommendations on systemic issues, recognising nevertheless that you will be informed by individual cases and may need to make referrals to appropriate authorities in individual cases;

AND, **without limiting the scope of your inquiry** or the scope of any recommendations arising out of your inquiry that you may consider appropriate, We direct you ... to consider the following matters, and **We authorise you**

to take (or refrain from taking) any action that you consider appropriate arising out of your consideration:

i. the need to establish mechanisms ... for the purpose of enabling the timely investigation and prosecution of offences;

m. the need to ensure that institutions and other parties are given a sufficient opportunity to respond to requests and requirements for information, documents and things, including, for example, having regard to any need to obtain archived material.

AND We appoint you, the Honourable Justice Peter David McClellan AM, to be the Chair of the Commission....

AND We declare that in these Our Letters Patent:

child means a child within the meaning of the Convention on the Rights of the Child of 20 November 1989.

***institution* means any public or private body, agency, association, club, institution, organisation or other entity or group of entities of any kind (whether incorporated or unincorporated), and however described,**

official, of an institution, includes:...

vi. any representative (however described) of the institution or a related entity; and

vii. any member, officer, employee, associate, contractor or volunteer (however described) of the institution or a related entity; and...

ix. any other person who you consider is, or should be treated as if the person were, an official of the institution.

IN WITNESS, We have caused these Our Letters to be made Patent.

WITNESS Quentin Bryce, Governor-General of the Commonwealth of Australia.

Dated 11th January 2013

Julia Gillard, Prime Minister

FOREWORD

-- by Senior Constable Frank Fictitious

I wish I hadn't killed myself, but nobody would listen. If I were alive today, the situation would be a lot easier.

When I was a boy, I used to eavesdrop on Dad and Uncle Ted talking about being "on the job"; this would fill my belly with fire and my heart with excitement. All I ever wanted to do was to wear the badge. That day eventually came, in the winter of 1979, shortly after my 21st birthday. I finished my cadet training and at last I was in uniform, just like Dad.

For the most part I loved my job. I felt I was of service to "mankind." I had a great social circle at work, heck, I even married another cop. Life was good, my career was going well. Shirley thought we needed a new challenge -- we saw an opening in a small town in far north Queensland. And that was that -- different town, new people, but same job!

Being in the tropics was wonderful, the vibe was chilled, people were relaxed, the beer seemed colder and the fish certainly were bigger. We spent 4 healthy years up there, until my troubles started. One night I was out of my usual patrol area -- I drove past the harbor and happened to see bunch of raggedy looking children getting off a boat.

They didn't look like tourists; they didn't look Aboriginal. I thought it was odd. The next day I mentioned it to a mate and he said Don't go up there on a Tuesday, you'll be sorry. I didn't ask any more and I didn't tell Shirley.

Two months later I had time off and, well, you know I went to the same wharf, on a Tuesday night. You want to

talk about spooky? A similar looking bunch of kids were disembarking, but this time the ship was further out and the kids were being rowed in. Many of them were crying. I figured I'd better help.

First I called the station for back-up, in case the boat's owner was breaking the law. What a surprise I got! My boss said "Get out of there right now and report to the office." When I got back he said "Son, just do as I say or there will be consequences -- *for you and your wife.*"

If Dad were still alive I'd have called him for advice. I took it to be some kind of threat. I decided not to tell Shirley who was prego. I wondered who in the community could shed light on the subject for me. It did not occur to me that kids were being trafficked. We didn't learn this at the Academy.

At first I wasn't sure if what I was seeing was true. How many people were likely to be involved? How could there be no legal fuss? My fellow cops all seemed to know about it.

I decided to make an appointment with my Commanding Officer. Total shock. As he entered the room he "tripped" and kicked me. I guess that was my last day of a no-fear life.

As you can imagine, it was hard for me to hide this from the mum-to-be. Then she told me that when I was on night duty and she was home, she heard odd noises on the roof. I climbed a ladder next morning and pretended to look for possums but already I had a sinking feeling.

I tried to get in touch with my MP, not even saying what the issue was, but the secretary rebuffed me with various excuses.

Shirl was planning to work until her 7th month. I had in mind that after the kid arrived we move away. Then I got the call. I was told that she was shot, on the job. I sped to the hospital but she – and my son! – were already “in heaven.” You may think I was overcome with sadness or maybe went into a panic? Neither. I was 100% numb.

My mother came up for the funeral and stayed with me for a few weeks. I was afraid if I told her the story she would blame me for causing Shirley’s death. In fact I was already feeling guilty along those lines.

From then on, my colleagues didn’t even look at me. I know it’s because they are ashamed. I began to hate working with them. I wanted to have another look around that wharf. But I didn’t, as it seemed that I was the only person who cared, and I had no way of reporting it.

I did write a letter to the *Age* -- anonymously -- but I don’t know if anyone desired to act on it.

My doctor had to give me some anti-depressants – or I’d have been staying in bed till noon every day. Because now there was nothing to work for, no one to live for.

One evening, in 1988, I closed the garage door, rolled up the car windows, turned the ignition, and waited for the end.

Today, things would be different. Today me and Shirley would fight those bastards. They wouldn’t dare kill her. And we’d have “company” – Frank, Jr would be turning 30 in 2017. We’d help mankind. Yes we would. Hey, wait - - maybe Shirl would have had more kids.

I’ll shout a round of beer on that!

TIMELINE

- 1600 East India Company begins, leads to empire(s)
- 1770s Adam Weishaupt, helper of Mayer Rothschild, organizes Illuminati group within Freemasonry in Germany
- 1776 Thomas Jefferson is in France, pre-French revolution
- 1798 John Robison, scientist, writes *Proofs of a Conspiracy* criticizing the Masons of which he is a member
- 1800 Eve Frank promulgates Sabbatian anti-Talmudic sect
- 1802 NH Pastor Payson warns of secret societies in America
- 1830 Order of Skull and Bones at Yale, is born in Germany
- 1832 Britain uses opium as weapon; Chinese addicted
- 1890 Cecil Rhodes and Milner's Kindergarten Get Busy
- 1898 Pavlov, in Russia, experiments with dogs' behavior
- 1908 Treasury Dep't starts Federal Bureau of Investigation
- 1913 Congress passes unconstitutional Federal Reserve Act
- 1914 World War results from secret British planning
- 1917 Wall Street arranges the Bolshevik's coup in Russia
- 1917 John Rawlings Rees studies "shell shock" at Tavistock
- 1920s Rockefellers create General Education Board, World Council of Churches, Center for Disease Control
- 1947 Operation Paperclip moves Nazis to the new CIA

1949 Orwell's *1984* is like HG Wells' 1928 *Open Conspiracy*

1950 Tavistock establishes nodes in several Australian cities

1953 CIA's Sid Gottlieb sets up MK-Ultra mind control

1962 JFK and brother annoy mafia and CIA's Alan Dulles

1962 Boston Strangler murders test media's psy-op methods

1963 Dallas: *Coup d'etat* in US is not identified as such

1966 American Civil Liberties Union wins racial cases

1969 Woodstock concert recruits hippies to take LSD

1973 Nixon is ousted to let Nelson Rockefeller become VP

1969 Tavistock's Dr Dax moves from Melbourne to Hobart

1978 Jim Jones orders death by Kool-aid, 900 die, most shot

1980s Many die from "Deep Sleep" at Chelmsford Hospital

1991 Carol Rutz comes out of MK-Ultra amnesia, tells all

1995 Claudia Mullen, Chris Di Nicola speak at hearings

1996 Journalist Gary Webb reveals crack epidemic is CIA's

1998 At SMART conferences, survivors tell of ritual abuse

2002 *Boston Globe*: Many boys sexually assaulted by priests

2016 Podesta's code words in e-mails opens our minds to what sort of code the "rulers" live by

2017 It's Hallelujah city!

Explanation of Timeline

We can never really know what happened in the past. Even if we read something written in good faith by a person of early times, they might not have known everything that was going on. But we can at least make an attempt to put together many clues.

The timeline I concocted should start with a much earlier date. There were secret societies in ancient times, such as in Egypt and Greece. No doubt the Roman Empire – which is still with us in many ways – did as much by stealth as it did openly.

I started with the “East India Company” around 1600, without specifying whether I meant the Dutch one or the British. They both used private armies to quell “the natives” in many parts of the world and pillaged the resources that the West could thus enjoy -- to this day.

Only since 1492 had ocean-going vessels been hardy enough to cross the Atlantic. Before that, conquests were usually of contiguous territory. Now every nation is accessible by conquerors through electronic means and also through traditional secret dealings.

I mentioned in the Timeline that Jefferson was in France at a crucial stage for the planning of both the modern nation of France and the United States. So was Ben Franklin. I don't know what they were doing in France -- am just throwing it in on suspicion!

Within 4 years of each other Robison and Seth Payson, pastor of Rindge NH, wrote careful critiques of the Illuminati, so it's certain there was much happening. A century later, in the 1890s, two events – Pavlov's discovery of conditioning and the founding of Milner's

kindergarten -- are of major, major significance for the subject matter of the book – hidden rule.

Are we talking about rule over the kingdom of Pavlov's conditioned dogs? Well, not exactly.

The period 1913-1920 is also of great importance. A couple of English lords “ran” World War I for nefarious purposes. Which purposes exactly? Well, who knows, you'd have to ask them. It was all so clubby the reasons could have been entirely personal; more likely however it was a cabal that was calling the shots.

The Federal Reserve Act of 1913 – which every Congress since has had the opportunity to repeal – and the array of private/public institutions founded by John Rockefeller, Jr, around 1920 have led to hidden control of schools, churches and medicine. Impressive, no?

The events I listed for 1962-1963 – the scripting of a mass-killer situation (the Boston strangler, but he didn't do it) and the act of Oswald (he didn't do it either) show the extent to which media control, especially through TV, had become the secret group's way of deflecting blame.

Jump ahead to the 1990s when survivors of the MK-Ultra program started to come forth with their biographies, and journalists such as Gary Webb dug in to get the truth. He was killed, of course, but we don't know how many MK-Ultra's died. Some had been programmed to commit suicide on a certain day.

All of this truth-hunting, from 1798 to 2016, brings us to an accidental discovery of the truth of Pizzagate -- and thus to what I call, without exaggeration, Hallelujah city in 2017.

1. Child Sexual Abuse: Jehovah's Witnesses' Rules

(published December 13, 2015)



Julia Gillard, when she was prime minister of Australia, gave the go-ahead for the Royal Commission, funded to last from 2013 to the end of 2017. It investigates the “Institutional Response to Child Sexual Abuse.” The persons we most should thank for this absolutely amazing Royal Commission are the victims of that abuse. They struggled for years against the rejection of their story and insisted that it be dealt with.

They have won some unexpected prizes for us all. I argue that the odd combination of an authority, Parliament, digging deep into another authority, the Church, is yielding insights that could not otherwise have come about.

This chapter lays out the legal structure of the commission and reports on one case study, Jehovah's Witnesses. You will be surprised to hear that when the records were demanded by a search warrant, it was found that Watchtower Australia (its legal name) had over a thousand cases of child sexual abuse dating back to 1950 and not one was referred to police.

What Is a Royal Commission?

In 1902, just a year after the Australian nation came into existence, Parliament created a Royal Commission Act. (Some of the states have a similar Act.) Such a commission is an investigatory body. It's not part of the judicial system. It has no means to punish anyone and can't provide legal resolution to an aggrieved party.

The reason it appears to have a strong hand is that it can do what a court does in terms of subpoenaing witnesses. They must answer any questions asked, on penalty of a fine or imprisonment if they refuse. If they lie, that be perjury, a crime.

The commission also has power to search for documents or other evidence and to seize it. The search warrant can be carried out by Federal Police or state police assisting the commission. Section 4 of the Act says they may enter the premises and "3(c) seize any of the relevant things."

The Letters Patent issued by "Queen Elizabeth the Second, by the Grace of God Queen of Australia," for this Royal Commission (RC) says in its terms of reference:

"AND it is important that claims of systemic failures by institutions in relation to allegations and incidents of child sexual abuse ... be fully explored, and that best practice is identified so that it may be followed in the future both to protect against the occurrence of child sexual abuse and to respond appropriately when any allegations ... occur."

The current RC, has two justices, Peter McClellan and Jennifer Coate. They have wide discretion. For instance, they have ordered the names of survivors, i.e., victims, to be recorded with a three-letter pseudonym, such as BKA - - unless the person wants his/her name published.

The Place of Religion vis à vis Law

Sexual abuse of children in church context has been rife. This Royal Commission is mainly asking “How the hell did it continue to happen?” Anyone is welcome to contribute information. Even now you may contact the commission (telephone **1-800-099-340**).

Let us note how the right of a religion to guide its people compares with the government’s right to punish crime. Take the case of Sharia law for Muslims. You may wonder when you hear that an Imam makes a decision for a marriage dispute within Oz.

Huh? Do we have Sharia law here? Not exactly. We have “private law.” Any two citizens in conflict can ask *anyone* to help them solve it. They can also agree to be bound by what that person or organization says.

Does the state approve of such things? The state doesn’t want to enter into private matters. So we should be pleased, not alarmed, that religions, or any other entities, can help people with disputes – as long as the parties truly consent. As far as *criminal* law goes, however, there is no escape from public law.

Even if your religious group holds that such-and-such is morally permissible, you can’t plead that in court. (I mean you can plead it, but so what.) And of course you can’t say that as a clergyperson you’re above the law. Ha!

(A modification of criminal law has been granted to Aboriginal persons, but only in regard to sentencing. If the judge deems that the convicted person is likely to suffer additional tribal punishment, such as spearing, she can lessen the official punishment.)

How Did Child Sexual Abuse Remain Hidden?

How did such terrible sexual abuse happen right in our midst? Didn't parents complain? Didn't non-abusing teachers see what was going on? Did anybody think to call the police?

Parents *did* complain (although often the child was afraid to tell Mum or Dad, who, in some instances, **did not believe them**) and, yes, teachers or other tried to Go Upstairs with the issue. But this failed to work, for reasons to be discussed.

Of course a church can easily cause its faithful to believe that *it* is the highest authority in the land. Thus the interference of the government, via this Royal Commission, is having a great benefit – it is showing us how this belief works and how it can cause confusion.

Case Study: Jehovah's Witnesses

The present chapter refers to only one case study: Jehovah's Witnesses. Royal Commission's Case Study 29.

Let me first summarize:

- This church has its own set of moral rules (as is usual); so a congregant, wanting to know if something is right and wrong, is directed to the *particular scriptural passage or the particular doctrinal positional of this religion*.
- This faith, Jehovah's Witnesses, constructs its own methods of dealing with problems that arise in the church. This church has a *panel of Elders* to deal with such things. They wrote a document called Pay Attention.

- A church – like any organization – has pride and has expectation of the *house-loyalty of members*. Anyone threatening to make a complaint publically is naturally made to feel like a traitor to the group.

The Royal Commission’s Findings [The following is a direct quote (with bolding added by Mary Maxwell)]

In response to the Royal Commission’s summons to produce, Watchtower Australia produced some 5,000 documents. Royal Commission staff analysed those files and produced the following data. [Victims BCB and BCG gave testimony.] ...

Broadly speaking, allegations of child sexual abuse were handled **in the same way as any sin was handled according to a system requiring reporting of serious wrongdoing to congregational elders**. The elders would then investigate with a view to determining the **veracity** of an allegation.

If an allegation was proved in accordance with Scriptural standards, then the elders **would form a judicial committee** to determine the degree of repentance of the accused and the appropriate sanction....

... Pay Attention 1981 advised elders that ‘the law on **ecclesiastical privilege** in many countries does not require elders to report the offender or the offense to secular authorities’. [Wow.]

Pay Attention 1991 suggested that the elders encourage the accused to report himself to authorities. [What a joke!] It otherwise discouraged Jehovah’s Witnesses **from taking fellow Christians**

to secular courts to settle personal disputes and counselled that such disputes should be settled with the help of the congregation elders.

Failure to observe this injunction could result in restriction of congregational privileges.... Ultimately though, **if an accused person denied wrongdoing and there was only one witness to the alleged wrongdoing, then a judicial committee would not be formed** and the matter would be left in Jehovah's hands.

Assessment of Repentance

Pay Attention 1991 instructed elders that **a judicial committee's primary consideration in determining the appropriate sanction is the 'the individual's sincere repentance** or the lack of it'.... [W]hether the individual had apologised to the person offended was a relevant consideration in the assessment of repentance....

Elders were instructed that '[n]either the gravity of the wrong nor bad publicity finally determines whether the person should be disfellowshipped'...

BCB [I'll substitute the name Barb] gave evidence that during the second meeting Bill Neill was defensive and said that she used to wear revealing clothing. Barb also gave evidence that she did not feel supported and that her credibility was being tested by the elders.

The Royal Commission heard that again Barb felt too uncomfortable to disclose to the elders the full extent of her abuse by Bill Neill. BCB [said] that nobody explained to her the purpose of either meeting.

Mr Horley gave evidence that he could not recall what was explained to Barb....

It is submitted that despite having evidence that BCH had sexually abused BCG's three sisters, the judicial committee **disfellowshipped BCH for dishonesty in relation to child sexual abuse**, not for the child sexual abuse itself.... [!]

Elders are instructed that child sexual abuse includes:

... sexual intercourse with a minor; oral or anal sex with a minor; fondling the genitals, breasts, or buttocks of a minor; voyeurism of a minor; indecent exposure to a minor; ... **it may also include “sexting” with a minor....**

The Jehovah's Witness organisation also instructs elders that child sexual abuse is captured by one or more of the following Scriptural offences:

- a) porneia, which includes sexual intercourse, oral or anal sex, 'immoral use of the genitals, whether in a natural or perverted way, with lewd intent'
- b) brazen or loose conduct, which is conduct that reflects 'an attitude that betrays disrespect, disregard, or even contempt for divine standards, laws, and authority'...and
- c) gross uncleanness [including] an adult involving a child in the viewing... [Emphasis added]

-- End of quote from Royal Commission's findings

Reflection

In Jehovah's Witnesses depriving a person of Fellowship was seen as a severe punishment. Indeed, ostracism from the group has always been a standard threat by which society attempts to control the behavior of members.

Obstruction of justice appears to be built into this group's protocols. If only one individual complained, with no witness to the act, the elders did not form a judicial committee to investigate. Since when does intimate sexual behavior have witnesses?

I hope the Royal Commission, in its summing up, will not just say "OK, we have located the stumbling block. It was the bit about unwitnessed acts not earning a judicial committee." I would want them to consider the possibility that such a rule was purpose-built for sexual abuse.

As I have said elsewhere, I smell a rat, or a racket, in the entire phenomenon of church officials shielding the child abuse. Let me stick my neck out and say that we should inquire into the possibility that that the harming of children was *policy*. Part Three below argues that Tavistock of the UK is in charge of this astonishing policy.

Personally I suspect that hurting children was a *goal*, not a by-product of some priests' sexual addiction. At the moment this appears to be the best explanation for the very peculiar testimony that the Commission is receiving from church officials who are put on the witness stand.

In the next chapters, I'll talk about Geelong Grammar and the Catholic dioceses of Melbourne and Ballarat. Stay tuned for some big surprises.

2. Catholic Hierarchy and Pedophilia

(published December 18, 2015)



HQ of Holy Mother Church and of The Firm

The Royal Commission is looking into *institutional responses* to child sexual abuse. As shown in the previous chapter, records kept by Jehovah's Witnesses revealed that the church knew of 1,000 cases. They reported none to police. Mind boggling.

As for Catholic story of pedophile priests, it became well publicized, especially in the US and Ireland. The *Boston Globe* broke the story in 2002 -- abuse victims had been asking for a long time for public acknowledgement. Some perpetrators are now in prison. Millions of dollars in damages have been paid out by Holy Mother Church.

The main focus of this chapter is "Case Study 28," about the Catholic hierarchy. The Royal Commission has amassed what it calls "tender bundles." These contain statements tendered directly to the commission by victims or their families, school principals, and other witnesses. (You, too, can tender a statement.)

There are also bundles of correspondence and records, such as minutes of meetings, some obtained coercively by the Royal Commission. Since 2013, there have been open hearings; the transcripts of these also get added to the

paper file. By the way, another 1500 Aussies are in the queue to tell their abuse story to this Commission.

Open Hearings

When I attended the first two days of Case Study 28 hearings in Melbourne, the thing that grabbed my attention was the way the priests in the witness box were not acting like the priests I have known all my life.

They evaded the questions to an embarrassing degree. Not the way a politician would do it, by beating around the bush. (I suppose we should be thankful for that.) Rather, each priest calmly said he could not remember. None of them blushed or stammered. Believe me it was disconcerting. They **MUST** have been lying. But why?

The Commission's counsel, Mr Angus Stewart, SC, put some sense into the proceedings by showing, on a screen, the various statements by that very person that are *already in file*, which undermine his claim of a blank memory. (A counsel assisting an inquiry is not exactly a member of it.)

But even that did not cause the priests to capitulate! They repeatedly said something like **"I never knew Reverend Pedophile was getting up to anything, and we normals didn't gossip about it among ourselves."** Un-be-something-leivable!

I'm grateful for the way the Royal Commission is conducting the work, so far, and I'm in awe that such a citizen-accessible event is taking place. It's truly innovative and valuable.

If the Commissioners don't put the material to good use, society can do so. Pass me the tender bundles please.

Outmoded Explanations for Church Silence

Let's jettison three outmoded explanations that have often been given, as to why the Catholic Church may have swept the problem under the rug:

Explanation One: The Church kept its knowledge of pedophile priests secret *so as not to shake people's faith in their religion*. Nah, that can't be right. It's only logical that the way to keep people's faith intact would have been for the Church to defrock any priest caught molesting a child.

Explanation Two: The purpose of keeping information about bad priests suppressed for decades was *to avoid the financial burden of paying compensation*. Again, this does not make sense. For money's sake, the pedophilia should have been stopped the minute the diocese became aware of it.

Explanation Three: The rules of Canon law were such that *the policy to be followed was one of discouraging complaints*. We saw this sort of thing in the Jehovah's Witnesses' written policy "Pay Attention 1981."

Yes, there *was* a similar discouragement policy in the Catholic Church, but it could not have condoned sin. Roman Catholicism has a very consistent theology against sin. (Remember "Stop, or you'll go blind"?)

So, then if the foregoing do not adequately account for what happened, what are the real explanations? It could be that "pedophile rings" are running the show. Or that the Church has been infiltrated. Let us canvass these.

It is well established, worldwide, **that there are networks of men, powerful men, who buy and sell children**, and who may kill them when they are finished with them.

Pedophile Rings

In Belgium, the Dutroux case went on for years despite massive public protests. In Dunblane, Scotland, the involvement of police in the 1996 massacre of schoolchildren was leaked by Lord Burton — **yet no prosecutions of the powerful have ever taken place.**

A survivor from New South Wales, Fiona Barrett, has asked this Royal Commission to deal with the issue of pedophile rings. She demanded a private hearing and has given the Commission piles of information. **If they don't act on it, we should logically deduce that the ring-situation *is* significant.**

However, judging from what I have seen so far at the hearings in Melbourne (which is far too little), it does not strike me that these priests' behavior, as described, is the "ring" kind. Each of the errant curates attacked, say, his own altar boys. There was no exchange of victims between parishes, much less among states. The perpetrator did not appear to be "supplying" kids to the powerful.

That said, Denis Ryan, age 83, gave testimony in Case Study 28. As an ex-police detective he was in a position to find out about the goings on in the Church and had a duty to report criminality. He *did* report it; **he went to great lengths about this for years, but was rebuffed and *threatened*.** His new book, *Unholy Trinity*, will be discussed later.

What about Infiltration by the Intelligence Agencies?

Let me posit a theory and see if it answers the question: Why did the hierarchy turn a blind eye all those years?

I propose that the particular members of the hierarchy who **stymied all efforts to sack the pedophile priests** *were not random members of the clergy*. They are, perhaps all of them, working for one of the many covert agencies, such as the CIA, ASIO, Mossad, or MI6.

I speculate that not every priest gets his vocation from God. Some boys could be recruited in high school, and enter the seminary, to work for a well-concealed boss. Note: if the boy had been MK-Ultra'd in childhood, his 'recruitment' would have begun around kindergarten days.

The Big Prize: Weaken the People

This much can be said about infiltration: a basic **task of intelligence agencies is to infiltrate all the professions and all civil-society associations**. It's unlikely the Churches would have escaped this. So just go along with this for a minute, even if it sounds fantastic.

In *Prosecution for Treason*, I theorized that some group at the top — “World government”, “the cabal,” whatever— **is keen on controlling the 7 billion of us**. Naturally, they feel a need to destroy any type of power we have.

They want to destroy our health, our industries, our belief in God, and even our family life. Such an idea is not the least bit original with me. **HG Wells** described it (as a pleasant thing) in “The Open Conspiracy” (1928).

Rabbi Marvin Antelman rails against it in his “To Eliminate the Opiate” (2002) – excerpted in Appendix L)

Lawrence Dunegan's “The New Barbarism” (1988) – is about a talk that was given by **Richard Day, MD**, back in 1969 which distinctly said the Christian religion is a target.

Dr Day stated that the churches would themselves participate in destroying the church. His talk contained many predictions about other things that subsequently came true – such as the deliberate lowering of the age of puberty! Therefore I think his words ought to be taken seriously. (Dr Day will be discussed in the next chapter.)

Not in the Pulpit You Don't

As I said, I am staggered at the way the members of the hierarchy (e.g., a Vicar general and a bishop) as well as pastors do not give up on their stance, when interrogated by the Royal Commission.

They say they “don't remember” events which must have been so salacious at the time that any person would clearly have stored it in their nog forever. Thus I ask, *why* are they lying? And why aren't they men of character?

We Catholics always assumed that **the guy in the pulpit who “moralizes” us every Sunday has a genuine sense of virtue. He just wouldn't do this crazy cover-up!** If he recognizes that a priest is sexually abusing children, he would hardly rush to *shield* that man. It makes zero sense.

So we have to try another approach. My approach is to theorize that many members of the hierarchy are not, and never were, what we thought they were. **They were outsiders, running the Church for some nefarious purpose.** Thus they are only posing as priests.

3. Power and Dr Day's Predictions

(published December 19, 2015)



Abby Aldrich Rockefeller (1874-1948) and Richard Day, MD

Australia's current Royal Commission has completed three years of work and is scheduled to carry on for another two years, until the end of 2017. It is conducting a very public investigation (anyone can make submissions), in hopes of learning how it was that *thousands* of children could be sexually abused and **everyone remained silent about it.**

Any citizen may do as she/he pleases with the information that is being gathered. In my series of articles for Gumshoe, I'm not acting as a regular reporter. Rather I select any bits that give me insights about the larger issues.

The article at hand is about power. I ask: **Who holds such power over us that an entire institution – for example, religion – can be dictated to?**

You may have seen the video in which I reacted to the survivor who said he wanted “to knock the living Christ” out of Fr Peter Searson who had abused him. My question was: Didn't the fault really lie with the *bishop* who

condoned Fr Searson's behavior? And to whom did that bishop answer? (Bishop Frank Little is now deceased, so we can't interrogate him.) Let's look at a speech that reveals many secrets.

The Dr Richard Day Speech of 1969

Anyone who wants to analyze "the fall of the Church" should heed the words of Lawrence Dunegan, MD. When he was young, in 1969, he attended a medical dinner. The speaker, Richard Day, MD, worked for Planned Parenthood, which is a Rockefeller-initiated charity. Dr Day pitched his speech as one in which he would give doctors and medical students a glimpse of the future.

One of the things Day mentioned was that the Christian Churches would be made to collapse. Dunegan, in the audience, was offended by such talk. He took notes (against the wishes of the speaker) and 19 years later, in 1988, he spilled all the beans on a Christian radio show, the Randy Engels program. Later Dunegan's report was carried in print at Jeff Rense's website.

I will now quote Dunegan's report of Day's 1969 speech:

He said, "Religion is not necessarily bad. A lot of people seem to need religion, with its mysteries and rituals – so they will have religion. But **the major religions of today have to be changed because they are not compatible with the changes to come.** The old religions will have to go, especially Christianity.

Once the Roman Catholic Church is brought down, **the rest of Christianity will follow easily.**

Then a new religion can be accepted for use **all over the world.**

In order to do this, the Bible will be changed. It will be rewritten to fit the new religion. Gradually, **key words will be replaced with new words having various shades of meaning.** Everything in Scripture need not be rewritten, just key words will be replaced by other words.

Then followed one of the most surprising statements of the whole presentation: He said, “Some of you probably think the Churches won’t stand for this,” and he went on to say, **“the Churches will help us!”**

Who Is Running the Bishops?

Australia’s Royal Commission cannot afford to pass over that last remark by Dr Day. He does seem to have genuine knowledge of some plans that were underway. (Changes to the Bible *have* recently been announced, as he predicted.) If the goal were to destroy the Church, wouldn’t a sex scandal be a good method?

The Commission’s huge question is: Why didn’t the bishops put a stop to the sexual abuse of children? They not only failed to stop it, they caused it to increase, by sending the abuser to new parishes. They *did not warn* the new parish that the priest had already been identified as a pedophile! They thus helped the fall of the Church – as Day foretold: **“the Churches will help us!”**

We need to discover how a bishop gets his instructions. On some occasions the bishop had parents sitting right in front of him, pressuring him to act. Of course any bishop has the authority to deal with such matters. The buck stopped there. So if the bishop did not act to satisfy the parents, it must be — mustn’t it? — that he was receiving

pressure from another source, to let the sinning priest continue.

I think we should stop being flustered by our inability to identify exactly who the pressure-makers are. We know enough, just from Dr Day's speech. We know that some powerful group, somewhere, considers religion its plaything. That same group considers just about everything its plaything. In Appendix H, John Coleman shows how this group – whom he calls the Committee of 300 – is able to run the world's illicit drug trade.

“We” – Rockefellers – “Are in Control”

Let me now display several more bits from Dr Day's futuristic talk, as recorded by Lawrence Dunegan, MD. Note: the full Dunegan is now reprinted in *Truth in Journalism*, by Dee McLachlan and Mary W Maxwell. The bolding, and bracketed comments are added by me:

Dr Day indicated that there is much more co-operation between East and West than most people realise [meaning US/USSR. That remark alone tells us that the planners have got top-most position!].

Most people don't understand how governments operate and **even people in high positions in governments don't really understand how and where decisions are made.**

“People are too trusting, they don't ask the right questions.” [When Dunegan employs quote marks he means this is verbatim Richard Day. Otherwise he is paraphrasing.]

“Everything has two purposes.” One is the ostensible purpose which will make it acceptable to people, and

second is the real purpose which would **further the goals of establishing the new system.**

He [Dr Day] said there would be **new diseases to appear.** Would be very difficult to diagnose and be untreatable – at least for along time.

Buildings and bridges would be made so that they would collapse after a while, there would be more **accidents involving aeroplanes and railroads** and automobiles. All of this to contribute to the feeling of insecurity, that **nothing was safe.**

Crime will be used to manage society. I wondered at that time about the moves that were afoot **to consolidate all the police departments of suburbs around the major cities.** John Birch Society was saying “Support your local police, don’t let them be consolidated.”

In connection with nutrition he also mentioned that public eating places would rapidly increase. This had a connection with the family too. As more and more people eat out, **eating at home would become less important.**

Kids will spend more time in schools, **but they wouldn’t learn anything.** [Charlotte Iserbyt, in her free online book, *Deliberate Dumbing Down of America* has thoroughly documented the fact that the dumbing has been *policy* in the United States for decades.]

The Spilling of Conspiracies

The foregoing is less than a fourth of what Dr Day said. I included enough **to suggest that he knew of a grand plan.** Imagine listing such things as diminishing the

family's mealtime together, changing words in the Bible, and introducing new diseases! Imagine planning to have car crashes and plane crashes! The arrogance of it. (And did Dr Day think *he* would be spared?)

The bringing down of religions was also a plot outlined in HG Wells' novel "The Open Conspiracy," published in 1928. Wells must have been a scribe for the biggies (which I variously call the World Government, the cabal, or the Bozos). I mean they allowed Wells to tattle, albeit in fictional guise.

Dr Day, by contrast, was not trying to "get the word out." More likely he was using his audience to check their reaction. But there was almost no reaction! Certainly no one stood up to the speaker at the dinner. Dunegan tells us that when he ran into colleagues years later and asked if they recalled Dr Day's speech, they showed no appreciation of its significance.

Rabbi Marvin Antelman also makes a good case that, within Judaism, somebody was on the attack in the 19th century, to destroy religion. An aside: One of Antelman's villains on that score is Eva Frank. She was a member of the Sabbatian sect, which went in for child sacrifice. Aleister Crowley's group, Golden Dawn, may have done so, too. (He worked for MI6.) Have a look at the website JoyOfSatan.org.

The Precautionary Principle

I believe that most or all of the plans announced by Dr Day are in full swing. Thus I'm willing to **start thinking about what to do**. If it turns out that I'm just a paranoid nutter, not too much will have been lost -- just some effort on my part. But if I'm too embarrassed to deal with

the Dr Day thing (for fear of being called a nutter), and it turns out that Day was right, I will have lost a lot.

The “precautionary principle” means “Better safe than sorry.” I hereby recommend that the Royal Commission take into consideration Dr Day’s apparent insider knowledge about a plan to sink the Catholic Church. This could well illuminate (pardon the word) the behavior of members of the hierarchy whom the commission has yet to call as witnesses.

Don’t be embarrassed, O Justice Peter McClellan, Justice Jennifer Coate, and other Commissioners. Use the precautionary principle. Please, we are relying on your protection! You have been given such a unique task, with full legitimacy such that **you can question everything that’s going on** that sheds light on the “Institutional Response to Child Sexual Abuse.”

The Non-Adversarial Approach

As I watch, in the hearings, the approach of Angus Stewart, Counsel, and Justice Peter McCallum, the Commissioner, I have been gobsmacked. They persistently and without any hostility, seek further information from the person in the witness box. They don’t let anybody get away with obfuscation or smooth talk.

I had never seen a “court” that is other the adversarial type. (Granted, this Commission is not a court; it is an inquiry.) It has made me wonder **if our adversarial system is hopelessly inferior to the inquisitorial system**. Note: in some European countries the judge is an investigator. She seeks to get the truth, not to win.

In general, anyone who attends the hearings will learn about the **enormity** of the suffering (and the longevity of the suffering) of the victims, the immorality of the many institutions called on the carpet here, and the inadequacy of our system of policing.

But, happily, they will also be struck by **the ability of a truly open public forum to accomplish what other authoritative groups cannot**. It is really inspiring.

No matter what else comes of this RC, it has helped me to conjure up the image of an outside controller. That is, someone who is such a damned busybody that he can make decisions that affect the lives of almost everyone in the community, and **yet his identity be unknown!**

I suggest we pursue that identity.

Why not?

Note: the above was published as an article in 2015, but in late 2016 we unexpectedly came into new information about Washington DC perpetrators. H Pizzagate scandal broke, showing that high-level governmental people were involved not only in the abuse of children but in selling them on the market – and killing babies in “ritual sacrifice.” That will be discussed in later chapters.

Now in April 2017 it has been announced in Britain that Wiltshire Chief Constable Mike Veale is refusing to close on an inquiry into the child-sacrificing habits of the late Edward Heath. TheSun.co.uk wrote on February 20, 2017 that “Former PM Ted Heath was allegedly part of a paedophile ring which sacrificed babies in bizarre rituals.”

4. Geelong Grammar, Student Suicides, and Silence

(published December 20, 2015)



The Royal Commission's lifespan is 2013 to 2017

So far we looked at the RC's case studies on Watchtower Australia and the Catholic hierarchy. Now we look at Geelong Grammar. It is a prestigious boarding school. You may recall that Charles, Prince of Wales, spent a year of his youth at Timberlake – one of Geelong's four campuses.

In 2006, Philippe Trutmann, a boarding-house assistant at Highton House, was convicted of molesting 40 students from 1985 to 1995. Imagine! **A decade of harm to kids, and no one on the staff resolved this problem.** Ultimately Trutmann served a six-year sentence. No one was punished for cover-up. (Trutmann is now deceased.)

The **cover-up** is what this Royal Commission is all about. Its mandate is to investigate “institutional responses” to child sexual abuse – and the main institutional response has been cover-up. Seriously. , i.e., suppressing any reports that were made. It was standard practice “across the board” to suppress whatever information came to light.

In Chapter 2, concerning the Catholic hierarchy, I hinted that the way members of the Church are behaving in the witness box is quite revealing. I went so far as to suggest that the bishops don't really work for the Church – they

may be infiltrators who answer to a different god, namely a CIA type thing. Now let's see what happened at a *non-religious* private school, Geelong Grammar.

Geelong Grammar, Particularly Highton House

So here we had the perpetrator Philippe Trutmann earning his living as a boarding-house assistant. He apparently never missed a chance to visit 13-year-old boys when they were supposed to be asleep. Yet he was able to do this – pardon the word – unmolested.

I say it is impossible for Robert Bugg, Master of Highton House, *not* to have known, as there had been many complaints. Pretend it was you who were the housemaster and pretend that you stayed mum — and even at this very public Commission hearing you said “I knew nothing.” Think: *what could be your motives* for the tight-lip routine?

Of course I don't really know. When I attended the grilling of in October 24, 2015, it was my first exposure to this business. I was impressed by the temerity of the housemaster.

Although he has a noticeable stutter, he did not look like he would break down under questioning. At times he threw up his hands as if to say “Why ask me such a foolish question?”

It was put to him that a certain student, code-named BIW (a now middle-aged survivor, who was sitting only meters away from the witness box) had been expelled from Geelong **because he reported the sexual abuse!** His mother was nevertheless asked to pay the outstanding tuition fee of \$2500.

The mother spoke to a barrister who said he'd be keen to fight the case in order to "air the issue." At that point, the request for the \$2500 was quickly dropped. Pretty indicative that Geelong bosses felt guilty, eh? But, no, again we get the look of defiant innocence from Mr Bugg.

The Music Teacher

The next man to enter the witness box was an elderly fellow who had run Geelong's large music department. An adolescent girl had complained about a clarinet teacher making advances to her in the small music room. So the question was, did the departmental boss know?

He said No and treated the Commission arrogantly, even contemptuously. Honest, he acted like he knew better than they. He had "no recollection of this girl whatsoever." So then the Counsel asked "This girl's family donated a piano to the school. Surely you recall that?"

What do you think he said? If you think he said "Ah yes, now I can picture her," you're not paying attention. The point is: the standard institutional response to *child sexual abuse* has been **pure** cover-up. He couldn't recall the girl, piano-gift or no piano-gift.

Note: These men may have been coached in how to answer the questions. They may even have been hypnotized to help them discard their memory of the events.

Student Suicide

This is from *The Age*, September 4, 2015:

Catherine Parsons, who has worked as a matron and nurse at Geelong Grammar on and off since the

1980s, told the commission on Thursday **that several boys had died from suicide and drug overdoses after being abused by Trutmann.** And other boys “continue to suffer with drug and alcohol problems, homelessness and a living hell.”

She wept as she told of one boy, who died in 1994 from a heroin overdose. She suspected the boy had been abused by Trutmann, because his behaviour had spun out of control the previous year after he stayed at the Trutmann family home near Ballarat, when he was 15.

Mrs Parsons said **she had repeatedly told the master and deputy master of the Highton boarding house** that Trutmann ‘continually flouted’ school rules that adults should not be left alone with children. [Emphasis added]

Now here is the really strange, sad story of Mr Claridge. I said above that BIW got expelled, only 3 weeks into his boarding days at Highton House, when he dared to tell what happened to him. In his testimony for the Royal Commission, BIW said that the deputy housemaster, Paul Claridge “exploded” at him.

The year was 1989 and sex was not such a forbidden topic as it had been in the old days. Yet Claridge acted like the accusation couldn’t possibly be true, and implied that the boy was slandering the school.

But later Claridge’s own son committed suicide – and the Dad has begun to think it may have had to do with pedophilia. His boy died in 1997, having graduated from the school in 1986. He was a day student, but he had told his family that he hated Trutmann.

Blind Allegiance

At this point I am unable to know why Paul Claridge did not listen to the young BIW. Perhaps he implicitly understood that you're not supposed to accuse the school of anything. Loyalty is a very strong pressure.

When Dee McLachlan wrote about the episode of Claridge exploding and about BIW's getting kicked out of Geelong, she labeled it Blind Institutional Allegiance. She said:

“The expulsion case describes perfectly the blind faith and allegiance that people have for institutions that care for them.”

So I'll add that to my list of possible explanations for crime: Blind Institutional Allegiance. Makes sense, as this must be an emotion that is connected to our ties-that-bind and you don't want to jeopardize your ties.

Note: loyalty to one's profession is a serious problem in medicine. When I researched my book *Consider the Lilies: A Review of 18 Cures for Cancer and Their Legal Status*, I found many a scandal lurking in the medical field, but very few physicians want their voice to be heard condemning it. Time and time again I was surprised by the silence.

Not Free Men

In my on-the-spot report of the Geelong hearings, in Gumshoe News of October 25, 2015, I claimed that when I looked at these arrogant men in the witness box I felt “They are not free men.” I speculated that they are *programmed* in some way. The music head in particular seem to me to be completely unable to break down and say “OK, OK, I did know about the problem.”

Recap

In sum, the four chapters thus far have yielded the following:

1. The cover-up in Watchtower Australia (i.e., Jehovah's Witnesses) was seen to be in accord with that Church's protocols about reporting only to the elders, and having a judicial committee investigate only cases for which there is a witness. I gave no thought to that Church's connection to any other entity.
2. In the case of the Catholic cover-up I have hypothesized a CIA-type connection. As a Catholic, I can't figure out any other way to understand how these guys are telling blatant lies on the witness stand. (I mean the "I have no recollection" theme.) I deduced that the Church is infiltrated.
3. In regard to Geelong Grammar, I have no theory. In October, it hadn't occurred to me that maybe persons outside the school were involved. Now, though, Senator Heffernan has reacted to Fiona Barrett's notice that *schools in NSW were connected to a ring that involved the NSW police*. Geelong is in Victoria, not NSW, but this bears looking into.

Note: I've just found, on Amazon, this review, by Suzanne Covich, of Denis Ryan's book, *Unholy Trinity*:

"Denis Ryan's deeply moving story ...Transferred from Melbourne to Mildura as a young police detective in the 1960s. The layers upon layers of lies and deceit, along with the betrayals by senior members of the Victorian police and the Mildura Clerk of the Courts in the 1960s and '70s, who colluded with the Catholic Church to cover up.

“Ryan spoke up, tried to get help. But rather than bring this priest to justice, the Catholic Church affirmed the Monsignor’s ‘good’ name and members of the police did all in their power to intimidate and silence Ryan.”

Senator Heffernan’s Request

Senator Bill Heffernan has said he will ask Attorney General George Brandis to extend the terms of the Royal Commission to include the legal fraternity – judges as well as lawyers – by adding “the institution of law.” I believe the terms of reference are *already able to catch* that category.

To repeat, The Letters Patent from the Queen say:

NOW THEREFORE We do, by these Our Letters Patent ... appoint you to be a Commission of inquiry, **and require and authorise you, to inquire into institutional responses to allegations** and incidents of child sexual abuse and related matters, and in particular....

c. what should be done **to eliminate or reduce impediments** that currently exist for responding appropriately to child sexual abuse

AND We declare that in these Our Letters Patent...

***institution* means any public or private body, agency, association, club, institution, organisation or other entity or group of entities of any kind (whether incorporated or unincorporated), and however described,**

AND We direct you to make any recommendations arising out of your inquiry that you consider appropriate, including recommendations about any policy, legislative, administrative or structural reforms.

5. Cardinal Pell and Mind Control



(L) *Sacerdos et pontifex, pastor bone in populo, George Pell*
(R) *Pell and former prime minister of Australia, John Howard*

This series of articles derives from Australia's Royal Commission ('RC') into "*Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse.*" Let us begin with the fact that a Catholic cardinal has been summoned to the Victoria County Courthouse in Melbourne, to give testimony as to why he suppressed information about criminal activity of priests.

I am referring to Cardinal George Pell, who was bishop of Melbourne from 1996 to 2001, and of Sydney from 2001 to 2014. On December 14, 2015, Pell was expected to rock up and testify. But on Thursday December 10, his assistant applied, on medical grounds, for the cardinal to appear by video link instead. Pell lives in Rome — where he is head of Vatican finances!

Many middle-age persons who survived the priestly sexual abuse as children have been attending the Royal Commission hearings. They vocalized sarcastically when it was announced that the cardinal wanted to be spared from appearing in person. It was up to the Justice McClellan to rule on that request. When he said (in so many words) "No way," the audience cheered.

What's the Real Story with George Pell?

Quoting News.com.au of November 14, 2012:

“Cardinal Pell said he understood why senior police officer Peter Fox had spoken out about child sex abuse, but that he didn't believe his claims of a church cover-up were true.

[Quoting Pell] ‘I don't think there is sufficient evidence for him to say because of the particular problems that he faced at this time or that time, that the church is covering up. Because we're not.’”

That was three years ago. He wouldn't be able to claim that now, as there are many submissions to the contrary. It's undeniable that the Church knew of pedophile priests, often moved them from parish to parish, and acted vigorously against any victim who tried to sue.

That said, I think George Pell is, in a certain way, innocent. I feel quite sure he was mind controlled as a child and thus has always been operating under instructions. From whom? Well, I can only say “from the Powers That Be.” His current position in the Vatican Finance Office gives a hint, doesn't it?

What tipped me off to think he is mind controlled is *Wikipedia's* mention of his childhood. Pell was born in 1941 in Ballarat. It's very suspicious that as a child he had “24 operations to remove a throat abscess.” Hmm, I'll bet he didn't.

A lot of MK-Ultra children spent a lot of time in hospital for seemingly non-suspicious reasons, but really it was to give the Sidney Gottliebs of this world – and the Jose Delgado's – a chance to experiment on them. And anyway, would a throat abscess ever require so much repair work?

Wikipedia also says of Pell:

“He signed to play with the Richmond Football Club. However, his ambitions later turned to the priesthood. Speaking of his decision to become a priest, Pell once said: “To put it crudely, I feared and suspected and eventually became convinced that God wanted me to do His work, and I was never able to successfully escape that conviction.”

That is certainly not the way most religious describe the way in which they experienced their calling – “feared and suspected.” So I am proposing, but cannot prove, that George Pell is not a free man by any means. I also imagine he was treated cruelly as a youth.

What Is Mind Control?

Allow me to give my impression of mind control. I believe that we all engage in a little bit of mind control every day. Since our species, *Homo sapiens*, is social, we have to deal with others, be they relatives, co-workers, or role-players in institutions. It’s normal and natural to try to “change the mind” of a person when we want to get our way.

(If you want to do it when you are two years old, you simply throw a tantrum.)

Quite apart from that, however, is the way mind control has been developed – bigtime — as a weapon **for the purpose of squelching critical thought**. You really have to hand it to the guys who plot out “psychological operations.” They seem to leave nothing to chance.

What if *you* were tasked with finding out how to control a whole population of literate people – what would you

do? You might consider actually shackling them, or having guards force them at gunpoint to do your will. But that's old-fashioned and would be quite a nuisance.

With today's technology, there are many ways to control a human brain directly. For instance, you can use electromagnetic frequencies to change the mood of a whole community. Or you could pipe 'special' water into every home, or sell food that has been treated with psychotropic drugs.

Control the Culture Instead

All well and good, but these days a big focus of mind control is control of the culture. No shackles or special water needed! Just make people want what you prefer that they want by appealing to their "want-control center."

Granted some things are not very modifiable. Humans want 8 hours sleep per night, companionship of other people, amusement, and jobs or chores that get them motivated and make them feel useful. Don't try to take those things away or you'll end up with sick, dysfunctional, or nasty people.

But other wants can be modified. In fact, people are born with a readiness to develop wants that are taught to them by their culture. Think of the boys in ancient Sparta who lived amazingly Spartan lives. What was the key to this? It was the done thing!

A Soviet defector named Yuri Bezmenov shared with us the fact that as a KGB member, he had been tasked with subverting the culture of the US. He said it's surprisingly easy and takes only 15 years. You start with the 3-year-olds and by the time they are 18 they belong to you.

Think back to the way you were trained from age 3 to 18, and the beliefs and values that were instilled in you. That pretty much resulted in what you are today, right?

What Has This To Do with “Institutional Response”?

The Royal Commission has been ordered to look into the illogical behaviors known as “the institutional responses to child sexual abuse.” Certain men, and a few women, committed cruel sins against kids, but the officials and others who you would expect to stop this, did not stop it.

It’s often said that the children couldn’t get help “because people couldn’t see it or believe it.” But the particular persons whom this Royal Commission is interrogating *are ones that did see it*. The commissioners gathered a lot of evidence before calling into the witness box the pastors, bishops, etc. They are thus able to nail them, as it were.

MK-Ultra and the Central Intelligence Agency

I am fairly well versed in the MK-Ultra project in the US that was in full swing by about 1950. For four consecutive years, 2005 to 2008, I attended the SMART conference in Connecticut. The acronym stands for Survivors of Mind-control And Ritual-abuse Torture. Also I was on a Truth and Reconciliation panel, and have stayed in touch with some of the survivors (who are amazingly strong women).

Learning about MK-Ultra was the cause of my running for Congress in 2006. (Didn’t win.) I felt that if Americans knew how “the nation had been betrayed” – as survivor Carol Rutz puts it – they would deal with this atrocity. Toddlers were taken to military bases and tortured for the sole purpose of breaking their minds.

Since 1975 it's been no secret that the US government did this. Senator Frank Church arranged hearings to expose the goings on. Yes, there was an MK-Ultra program, carried out at 80 institutions such as universities and hospitals. The CIA justified all this by saying that since the big enemy, the USSR, was doing it, we had to keep up.

The False Memory Syndrome Gang

Beginning in 1994, there was a state Royal Commission into Police Service, in New South Wales, headed by Justice John Wood. One of its mandates was to look into police cover-up of pedophilia.

It must have been quite a blow to the survivors when the False Memory Syndrome gang came riding in to put a stop to revelations (as they did also in California; see Chapter 13. FMS is a group of psychologists – all on the CIA payroll, I believe, who seek to show that when a child tells all, the child is making it up.

It's a simple fact of life that we all misremember some events. Also, if you're constantly fed a story about what you did when you were five, you might take it in and treat it later as if it were real. But the stories of the MK-Ultra victims *are* real.

Just as it took decades for the truth to come out about the church-based sexual abuse, much more of the story is coming out now.

Visiting Cardinal Pell in Rome?

As for the current state of play concerning Cardinal Pell's testimony, he has been given a chance to appear in February, 2016 in Australia. But I see from the relevant legislation — the Royal Commissions Act of 1902 — that

taking evidence overseas is possible if the host country, in Pell's case, the Vatican, agrees to it. (And why wouldn't they?)

Section 7B of the Act says:

“Where: (b) arrangements have been made between Australia and another country in relation to the taking of evidence in that country by the Commission;

the Commission may, in that other country, subject to any provision of the arrangements and to the laws of that other country, administer an oath or affirmation to any person appearing as a witness before the Commission and take evidence ...

So far, the lawyers who are interrogating religious personnel have done so in a notably respectful way. It's hard to picture how they will talk to Cardinal Pell.

By the way, I've been delighted that each witness at the Melbourne hearings is asked if he prefers to take an oath or make affirmation, except that when it's a priest, Justice McClellan doesn't ask. He just says “Father, put your hand on the Bible, please.”

6. What We Know about Brainwashing

(published December 27, 2015)



Torture at Abu Ghraib

We interrupt the commentary on Australia's RC to provide here the text of a self-proclaimed statement by the covert agencies of the US almost sixty years ago as to what they knew about brainwashing. It is a memo signed by Allen W Dulles, CIA Director, on April 25, 1956, addressed to the Director of the FBI. I have abridged it.

In a cover letter, Dulles said that this material was learned from people who had undergone "involuntary re-education of basic beliefs and values" by Communists. Surely that is a lie. The CIA experimented firsthand in MK-Ultra. This will be expanded on in the Appendices.

The following is the CIA's statement with bolding added by me.

PRINCIPLES OF ... HUMAN REACTION TO CONTROL

There are progressive steps in exercising control over an individual and changing his behaviour:

1. Making the individual aware of control is the first stage in changing his behaviour. [*A child sees that he is helpless against a strong parent who can control him completely.*] So, a **controlled adult comes to recognize the overwhelming powers of the state and them impersonal, “incarcerative” machinery in which he is enmeshed.**
2. Realization of his complete dependence upon the controlling system is a major factor. He is forced to accept that the only food, tobacco, praise, and social contact he will get will come from the very interrogator who exercises control over him.
3. The awareness of control and **recognition of dependence results in causing internal conflict and breakdown of previous patterns of behaviour.** Since the brainwasher-interrogators aim to have the individuals undergo profound emotional change, they force their victims to [*seek to find*] painfully what is desired by the controlling individual. During this period the victim is likely **to have a mental breakdown [with] delusions and hallucinations.**
4. Discovery that there is an acceptable solution to his problem is the first stage of reducing the individual's conflict. [It gives great] **feeling of relief** that the horror of internal conflict would cease and that perhaps they would not, after all, be

driven insane. **It is at this point that they are prepared to make major changes in their value-system.** This is an automatic rather than voluntary choice. They have lost their ability to be critical.

5. Reintegration (SECTION DELETED BY CIA)
His new value-system, **his manner of perceiving, organizing, and giving meaning to events,** is virtually independent of his former value system. **He is no longer capable of thinking or speaking in concepts other than those he has adopted.** He tends to identify by expressing thanks to his captors for helping him see the light. Brainwashing can be achieved **without using illegal means.**
- b. Elicitation for the purpose of brainwashing consists of questioning, argument, indoctrination, threats, cajolery, praise, hostility, and a variety of other pressures. The aim of this interrogation is to hasten the breakdown of the individual's value system and to encourage the substitution of a different value-system.

The procurement of protected information is secondary and is used as a device to increase pressure upon the individual.

[Note: Some of the numberings below look incorrect, because of my deletions. Sorry – MM]

- a. The first type is one in which the victim has a passive role in the pain inflicted on him (e.g., beatings). Threats of torture were found more effective, as fear of pain causes greater conflict within the individual than does pain itself.

- b. The second type of torture is represented by requiring the individual to stand in one spot for several hours or assume some other pain-inducing position. Such a requirement often engenders in the individual a determination to “stick it out.”

This **internal act of resistance provide a feeling of moral superiority at first**. As time passes and his pain mounts, however, the individual becomes aware that it is his own original determination to resist that is causing the continuance of pain. A conflict develops within the individual between his moral determination and his desire to collapse and discontinue the pain.

It is **this extra internal conflict**, in addition to the conflict over whether or not to give in to the demands made of him, **that tends to make this method of torture more effective** in the breakdown of the individual personality.

[Hmm. Does that sentence sound like it came from ‘victims of Communist torture?’]

3. Reaction varies with the conditions of the isolation cell. Some sources have indicated a strong reaction to filth and vermin. The predominant cause of breakdown in such situations is a lack of sensory stimulation (i.e., greyness of walls, lack of sound, absence of social contact, etc.).

Experimental subjects exposed to this condition have reported vivid hallucinations and overwhelming fears of losing their sanity.

4. Another wrinkle in communication control is the informer system. The recruitment of informers in

POW camps discouraged communication between inmates.

5. Induction of Fatigue. This is a well-known device for breaking will power and critical powers of judgment. Deprivation of sleep results in more intense psychological debilitation.... “Conveyor belt” interrogation that lasts 50-60 hours will make almost any individual compromise, but there is danger that this will kill the victim.

It is safer to conduct interrogations of 8-10 hours at night while forcing the prisoner to remain awake during the day. Additional interruptions in the remaining 2-3 hours of allotted sleep quickly reduce the most resilient individual. Fatigue, in addition to reducing the will to resist, also produces irritation and fear that arise from increased “slips of the tongue.”

6. **No food and little or no water** is permitted the individual for several days prior to interrogation. When the prisoner first complains of this to the interrogator, the latter expresses surprise at such inhumane treatment. He makes a demand of the prisoner. If the latter complies, he receives a good meal. If he does not, he gets a diet of unappetizing food containing limited vitamins, minerals, and calories.
7. Criticism and Self-Criticism. These are mechanisms of communist thought control. In brainwashing, after a sufficient sense of guilt has been created in the individual, sharing and self-criticism permit relief. The price paid for this relief, however, is loss of individuality and increased dependency....

10 d. Prisoners are often **humiliated by refusing them the use of toilet facilities during interrogation** until they soil themselves. Often prisoners were not permitted to bathe for weeks until they felt contemptible.

A feeling of helplessness in the face of the impersonal machinery of control is carefully engendered within the prisoner. The individual who receives the preliminary treatment described above not only begins to feel like an “animal” but also feels that nothing can be done about it.

The first steps in “depersonalization” of the prisoner have begun. **He has no idea what to expect.** Ample opportunity is allotted for him to ruminate upon all the unpleasant or painful things that could happen to him.

He approaches the main interrogator with mixed feelings of relief and fright. The prisoner is rarely prepared for the fact that the interrogators are usually friendly and considerate at first. The first occasion he balks at satisfying the interrogator, however, he is in for another surprise. **The formerly reasonable interrogator unexpectedly turns into a furious maniac.**

These surprising changes create doubt in the prisoner as to his very ability to perceive another person’s motivations correctly. The prisoner may begin to channel so much energy into trying to predict the behaviour of the interrogator that he loses track of what is happening inside himself. **The prisoner finds himself in a constant state of anxiety, which prevents him from relaxing even when he is permitted to sleep.**

Short periods of isolation now bring on visual and auditory hallucinations. The prisoner seriously begins to

doubts his own memory. The prisoner must undergo additional internal conflict when strong feelings of guilt are aroused within him. **As any clinical psychologist is aware, it is not at all difficult to create such feelings.**

He cannot think constructively. If he is to maintain any semblance of psychological integrity, he must bring to an end this state of interminable internal conflict. He signifies a willingness to write a confession.

The interrogator questions every sentence of the confession. He begins to edit it with the prisoner. The prisoner is forced to argue against every change. This is the essence of brainwashing. Every time that he gives in on a point to the interrogator, he must re-write his whole confession.

Still the interrogator is not satisfied. In a desperate attempt to maintain some semblance of integrity and to avoid further brainwashing, the **prisoner must begin to argue that what he has already confessed to is true.**


[All bolding added]

(signed by) Allen W Dulles, Director

Note: I believe mind control is carried out with utter malice. At this point you may wish to hop to Appendix L, to read about the Australian cult known as the Family. I take it to have been an experiment in mind control. The children were degraded and demoralized in a very systematic way.

7. The Police's Role in Cover Up, Per Denis Ryan

(published December 30, 2015)



Detective Denis Ryan began work in Mildura in 1962

The words of Senior Officer McFarland are quoted in Denis Ryan's 2013 book *Unholy Trinity* (2013), page 149, as follows:

“I’m going to tell you something now, Detective Ryan, and you’re not going to like it. I’m a Superintendent and you’re a nobody. Do as you’re fucking told.”

Ah, now we’re beginning to get somewhere with this Royal Commission I think Victorian policeman Ryan has cracked the code.

Finding the Right Focus: the Police

Having caught up with 83-year-old Denis Ryan (watching the live-streamed hearings) I think I can chuck most of my previous questions as to why the Church covered up. The more crucial question for the victims – and for society – is: **Why did law enforcement not enforce the law?**

Oh well, maybe cops are good at catching drink-drivers, or grocery store thieves, but if it comes to arresting

‘protected persons’ they ain’t ever gonna do the job. **Ryan tried for forty years – I repeat 40 years --** to have bad priests removed from proximity to children. He couldn’t even get that much done!

The first person to tell him that Monsignor Day was raping 11- year-olds (gender no bar — he did girls as well as altar boys) was John Howden, headmaster of St Joseph’s College in Mildura. Recall how the administration of Geelong wouldn’t admit the sex abuse? Here we have a principal reporting it to the right office, but to no avail.

The blockage was the police. Makes me wonder if Geelong Grammar had reported it, would police have acted?

What a Trinity!

Who was in the unholy trinity referred to in the title of Ryan’s book? He says, on page 139: “Day the tyrant, Barritt the thug, and Kearney the conman. Day is the monsignor, Barritt is Ryan’s fellow detective, Kearney is clerk of the court.

Well, there you go. The justice system was completely tied up. And it took only the two low-level officials, Barritt and Kearney, to do it.

Speaking of thuggery, we learn that many of the survivors were threatened (such as “Your parents will lose their jobs if you tell”). And allegations were made against *them!!*

They had to sign a gag order to qualify for the payment of compensation by the church. I am pretty sure that is overridden by the Royal Commission’s right to quiz anybody. Indeed several survivors have eagerly come foreword to the RC. And Ryan says “When the Royal Commission was announced in 2012 I jumped for joy.”

What's This about a Catholic Mafia?

Let's agree, for this go-round, to ignore the sins of Monsignor Day himself. Why did his atrocities continue – for forty-eight years? (Day died in 1978.) Two explanations that seem to satisfy Denis Ryan do not satisfy me.

One, which is repeated throughout the book, is that the police let the criminal get away with child-rape because the Church needed to protect its reputation. Ryan thinks the cops joined in on this because they themselves were Catholic. I can't buy it. Yes, I do recall the era myself, and the holiness of [my] Church, but it does not make sense.

His other explanation is that the cops in a similar way were trying to protect the reputation of the Force. That just does not jive with the fact that the upper levels were engaging in complete protection of the *Church*. They should have wanted to protect their cop-hood. **They clearly had no pride, no sense of duty about their responsibility to protect children.** Why?

Another thing that Ryan takes as a given – but to me it is a problem – is the fact that the trinity of men were bosom buddies. Day, Barritt, and Kearney spent a lot of time together. Could it be, as I suggested in Chapters 2 and 5, that they were engaged in some other task? At one point Ryan casually mentions, on page 195, that **Babritt was "covert ASIO."** Now you're talkin'.

The Riverland Is Not Melbourne

Denis Ryan raised his four sons in Mildura. The saddest part of the book is when his wife left him, largely because of all the troubles related to the Church, and she died of

alcoholism in 1980. Most of the book's action is in the 1970s.

Ryan tells us the names of all his supervisors up the chain of command, including at Russell Street, Melbourne. None would respond to his pleas. Amazingly also, when he went to the Police Association to fight his loss of a job, the personnel there simply left the room and would not serve him! (page 198)

His best shot was the help of a local parliamentarian:

“On 6 August 2006 Russell Savage [Independent] got to his feet in the Victorian Legislative Assembly and spoke of the miscarriage of justice suffered by Day's victims. Russell requested the then police minister Tim Holding to instruct the police to hold an inquiry into the cover-up.”

No Joy

In the long run, Police Commissioner Christine Nixon signed a report which contained such gems as these:

“The reported misconduct by Monsignor John Day [well in his grave by that time] was subject to inquiries by Mildura CIB... . Detective Inspector Child interviewed nine youths, none of which made any allegations against Day....

I am completely satisfied with the conduct of the investigation into the Day matter and that Denis Ryan resigned from the Victoria Police of his own accord.”

In fact there were *hundreds* of children molested by the Monsignor. In Ryan's words:

“Alby Irwin – that timid, scared excuse of a police officer, was promoted to chief inspector. The victims had lost their opportunity to see their tormentor standing disgraced in the dock. They had learned that the police would do nothing to prevent Day from committing further acts of sexual violence against children.”

Jim Barritt and Joe Kearney

Regarding Kearney, the position of Clerk of Court is a powerful one. In those days the job also had a welfare function. A woman receiving child support had to go to Kearney personally to collect the money. This gave him power over many mothers, some of whom he took advantage of. Ryan describes Kearney as having a “vicious criminal streak.”

As for the other one, the cop Jim Barritt, Ryan found child pornography in his desk. Oddly, years later, Ryan got a call from a detective whose wife was friendly with the wife of Barritt. This man said:

“Barritt’s a very strange fish. His wife told my missus that she’d never seen Jim naked. He used to strip off at night in the bathroom. She told her that he had never consummated the marriage. Never gave his missus one once!”

Says Ryan: “Day, Barritt, Kearney ... it’s a nightmare that never ends. I still wake up in a cold sweat almost every night.”

He has counted seven suicides among Day’s victims. Imagine it! and he keeps asking himself if he could have prevented that. (As an aside, that is how I feel too. I am sure there must be ways I could do more to help.)

The bishop who left everyone high and dry, Ronald Mulkearns, has received permission to not give testimony to the Royal Commission as he is in palliative care.

“The Melbourne Response”

In 1996, when Cardinal Pell was archbishop of Melbourne, he set up something that was a reply to complaints of the survivors of pedophile priests. It is known as “The Melbourne Response.” The current Royal Commission investigated it. They commented on a meeting called The Melbourne Forum as follows:

“A mother of two of the children who were abused, Mrs Foster, expressed dissatisfaction with the meeting. She reported that the bishops did not engage with the audience at the forum and did not appear to want to listen to the parents’ description of their experiences. She said that while her letter was being read out the Catholic Church leadership stood up and walked out.”

Later, the Royal Commission queried Cardinal George Pell and Bishop Hart about this. They each said they did not recall anyone walking out. The following is from the report released by the Royal Commission as Case Study 16 on July 15, 2015:

“Notwithstanding these differing accounts, we accept Mrs Foster’s recollection of the events. Given the circumstances of the public meeting and her personal interest in the reading of the letter, she is less likely to recall the events incorrectly. [Yay!]
The impression the meeting left on the senior members of the Church is different, but no doubt both Cardinal Pell and Archbishop Hart have attended multiple meetings and recollections of the

impact of the events on the audience may not be as clear for them as for Mrs Foster.”

So we see that the Royal Commissioners, including the two Justices, McClellan and Coate, have **discretion to make judgment** on the credibility of witnesses. Thus this RC could be quite the very thing we’ve been waiting for.

Postscript

Come February, when the RC resumes, you must watch a few episodes of the “soap opera.” They’ve even had nuns sitting there (at the Royal Commission), in the witness box, saying *they knew* of cases like this in Ballarat but couldn’t get anyone in authority to act. Amazing.


Also, and Denis Ryan readily admits this, the Catholic parishioners were unwilling to accept the reality. Just like many Americans will only be angry with you if you question 9-11.

Ryan tells of walking down the street — Mildura is a small town, right? — and having the chemist come out of the chemist shop and almost punch him for his ‘libel’ of the Church. However, I did say to myself: was that chemist “on the payroll”? It is something we always have to consider.

And now I’m rethinking the willingness of Kearney to participate so vigorously in the cover-up of Monsignor Day’s fantastic crimes. Could it be that he, Kearney, was offered safe harbor from prosecution for HIS sins, if he would smash Ryan now and again?

Maybe Barritt was trapped like that, too. Heck, we all have sins, so this is a great game. Or maybe money was the prize. Or intimidation.

8. Mental Furniture *(published February 18, 2016)*



The first seven chapters have reported on, and speculated about, the matter of how personages in the various institutions refrained from reporting thousands – repeat: *thousands* – of cases of child sexual abuse in Australia.

While the RC is a wonderful undertaking, and “I ain’t knockin’ it,” it seems unlikely that it will arrive at meaningful conclusions. I just can’t see the Commissioners breaking out of the mold to declare that we have hidden forces in our society that possess the wherewithal to do terrible things.

And thereby hangs the tale. **When people set out to discuss an issue, they do it in terms of the *known*.** We can only discuss things for which we already have some **representation in the brain**. I call this mental furniture.

Everyone should open their minds to house a new piece of furniture: the fact that a great conspiracy has been going on for over two centuries. I refer to the conspiracy to destroy religion, and oddly, to destroy society. Scottish scientist John Robison was a member of the Freemasons and became aware of some things that were going on in France and Germany in his day. Please hear him out for a minute:

John Robison, *Proofs of a Conspiracy*, 1798

We should be on our guard when we listen to the florid speeches of the Brother Orator, and his congratulations on the emancipation from superstition... which will in a short time be effectuated by the *Chevaliers Bienfaisants*. I do not mean by all this to maintain, that the Mason Lodges were the sole corrupters of the public mind in France. No -- in all nations that have made much progress in cultivation, **there is a great tendency to corruption, and it requires all the vigilance and exertions of magistrates,** and of moral instructors, to prevent the spreading of licentious principles.

They arise naturally of themselves, as weeds in a rich soil; and, like weeds, they are pernicious, only because they are, where they should not be, in a cultivated field. Virtue is the cultivation of the human soul, and not the mere possession of good dispositions; all men have these. But virtue supposes exertion; so must man be prompted to that exertion which is **necessary on the part of every individual for the very existence of a great society....**

All the evils of society do not spring from the discontents and the vices of the poor. The rich come in for a large and a conspicuous share. They frequently abuse their advantages. The rich are inattentive to the rights of inferiors whom they despise, and, despising, oppress. Princes and Rulers are but men; as such, they abuse many of their greatest blessings....

Free Masonry was then of the simplest form, consisting of the three degrees of Apprentice, Fellow-craft, and Master. It is remarkable, that the Germans had been long accustomed to the word, the sign, and the gripe of the Masons. **The aim of the Order is not to enlighten the mind of man....**

The more closely we examine the principles and practice of the Illuminati, the more clearly do we perceive that their first and immediate aim is to get the possession of riches, power,

and influence, without industry; and, to accomplish this, **they want to abolish Christianity; and then dissolute manners and universal profligacy will procure them the adherence of all the wicked**, and enable them to overturn all the civil governments of Europe; after which they will think of farther conquests, and extend their operations to the other quarters of the globe, **till they have reduced mankind to the state of one undistinguishable chaotic mass.**

Observe that Weishaupt took a name expressive of his principles. Spartacus was a gladiator, who headed an insurrection of Roman slaves, and for three years kept the city in terror... **In the style of the Jesuits (as he says) he considers every mean as consecrated by the end for which it is employed**, and he says with great truth.

Having thus got rid of Religion, Spartacus could with more safety bring into view the great aim of all his efforts -- to rule the world by means of his Order.

It surely needs little argument now to prove, that the Order of Illuminati had for its immediate object the abolishing of Christianity (at least this was the intention of the Founder) **with the sole view of overturning the civil government, by introducing universal dissoluteness and profligacy of manners**, and then getting the assistance of the corrupted subjects to overset the throne.

“The Order must possess the power of life and death in consequence of our Oath; and with propriety, for the same reason, and by the same right, that any government in the world possesses it: For the Order comes in their place, making them unnecessary. ...”

I imagine that these observations will leave no doubt in the mind of the reader with respect to the influence of the secret Fraternity of Free Masonry in the French Revolution.

In earlier chapters I proffered some new pieces of mental furniture. For example:

“The Catholic Hierarchy’s Participation,” theorized that the reason bishops failed to take action against pedophile priests is that **the bishops are not what we think they are. They are infiltrators.** They take their marching orders from powerful people whom we can’t identify.

“Power and Dr Day’s Predictions,” envisioned **a world government**, associated with Rockefeller, whose sense of planning for its own survival encompassed the destruction of anything that might stand in the way. If this meant **perverting normal society in cruel ways**, well, fine.

“Cardinal Pell and Mind Control,” reiterated the idea of infiltration and added some information about **the MK-Ultra program**. Data was supplied proof in a letter from Allen Dulles, no less.

“Police’s Role in Covering Up Pedophilia” drew a vivid picture of **corruption in the police force**.

In other words, if you haven’t already got pieces of mental furniture to represent the existence in our society of a bunch of infiltrators, mind-controllers, rotten cops, and persons who like cruelty, you had better add them in.

Child Sexual Abuse – What’s It For?

I clearly lean toward a ‘finding’ that the real culprit of the horrors this RC has been examining is World Government. It can, and does, hire the Intelligence Services -- of any nation -- to do the needful. And such persons are protected by an Official Secrets Act. In 2015 Australia produced new laws against “sedition.”

Pedophilia can be used to tempt politicians and others into crime – this most famously embarrassing crime – so they can be blackmailed. Pedophilia also creates a lot of broken children who might then be on tap to get drug-addicted. There are also ‘occult’ motivations for the committing of pedophile crimes.

As will be shown in the Appendices, much of it is done simply as an experiment. The formation of *cults* was reportedly undertaken as a way of testing methods of social control.

Why do I ignore the large category of pedophiles who simply have the urge for sex with minors? They’re not part of the Royal Commission’s study. If such a man – say the late Philippe Trutmann at Geelong – commits his crimes, that is not the issue. We need to zoom in on the motivations of those who fail to report the crime.

World Government

The “precautionary principle” urges us to take steps to handle a problem even if we don’t yet have all the proofs. I suggest that we have plenty of information showing that World Government does exist. It may have been ‘in business’ as early as the 18th century. For sure it had the United States in its grip by the mid-20th century.

Most likely the top dogs told both HG Wells and George Orwell to ‘leak’ some of the methods of takeover that would be used. Wells presented his secret knowledge in the form of a novel “The Open Conspiracy.” So did Orwell -- first in “Animal Farm,” and then in “1984.”

More of the political methodology was supplied by Col Edward House in his novel “Philip Dru, Administrator,” in 1912. Possibly this was all meant to ‘condition’ readers.

Institutions that are helping to coordinate many nations under a World Government, are: the European Union, NATO, the World Bank, and the IMF.

It's apparent that the mainstream media work directly for World Government. Media's influence is fantastic. As Yuri Bezmenov said, to control a culture, aim at the very young: media does a lot of training of the young. Media also tries to get everyone to march to its beat.

Getting the Conspiracy Story Right

As to who is running the show, I think it suffices to watch who attends the Economic Summit, the Bilderberg meetings, and so forth. And when you see normal legal procedure is not being followed, you can deduce that the courts are "not allowed" to be independent.

I admit that when I arrived at the Royal Commission hearings, I was not free of prejudice. I came believing that a World Government has great control. I tried to fit the behavior of the men in the RC witness box into that picture. As argued in previous chapters, I saw a good fit.

More on Dr Day

Chapter 3, "Power and Dr Day's Predictions," reported what Richard Day, MD, said, in 1969, about the coming fall of Christianity, and several other things. Each showed clearly that his boss (Rockefeller) intended to manipulate society to a great degree, and had the means to do it.

Here are a few more of these shocking plans. Take note of how they have all come to pass. Dr Lawrence Dunegan quoted all this from his recollection of Dr Day's 1969 speech:

“Everyone will be given permission to have sex, to enjoy however they want. Anything goes. This is the way it was put. In addition, I remember thinking, “How arrogant for this individual, or whoever he represents, to feel that they can give or withhold permission for people to do things!”

“More men will be transferred to other cities and in their jobs, more men would travel. Therefore, it would be harder for families to stay together. This would tend to make the marriage relationship less stable and, therefore, tend to make people less willing to have babies.”

“Alcohol abuse would be both promoted and demoted at the same time. The vulnerable and the weak would respond to the promotions. Drunk driving would become more of a problem; and stricter rules about driving under the influence would be established so that more and more people would lose their privilege to drive.”

“Buildings would be allowed to stand empty and deteriorate, and streets would be allowed to deteriorate in certain localities. The purpose of this was to provide the jungle, the depressed atmosphere for the unfit.”

“The continued pre-eminence of the United States and the relative independence and self-sufficiency of the United States would have to be changed. This was one of the several times that he said in order to create a new structure, you first have to tear down the old, and American industry was one example of that.”

“VCR’s were not around at that time, but he indicated that video cassette players would be available for use

in the home. He said something like: “you’ll see people in the movies doing everything you can think of.” He went on to say that all of this is intended to bring sex out in the open.”

“Growing your own vegetables was unsafe, it would spread disease or something like that. So the acceptable idea was to protect the consumer, but the real idea was to limit the food supply.

“Growing your own food would be illegal. And if you persist in illegal activities like growing your own food, then you’re a criminal.”

Don’t Be Scared. It Doesn’t Pay To Be Scared

Who could not be scared? The whole thing is eminently scary. But the fulfillment of those awful predictions is not so certain -- on the practical grounds that the top dogs, the Bozos, have bit off more than they can chew.

But even if they *are* capable, their success certainly depends on our cooperation. People willingly watch propaganda on the TV News. Judges willingly send patsies to their death. Teachers willingly dumb the kids down.

Legislators -- don’t get me started on legislators -- create oppressive ‘anti-terrorism’ laws. (Note: when I say Don’t get me started I always mean Get me started.)

It’s our move now. If we’d wise up and stop believing the garbage they tell us in the MSM, and stop being silent when a Justice Cox convicts a Martin Bryant, and **start talking to one another on the basis of the precautionary principle**, we might have half a chance.

9. Justice McClellan, Face the Music!

(published February 23, 2016)



Justice Peter McClellan of the New South Wales judiciary

Dear Justice McClellan,

When I discover a good judge I go to any lengths to promote his/her good work. I have been veritably slobbering over your work since November, 2015.

Just search the website Gumshoenews.com to see how many times I have said you are in a class by yourself. And I also admire your offsider, the South-African-accented Counsel.

This is because I thought – and still think, subject to your doing the right thing by Fiona Barnett -- that the Royal Commission you are heading, on “Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse” is aiming to answer the Big One. That is, the terrible mystery of *why* the police are protecting child tormentors.

I think you were fair to ex-cop Denis Ryan, at least giving him the hearing he has been trying for a lifetime to get. I like the way you did not let Bishop Finnegan off the hook. In regard to the Jehovah Witness religion I think you got to the heart of the problem.

As you may know, I've been running articles – “Gumshoe’s Royal Commission Series” -- that meld your work with my own expertise on American-style mind control. I reckon Cardinal Pell was an abused child himself.

Now for my plaint. I learned only yesterday that Fiona Barnett provided you TWO YEARS AGO with essential data. I had known she complained about the fact that the Wood Royal Commission catered to the CIA-based group, the False Memory Syndrome squad. But I hadn’t realized that she accuses you of failing to refer for police action her serious allegations.

Justice McClellan, no matter what your restrictions are (and according to the Letters Patent they are few), no matter how much you are a court of inquiry not a criminal court, there is nothing to prevent you from, and everything to encourage, your helping society by grasping the nettle of this pedophilia problem.

I think you will reply that you’re getting to it, and it all must be done correctly. Sorry, that is not appropriate. Fiona has not only told you the amazing fact that she witnessed many child murders close up, she has told you that the upper echelon of government contains men who are totally out of their minds.

Hasn’t it occurred to you that if they are such law-breakers in regard to child abuse, that they may be doing outrageous things with the reins of government? That they may happily cause bodily harm to the public? That they may sell Australia out?

Which member of Cabinet has ever stood up to any of the nominated pedophile prime ministers? None as far as we

know, right? So does that mean that the grip that some Great Bosses (outside Oz) have on our prime ministers is constantly passed down to the next level, and then the next? All our state premiers perhaps?

All our judges? All our university chancellors? All heads of the medical profession? The diplomatic corps? The export-import people?

At Gumshoe we all suspect that the media folks are in some way enslaved. They can't even conduct a reasoned radio interview. (I am talking about Jon Faine, Derryn Hinch, Dr Karl... -- those last two on ABC!)

Look, Your Honor, I am depending on you. You are holding legitimate authority to ask any question of any Australian. I don't mean to say it's your job to clean up the mess. But it certainly is *against* your job to put crucial matters on a backburner!

Oh, you reckon it's too embarrassing for us to be caught out internationally with leaders who behave scandalously? Have no fear. The new prime minister of Canada is the son of a major pedophile, Pierre Trudeau. The up-and-coming president of US – no matter whether it's Hillary Clinton or Jeb Bush – has been an abused child since Day One, and a perpetrator to boot.

We are told in the 1994 *Memoir* of First Lady Barbara Bush, (born 1925) that she was forced to leave her newborn Jeb in Texas, in 1953, along with six-year-old George — so she could go off to the Sloan Kettering for 7 months and attend to the death-by-leukemia of her little girl Robin.

Barbara is the illegitimate daughter of MI6's maniac, Aleister Crowley. He boasted – boasted — of being evil.

Oh prestigious Australian, come on, this is the hour, this is the year. Fiona Barnett has turned the tables with her Candy Girl video on Youtube. Don't cause this thing to flounder – as, pardon me, you seem to be doing. This is a great event and you should be at the forefront of it.

Sometimes I talk a good game about wanting to punish the bad guys. A recent article of mine asked “How shall I arrest thee? Let me count the ways.” And you should see my Youtube video at Sydney Harbor that instructs the governor of Massachusetts on how to call out the troops against the Bozos from Homeland Security.

But though I get off on saying things like that, I feel extremely sorry for the bozos. They did not ask to be where they are today. “The system” pushed them into these jobs. Remember when Hawke went on TV crying, because he found his daughter was a heroin addict? I suspect he had tried to go off the reservation, to shake off the overlords, and they punished him.

Some leaders who weren't team players had to go: JFK, Robin Cook, Benazir Bhutto, Saddam Hussein, Qadaffi, Perhaps Harry Holt? Perhaps Malcolm Fraser?

Now let me show you how wonderful your work has been. It gave Fiona Barnett the chance to “try again” after her many failures, over many painful years. Thank you for that. And I thank Her Majesty for issuing the Letters Patent, and the Governor-General in 2012, Dame Quentin Bryce, for signing them.

All praises all around. I even thank Julia Gillard (gasp!) for mustering the votes for this Royal Commission.

Moreover, over here in quiet Adelaide today, my watching

the Candy Girl video caused me to phone my best consultant on mind control in Canada, Trish Fotheringham. She instructed me to go read her “letter to the UN” dated 2004. I did so and by golly I think I’ve found a major tie-in.

Trish Fotheringham’s Letter to the United Nations

“Ritual Abuse-Torture [RA-T] is a reality that continues, unhindered, throughout our “civilized” world. Dissociation and denial must end, the secrets must be shared. **Atrocities must be brought to light and made common knowledge.** My upbringing included trauma, torture, child trafficking and pornography, sex slavery, torture, ritual abuse, mind control, and medical experimentation.

“Over the years, I have gleaned insights from knowing about a dozen people with “Dissociative Identity Disorder” (formerly labelled Multiple Personalities), as well as several men convicted of murder, and many, many pedophiles, and R.A.-T. perpetrators, **all of whom had suffered Ritual Abuse-Torture in their formative years.**

“They had backgrounds as varied as the KKK, Jehovah’s Witness, Hell’s Angels, CIA experiments in the U.S., LSD experiments on prisoners in Canada, actual Satanic Cults, Pedophile Rings, Child Porn Rings, the Government Foster Home System, etc.

“Ultimately, **I came to understand that people usually believe the Ritual Abuse-Torture they inflict is performing a worthwhile service, such as “cleansing”, “teaching”, or “disciplining.”**

Sometimes, they use Ritualized Abuse-Torture to

condition, train, and gain control of the mind of their followers. In these cases, it is common to encounter other criminal acts, such as gang-rape, murder, **blood-letting and drinking, human sacrifice, dismemberment, and cannibalism.**

“These widespread, but scattered, “Believers in Rituals” frequently have connections with one or another aspect of Organized Crime. **Whether they realize it or not, once they are connected to Organized Crime, they become potential tools for a network of a few wealthy, internationally influential people who form a Secret Organized Crime Hierarchy.**

“These powerful few (from a distance and through minions) dictate the activities of various other Organized Crime Groups such as biker gangs and pedophile rings. Their agendas are aimed at increasing their own profits, power, and pleasure.

“They traffic in drugs, weapons, sex tourism, child porn, and snuff films, not to mention unpaid labor. **This trade includes regular secret shipments all over the world, to and from Europe, Asia, and the Americas.**

“Ritual Abuse-Torture is a world-wide, global form of Organized Crime which screams for credibility.”
[Emphasis added]

Screams? Yes, Sir, and the screaming is in your ear. You can't let us down. I know you are a rolled gold Aussie and a real man. I have already seen you grill many people at the hearings of the current Royal Commission. I know you're not trying to have an itty-bitty duna day.

Come on, the crowd is waiting for you. This is *it*. This is when we all get free of something that has been going on for centuries. (Ask Rabbi Marvin Antelman about the Sabbatian sect in the Jewish religion. He has fits over it.)

Oopsie, I had better admit that I have endangered the life of my friend Trish Fotheringham by quoting her on the subject of Ritual Abuse. Still, nothing happens if we don't take risks, and she, like Fiona, has taken many, starting in 1991.

I know Trish will want me to end with the last paragraph of her 2004 Letter to the UN. Here 'tis:

“A great many children need to be protected and adults healed, and **a shockingly large number of people with power and authority need to be brought to account for their despicable actions.** I leave you with the certainty that the loving human spirit is capable of triumphing over virtually any circumstance or adversity, and that **every one of us can help in some way to make this a better world.**”

Justice McClellan, the Tim Minchin song has had almost a million hits in just a few days. Australians apparently want to hold Cardinal Pell accountable. I am sure you don't mind that I want to hold you accountable.

Her Majesty instructed you as follows:

“NOW THEREFORE We do, by these Our Letters Patent ... appoint you to be a Commission of inquiry, and require and authorise you, to inquire into institutional responses to allegations and incidents of child sexual abuse and related matters...”

I believe it is well within the terms of reference for you to call in for questioning two prominent citizens whose fathers killed children, and see what you can glean – a lot, I’m sure – about how our government is actually run.

That would be Kim Beazley, Jr and Nicole Kidman. And then all our living former Prime Ministers.

I implore you not to let this once-in-a-century opportunity go by. The Royal Commission will spend a half billion dollars. It’ll be worth every cent, and will help the whole human race into the bargain, if you but do your duty.

Postscript

I found this item on the comments section at the website of singer Tim Minchin. I had never imagined that any priests died over this business:

“Tim. You have spoken for the voiceless and stood up for the persecuted.... In addition to the thousands of victims, can we just take a moment to remember the good priests, the whistleblowers, who were also treated abominably, excluded, made pariahs for daring to speak against the abuse.

A parish priest I knew, Father Maury Crocker DID speak out in defence of victims in the Wollongong diocese. When asked if he would betray a brother priest he answered “No pedophile is a brother of mine.”

“Maury was found hanged in the boxing gym he founded for troubled youth. It is said he committed suicide after depression over the Church’s refusal to deal with the abuse. Maurie would NOT have killed himself in the gym where his boys might find him. There were other whistleblowers, let us remember them too.”

This is standard today. Look at our government in Canberra. Whether it is top management or middle management, they are basically not there. Gone fishing. Out to lunch. In a trance. Whatever.

The top brass didn't just protect the wrong doers. They planted them there in the first place. I will be waiting to see how long it takes the Royal Commission to wake up to this fact.

Gumshoe Readers' Comments

Phil Hingston says: March 3, 2016

Section 319 of the NSW Crimes Act, 1900 states:

General offence of perverting the course of justice

319 General offence of perverting the course of justice

A person who does any act, or makes any omission, intending in any way to pervert the course of justice, is liable to imprisonment for 14 years.

Surely there would be something covering then same issue under Victorian law???

Obstruction of Justice comes to mind.

Mary M says:

Dear Phil, I take it NSW legislated, in sec 319, as you have pointed out. (Perverting the course of justice is a felony (See William Blackwell's *Commentaries on the Law of England*.) I believe VIC has not passed any specific laws on your topic, so only the common law remains. I found this on a website:

“What the Police must prove according to VIC Law for perverting the course of justice:

1. The accused intended to do an act.
2. The act was intended to pervert the course of justice
3. The act did have a tendency to produce that result.

10. Navy: Anal Penetration with a Broom Handle

(published June 21, 2016)



Today was the first session of hearings regarding Defense Force participation in the practice of sexual abuse of minors. This is “Case Study 40” of the Royal Commission. It will be live-streamed every day until July 1st and deserves your attention.

Here I will present the testimony of two victims.

This segment of the RC’s hearings differs from ones about the church, or boarding schools, or Boy Scouts. In those cases, the focus was on the masters in charge who heard about an individual pedophile running rampant and did nothing to stop it. Today’s testimony was about boys on board the HMAS Leeuwin who were violently dealt with by older boys.

I was really shocked by what I heard from two of the men who were there around 1972, being cadets of age 15 or so. They reported to the HMAS Leeuwin in West Australia for a one-year training and were raped and beaten. Following that, there was the usual story of officials standing in the way of making the facts known.

First Testifier — June 21, 2016, around 11.00am

I arrived with other recruits by bus. We were shouted at for money and cigarettes. We were told to speak to the Leading Seaman if we had any problems. Our commander said “Don’t stuff up or you’ll feel the full force of Navy discipline.”

There would be a staff officer in each block and a chaplain who said he had no rank and was therefore equal to any and all ranks. I never saw a Recruit’s Handbook.

I was called to see the petty officer of Navy police; I innocently rested my hands on his desk. He swung his arm across my chest and sent me flying across the room. I was homesick but after this I became depressed and feared for my life. It was pointless to seek help.

There were threats and bullying and physical attacks from older grubs. Boys were stripped naked and forced to run a gauntlet, hit with pillows filled with cans and football boots. I suffered many midnight raids, woken to face gauntlets or had my penis rubbed till I had an erection. I lost a tooth after one of these raids.

Boys were thrown into cold showers by staff who hid their identities. They hit me on the back with a large lump of wood. I was made to carry other boys on my back while doing a duck walk. This may have been the start of my back problems.

I never reported it, nor did I seek medical attention. They said [if you go to a doctor with bruises] pretend you ran into a door; if you do, your life will be hell. I was sexually abused and forced to play sexual acts on juniors such as oral sex and masturbation. I was raped by another junior who was directed to do so by staff.

Getting it over with seemed the best solution. Officers who took part were not going to do anything for prevention. A deterrent was the sense of being a dobber and also the shame.

At that point I knew little about sex. The shame was overpowering. I did not describe it to my father as I was too ashamed. I want him to help me get a discharge from the Navy. He replied with stories of his life and said “It will make a man of you.”

In the second year I went into some hiding place within myself. I would cry sobs of bitterness and cried out for God to kill me. I was filled with a sense of guilt and cowardice.

After 6 months, I tried to tell my father, but felt disgust, mingled with self-hatred. To deal with it he paid for me to take boxing lessons. This helped a bit against the stronger boys.

I made an appointment to see the chaplain. He said “It might be better if you don’t do anything. If it ever got around that you ratted on predators...” He himself may have been scared, as he had no rank they could do anything. He never told me if he passed it on....

I witnessed others “milking the cow” — one boy masturbated on all fours, undressed. I disappeared and hid in a small cave. Another junior came in and said the abuse was subhuman. We developed a plan to escape Leeuwin for just long enough to be considered a deserter and be given a court martial, so I could tell anyone who would listen.

That April 29, 1968, the other boy and I escaped to Kalgoorlie. When we went to police and were locked up,

and taken back, they locked me up and kept me in a cell for weeks. At night I was abused by the navy police orally and buggery. I had no choice but surrender.

I wrote I had wanted a discharge because I was unhappy. Prior to the court martial I was visited and asked if I wanted to resolve it. He said the court martial process was expensive for the Navy.

Lt Commander Johnston visited me and said they could send a representative on my behalf if I wanted him to represent me. He asked me what I really wanted to achieve from the court martial.

I said I wanted to be discharged from the Navy. I told him I wanted it on record what made me desert. He said he felt I would make a good sailor and academically was doing well. I said I couldn't take the bastardization anymore.

He said I should let him do all the talking at the court martial. I wanted the world at large to know. I felt that surely if the situation were exposed action would be taken. [But in his statement] he said I was a troublemaker and would never make a good seaman.

I was in total shock. I felt duped and my mind was reeling at the betrayal. The judge made his decision to discharge me, and all my back pay and leave pay were taken. He said I was a disgrace to my family. I was caught between elation at being released from hell and not being paid.

I went home feeling like a total failure. My experience only taught me that those with authority could not be trusted. In 1969, I met my wife; I needed a secure job. I joined the RAAF in September, and graduated at Edinburgh [near Adelaide].

There, I was told that a Judge Rapkee in Sydney was doing an inquiry into Leeuwin. I was escorted to the judge by shore patrolmen.

They said “If you say anything you are going to pay a very dear price. **We have people at the top in all services.**” I wanted to tell him to get stuffed, but I thought about my responsibilities to my young family. I limited it to only implicating some of the junior recruits.

He asked whether any staff were involved. He stated “There were no staff members, right? It was merely boys being boys, right?” He did not ask me if I had tried to report. The entire interview was brief. I felt the only reason was to exonerate the staff at Leeuwin. Old boys looking after each other. [Emphasis added]

Second Testifier – June 21, 2016, around 3.00pm

At Leeuwin there is a new intake of boys every three months, so for one year there are always four groups, and these are an unofficial hierarchy. The new ones are called new grubs. The next are grubs, shits, and top shits.

Classes finished at 4pm; then there were military drills, chores such as ironing uniforms, and spit-polishing the boots. At 9pm they turned the lights out. I witnessed the bashing of Shawn Conley. His parents complained to media, which **led to an inquest by Judge Rabkee.** In my view the report swept what happened under the carpet.

Four top shits came into my room and dragged me to the toilets. They thrust something into my backside, I think it was a broom handle. Then they said “We’re not finished with you yet.” They said “You have to lick the urinal.” I was terrified.

My backside continued to bleed for three day. I was afraid someone would see the blood. Next day at physical training I was so sore it was hard to walk. I went to the Leading Seaman. He said “If you’ve come here to fuckin’ whinge, piss off and harden up.”

It has affected all aspects of my life – health, relationships, and career. Since 1999 I have been treated for alcohol dependence and anxiety, and took anti-depressants. Continued to see a counselor for feelings of anger, shame and worthlessness.

I attempted suicide once but my son stopped me. I sometimes have three showers a day because I cannot control my bowels. I have nightmares of people attacking me. I keep a baseball bat under the bed and windows must be shut at night. Drinking has been the only thing that takes my anxiety away. [Emphasis added]

This is new to me – I being a girl. I had heard of hazing by college fraternities and read Kay Griggs’ very graphic account of what goes on among US Navy officers, but little did any of us think the cadet system in Australia was so hazardous.

I am trying (a bit) to refrain from projecting my prejudice onto these new reports, that is, my belief that everything is controlled from the top. The practice of older stronger males being aggressive toward weaker ones is of course ordinary human nature. Nevertheless, we see the usual cover-up. No one at the top gets punished.

I was left wondering if the “bastardization” (as it is called), which is intended to break the spirit of the recruit, is part of a greater plan to reduce everyone to a less dignified person that we would otherwise be. And if so, for what purpose?

Gumshoe Readers Comments:

Eddy says: June 24, 2016

I myself joined the Australian Military in 1968, sent off to Kapooka near Wagga Wagga for recruitment training. I must confess never ever witnessing, or knowing of such behavior whilst I was undergoing my training. Maybe it's simply reserved for the Navy ???

The Army certainly have far more serious issues to occupy themselves with, though in all fairness, didn't Duntroon (Australian Military Officers Training School, that trains officers for ALL branches of Australian Military) have similar issues ?

There's no denying the FACT, our military is constructed of bastardisation ruling over everyone. I did too, come up against this system when posted to my Battalion in Townsville. Upon discovering the commanding officer of my Company was intent on coming home from Vietnam with a chest full of medals, no matter how many of our lives it took, I took it upon myself to remove myself from that Company.

I had not volunteered my services for some one like that, to throw my life away so he could get a medal or two.

That's when I discovered the SYSTEM designed to stifle dissent and objection. Despite going by the rules all the way to the top, I was denied the right to remove myself from that Company.

I took unprecedented action, contrary to the rules, to achieve my objective and this enraged the commanding officer, though Thank God, he was powerless to do anything about it. However, for my actions I was black listed for the whole time I was in that Battalion.

Mark Wilhelm says: June 24, 2016

I think its time to change our National Anthem to truly reflect who we have all become:

National Tantrum, as penned and painted by awesome Indigenous artist, didge guru and all round kickass mofo Adam Hill (not the whitefella, the other fella.)

AUSFAILURE NATIONAL TANTRUM

Australians all let us remorse
For we are blind can't see
We've golden soil that we all spoil
Our home washes into sea
Our land abounds in racist gits
Of whom we really can't bear
In history's cage recompense the slaves
Do Australians really care?
In painful strains that left a sting
Do Australians really care?

Mary W says: June 14, 2016

Today former Governor of NSW, Peter Sinclair, gave evidence of his care of the lads at Leeuwin. It was a hoot to watch him try to evade the questions, but Counsel Angus Stewart wasn't having it.

The judge butted in, too. I don't mean about Sinclair's time as a vice-regal, which I assume no one would touch with a 90-foot bargepole. I mean Justice McClellan was not putting up with evasion (about "rites of passage").

By God it pays to have THE AUTHORITY OF THE LAW. The whole thing was so inspiring. I would send flowers to the Counsel and the judge but for a worry that someone would think sniffer dogs were needed. You know how it is these days. There's a terrierist born every minute.

Aussiemal (Malcolm Hughes) says:

I served in the Army in the sixties, maybe I was fortunate that it was as a National Serviceman with thousands being trained at the same time. Most had previously been in the work-force. We didn't have the "traditional bastardisation" put on us. In fact I had not heard of the term until I was well and truly back in civilian life. What a terrifying life these young men must have had? Humans, in what should have been "the prime of life" brought down to animal status. SHAME on those who allowed this happen!

Berry says:

"Defence force" culture is rooted in the fact that that the respective institutions weren't ever intended to defend the population against anything (hasn't every citizen been systematically disarmed?) The truth is that the Country has never been anything more than some big boy's bitch.

Mary M says:

Berry, look how Testifier Two says the shore patrolmen warned him "We have people at the top in all the services." WE? Who's the "we"? Makes me think those patrolmen were not IN the armed services but were working for SOMEONE ELSE.

speculator247 says: June 22, 2016

This is definitely a top-down system. And when you find the "top," you know that in this system there are no countries, no borders, and no limits. Destroying innocence seems to be their favorite activity.

Fredrick Toben says: June 22, 2016

Private schools participated in such behaviour – part of establishment's culture – let's hope those abused will now find solace

11. Beauty Happened Today

(published June 24, 2016)



The decalogue

It was rainin' hard in Adelaide these past two days, but I was as happy as Larry — glued to the screen, watching the Royal Commission. The hearings of this 5-year program are, by the grace of God, live-streamed (from Sydney). Tune in right now if you like:
childabuseroyalcomission.gov.au

Allow me to say why the whole thing is a marvel. Although the name of the RC is “RC into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse” it may as well be called “The Royal Commission We’ve Been Needing for Decades To Get at the Issue of Unaccountable Power.”

Chapter 10 was devoted to showing the nature of – the horror of – bullying in the cadet ranks of the Navy. I mainly quoted two men, in their sixties, whose lives were ruined at the HMAS Leeuwin in Perth. That was the hearing of June 21. Then on June 22 the judge lashed into the supervisors.

I don't mean 'lashed in' as in reprimanding. No. It was much more subtle than that. The Commissioner, Justice McClellan, simply caused it to be seen how the adults in charge of the boys (who were bullied not by staff but by older boys) totally and utterly **shirked their duty of care**.

Asking a Supervisor To "Explain"

This is a Mr Curran, now retired from the Navy, age 75 or so, being quizzed by Counsel and by the judge:

Q. From 1970 to 1972, you served as a divisional officer, that's of the Stevenson Division; is that right?

A. Correct.

Q. You retired from the Navy in 1974 and then, as I understand it, you studied and then you went teaching; is that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. You retired from teaching in 1987?

A. Yes, that's correct.

THE CHAIR: Q. Can I just understand – what is the responsibility or role of a divisional officer?

A. The divisional officer, your Honour, is to look after the welfare, supporting the junior recruit through studies, academic studies as well as naval training, and on the domestic side, with his parents, dealing with those matters.

Q. So, as it were, you are given particular

responsibilities to care for the young men or boys who came into Leeuwin; is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. So if things went wrong, in the way they were treated, they were matters that fell within your area of responsibility?

A. They would.

MR STEWART [The questions are being asked by a barrister, Angus Stewart, SC, unless it says The Chair, i.e., the judge]

Q. Mr Curran, you say in your statement that you knew very little about what physical and sexual abuse occurred at Leeuwin; is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. What did you know, Mr Curran, at the time? What did you know about what physical and sexual abuse was taking place?

A. Well, I didn't know very much at all, really. For those particular or specific instances, there would have been "talk" — in inverted commas — about some incidents, but I was never directly involved in any complaint or investigation.

Q. If there was talk of incidents, I take it that that means that you became aware at that time that people were saying that there were incidents of physical and sexual abuse?

A. In the context of — yes, sir, that's correct.

THE CHAIR: Q. What did you do about it?

A. The talk, your Honour –

Q. No, what did you do?

A. What did I do about it?

Q. Yes.

A. If they concerned someone in my division, I would have called my divisional chief and we would have sought the person involved and investigated the matter.

Q. How many times did you do that?

A. I don't think I ever did, your Honour.

Questioning Peter Sinclair

The next person called (subpoena'd? I don't know) to give evidence was a former Navy Commander named Peter Sinclair who was later governor of New South Wales. Almost every question caused him to start using let-me-slip-away-from-the-subject language.

The judge must have had some sort of no-slip substance at hand because the Commander never got very far. I won't bother to quote the exchange. It surely was heartening to see a man who is inherently a politician be forced to answer questions straightforwardly. Full marks to Justice McClellan!

I think I can put it this way: tricksterism cannot survive in his courtroom. (And if not in this courtroom, why should it survive in any other courtroom?)

Victims of Abuse at an Army Training Center

Boys can sign up to learn a trade in the Australian Army (electronics, plumbing, etc), as early as age 14 but more commonly at 16. Until recently they'd do a three-year apprenticeship at Balcombe Barracks in Victoria. A boy wanting to be an army musician would also go there.

Most of today's RC meeting, June 23, consisted of us listening to men who are suffering Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, PTSD, from their Balcombe days 40 years ago.

The main thing I have to report is that first a man took the stand and cried. We cried with him. I heard plenty of sniffing going in on the courtroom all day. Then another man had a written statement -- his brother had to read it.

Then came an Adelaide man who had been an apprentice musician at Balcombe. He started out with a strong voice like the voice of an announcer. Very confident. You just knew *he* wasn't going to "lose it."

Hmm. He lost it. He got through most of it calmly, but it all became too much when he recalled how many times he was blocked from getting help from the DVA -- Department of Veteran's Affairs.

Beauty Happened

Each of those three men let us know that the Royal Commission is a real blessing to them. By gosh it's a real blessing to me, too, and it will be to the whole nation.

As Gumshoe readers know, we are constantly working on two court cases, those of the wrongly convicted Martin Bryant (Port Arthur massacre) and Jahar Tsarnaev (Boston Marathon bombing). Sure we get satisfaction and

relief when good people contribute, as readers — and they regularly do. But we get nowhere with the authorities. We are blocked, blocked, blocked. We get fake talk at every turn.

To have experienced the way in which the current RC is demanding truth, is an ENORMOUS relief. Heck, it could work in all the other cases, too, couldn't it?

This courtroom feels like a courtroom. Granted it isn't really a place for litigation or trials; it is inquisitorial. But the judge is the boss. He is quiet and modest, but we all know, don't we, that the persons in the dock had better behave -- as The Commissioner can pull out a contempt-of-court citation at his pleasure.

(Frankly, it would be my pleasure if he would do that. I am sitting here watching, having intimations of what it could be like if the Bryant or Tsarnaev cases had a proper judge.)

Hope Springs Paternal

Today was an extremely happy day in my life. I never thought I would see good old-fashioned normalcy again. It was beautiful and very touching. Mostly it was hope-producing.

Just think, those men who have suffered were able to find justice. They found it mainly through the simple process of having someone at the bench clarify right and wrong. For 40 years they have been needing AUTHORITY to speak and there it was.

I have suffered approximately nothing in my day, compared to the terror these men endured when they

were vulnerable adolescents. I can't really 'relate,' as they say. But I was able to relate 100% to their relief at seeing a person carry out his duties by using the authority delegated to him by society.

Jayz, it was a great day for Australia: June 23, 2016.

Update: Today's speaker at the Royal Commission hearing is the overall boss of Balcombe in the 1970s, Mr Adam McDonald. He usually resorts to saying either "I don't recall" or "Of course I would have done the proper thing" (to prevent harm to the boys). But all the evidence is placed before him that he did nothing.

Paging Mary Maxwell! Come in please!
Yes Your Honor, I'll take an oath on the Bible, thanks.

Your Honor, here is my opinion, based not on any knowledge of Balcombe – I am ignorant of that – but of hidden forces in our society. I *deduce* that someone is standing over Mr McDonald, in the same way that I said someone is standing over Geelong's housemaster or Pell.

I can't prove it, but their tone of voice is so similar. These men look unruffled; in fact they look quietly defiant. It may be that they feel the protection of some strong person, a boss whom they see as stronger than you.

Your Honor, when you said to Mr McDonald "Can't you see that the system failed these young boys?" the only honest answer would have to have been Yes. But he acts strangely blind to it!

I claim they've been trained by trainers whom we don't know about, and who have some very definite views on what should happen to military recruits, namely that they **should be** demeaned and terrified.

A Note on the Credibility Issue

All 11 chapters of Part One were published as articles at GumshoeNews.com, where my task is to report a current event and analyse it. Other topics of mine are the Boston Marathon, the Port Arthur massacre, and the Sydney siege. Those three ended up as books and, like this one, they are stitched together from my various researches.

As we enter the remaining three parts of this book, I had better warn the reader that *I* believe the material, but when we talk about Fiona Barnett there seems to be a great barrier to believing her.

It's one of the great gifts of the Royal Commission that survivors-in-general are now believed, but most of them don't accuse their perpetrators of more than sexual abuse, such as killing or torture. Fiona does.

Be aware of how media hides the truth. Look how the ABC used its "Media Watch" program to pretend to find fault with the press (!) for giving space to Fiona's claims.

EPISODE 40, of ABC's Media Watch. Host Paul Barry,
2 NOVEMBER 2015

Barry: Recently the media published sensational claims of a VIP paedophile ring that included former Prime Ministers, police and judiciary. But when such serious claims are unverified, they should be approached with caution.

GEORGIE GARDNER: A woman who says she was the victim of an alleged paedophile ring operating in the 1970s has made explosive claims in Sydney today. Fiona Barnett spoke at a press conference along with members of abuse survivor group, SNAP.

FIONA BARNETT: Well, I was prostituted to paedophile parties at Parliament House, Canberra. The people involved in this elite paedophile ring included high-ranking politicians, police and judiciary. -- Channel Nine News

Barry: And that was just the start of it. Barnett claimed that at least three former Australian Prime Ministers were involved and that the ring is still operating today.... A large number of websites picked it up. NT News reported:

She also claims she witnessed “hundreds of crimes”--including murder, rape, abduction and torture. And Lismore’s Northern Star and Tweed Daily News also told readers she had made some even more startling allegations:

.
Ms Barnett recounted a story about being shown as a child what happened to “traitors” who spoke out. She alleged she witnessed a man being tied to two tractors which drove in opposite directions, tearing him limb from limb.

Paul Barry, Media Watch: Are we beginning to have concerns about her credibility here? As news.com.au reported, she had told her story many times but had always been ignored:

Ms Barnett said she had taken the allegations to multiple health professionals in the 1980s, NSW Police in 2008 and approached the Royal Commission in 2013. She said she and other victims of “VIP” perpetrators were “stonewalled” by authorities. -- News.com.au, 23rd October, 2015

Barry: Hmmm. That’s surely a sign there might be no evidence for her remarkable claims. [Hello? Hello?]

However, in the Comments section, a UK reader says:

“There is new evidence that some of the country’s most respected men were depraved paedophiles. Many of the kids were trafficked from state-run homes and other institutions to be abused by MPs, Lords, and spies. They were protected from on high by a secret code, and have never been held to account for their horrific crimes.”

MR added this comment on August 14, 2016:

“These thing DO happen and so do cover ups. See the Age’s article /they-destroyed-denis-ryans-police-career-now-they-admit-he-was-right-all-along.”

[Of course many commenters cried that Fiona is probably a mental case and that she provides not one bit of evidence.]

Phil replies, on January 11, 2016:

The lady may well be delusional, perhaps insane. I will utter only two words of caution however: Jimmy Saville.

I can’t address credibility as such, but my style consists of:

1. **watching the courts** (such as in the Marathon bombing case) and **the inquiries** -- royal commissions and inquests). In the case of Sydney siege, I got virtually all my information from the testimony given by **cops** at the Inquest.
2. **looking for the dog that didn’t bark.** (The Highton Housemaster made no “case” of BIW’s complaint. Why?)
3. taking for granted that **the powerful can avoid getting caught.** (Even the mafia pays witnesses off, or threatens them, or kills them. The ability of the powerful

in Tasmania to protect the real Port Arthur massacre man -- definitely not Bryant -- is still "out there.")

4. **tentatively accepting a victim's story** and then subjecting it to investigation. (To turn victims away because they might be "mental" is suspicion-raising itself. Why do that?)

5. jumping at any case **where a judge or a prosecutor outlandishly contravenes normal practice**. (In the Marathon case you needn't look further than the judge!)

6. **observing the routine use of obfuscating** language in the coverage by the media and the politicians. (See above.)

Most likely none of that old-fashioned reasoning will have any effect on people whose brain is inclined to tune out. It is likely that most folks are in a condition of fear today -- they probably have noted Fiona Barnett's story and would *rather not* believe it.

Note: for the record I'd like to say that I reject Fiona's allegation that there is a tunnel from Holsworthy Air Base, near Sydney, to Pine Gap in the centre of Australia. It sounds ridiculous to me. In any case Ms Barnett can't say she has seen it (how would you see 2,000 kms of tunnel?). I assume someone told her about it. She ought to chuck that part of her report -- and give that person a good talking to.

12. Podesta's E-mails and the Oz PM



Let's get right to the new developments. In this chapter I show high-level people being outed (Hillary Clinton and a few in Oz). Then Chapter 13 will sketch famous cases where there was loads of evidence but the citizens dropped the ball. Chapter 14 will display the relevant law.

The John Podesta Emails

John Podesta had been White House Chief of Staff in the Bill Clinton years (1993-2000). His job is only to watch what is going on in the White House. Or, perhaps, he has to make sure no one else is watching what goes on in the White House. If you know what I mean.

Back in 1989, when Reagan was president and Vice Prez Poppy Bush was *de facto* president, a newspaper in Washington did an exposé on underage sex at the White House. Americans were not ready to listen, and anyway the story was quickly dropped. But this time around we all got to listen as three players were fighting publicly!

Wikileaks had hacked into all of the e-mails sent by **Hillary** when she was Secretary of State. Candidate **Trump** wanted to defeat her and so he made a big deal of the e-mails she criminally sent (unrelated to sex). FBI chief James **Comey** therefore was required to – maybe – do an investigation.

The word “Pizzagate” is still not used by the mainstream media (MSM), but it is all over the Internet. Washington, DC, has a pizza parlor called “Comet Pizza and Ping Pong.” It actually has a room where customers can play ping-pong. It got frequent mention in Podesta’s emails.

It has been known to police for decades that pedophiles use code words so they can communicate about their activities. The emails contained heaps of those words.

Examples are: “pizza” = girl, “hotdog” = boy, “cheese” = little girl, “pasta” = little boy, “ice cream” = male prostitute, “map” = semen, “sauce” = orgy.

A case can be deduced, from the emails, that this Comet place deals in pedophilia and child sex trafficking. Phil Consider this e-mail sent by Tamara Luzzato:

“I am popping up again to share our excitement about the Reprise of Our Gang’s visit to the farm in Lovettsville [remote Washington countryside]. And I thought I’d share a couple more notes. We plan to heat the pool, so a swim is a possibility. Bonnie will be Uber Service to transport Ruby, Emerson, and Maeve Luzzatto (11, 9, and almost 7) so you’ll have some further entertainment, and they will be in that pool for sure.”

Phil Hingston notes that the 3 children are the grandkids of the email sender, Tamara. She has sent the message by using the email address of her employer, Pew Trusts.

Tamara Luzzato is a Senior Vice President of the Pew Charitable Trusts. Pew is a global research and public policy organization, which, according to its website, is dedicated to serving the public. As of June, 2015, Pew had assets in excess of \$US 6 billion dollars. My goodness!

In the “swimming pool” e-mail mentioned above, other people have been “copied in.” These, with their affiliations displayed, include: Jon Haber: jhaber@cascadestrategy.com; Bonnie Levin @ medstar.net; Katherine Klein kleinK@ Wharton.upenn.edu; David Leiter: DJLeiter@ mlstrategies.com; and Laurie Rubiner: rubiner@ blumenthal.senate.gov

That is, the swimming pool invitees work for a software company (Cascade Strategy), a hospital (Medstar), a business school (Wharton), a lobbying firm (ML Strategies), and a US Senator, Richard Blumenthal.

There is no question in my mind that a Prosecutor’s office acting in good faith (are there any such?) would deem it worthwhile to ask the invitees about that pool party. Give them a chance to clear their name, as it were. Or zero in on major crime, as the case may be.

An unnamed whistleblower from the US Department of Justice has recently said that 30 or more arrests are in the works. I do not believe it, but as will be shown in Chapter 20, a citizens’ grand jury can issue indictments.

Some Australian Incriminations

The Oxford Dictionary defines the verb *incriminate* thus: Make (someone) appear guilty of a crime or wrongdoing; ‘he refused to answer questions in order not to incriminate himself; ‘incriminating evidence’.

Note: To accuse a living person of crime can be cause for a civil action (lawsuit) on the grounds of defamation. But you may still want to incriminate someone, within the law.

One simple way is to report the person to the authorities; this won't qualify as defamation. Or take your chance mouthing the accusation and if the person sues, try to show in court that what you alleged is true.

Fiona Barnett: the Necrophile Incident

In Australia, Fiona Barnett gave a press conference in October 2015 in which she named two persons as her abusers and tormentors. They are the late Kim Beazley, Sr (not to be confused with junior who was a leader of the Labor Party) and Antony Kidman, a psychologist, who is the father of actress Nicole Kidman. Antony Kidman died soon after this revelation, allegedly in Singapore.

Mrs Barnett has knocked us for loop by saying that she has seen babies sacrificed on an altar at University of Sydney. After hours, that is. She has also said that she was raped by US President Nixon, while on a plane, and by former Australian prime minister Gough Whitlam.

I shall now recount her description of an event that seems to involve necrophilia. The Merriam-Webster Dictionary defines *necrophilia* as "obsession with and usually erotic interest in or stimulation by corpses."

One day in 1977 or 1978, says Fiona, her handler "Dr Mark" took her to Kurnell Beach in Sydney. There she was asked to dig in the sand and she soon found the fresh corpse of a 5-year old blond-haired boy. There was another man there, when she arrived at the beach. I cannot say that he was the murderer. (Barnett cannot really say it either, but she suspects him.)

Dr Mark (Leonas Petrauskas) called an ambulance, into which the boy was then placed. Fiona heard Dr Mark talk to that other man about a “blue-ring octopus sting,” implying that he would write that on the death certificate.

Fiona was 8 years old and did not recognize the man, but saw him years later on TV when he was the Prime Minister of Australia. She says he is alive today, so she must be referring to one of these men: Kevin Rudd, Paul Keating, John Howard, Malcolm Turnbull or Bob Hawke.

Actually she *does* say the name but I am not going to say it. Surely the NSW government could look back at all deaths of 5-6 year-olds. How about the hospitals closest to Kurnell Beach? How about all ex-PMs giving a sworn statement as to their innocence. How about investigating?

By the way, Barnett does not say this death was a ritual sacrifice. She thinks it was necrophilia. The child was wearing shorts and had no wounds on his body. It is known that many people have an addiction to watching someone killed, hence the trade in “snuff” films.

The reason I think this allegation about a prime minister is important is because it is an allegation about a prime minister. We Aussies surely deserve to live under the leadership of a person who is not a necrophiliac.

Personally I don't care what someone's taste in sexual activities is, but this is murder in the first degree. A citizen has come forward with a story and it is outrageous to suppress it. Do you agree?

Why Are So Many Judges Pedophiles?

The other day I came across this statement in a book by the late Sherman Skolnick, regarding the father of the

famous Watergate journalist Bob Woodward. Skolnick was founder, in Chicago, of a Citizens' Committee to Clean Up the Courts. He wrote:

“Our court reform group investigated a situation involving a Chicago-area hospital of which Chief Judge Woodward was a director. Judge Woodward, and other judges, were named defendants in a federal lawsuit charging them with arranging satanic rituals against children in [that] hospital. The suit was brought by a grandmother of such a damaged child.

The Chicago federal judge, however, as corrupt as Judge Woodward, put the case out of court without any hearing or legal formalities. (Some federal judges in Chicago are currently interlocked with another corrupt hospital recently causing a ‘pissing match’ commotion between such judges and the chief federal prosecutor in Chicago who has been investigating the hospital.)”

Now back to Australia. Thanks to the Internet, Fiona Barnett runs the website pedophilesdownunder.com. Various victims go there to add their stories. Here's one. The anonymous author named it “Elliott Johnson & Australia's Pedo Communist Party.”

Dear Fiona,

Thank you for standing up to these criminals.

In 1971 an Adelaide barrister from the Communist Party of Australia created the precursor to what later became the Pedophile Information Exchange. This lawyer was the late ELLIOT JOHNSON. The Exchange was formed from earlier pedophile groups such as the Lewis Carroll Collectors Guild and the (homosexual) Peter Pan Club.

Another founder of this organization (from the Peter Pan Club) was FRANK HOUSTON [1922-2004] who also founded the pseudo-church The Assembly of God. He was contacted by Elliot Johnson and asked to assist in forming a

“united front” for organized pedophilia, circa 1973. This resulted in the formation of the Pedophile Information Exchange in February 1973. It then exported to the UK.

I knew Johnson personally and I have always detested the man. I have heard him boast of having killed Japanese prisoners of war in Papua New Guinea during WW2. Here are some quotes from Johnson:

“I shot half a dozen in one afternoon”;

“I shot dozens and dozens of them”;

“The others would bayonet them or cut their throats but I was more humane about it – I shot them in the back of the head”.

Upon return to Australia Johnson became an operator for the Communist Party of Australia. This included bullying and indeed killing any Party dissidents.

In 1947 a man was found dead on Somerton Beach, SA. This murder remains unsolved. The victim was a GRU man working for the USSR. He was collecting information on the development of British weapons in Australia.

The Communist Party of Australia supported him and other Soviet agents (such as HV Evatt) with sexual services. The GRU man had been paired up with a local woman communist whom he got pregnant. He was told to attend the woman’s house and await the arrival of Commonwealth security officers.

Instead he was attended by Elliot Johnson (with fake ID) and two Communist Party thugs dressed up in suits and ties. Johnson then poisoned the man with OLEANDER extract. His corpse was then washed and redressed (by the woman – a nurse) and then transported to Somerton Beach by Elliot Johnson in his motorcar. This was one of the rare private cars in Adelaide at that time.

Another Johnson killing was that of the Sydney physicist Dr Gilbert Bogle in 1961. Dr Bogle was a microwave physicist who had been working on microwave modulation for guided missile systems for the British.

He was recruited into the Communist Party by his boss Dr Geoffrey Chandler using sex as the bait.

The Communist Party fearing for their spy ring then decided to murder Bogle and then delegated that task to Elliot Johnson and Geoffrey Chandler. Johnson gave the termination order and acted as field director for the kill.

Geoffrey Chandler then administered OLEANDER poison to Gilbert Bogle in a rum drink at a cocktail party.

However Bogle noticed the taste and commented upon it. Margaret Chandler then took a sip of the drink and was poisoned as well. Geoffrey Chandler then telephoned Elliot Johnson for instructions and was then ordered by Johnson to dump both bodies down by the river to die.

... Johnson became a Supreme Court judge. This should indicate to you just how much power the pedophiles have in South Australia. I have very good reason for believing that **Johnson was one of the principal members of what has been called 'The Family'**. This is the name [of a] gang that killed children in Adelaide from the 1960's to the 1980's.

I have personally heard one of Johnson's comrades **admit to raping Jane Beaumont**. This informant claims that the little girl and her younger siblings Anna and Grant were **strangled by Elliot Johnson**.

I believe it as I have heard Johnson admit to killing Japanese POWs. Johnson admitted to me that he enjoyed killing (he said that it was cathartic) and that sometimes he would **give them a head start and then hunt them down**.

Part of Johnson's motivations were terrorist. You might know about Red Terror. This was used by the Bolsheviks in 1918 to beat the Russian people into submission. The Muslim fanatics from Islamic State use it for this reason as well.

This is why the Beaumont children were taken. This tactic really shocked Adelaide. These criminals proved that they could defeat the police and thus reduce public confidence in them.

I hope that this information is of some use to you. I am sorry that I cannot go public. So far **four whistle-blowers have been killed in Adelaide** for knowing too much and needless to say I do not want to be the fifth to die.

God bless you, Fiona. Take good care of yourself. Remember me.

Summary Regarding Incrimination by Name


This chapter differed from the 11 chapters on the Royal Commission. Here the point is that *very senior people are engaging in murder in Australia and the US.*

This should change people's approach. When it is a lower-down person we look to the high-ups to punish them. When it is the true VIPs, and no one will act, we must realize that it is our responsibility as members of society.

At the same time, all this gives an important clue to the fact that our leaders are nothing like what they pretend to be – interested in helping the nation.

A logical deduction is that **they were probably inserted into office by some other powerful group.**

13. Some Almost Got 'ems – Dutroux, McMartin



Marc Dutroux – A Case of Unpunished Pedophilia

Two cases: Dutroux and McMartin. I will only very briefly describe the Dutroux scandal – enough to make the point that even when there is proof of pedophilia in high places no one gets arrested. But of course my own view is that this paralysis need not continue.

Marc Dutroux owned six houses in Belgium. He was a trafficker in children. That means he had customers, and we can deduce that the customers were wealthy. There is no other explanation for the opulence of Marc's lifestyle.

I wonder if the media reportage of the failure to catch Dutroux (and of the fact that once they did put him in jail, he got an early release) was itself a way to drive home to people that they are helpless.

The best-known aspect of his case is that when police searched the basement of his home they failed to locate two girls who were there, *crying out*. Child witnesses later said they were starved and made to be in porn films.

There is also a famous set of killings of adults – in broad daylight – in Belgium, known as the Supermarket massacres, unrelated to pedophilia. In the district of Brabant, customers in several shops were shot in the checkout line. It is impossible to believe that the police could not have caught the killers. Perforce, **the purpose must have been to terrorize.**

In the US, by the way, the FBI DOES NOT KEEP STATISTICS as to how many children go missing.

McMartin Preschool

Writing in thedailybeast.com on August 23, 2015 Liz Crocker asks “What Fueled the Child Sex Abuse Scandal That Never Was?” She says: “A wave of scandals about brutal child sex abuse in the 1980s caused widespread panic. But many of the stories, pursued with zeal through the courts, were false and extremely destructive.”

I’m pretty sure the McMartin case was a genuine example of Satanic ritual abuse. In order to expose that, I will now proceed in not-the-usual manner. I’ll have journalist Liz Crocker be first at the mic, and then challenge her below.

I think Liz is a cover-up artist. Watch how smoothly she does away with the problem of children and parents having agreed that abuse took place at McMartin:

“It started in August 1983 when Judy Johnson told police she suspected her 3-year-old son, Matthew, was being molested by one of his preschool teachers.

He had been complaining of an itchy anus and was obsessed with playing doctor, a game he said he played at school. Johnson believed one of his teachers, Ray Buckey, had sodomized the boy with his “thermometer.”

“Soon after, other parents of children under Buckey’s care at the McMartin Preschool in Manhattan Beach alerted police that their children had confessed to being fondled, sodomized, and forced to participate in pornographic films.”

Still continuing here with liz from thedailybeast.com:

“There were reports that McMartin teachers slaughtered animals and babies in front of the children before abusing them [standard office procedure in the many Satanic cults].

“Five McMartin teachers were ultimately arrested and charged with what detectives and child therapists determined was ritualistic satanic abuse. The McMartin case was symptomatic of a nationwide panic about an “epidemic” of child sexual abuse.

“It would be a decade before the panic that led to more than 80 convictions proved to be largely unfounded. In his new book, *We Believe the Children*, author Richard Beck revisits these Kafkaesque cases.

“Drawing on interviews, archival research, and court transcripts, Beck illustrates how “therapists, social workers, and police officers unintentionally forced children to fabricate tales of brutal abuse”

“Beck does not prove that no child abuse happens. The charges against Arnold Friedman, a “beloved and award-winning” teacher in Great Neck, New York, were not entirely unfounded. In 1987 the Feds found a stack of child porn magazines in his basement. Beck writes, “There is no question that he really was a pedophile.”

“While there was ample evidence that Friedman was attracted to children, it was never clear that he acted on it. After Friedman’s son Jesse was also accused of abuse, he deliberately played into the hysterical narrative perpetuated

by police and the media, claiming his father had homemade pornographic videos. But the hysteria ultimately obscured whether or not Arnold was guilty of abuse. [??]

“After the McMartin trial wrapped, [therapist] MacFarlane admitted in a 1990 interview with The New York Times that she was “naive in never having been part of a case like this,” but defended her controversial interviewing techniques. Beck points out that corroborating evidence then became “a superfluous adjunct to a truth the therapist already knew.”

“Many other therapists and social workers who worked with children on ritualistic sex abuse cases in the ’80s operated on the same dangerous assumption. So did parents and law enforcement officials.

“But Beck interviews one pseudonymous woman, Jennifer, who was 7 when her mother first took her to the police in 1984, when her former day-care teacher was accused of sexual abuse. She began going to therapy shortly afterward and tells Beck ‘that was where all the trauma happened.

“No matter what Jennifer said, her therapists insisted she had been abused. This led her to question her own memories of what had happened (she still questions them to this day), though she initially told the police she wasn’t molested.

“The young children in the McMartin case also underwent forensic testing developed by a physician named Bruce Woodling: anal examinations (“wink tests”) in which he swabbed a spot near the patient’s anus that supposedly determined abuse.

If the child’s anus opened during wink tests, the child had been sodomized; the further the child’s anus opened, the more frequent the abuse.

“In cases across the country, medical professionals, detectives, prosecutors, parents, and therapists simply believed children had been sexually abused: They manipulated ‘the truth’ to fit their version of the truth and fabricated evidence where none existed.

“When cases went to court, the judicial system’s ‘innocent until proven guilty’ model was seemingly inverted: as Beck’s book puts it, ‘the pursuit of justice demanded the suspension of disbelief.’ In the court of public opinion, the only just verdict was a guilty one.”

A number of convictions that came out of these cases, but almost all of which were eventually overturned.

David McGowan’s comment in *The Pedophocracy III, Uncle Sam Wants Your Children*, published at whale.to

McGowan tells the opposite side of the story to Liz Crocker. Note: there was much intermix of the McMartin pedophilia and that at the nearby Presidio Army Base. The *Mercury News* quoted a military man: “We were sitting there, we’ve got a cult on the Presidio of San Francisco and nobody cares about it ... We were told by the provost marshal to just forget about it.”

“The name of US Army Col Michael Aquino came up in both stories. The Marin Independent Journal revealed that Aquino owned a building in Marin County - inherited from his mother, Betty Ford-Aquino - that had been jointly leased to the Marin County Child Abuse Council and Project Care for Children. The stated purpose of Project Care was, interestingly enough, to assist parents in locating day care for their children.” – David McGowan, *The Pedophocracy*.

Can you imagine.

Mercury News said: “By 1987 the Army had received allegations of child abuse at 15 of its day care centers and several elementary schools. “A special team of experts was sent to Panama to help determine if as many as 10 children at a Department of Defense elementary school had been molested and possibly infected with AIDS.”

In his excellent book, *Programmed To Kill*, McGowan points out that legal officials were to blame. “Despite the vast number of eyewitnesses -- most of them bearing physical evidence of abuse, District Attorney Ira Reiner inexplicably dropped all charges against five of the seven McMartin defendants on January 17, 1986.” Six days before that, he had summarily dismissed two prosecutors on the case.

“At least three-dozen suspects who had been independently identified by numerous witnesses were never indicted at all. Also, Judy Johnson, the first McMartin parent to lodge a complaint, never delivered her scheduled testimony. Her body was found sprawled naked on the floor of her home, her death said to be due to complications from her chronic alcoholism. Before her death, she was regularly derided by defense attorneys and their media allies as a deranged crank.

“Considered a key prosecution witness, Johnson received frequent threats before her death and she was followed when she ventured out in public. Many of the other McMartin parents were openly skeptical of Johnson’s stated cause of death.

“A former Hermosa Beach police officer, Paul Bynum, who had been hired by the parents as a private investigator, turned up dead on the eve of his scheduled testimony as well. His death by gunshot was ruled a suicide.”

Can you imagine.

14. Successful Investigations and Court Cases



(L) Valerie Wolf, a therapist, at radiation hearings in 1995
(R) President Clinton said “There were thousands of experiments
“Americans were kept in the dark about what was done to them”

My thing is law. I have laid out what the public can do to reclaim the law, particularly in my books *Prosecution for Treason*, and *Fraud Upon the Court*. There is no question that the government is nonresponsive and that people must now take action themselves. But in this chapter I will list several instances in which some satisfaction was obtained through normal channels.

My motive is not just to remind readers that there is such a thing as a judicial remedy. It is to reassure anyone who worries that the whole pedophilia and mind control business may be a made-up story. Would that it were!

The Church Committee of 1975-1976

Senator Frank Church used his power as a US legislator to host hearings on the subject of CIA misbehavior. His committee had the power to subpoena anyone to give information, including heads of the FBI, CIA, and NSA. He looked at assassination of foreign leaders, surveillance of the domestic population, and subversion by the media. Thank God he revealed the CIA’s mind-control program.

We now know that many Nazi scientists escaped arrest after World War II and made their way to US, with the help of the Vatican and the Red Cross. They had conducted cruel experiments in Germany and now did so in the US. Their immigration had the code name Operation Paperclip – but the whole thing is now declassified.

The CIA's major mind-control project involved children. It was called MK-Ultra and had 80 sub-projects. These Universities, hospitals, and prisons did the dirty work under Contract to the CIA. Unbelievable violations of the children occurred. Not counting rape, bestiality, and other crimes, the children underwent "training" by way of electroshock and being spun on a table.

The US Presidential Hearings on Radiation in 1995

An additional opportunity for extracting secrets from the government arose in 1995. Soldiers had complained that they were experimented on with radiation. A President's Commission was formed to hear their stories.

Valerie Wolf was a psychotherapist in New Orleans helping patients who had been in the MK-Ultra program. She was aware that some of them were also in the radiation experiments. So she quickly got in touch with other therapists to make sure these girls, such as Claudia Mullens, could come forward to give testimony.

Claudia Mullens told of the many things done to her. I think the most startling was that she and other children were kept in cages. Dr Sidney Gottlieb was her nemesis.

I was exploited unwittingly for nearly three decades of my life, and the only explanations given to me were that "the end justifies the means" and "I was serving my country in

their bold effort to fight Communism.” I can only summarize my circumstances by saying they took an already abused seven-year-old child and compounded my suffering beyond belief. . . .

I’ve already submitted as much information as possible, including conversations overheard of the agencies responsible. I’m able to report all this to you in such detail because of my photographic memory and the arrogance of the doctors -- the arrogance of the people involved.

They were certain they would always control my mind. [Dr B told her]: “They and the agency think I’m a god, creating experiments for whatever deviant purposes Sid and [a third doctor] could think up.” Sid being Dr. Sidney Gottlieb, . . .

In 1958, I was to be tested, they told me, by some important doctors. . . and I was instructed not to look at anyone’s faces, and to try to ignore any names as this was a very secret project, but I was told that all these things would help me forget. Naturally, as most children do, I did the opposite, and I remember as much as I could. . . .

Then I was told by Sid Gottlieb that “I was ripe for the big A” meaning Artichoke. By the time I left to go home, just like every time from then on, I would remember only whatever explanations they gave me for the odd bruises, needle marks, burns on my head, fingers, and even the genital soreness. I had no reason to believe otherwise. They had already begun to control my mind.

I was sent to a lodge in Maryland to learn how to sexually please men. I was taught how to coerce them into talking about themselves. [CIA planned to film as many high agency government officials and heads of academic institutions and foundations as possible, so that later, when the funding for mind control and radiation started to dwindle, projects would continue. [Emphasis added]

When Valerie Wolf (now deceased, considered murdered) wrote to her colleagues, she quoted a January 24, 1994 article on “The Cold War Experiments” in *U.S. News and World Report*. It concluded that radiation tests were only one small part of “a vast research program that used thousands of Americans as guinea pigs.” Isn’t it amazing that this article in a popular news weekly did not evoke an angry reaction from the public or politicians.

Dr Valerie Wolf said:

“Therapists across the country are finding clients who have been subjected to mind control. The consistency of their stories about the purpose of the mind control and torture techniques, such as electric shock, hallucinogens, sensory deprivation, spinning, hypnosis, dislocation of limbs and sexual abuse is remarkable.”

Please note this astonishing observation by Wolf, which did not then lead to publication in medical journals:

About 25% of the clients report memories of being used in radiation experiments. ... What was startling was that therapists reported many of these clients were also physically ill with **multiple sclerosis** and other muscle and connective tissue diseases as well as **mysterious ailments** for which a diagnosis cannot be found. ... It is unclear if these physical disorders and symptoms were **caused by radiation** or drugs used in the experiments. [Emphasis added]

Court Cases – Orlikow and Bonacci

Dr Ewen Cameron worked at the Alan Memorial Hospital in Montreal attached to McGill University, carrying out experiments for the CIA. He used deep sleep and psychic driving in hopes of removing all ideas and memories in the person’s brain and replacing them with new ones.

One patient, Velma Orlikow, who entered the hospital in 1956 for depression, later sued. She testified in court:

I find the whole thing despicable, it runs against everything I believed in. I felt outraged that an organization from another country had done this. [She was forced to take LSD] and “sometimes I thought I would die.”

She demanded \$190,000 but agreed to settle out of court for \$41,000 and legal expenses. Later the Canadian government invited other victims to come forth and they got a total payment of under a million dollars.

A younger victim, **Paul Bonacci**, won a settlement by default as the defendant didn't show up. Alisha Owen, age 18, served years in jail as a “perjurer” for telling the truth. They tried to intimidate her into silence. Nope!

A Timeline from Franklin Case.org (abridged)

12-31-1968 -- The Franklin Credit Union is established in Omaha, Nebraska. 8-1970. Lawrence E. King Jr. becomes manager at The Franklin Credit Union.

7-1976 -- Accountant Thomas Harvey discovers the manipulation of the bank accounts. By the end of 1976 \$400,000 was missing from the Credit Union's bank funds.

1978 -- Paul Bonacci attends sex parties with Alan Baer at an apartment at the Twin Towers in Omaha.

12-1980 -- Paul Bonacci meets Lawrence King in Sarpy County, where he says they performed satanic ritual abuse.

1982 -- Paul Bonacci was flown to California (Bohemian Grove) to participate in orgies.

2-1984 -- Edward Hobbs, a teller who had worked for the bank for five years, writes a letter to the Franklin Board

alleging embezzlement. The following day Hobbs was fired. Hobbs also supplied a letter to an Attorney and a Legislator. Their response was "We've been watching Lawrence King for some time. We are aware of his living beyond his means.

However, the Department can't do anything because we don't want to seem like the big bad white guy jumping on the tiny, black credit union." [Bet that's not the reason?]

8-1984 -- Lawrence King throws a lavish party in Dallas, Texas, after singing the National Anthem at the Republican National GOP Convention.

9-1984 - Lawrence King gets an apartment for Troy Boner at 48th & Dodge

9-1984 - Lawrence King takes Lisa Webb and 15-20 boys from Boys Town Omaha to Chicago for a sex party.

5-1-1985 -- Alisha Owen gives birth to a baby.

6-1985- Foster children are removed from Jarrett and Barbara Webb's home after children complain of physical and sexual abuse by the Webbs.

8-1985 -- Party at the Woodman Tower Ballroom. In attendance were James Daniel King, Lawrence King, Alisha Owen, Rusty Nelson, and Troy Boner.

11-1985 -- Throughout a six-week investigation by Lincoln and Omaha Police 13 individuals were arrested for child pornography charges.

Joseph Burke was later sentenced to 2-5 years in prison. Walter Carlson [got] 15 months.

1-1986 -- Lisa Webb, who testified to have been abused by the Webbs was administered, and passed, multiple polygraph

tests. However, prosecuting attorney Pat Tripp made a decision not to prosecute the Webbs.

4-1986 -- Paul Bonacci reveals his history of sexual abuse to school authorities. Authorities contacted the Omaha Police, however, no investigation was ever made.

6-1988 -- The Omaha Police Department receives a report from Kristin Hallberg of the Richard Young Psychiatric Hospital in Omaha regarding sexual abuse and homicide

7-1988 -- The Foster Care Review Board turns over all investigative information to Attorney General Robert Spire and the Omaha Police Department.

8-1988 -- Lawrence King throws a lavish party in New Orleans, after a return appearance to the Republican GOP Convention to sing the National Anthem. He donates \$18,000 of Franklin's assets to the D.C. Board of Human Rights Camp Fund, a lobby for gay and lesbian rights.

11-18-1988 -- Nebraska Legislature passes a resolution to investigate improprieties at the Franklin Credit Union.

12-1988 -- The FBI and Nebraska State Patrol contact the Foster Care Review Board and question certain children's reliability and credibility.

12-19-1988 -- Omaha TV news outlets mentioned they were investigating the sex and drugs angle of the Franklin Case. This marked the first time the media mentioned drugs in relation to Franklin matter.

2-19-1989 -- Senator Loran Schmit meets with Lawrence King. During the meeting King discusses his powerful acquaintances including agents of the FBI and Police.

6-13-1989 -- Mrs. Harvey and her son, E. Thomas Harvey Jr., Franklin's accountant, each pleaded guilty to one count

of embezzling and one count of evading federal income taxes.

6-22-1989 -- Omaha Police Officer Chris Carmean testifies in front of the Franklin Committee that the child abuse witnesses are credible.

7-25-1989 -- Concerned Parents Group formed to press local officials in regards to the Franklin Case

8-21-1989 - Gary Caradori hired as Chief Investigator for the Legislature's Franklin Committee.

11-25-1989 -- Gary Caradori interviews victim Troy Boner at the Residence Inn.

1-18-1990 - John DeCamp releases the "Franklin Memo," which included the names of Harold Andersen, Lawrence King, Peter Citron, and Alan Baer.

1-30-1990 -- Atty General Robert Spire calls for Grand Jury!

2-23-1990 - Sex Charges (unrelated to the Franklin Case) filed against Peter Citron.

3-12-1990 -- The Douglas County Grand Jury convened. Grand Jury starts the Franklin abuse trial.

3-29-1990 -- L King is declared incompetent to stand trial.

5-25-1990 -- Judge Murphy orders for a blood test on Alisha Owen's baby.

7-11-1990 -- **Gary Caradori and his son, Andrew, are killed in a plane crash in Aurora Illinois.**

7-23-1990 -- Peter Citron sentenced to 3-8 years for sexual assault.

7-23-1990 -- Bonacci indicted on 3 counts of perjury; Alisha Owen is indicted on 8 counts of perjury.

7-24-1990 -- The Grand Jury throws out the Franklin Case, labeling it as a “carefully crafted hoax.”

8-17-1990 -- John DeCamp files a suit against the Douglas County Grand Jury. Suit against the Grand Jury is dismissed.

2-1-1991 -- John DeCamp files a Civil Rights suit on behalf of Paul Bonacci, against the Catholic Archbishop of Omaha,

5-1992 -- John DeCamp publishes *The Franklin Cover-Up*.

5-3-1994 -- The Discovery Channel, was to air the Yorkshire documentary *Conspiracy of Silence*, about the Franklin cover-up, however, the documentary was pulled off the air at the last minute, and purchased by an unknown buyer.

2-14-1999 -- Paul Bonacci wins a judgment of \$1 million against Lawrence King. 11-1999. Alisha Owen is denied a hearing to commute her sentence in order to set her free.

2003 -- [Paul’s friend] Troy Boner arrives at a New Mexico hospital; he was sedated upon admittance, the next day he was found dead in his hospital room.

On Youtube you can see Paul giving a sworn deposition.

One hero of the case, Alisha, can also be seen on Youtube.

And by the way, why do we stand by when our whistle blowers are getting beat up? Not very neighborly of us.

15. Tavistock Is in Charge of the Human Species



An unidentified adoption center in England

So far this book has mostly covered the simple fact of the existence of child sexual abuse in Australia and the abuses of mind control practiced by the CIA.

That was prolegomena. Now for the main pitch of this book. It is that we are being run by people in government, business, academia, etc, who are very far out as to their beliefs and practices. Perhaps it can be best put by saying they know no law and see **“the people” as their enemy!**

I take you back to the findings of the Royal Commission. The Catholic Church, plus many other religions, plus the military, engage unabashedly in child sex, never caring how they mess up kids’ lives. They also show no worry about getting caught – and with good reason: they *don’t get caught*. Entire police and court systems protect them!

I am “celebrating” 2017 as a good year insofar as we at least have come far enough to recognize this fact of life: things are not as they have seemed!

But now I want to go past the subject of sex altogether and talk about social engineering. The REAL PLAN by the men at the top, the cabal, is to change human life. We need to get ahold of the facts immediately and start to counter them.

What Is Tavistock?

Go back to the early 20th century. Psychology was new. Psychiatry was not yet a medical specialty. The brain had not been penetrated by neuroscience. Drugs specifically for the mind had not yet been developed. There was no psychosurgery, or behavior modification of animals. By the time all these things came into being, **Tavistock** was more or less on top of them. Why?

From 1890, England has had a secret group of men with big ambitions to control everything. In some instances they invented those fields of psychology as part of their goal to gain control. I think they started much earlier than 1890 (recall, the quote from John Robison's 1798 *Proofs of a Conspiracy*), but it will suffice for the moment to say that they were doing hands-on work from 1890.

We can call this group the Round Table or Milner's Kindergarten; the names of the members are no secret. In fact, historian Carroll Quigley described them in a 1966 book, *Tragedy and Hope*. He expressed approval of them.

One member, Cecil Rhodes, started the Boer Wars in 1898 so the Brits could oust the Dutch from rule in South Africa and take over the gold and diamonds. He also started the Rhodes Scholar program, so his acolytes could become leaders in many nations. And they did.

The number of wounded and mentally distressed soldiers from the First World War gave the British an excuse to

study the human breaking point. Doctors in this Tavistock project, under the guidance of John Rawlings Rees, could easily say their business was to help people, but no, it was not. **Their aim was to understand human vulnerability and use it.**

Britain's Tavistock was related to the Frankfurt School in Germany. And it somehow ties in, after 1928, with the discoveries by Edward Bernays (nephew of Sigmund Freud) of advertising technique. Bernays is called the Father of Public Relations. He found mechanisms by which one could cause people to, say, change fashions.

Tavistock is currently listed as a charity in the UK. It has had two main branches, the Tavistock Clinic, and the Tavistock Center of Human Relations. I see it as entirely sinister, if one looks past the mission-statement rhetoric. We have no trouble seeing that Nazi experimentation on humans was malicious; Tavistock-types do similar work.

The Mother-Child Bond

In college we studied John Bowlby's *Attachment and Loss*, a book that explained why a child gets depressed if it loses its mother. The purpose of the study by Tavistock's Bowlby was not to help depressed children. **It was to learn how to break the maternal bond.**

Allen Dulles, the first CIA Director in 1947, personally engaged in this amazing mission – to find out how to cut this most primitive emotional bond. Why? The men in charge of the world do not want anything that competes with their rule, not even the strength of “ties that bind.”

You may have heard that Departments of Child Protective Services in governments have been found to be part of

the child-sex trafficking business. To ask “How could offices of that type be so cruel?” is to ask the wrong question. **They did not go off the rails from their proper mission.** Causing family breakup *is* their mission. (Of course they must actually do some good things, too, to hide the bad.)

Cults

For many centuries humans have had cults. These may emerge spontaneously. However the proliferation of cults in the US in the 20th century was a result of Tavistock’s efforts. These cults were both experimental (finding out ways to control people) and practical – members of cults will carry out any assignment given by the adored boss.

I assume the whole thing taps a **source of submission** in the human, perhaps one that is also associated with the emotion of loyalty. In fact I assume that **every behavior that Tavistock seeks to elicit from the human is based on their knowledge of biology**, including animal psychology.

I suspect that Ron Hubbard’s Scientology is a creature of Tavistock. I have no evidence to back that up, and I may be wrong, but I notice scientologists are able to get their tentacles into governments. They get their way.

In 1983 Australia’s High Court ruled that Scientology is a religion. Thus it can claim all the legal protections of a religion. Australian actress Nicole Kidman was married to one of the most prominent scientologists, Tom Cruise.

Jonestown and the Kool-Aid Story

Jim Jones of California, working for the CIA, which is a helper of Tavistock, arranged for a group of 900 people to move to Guyana, a small South American state. Once

there he held them in captivity. Michael Meirs claims it was a study of the strength of the maternal bond. Mothers were asked to kill their babies. Most refused.

Congressman Ryan went to Guyana to check on the situation and was killed on arrival.

Radio, TV, Movies, Music

It is hard to realize that until quite recently in human history there was no way to reach a mass audience other than by assembly, or the grapevine. With electronic communication the ability of a ruler to procure obedience increased greatly.

It is hypothesized that TV was invented *for* the purpose of such control. Similarly, Hollywood movies were made to convey to people what the fashion is -- especially in human relationships.

Here we must mention Aldous Huxley. He worked with Tavistock (and its cousin, the Stanford Research Institute) in California in the 1960s with an eye to making drugs popular. This was aided by rock music. "Rock" arose not from spontaneous talent but by Tavistock's design! See Coleman's work in Appendix G.

Even the famous free outdoor concert at Woodstock New York was a Tavistock creation. Drugs were handed out, including LSD – "acid." The counterculture and its vocabulary came from the drawing board.

Free love was an attack on the stable nuclear family. You just had to "shack up" instead of marrying. Living in communes was popular for a while, too. Imagine if these "cool" folks had known they were so many lab rats.

How TV Reaches the Brain

Much has been said about the screen's ability to put people in a trance or change their alpha waves. Probably Tavistock has a hand in that, but they also have a hand in "content." For this, the only technology they had to be aware of was the human instinct to imitate. **Tell them what the crowd is doing and they will do it.**

Of course it's a good thing we are like that. How else would a child pick up culture? But when a child is looking around his immediate village and following the trends of what those adults want, he has an accurate view of what they want.

If TV subtly shows you a new style of apartment furnishings, in a sit-com, you don't realize that you are being told what to buy. Maybe no one in your group would really like it. But it seems to you that this is the latest fashion so you follow it. And the others do, too.

It isn't only furniture choices that we imitate. It is views and opinions. A talking head may say we should invade Iraq. There's probably no one on that show who feels as you do. No individual yells at the person "Are you stupid?" It all sounds calm, final, and therefore right.

Charlotte Iserbyt and Dumbing Down

Charlotte Iserbyt is famous for her amazing (and freely downloadable) book, *The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America*. She has collected written proof back to 1928 that our bosses made centralized decisions about education.

The aim was to deprive children of their thinking ability, and of general knowledge. The Rockefellers started the General Education Board to make this all happen.

Here is the way I picture the Tavistock folks, the Rockefellers, etc. I imagine an outdoor amphitheater like the Roman Colosseum. The bosses are sitting at the very top, really on the top wall. They look down at us.

They look for signs of trouble. That is they look for intelligence or ambition or moral character. Those things can get in their way. So they plot how to eliminate such.

As described, they can largely eliminate problems preemptively by exposing us to the “right” cultural ideas – through TV, movies, books (I mean lack of books!) and poor music.

They also have ongoing methods to keep people **worried, stressed, in debt, unemployed, and chronically ill**. A rather new tactic is to keep people busy with lawsuits and new regulations -- such as concerning the use of a toll road in the heart of the city.

Of course they give youngsters a need to upgrade their status by owning the latest computer devices. Are there too many young males on the loose? Offer them a chance to fight a war “and become a national hero.”

Tavistock can also arrange for inter-group conflict and can make terrorist incidents happen. This has the predictable result of making people actually *want* more restrictions. So gradually the rule of the overlords becomes easier. No one even thinks of objecting.

Ah, but watch out, Tavi. We’re getting a bit bored with your machinations.

16. Tavistock in Australia



Dr Dax and the artwork of his psychiatric patients in Melbourne

The theme of Pizzagate is child sexual abuse, trafficking and torture. Now for the theme of John Rawlings Rees's 1940s involvement with psychiatry and its offshoot in Oz.

Who would have figured that the entire mental health apparatus of the various Australian states is a product of a few guys stationed at the Tavistock Clinic in the UK?

The first person we need to look at is Dr Eric Cunningham Dax. Other important names are Dr Fred Emery, Dr Harry Bailey, Dr Alexander Kennedy -- all deceased -- and Dr John Herron who is alive and well.

Dr Dax is known for a few "good" things. He helped mental patients get out of the asylum and live in the community. He instituted the practice of art therapy for psychiatric patients, and he lobbied successfully for a Department of Psychiatry to be founded at the University of Melbourne. What a guy! He lived from 1908 to 2008.

He did bad things, which we will get to in a moment. Now consider **Dr Harry Bailey**. He is well-known, as there was a NSW Royal Commission called to look at his

bizarre treatment of patients in Sydney's Chelmsford Hospital. He used the Deep Sleep method that was mentioned in Chapter 14 regarding Velma Orkilow's lawsuit of Dr **Ewen Cameron** in Montreal. This was all experimental – part of Tavistock's search for ways to control people's mind to an extreme degree.

Do you think the *Royal Commission* solved the problem, or even identified it? No. A total of 24 patients died in Chelmsford and another 19 died shortly after leaving. This was of course murder. But media coverage of the RC was mainly about **Dr Harry Bailey's** sexual proclivities.

As for Australian-born **Dr Fred Emery** (1925-1997), he worked on another of Tavistock's concerns, the behavior of groups – he's known for taking an interest in labor unions. He invented a Participative model for workers. (I tried reading his book *Toward a Social Ecology* but couldn't make sense of it. Possibly it is in code for the in-crowd.)

Emery's bio shows contacts with key institutions and men -- **Eric Trist** at Tavistock (a **UNESCO** funded venture), fellowships at **Wharton** Business School in Pennsylvania and at **Stanford**. Emery's chair was in Canberra at **ANU**.

Regarding **Professor Alexander Kennedy of Durham** we learn in the book *Menders of the Mind by W and H Rubenstein*, that he made a tour of Oz in 1949. This led to legislation: the *Mental Hygiene Authority Act* 1950, Victoria.

“Aishe”

An Australian woman named Aishe has permitted me to tell her story. She was born in 1943 in London and emigrated to Geelong, Victoria at age 9. She was not a member of the Family that raised many children in a cruel way. However her grandmother was connected to it.

Aishe's father was a code breaker at Bletchley Park during the war. A few years ago when she met up with him after a long separation they started rattling off codes to each other. That was the first she knew of the fact that she had been a mind-files person, and it must have been as a child.

Brice Taylor was a mind-files person for Henry Kissinger, and Trish Fotheringham was a demonstration model for mind-files work. That is, she was **part of the sales pitch** when this technique was being sold to interested buyers. Please see Appendices A and N below by Brice and Trish.

Aishe decided to make a career of teaching in remote Aboriginal communities in Australia. She has thus learned a lot about the Aboriginal way of communicating with the universe. She has also, unfortunately, **found that Tavistock has got its hands in Aboriginal lives.**

The way I met Aishe is that she got in touch with me after reading *Port Arthur: Enough Is Enough*, a book in which I declared the Port Arthur massacre to be a Tavistockian activity. Aishe had not heretofore thought any locals were aware of Dr Dax's role in Tasmania.

Dr Dax was a frequent visitor to her home when she was growing up. I asked her "Was he a monster?" She said "No, he was a lovely guy." Nevertheless she does realize he did monstrous things. (See? You never know what a lovely guy might be getting up to.)

I also mentioned Cardinal Pell to Aishe and she said "He's high up." I assumed she meant high up in the Vatican – Pell is indeed in charge of finance for the whole Church. No, she meant he is high up in pedophilia and Satanism! The reason she knows of this is that her grandmother was assigned by Tavistock to establish a "node" in Ballarat. It

seems that there are several Tavistock nodes in Australia. Townsville has one. (Aishe's only speculating about Pell.)

I assume Hobart has a *node*. After living in Melbourne for nine years, Dax moved to Tasmania. From 1969 to 1978 he was "Coordinator in Community Health." Fathom it. From 1978 till 1984, he stayed on in private practice. We do know that teenage Martin Bryant was his patient.

Martin was also "looked after" by Professor Ivor Jones at University of Tasmania. We know that Martin was treated cruelly, and that by age 21 he had some connection to two hospitals in South Australia. See Appendix C below.

Bryant was able to be set up as a patsy (fall guy) for the massacre at Port Arthur, as he was "under control."

The "Family." Aishe is well aware that **the late Anne Hamilton-Byrne was instructed by Tavistock.** Anne bought an isolated property in Victoria and adopted 14 children. A full movie, *The Family*, has been produced, but it treats Anne Hamilton-Byrne as an oddity, not as a professional mind controller. Appendix L discusses this.

I hear rumors that Martin Bryant was fostered to the Family but I personally doubt it. It is also said that a boy in the famous picture of the 13 children is Julian Assange.

I don't know if "the Family" is connected to an international ring with a similar name. In Adelaide there is a "Family" that is well known to be in *control of judges and prisons*, and is *feared by cops*. It will be marvelous to see this arrangement collapse, due to the breakout of Pizza-gate type knowledge. That collapse now seems inevitable. ("Licking my chops" may describe my feelings about this.)
Murders of Children in Adelaide

I quote from *Young Blood: the Story of the Family Murders* (2002) by Bob O'Brien who was a cop on the case:

There was no doubt that Bevan Spencer von Einem murdered Richard Kelvin. The jury thought so and the Court of Criminal Appeal thought so. The facts of the Kelvin case and the details from the other murders show that he would have to be a very strong suspect to be one of the killers of Alan Barnes and Mark Langley as well.

Von Einem also had close friends. Like most of us, he only had a few really close friends but von Einem also had many associates. They were part of his 'extended' family; not a blood family but some of them were linked through the abuse of boys and the spilling of young blood.

Obviously, there were others close to von Einem at the time who have not spoken out or have only told half the story. They were von Einem's 'extended family' of deviates and were probably involved with the murder.

I believe that von Einem was involved with the murders of Alan Barnes and Mark Langley. The drugs, anal injuries and circumstances surrounding their deaths all point to von Einem and the Family. Alan Barnes, like Richard Kelvin, was most likely killed at von Einem's Paradise home and in the same way as Richard Kelvin.

We know Richard was in von Einem's home at about the time of his death and then he was dumped in the Adelaide Hills. Alan Barnes was dumped in the same area.

The Family would also have be strong suspects in the murders of Neil Muir and Peter Stogneff but we can be less positive about this than with the others three boys.

Peter Sogneff was the third boy to be killed and, because the killers had no luck disposing of the first two, both

Alan Barnes and Neil Muir being discovered in their watery graves, their killers most likely simply started dumping the boys on the side of the road.

We tried for a long time to locate a record of von Einem being stopped by the police, but von Einem and B were not recorded in any of the police logs. No record of the boy's names was found.

Even though some boys who had been abused by von Einem were found and did give statements, many were not, and it may be they were the ones who had key evidence as well.

The Family, not 'the family' of rumour and innuendo, but the real one, still exists. One senior member is in jail. The other senior member is still in Adelaide, both with his business and with his homosexuality. However, time has moved on and the brothers in crime have separated.

No longer do they have 'family meetings'. No longer do they hunt in packs. But will we ever close the book on the unsolved killings?

Hopefully, the reward of \$500,000, which anyone responsible for the murders, will tempt someone to come forward with information, and the words of Coroners Ahern will prove to be prophetic.

"Finally, acting on the assumption that more than one person was involved in at least some of the murders (it) should be remembered by those responsible, that enquires are ongoing. It can only be hoped that in due course and time the person may be brought to justice for the horrific crimes in which they participated." [pages 260-264]

John Herron and the Chelmsford Royal Commission

It is fairly well-known in Australia that a physician named Harry Bailey was called on the carpet by a Royal Commission in regard to odd practices at a mental hospital in Sydney, the Chelmsford Hospital. The fact is that Bailey worked in cahoots with Ewen Cameron of Canada, on the Tavistock's Deep Sleep project. This was intended to wipe out everything in the person's mind.

The RC should have recommended Bailey's arrest, but he died before it finished. John Herron (a 1956 graduate of Queensland Medical School) was also a practitioner in Chelmsford. He was sued by a patient, Barry Hart and "lost" the case. However Hart won a small amount but was ordered by the judge to pay a huge sum in costs.

Message to the public: don't try to get at the doctors who caused many deaths and injuries in the Deep Sleep.

Among Herron's medical career positions were:

- research fellow, Qld Cancer Fund, 1963-68
- Qld branch president of Aus. Medical Association, 1989
- chief surgeon at Mater Misericordiae Hospital, Brisbane
- volunteer doctor in Rwanda after genocide, 1994
- chairman, National Council on Drugs, 2009
- chairman, Royal Brisbane Women's Hospital Foundation
- Fellow of Edinburgh College of Surgeons

Note also his political career:

- president, Qld branch Liberal Party, 1980-84 and 2001
- elected senator in Commonwealth Parliament, 1990
- Minister, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs (1996-2001)
- Ambassador to Ireland and the Holy See, 2002-2008

Herron's military involvement includes:

- reservist, Royal Australian Army Medical Corps, 1958-82
- reservist squadron leader, RAAF, 1982-1988

He has acquired many honors:

- Knight Commander of the Order of the Holy Sepulchre of Jerusalem
- Knight of Order of St Gregory, of the Holy See, 2012
- "Doctor of the University" honorary degree from Australian Catholic University, 2012

In 2012 Herron was made an officer of the Order of Australia "for distinguished service to the Parliament of Australia, to international relations through diplomatic and humanitarian roles, to professional medical associations, and to the community." (Note: this list compiled by my friend.)

Knowing that someone higher up awarded him such things as **the knighthood and the OA** makes me think **he was being rewarded for his service in the mind-control area.**

Seeing John Herron associated with the Australian Medical Association, the Cancer Fund, and the volunteers in Rwanda gives me the idea that **those organizations are manned by persons of similar persuasion.**

Being ambassador to the Holy See in the years after the Boston pedophile priest issue was revealed makes me think... oh, never mind. And why did the Catholic University give him an honor? And **what is this nonsense about St Gregory?** WWJS?

So he also sat in Parliament in Canberra making decisions for our society, and was specifically **in charge of Aboriginal affairs.** More than 24 patients died in Chelmsford from no cause other than the treatment received. There are two crimes that have no statute of limitations: murder and treason. Just mentioning it.

17. Do Lucifer and Occultism Matter?



This book, *Deliverance*, endeavors to deal – mainly politically – with the situation in which we find ourselves today. That “situation” contains child abuse, mind control, secrecy, and other concerns. Yet the real item being researched is the *source of power* of the powerful.

I have known since 2005, thanks to Carol Rutz’s book, *A Nation Betrayed*, that the US government, especially the military and the CIA, were using their office – and people’s trust in their office – to do terrible things. I have naturally been looking for approaches to stop this stuff.

You might say, right off the bat, why not just arrest these criminals? Actually that is what I do say. That is the normal way a society deals with harm-doers – they get tried and, if found guilty, punished.

Are there barriers to that happening today? In my opinion the only barrier is our mistaken belief that we can’t do it. Of course we can, and must, do it. “Or else!”

But Isn't It Based on the Occult?

You know this is going to be a dismissive chapter, right? I am not impressed by any “excuses” for the kidnapping of children, human experimentation, oppressive cults, etc. I am sure that what is behind all this apparently mysterious business is the desire of some people to control others.

That said, I must give at least some information about the justifications *that some practitioners adduce for their engagement in*, say, child torture and child murder.

Recall that it was the hearings, in 2015-2016, of Australia's Royal Commission that started me off. I was reporting it for Gumshoe News (an alternative media outlet founded by Dee McLachlan.) We were supposed to focus on the “*Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse.*”

I have not researched the tons of submissions made to The Commissioner and don't even know if they contain references to the occult. I do know that some survivors, notably Fiona Barnett, have told the RC that they were subjected to SRA – Satanic ritual abuse.

So let's talk about that for a moment. SRA was not invented in Fiona's small town of Engadine, NSW, nor in Australia. It goes back centuries in Europe. (Is there a parallel thing in Asian cultures? I don't know. Probably.)

The venues at which the SRA occurs tend to be churches, or rooms decorated to look like a place of worship. The use of candles, altars, and chanting is often mentioned.

It is also common to refer to the killing of a baby as a ritual “sacrifice.” This would imply that the people feel they are fulfilling a directive from, or are in personal contact with, the supernatural realm.

Some of the Groups Involved

First let me just name a few of the groups that have been accused of ritual ceremonies in which children get hurt. I can only rattle off some of the accusations. The text here is not good research. I confessedly don't want to bother researching Satanism, as I hold a *pre-judice* that it is **only a covering for other things that are going on.**

1. **Freemasons.** It does seem that within the organization known as the Freemasons, that was begun in the 1700s in England, there is room for Satan-like stuff. One of the most prolific writers of the MK-Ultra survivors, Neil Brick, always says he was “allegedly harmed” by Masons – he uses the word allegedly to avoid a defamation lawsuit.

2. **The Vatican.** The Royal Commission in Australia recently gave the statistic of 4,500 children abused by the pedophile priests. Not many of them speak of satanic rituals, yet it has been claimed that on the premises of the Vatican itself, in Rome, there are satanic goings-on. The pope who resigned, who had functioned for decades as a member of the Vatican curia, Joseph Ratzinger, a former Nazi, is accused of overseeing child murder. (My late Dad, a devout Catholic, would faint at my saying that.)

3. **Jews.** Thanks to Rabbi Marvin Antelman, writing in the two-volume *Eliminate the Opiate*, we hear that the Sabbatian sect was a proponent of satanic ritual. A rabbi named Sabbatai Zevi had to convert to Islam to escape punishment under the Ottomans. Some other Jews followed him and formed a Sabbatian sect. Another Jew, Jacob Frank (1726-1791) claimed to be the Messiah. Reminiscent of Aleister Crowley – or perhaps he inspired Crowley -- Frank encouraged people to violate the law as a way of being good. A “Do what thou wilt” type thing. Frankist gatherings featured orgies. See Appendix K.

4. **The Illuminati.** “The Enlightened.” Adam Weishaupt (1748-1830), seems to have been the strategist for this group. He was professor of law at Ingerstoldt, Germany, a Jesuit-trained Jew, and a Mason. He used the codename Spartacus and was pals with Mayer Rothschild.

See the report of Fritz Springmeier, who I think is genuine, for the enormous reach of the Illuminati into such things as mind control.

5. **The Order of Skull and Bones,** a senior-year fraternity at Yale. They have satanic meetings in a building on campus known as The Tomb. In 2004, Americans had the choice of two finalists to vote for as president, both of whom were Bonesmen. (George W Bush and John Kerry). Note that Kerry later became Hillary Clinton’s successor as US Secretary of State. Woo-woo.

6. **The Church of Satan.** Founded by Anton LaVey in 1966, this church has received official status in the US and so has an army chaplain! In the 1978 Department of Army pamphlet 165-13 we read:

HISTORICAL ROOTS: The Church of Satan is an eclectic body that traces its origin to many sources - classical voodoo, the Hell-Fire Club of eighteenth century England, the ritual magic of Aleister Crowley, and the Black Order of Germany.

WORSHIP REQUIREMENTS: Worship consists of magical rituals and there are three basic kinds: sexual rituals, to fulfill a desire; compassionate rituals, to help another; and destructive rituals, used for anger, annoyance, or hate.

MINIMUM EQUIPMENT FOR WORSHIP: Varies with the type of ritual performed but is likely to include a black robe, an altar, the symbol of the Baphomet (Satan), a bell, candles, a chalice, elixir, a sword, a model phallus, a gong.

You get the idea.

It's also a personal limitation of mine that I can't get into what various occult symbols mean, such as a goat's head. I don't care what they mean. I care that some men have formed secret societies -- and I'll grant that their way of keeping the members interested may have been to pretend that great meaning lies in the group's symbols.

Secret societies are terrible. When Bush was running for re-election in 2004 he was asked to explain the Skull and Bones philosophy. Voters are certainly entitled to know, but Bush declined to discuss it. However, he won.

The Calendar

Cultists appear eager to act on certain days. As suggested in the book *Ritual Abuse and Mind Control* edited by Orit Epstein, Joseph Schwartz, and Rachel Wingfield, the 8 key dates are:

Yule/Winter Solstice	22 December
Imbolc/Brigid's Day/Candlemas	2 February
Ostara/Spring	21 March
Beltane	1 May
Midsummer/Alban Hefin	21 June
Lughnasadh/Lammas	1 August
Mabon Autumn Equinox	21 September
Samhain/Halloween	31 October

From what I have read, there are many people in the upper echelon who really do make plans according to magic dates. It could even be that they send your sons to war based on what is seen to be the right date.

On the next page I show the special dates in November and December (from that same Orit Epstein source).

November:

- 1: Satanist High Holy Day (related to Halloween) All Saint's Day
The Isia: six days of ritual drama commemorating Isis searching for the pieces of Osiris, feast of the netherworlds, resurrection of Osiris.
- 2: All Souls's Day (feast in honour of the dead), Day of the Dead (*Santeria*), Day of the Dead, (*El Dia De Los Muertos*).
- 4: Satanic revels.
- 7: Rebirth of Osiris.
- 21-22: Musemass. Church created this day for St Cecilia, goddess of music, who prayed to stay a virgin.

December:

- 5: Sinterklaas (Holland).
- 6: St Nicholas's Day.
- 12-19: Jewish winter festival of Light, Chanukah, start of the Winter Solstice, animal sacrifice and live burial of victims to celebrate the dark time.
- 12-13: St. Lucia's Day.
- 17-22: Saturnalia.
- 21: St Thomas Day. Yule. Winter Solstice, fire.
- 21: Feast Day, orgies.
- 22: Yule/Winter Solstice.
- 24: Christmas Eve/Satanic and demon revels/Da Meur/Grand High Climax. The Saxon name was Mondranect. The night of mothering. The day is dedicated to the birth of the sun and the goddess Astarte. The following night is celebration of the mother as Sea Mother.
- 25: Christmas Day, ascribed to the birth of Jesus, is the birthday of the Sun and Tammuz, the reincarnation of the sun god. Yule, the Winter Solstice of 21st December was moved by the Roman Catholic Church to 25th December. 25th December was also the Roman Saturnalia festival, a time for drinking and feasting. Druids also saw the mistletoe as representing sexuality at this period.

18. Wendy Hoffman and Alison Miller



Wendy Hoffman has given us amazing new information in her books about the entire subject of mind control, ritual abuse, AND the ins and outs of the cabal's dealings.

At least I *think* it is the cabal that Wendy Hoffman is talking about. The extreme behavior of many groups was mentioned in previous chapters. It is a good guess that those criminals get away with murder because they happen to be in control **of the legal system.**

First let me present Wendy's autobiography, *The Enslaved Queen: A Memoir about Electricity and Mind Control* (2014).

She was born in Brooklyn in 1941. Her grandfather was a horrible torturer of many children. An unusual part of her story is that she was a "chosen one," set to be a queen. I have since learned that mind controllers have told that to many children but in this case it seems serious.

Josef Mengele, a Nazi doctor who performed medical experiments at Auschwitz, especially on twins, was part of

her team of controllers. Was Wendy at Auschwitz? No. The fact is that Mengele escaped after the war and went to South America. I have known for ten years or more that he was very much a part of the MK-Ultra business in the United States. Many kids report that a Dr Green tortured them.

Meet Dr Green [in Wendy's book]:

“We have a nice little girl, let's slice her in half and I can have half and you can have half. Which half do you want, vanilla or chocolate?” I felt my fear rising even though I realized he was trying to make me afraid. I thought of the half chocolate and half vanilla cookies my grandmother brought from the bakery on Paradise Boulevard.

Mrs. Twartski [Wendy's handler] answered, “Vanilla.” I was surprised. I thought she liked chocolate.

Mengele said, “I'll get my saw. We'll put this vise on her head to hold her still. Tell me when her brains come out, then I'll know I squeezed too tight.” That started my terror despite myself. I could feel worry becoming a mountain with ice on top.

Mengele said “You're going to go to a dentist who'll put very sharp needles all the way down your throat. You have that look forward to, too.” Then they spun me, saying “Who wants to remember what's going to happen to her?” They were trying to bring out an internal part that would always do what they commanded. [That is, one of Wendy's other identities, her alters, her “people.”]

MENGELE: “It should be a boy and not a girl.”

MRS. TWARTSKI: “Why?”

MENGELE: “They're more controllable.”

I liked to spite Mengele even more than I liked to rebel against Wiezenslowski, the other big shot. I felt my inside people think: If he wants a boy, a girl will come out. That was the only way I could think of to fight back. I said, “I'll remember and I'm a girl.”

Once a part of the mind comes out to accept a task, it's too much trouble for the mind controllers to put that part back in and coax out a more suitable part. This part's name was Annie and she had a Raggedy Anne doll look. Mengele was angry that I popped out. "Maybe I should whip you."

Mrs. Twartski said, "Let's get on with it." Mengele wore a devil costume with a tail. Mrs. Twartski wore her floral dress. She was heavy and square looking like both my grandmas. He went right up to my face and roared and scared me. That made me want to fight him harder.

He was like an eight-year-old and I was four. "Let's take her and flush her down the toilet," he said.

My head was way too big to flush down the toilet. It was silly. He raged at me. "We're going to throw you in the ocean. You deserve to feed the fish. We'll take you to the top of the tower and throw you out the window.

Whenever you see a shadow or a Negro man, you'll be afraid, you'll even scream. Whenever you see a Japanese man, you'll be afraid he'll cut you with a sword. Whenever you hear a bell, you'll be afraid I'm going to come and get you.

And if you don't get afraid, then know I will take a knife and cut all the way from your chin down the centre of your body and Mrs. Twartski will scoop out your insides. So it's better to be afraid, if you want some advice."

He threw me onto a cot. "I'm getting bored," he said. Mrs. Twartski said "Me too."

"We'll come back later," They didn't come back that day.

Dear Reader, I can only say don't worry if you can't believe that. Also please worry if you *can* believe it, as this

is a sign of the kind of world our human species ended up creating.

Another point that comes out later in the book is that **Mengele gave her polio**. Ms Hoffman thus had to live with a bad leg and could not become a dancer, though she proved to be a marvellous choreographer of dance!

There are many biographies now – such as the ones by Kathleen A Sullivan, Wanda Karriker, David Shurter, and Anne Johnson -- that describe the cruelty of mind control. I won't go into their details here. Nor will I go over the life of Fiona Barnett or Aishe in Australia.

I will zero in on unique stuff by Wendy, from her aforementioned *Enslaved Queen* book, and her *White Witch in a Black Robe* (2016), bravely published by Karnac in the UK.

It is possible that she has misremembered some bits. However her therapist Alison Miller can tell the wheat from the chaff. Much of the book consists of conversation between Alison and Wendy.

Because Wendy was a chosen one (her code name was Queen Philomena), she had to make a trip every ten years to whichever country the top men were meeting in. Surely it sounds peculiar that they included her in their dealings. But it could be true if they really go in for occult trappings.

This is from pp 36-37 of *White Witch*. Wendy says the Illuminati leadership believed that high-level Nazis didn't care about racism. (That's what I always figured, too.)

Aryan superior race was a slogan they gave for the masses. The Illuminati are trying to gather up Hitler's groundwork and build on it.

Alison asks how they plan to do this.

By getting the Nazi scientists' knowledge. They put the minorities and intellectuals in [the concentration camps]. The Nazi leaders knew people needed targets for their own self-hatred.

Alison wanted to know who said that.

I heard it at the Illuminati tables at the first castle, when members talked about how they had to reap the seeds of evil

Alison asked again who said that.

Ivan Abel. The male politicians and Abel said that Churchill didn't understand the real Nazi agenda, which was **one master government, not one master race**. The Illuminati also asked whether to join races.

Alison asked whether they are still doing selective breeding.

The Illuminati are **breeding their own leaders**, of more than one race. They wanted to eliminate anyone who would oppose them. **Their Masonic division** [first I've heard of it] **controls who becomes president**. The Illuminati are trying to control the world.

"We will have a bomb that we can wipe out entire countries if we cannot control them."

Alison asks my age when I heard this.

I was ten and twenty. They talk about warfare every time I was there. And they were setting off **rockets** as experiments.

Alison asks what I heard at the older ages.

In my forties [1982] I heard discussions about the financial world, environmental pollution, and farming the seas.

Everyone had to give an opinion. Flat world maps lined the walls and globes of the world surrounded the table. We touched the globes and tried to perceive through projected and representative energies where people were vulnerable

and therefore open to the transmission of evil. [Sick bastards]

We had to peer into the crystal balls and absorb from them knowledge of future events and trends.

From the atmosphere I heard sighs, discontent and anguish, a sigh of oppression, a sigh of despair, the suffering in the universe. The **despair pointed to where Lucifer wanted to go, where people would be hungry for him.** [Really?]

Alison asks if they gave me drugs. “Psychedelics,” someone inside answers. I struggle with these memories.

“Anyone who talks about it and anyone she tells will die on the spot,” a programmer told my inside brain parts.

I have to admit that doesn't make too much sense to me. I have always thought Emanuel Goldstein, who features in George Orwell's *1984*, had it right when he said (in 1949) that there is already a one world government and the purpose of war is to keep the people busy (so they won't notice differentials of wealth).

The best I can think is that the nutjobs can't face up to the reality that they aren't able to control billions of people, stretched over millions of square miles. **So they compensate by talking about what is doable.** They can harm the weak. Oh boy is that doable.

Thomas P Barnett's book *The Pentagon's New Map* (2005) shows a map with several countries missing. Much of South America, Africa, and the Middle East are just not there. He argues that this is good -- since only the “integrated core nations” can make it economically.

He also mentions that the campus of the Pentagon is occupied not primarily by military but by private corporations. Now *that's* spooky.

19. So Where Be Human Nature?



Detail from the Sistine Chapel ceiling

This chapter undertakes to use evolutionary biology to explain some of the things we have been discussing.

The majority of people were shocked to learn about Pizzagate. Raping children is abnormal, kidnapping them from their homes is outrageous, and using babies as a sacrifice is just not on.

Here are four ideas you may have heard bandied about as explanations for the Pizzagate state of affairs:

1. People are greedy and there is money here (in porn films, prostitution, and maybe even sale of organs).
2. Some folks have sexual addictions or fetishes.
3. It is all part of a scheme to do medical or psychological experiments with an eye to defeating an enemy someday.
4. The whole thing is part of power – perhaps even the need of politicians to do what the going thing is, in order to keep a job, get a promotion, or experience belonging.

Check the DNA

My view of the world is the view of sociobiology. I assume the behavior of *any* species is a product of the environment *in which it first evolved*. Creatures must adapt if necessary. They don't consciously plan that, though – a random mutation occurs in the genes and if it supports a behavior that helps survival and reproduction, such DNA may come to be the norm in that group.

The “law of nature” we need to keep in mind is that whatever equipment we've got, we got for its functional value. If the environment has changed, that stuff may not work well and could even be lethal. I think that's us now!

On the previous page I mentioned greed, sex cravings, the urge to outsmart an enemy, and the need for a place in the power arrangement. Now I say:

1. If greed is coming to have ridiculous results, the culture should teach the hazardousness of that, and put a cap on individual wealth. (It has been done by many cultures).
2. If the urge is to rape a child, the person needs to be kicked out of society. Since when does the sex urge justify the ruination of lives? Can't he just masturbate?
3. Re mind control, which accounts for some of the torturing of kiddies, the CIA does it supposedly to help the nation. Yet the CIA *really* works for a few at the top, not for the US or any nation. Just for the “club.” Pathetic.
4. Power relations need to be modified by the society.
I now ask “Is it in our nature to be cruel?” Yes. We come equipped with the ability to lose all sense of decency and love when dealing with an enemy. I think history shows

that we have a powerful urge to punish “sinners,” also. The amount of cruelty in prisons is an example of that.

Do we have a *natural urge* to offer sacrifices to an unseen power such as a god? Yes, if it’s safe to judge by the fact that this behavior has popped up in many cultures. (I cannot interpret the trait other than to say humans clearly possess an urge for religion; it must be biologically based.)

Note: But I don’t think Satan-worship is really what got baby-killing started. People wanting to justify their mistreatment of others may wrap it up in a cute formula about Lucifer and “the goodness of evil”! By the way the drinking of baby blood is apparently health-giving.

What about *necrophilia*? Some people delight in watching a death. If that’s within our human nature, there has to be an evolutionary explanation for it. Maybe it’s part of power-satisfaction; snuffing out a life is quite an ego trip. But it may on some way be an extension of our natural acceptance of death. All of us know we will die – so it’s likely we’re equipped with an ability to be OK with that.

Power and Its Structures

In many ordinary events, the power encounter is one-on-one. As Thucydides succinctly put it, “The strong exact what they can and the weak grant what they must.” So most people wish to be in a group for protection.

But of course one’s group could be the weaker of two contending groups, in which case the Thucydidean maxim would again apply. The presence of power relations is predicted by the fact that all mammal species are selfish. You do what you can to survive. This involves bending the knee to the conqueror -- but conquering if you’re able.

As far as Pizzagate goes, we are looking at people whose power relations have resulted from various *structures*.

I think our political leaders have fallen prey to their own structures. You hear all the time, for instance, that a policy that is about to be announced “will run on party lines.” As if the reasoning for the decision is actually unnecessary!

Oddly, as some of Pizzagate-type murderers get outed, we are noticing that they are enslaved. They work for a boss whom they feel they cannot resist. In the case of Obama and the Clintons, both Hillary and Bill, not to mention the two Bush presidents, they were probably MK-Ultra’d.

As noted in the Alison Miller interview, Wendy Hoffman reports that leaders are acquired by breeding them. Just imagine it, babies *being bred to be president* of the Republic!

Many of us were happy that Trump won the election, as it seemed from his self-confident tone that he would throw all that weird cabal stuff overboard. But possibly he is part of the same program. Time will tell.

Engineered Misperception Isn’t “Human Nature”

Let me venture further into how the present condition of our society can be attributed to DNA. It’s in our DNA to live in fairly small groups. Lately we changed our environment by living densely and autonomously (how many residents of a high rise even know one another’s names?)

We no longer have direct access to food from the earth, which is what our species normally would have. This is quite a change. To get a meal we depend on a complex chain of relationships. All the players along the line have interests that they wish to satisfy, and power is a factor.

So even without the Tavistock jerks we have problems. But they unabashedly created schemes to lower our intelligence.

As mentioned earlier, they distributed LSD and other drugs. They altered school curricula to cancel the thirst for learning (which is famously natural in human nature). Moreover, they quite brilliantly set out to change everyone's perception of reality!

Consider a zoologist wanting to describe the nature of a species, such as a panda bear. She would check on its anatomy, developmental schedule, and typical behaviors such as food-getting and mate-choice. She wouldn't need any high-tech to get into the brain of that animal to see how it perceives the world, as this could be judged by its behavior over time.

I mean there is a good tie-in between the perception and the reaction. Tavistock aims to help the overlords gain free rein over everyone --by altering our "reality." They accomplished a lot of this without our ever knowing it.

They also looked at ways to render us politically helpless. They want to put us a state of fear, apathy, or despair, since in such states we "take" better to a strong boss.

The wave of terrorist attacks starting with 9-11 – all most likely scripted on a Tavistock-type drawing board – have had the desired effects of: 1. Promoting one group as our enemy ("Muslims"), 2. Making a surrender of liberties seem wise, and 3. Keeping us in a state of alert so that we don't even think about planning a good future.

Tavistock's Dr Fred Emery proudly announces that he can inject "turbulence" into a society. When John Rawlings Rees and company set up their sick plans they

did so in the respectable context of how to fight an enemy. But that was all to disguise what their real mission was.

In the book *50 Years of the Tavistock Clinic*, by H Dicks, we find:

“During the first winter we held our regular staff meetings. It was remarkable [I’ll say] how, without any design, our interest seemed to shift from reporting in individual, morbid conditions or cases to considering the larger problems of war and of human relations, the nature of hostility [cooked up] which were to be very much reflected in the philosophy, first of the Army psychiatric service and later in our post-war planning and policies.

I remember giving an address, for which I apologized, entitled ‘the Anal Sadistic basis of our Culture’ However it went down well and was the kind of thing that pre-occupied the Tavistock staff in this era.” [Wow.]

The Realm of a Hidden Leadership

Consider this for an oxymoron: a “hidden leadership.” Members of *Homo sapiens* are geared up to follow their leaders. That much is written into our genes. But if the leaders are hidden – for example if they do their leading by using the media to spread lies, what’s a *Homo* to do?

I can count on fewer than the fingers of one hand any social leaders today who look like they are interested in leading (rather than controlling or manipulating). qAnd where be the whole wonderful set of guidelines developed over centuries of experience? Where did wisdom go?

The best thing about the breaking open of Pizzagate is that it is bringing us closer to an identification of who the

“leaders” actually are. In earlier chapters I showed how court cases regularly get “thrown” to suit the powerful.

For example, the McMartin pre-school prosecution did not end with jail for a high-ranking accused (Aquino). And at the Royal Commission into Institutional Response to Child Sexual Abuse, Denis Ryan, a Mildura cop, told how he got stymied in his efforts to help the victims.

Strangely enough all it took was a press conference by a very angry survivor, Fiona Barnett, to turn the whole gig around. She showed our politicians engaging in murder.

And now consider that we have “overheard” – thanks to Queen Philomena – that the secret leaders claim to make decisions by consulting an Oracle. Eeks!

Still. This does not have to continue. It’s perfectly normal -- is it not? -- for humans to recognize an error they have made. If they’ve taken a wrong road they can go back and start again. If they have created a plan that has no hope of succeeding, they’ll eventually throw it in the trash.

Even if they have invented a product that is harming everyone – I’ll cite fracking as an example – and it would be a major nuisance to dismantle that thing, people still have the capacity and inclination to do just that. They can sing together “a dismantlin’ we will go.”

John Coleman’s Theory: a Committee of 300

On the next page, I quote John Coleman as to the persons who run the world. Note: his 1994 book, *Conspirators’ Hierarchy: The Committee of 300*, 3rd edition, needs updating as to who-is-who today. (See more in Appendix G.)

From John Coleman, *The Conspirators' Hierarchy*, 1993

Let us talk about actual case histories, the attempt to communize and deindustrialize Italy. The Committee of 300 long ago decreed that there shall be a smaller, better world, that is, their idea of what constitutes a better world, the myriads of useless eaters consuming scarce natural resources were to be culled.

This called for an attack upon Christianity; the slow but sure disintegration of industrial nation states; the destruction of hundreds of millions of people, referred to by Committee of 300 as "surplus population," and the removal of any leader who dared to stand in the way of the Committee's global planning to reach the foregoing objectives.

The late Aldo Moro of Italy, was one leader who opposed "zero growth" and population reductions, thereby incurring the wrath of the Club of Rome. In court on November 10th, 1982, a close friend of Moro's testified that the former prime minister was threatened by an agent of the Royal Institute for International Affairs who is also a Committee of 300 member while he was still the US Secretary of State: HK.

It will be recalled that Prime Minister Moro was kidnaped by the Red Brigades in 1978 and subsequently shot to death. It was at the trial of members of the Red Brigades that several of them testified to the fact that they knew of high-level US involvement in the plot to kill Moro. When threatening Moro, Kissinger was obviously not carrying out U.S. foreign policy, but rather acting according to instructions received from the Club of Rome, an arm of the Committee of 300.

The witness who delivered the bombshell in open court was a close associate of Moro's, Gorrado Guerzoni. His explosive testimony was broadcast over Italian television on November 10th, 1982, and printed in Italian newspapers yet this vital information was suppressed in the U.S.

In my 1982 expose of this heinous crime, I demonstrated that Aldo Moro, a loyal member of the Christian Democrat Party, was murdered by assassins controlled by P2 Masonry with the object of bringing Italy into line with Club of Rome orders to deindustrialize the country.

From the foregoing it becomes clear just how far ahead the conspirators plan. They do not think in terms of a Five Year Plan. One needs to go back to Weishaupt's statements about the early Catholic Church to understand what was involved in the murder of Aldo Moro. Moro's death removed the roadblocks to the plans to destabilize Italy, and as we now know, enabled conspiracy plans for the Middle East to be carried out in the Gulf War 14 years later.

Italy was chosen as a test-target by the Committee of 300. Italy is important to the conspirators' plans. It is also the home of the Catholic Church, which Weishaupt ordered destroyed, and home for some of Europe's most powerful top oligarchical families of the ancient Black Nobility. Italy is important for another reason; it is a gateway for drugs entering Europe from Iran and Lebanon, and we shall return to that subject in due course.

Police investigators in Rome working on the Red Brigades-Aldo Moro case came across the names of several very prominent Italian families working closely with this terrorist group. The police also discovered evidence that in at least a dozen cases, these powerful and prominent families had allowed their homes and/or property to be used as safe houses for Red Brigades cells.

America's "nobility" were doing their share to destroy the Republic of Italy, a notable contribution having come from Richard Gardner even while in his official capacity of President Carter's ambassador to Rome. Gardner, at that time, was operating under the direct control of the Club of Rome, by way of Bettino Craxi, a key man in NATO.

20. Citizen's Arrest and a Truth Commission



Me get 'em

You'll have noticed that the concerns of this book have gone way past the issues of pedophilia and satanic cults. The main concern is hidden rulership. I see the scandals as a wonderful new opportunity to break out of the strange mess we allowed ourselves to get into.

There is no question in my mind that the persons who have been doing terrible things to the human race need to be stopped. I myself would not care how that gets accomplished – by killing them, by pensioning them off, by welcoming them back into the fold -- whatever. The urgent thing is to make it all stop.

There are legal means of holding officials accountable. They tend to be neglected. This chapter lists them.

Besides “officials,” persons who have attained great power also need to be dealt with. Loosely, I call them the cabal. They are arrogant, selfish, sick individuals. Did I say stupid? They are stupid beyond belief.

Did You Ever Expect To Be a Serf?

At the moment we have little control over our lives. It is a flashback to the days of the serfs and the lords of the manor – but today a tiny minority of wealthy people actually speak of ‘population reduction’ as if we’re vermin.

And there’s an extra twist. Namely, the “lords” are not only kicking the serfs around, they are wrecking the habitat of all creatures great and small. It is species-suicide – something new under the sun!

They are thus killing the future for those they love! (I’m assuming that they love their progeny.) Even for the remainder of their lifetimes -- which some of them have been deluded into believing will last 200 years -- their quality of life is bound to be in steep descent.

I say Get ’em. You’d even be doing them a favor, to rescue them from themselves.

The Law of Retaliation – Lex Talionis

First let’s look at the role of anger and revenge, two utterly normal human traits. Written law has been around for about 2800 years, and at first it didn’t refrain from stating the rightness of retaliation:

Deuteronomy 19:21 Thus you shall not show pity: life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand. As he has caused a blemish in a man, so shall it be done to him.

Exodus 21:23-25 And if any mischief follow, then you shall give life for life...

Leviticus 24:19 If a man injures his neighbor, just as he has done, so it shall be done to him.

The Law of Outlawry

I love the law. I practically adore it. It's beautiful. Still, the Powers That Be have pretty well made mincemeat of it.

Having recently written four books about the misuse of the law by judges (!): *Fraud Upon the Court*, *Prosecution for Treason*, *Marathon Bombing*, and *Port Arthur: Enough Is Enough*, and having followed Bill Windsor's video series "Lawless America," I can say for sure that we are in a desperate position.

We can't get our law to work, as steel barriers have been set up. In my books I suggest remedies such as: forming citizens' grand juries, instigating cultural change by asking licensing boards to discipline doctors and judges, and reinvigorating the concept of "treason."

Since all of the "lords" are effectively above the law – no cop will arrest them, no prosecutor will prosecute them -- we can look to the ancient common law of **outlawry**.

A harm-doer who cannot be reached by the law, for trial or punishment, must nevertheless be taken down. This is done by declaring him an outlaw. From that moment no one is allowed to help him (even help him get food) and anyone is allowed to kill him. It is society's right to decide that a member's behavior is so egregious that he has got to go. As mentioned there is currently a species-suicide underway by these "lords."

I have opined on a Youtube video that in Australia each state's DPP, Director of Public Prosecutions, seems to be an outlaw. They are "independent" of government -- not answerable to Parliament! Moreover, if they commit crime they can drop the charges against themselves. I am not trying to be funny. This is a major loophole for crime.

Citizen's Arrest

All 50 states of the US allow citizens arrest. Professor Bassiouni has arranged the main planks into a model code. (Note: I don't think his idea ever got legislated.)

A Model Uniform Citizen's Arrest Statute, As Proposed by Professor Cherif Bassiouni in 1977.

(1) Any person other than an authorized public officer as defined by (the laws of the state) may arrest another for any offense (crime or violation) as defined by (the law of the state or any political subdivision) other than a quasi-criminal violation and municipal ordinance

(2) Arrest can be made on reasonable grounds to believe that the offense has been committed or is being committed and the arrestor has reasonable grounds to believe the arrestee has committed or is about to commit the offense.

(3) The arrestor must give arrestee notice of his or her intention to perform the arrest and state the reasons....

(4) The arrestor may in effectuating the arrest use any reasonable amount of force necessary and warranted under the circumstance other than deadly force and force causing great bodily harm, but without prejudice to "self-defence."

(5) The arrestee shall not resist the arrest unless he knows it to be unlawful. This shall not, however, prejudice the arrestee's right to "self-defence" in preventing any unauthorized harm from occurring to him or her.

(6) An arrestor must upon performing the arrest notify a peace officer and deliver the arrestee ... without delay.

(7) The arrestor, regardless of his or her identity or authority, shall be subject to the same constitutional and statutory

limitations imposed on public agents with regard to unreasonable searches and seizure and [Miranda's].

(9) The following categories are immune from citizen's arrest: a. law enforcement agents (local, state, federal)
b. members of the judiciary c. members of the legislature.

It has always been legal, and at times mandatory, for citizens to "effect the capture" of criminals. Centuries ago everyone was *required* to "raise the hue and cry" if a thief was on the run.

If you are going to do it, I recommend these steps:

1. Try at first to get a warrant from a court or a sherriff.
2. If no warrant, at least gather some friends together and write up the criminal complaint in the form of a warrant.
3. Have a "holding pen" of some sort ready for custody of the detainee in the event that police won't take him.
4. Provide the accused's rights, such as to remain silent.
5. Be aware that you can get sued by the person if it is later established that he had *not* committed a crime.

The Law of Self-Defense

Could you be arrested for harming that person (your quarry?) Yes but the *common law of self-defense* is simply the basic right of any person to prevent someone harming him -- or harming another person.

The way it works is that if you hit someone who is, say, breaking into your bedroom with a crowbar, you may be arrested if you injure or kill him. But your defense in court -- to get an acquittal, will be that you acted in self defense. And you can act on behalf of others the same way.

The High Court of Australia ruled in the homicide case *Zecevic v Director of Public Prosecutions* (1987):

“The question to be asked ... is whether the accused believed upon reasonable grounds that it was necessary in self-defence to do what he did. If he had that belief and there were reasonable grounds for it ... then he is entitled to an acquittal.”

Calling Him In To Make a Statement

Often someone is suspected of having committed a crime but there is a lack of good evidence. You think that would hold all police efforts back? Of course not. The suspect, or “a person of interest,” can be called in for questioning.

The US has had, ever since 1793, a Material Witness law. It allows the arrest and *indefinite detention* of a person who is holding information that will be vital to the court.

Think about Pizzagate. A lot of people today are holding vital information. In fact there *are* at this moment many children being tortured and killed (for fun) and **we could rescue them** if we used the Material Witness law.

Grand Jury

The grand jury needs to be revitalized today. It is not the same as a jury that tries a case. It is a body of jurors who can bring forth the awareness that a crime is being, or has been, committed. In other words, grand jurors are snoops.

This is good. Society needs snoops. They have the power to issue summonses for witnesses to provide information.

It is all done secretly, to protect the possible accused person from having his reputation besmirched before it is established that there is a case against him. If the grand jury thinks there is a case, it issues a “true bill” or an indictment. Persons called to the GJ can’t bring a lawyer!

Recap. So far we have looked at *lex talionis*, outlawry, citizen's arrest, the law of self-defense, the material witness law, and the grand jury. *But see Bill Windsor's videos on how prosecutors are usurping the grand jury role.*

Truth Commissions

There is absolutely nothing to prevent you starting a truth commission in your living room or in your backyard, right now. The word "commission" sometimes implies that an official has "commissioned" it. So you may wish to use a different word like "committee" – the words don't matter.

Often such a group calls itself a Truth and Reconciliation Commission, a TRC. For amateurs starting out I think it is too much to take on the job of *reconciling* anyone.

The most famous TRC was that of South Africa after apartheid. The aim was to help the transition to a racially integrated society by validating the complaints of blacks as to the atrocious things done to them.

White policemen would predictably have preferred a TRC to a court, as there was no punishment in the TRC. The deal was that if you came forward and confessed you'd be spared jail.

In El Salvador's Truth Commission, the aim was to find out who had been behind the death squads. They found out it was the US. Natch. Today we'd like to know who is behind, say, the child-sex rings. Wouldn't we?

In 2010 I was invited by some survivors of MK-Ultra to be on a "US Truth and Reconciliation Coalition" founded by Kathleen A Sullivan. It quickly chose to reduce its own mission to one of "educating the public" rather than calling for confessions. A CIA infiltrator or two saw to it

that the group dissolved after about a year. From that I learned that it's probably better to have many small TCs than one big one, if for no reason other than to dodge the inevitable attacks on the members, or *try* to!

In Kathleen's group the ratio of survivors to laypersons was unbalanced. In fact survivors really should not be on the board; they should act as guides or experts. It helps to show neutrality, not pre-judging the facts.

Amnesty?

I am sure many whistle blowers of Pizzagate-type crimes, and MK-Ultra-type crimes, are waiting in the wings to tell what they know. I also think many of the perpetrators are desirous of getting it over with by confessing.

At your informal TRC you certainly can't guarantee that those will get amnesty from prosecution for having come forward. But you can articulate the fact that society would be more favorably disposed to them than to the others.

Get 'Em This Afternoon

Folks, we always "wait for it to happen." Americans have been waiting 53 years for the Kennedy assassination to be dealt with in the proper legal way, and 15 years for 9-11. It ain't ever going to "happen."

I don't think the MK-Ultra monsters are going to receive so much as a slap on the wrist – if we leave it up to "going through channels." It is surely **up to you** to rise above this nonsense and do the right thing.

Most of the items I referenced, such as outlawry and grand juries are inscribed in the law -- they have merely been forgotten from disuse. You are entitled to use them. (In a few jurisdictions, the common law of outlawry has been repealed by statute. In the rest, it still exists as law.)

21. Believe the Victims

(published February 9, 2017)



(L) Survivors gather in Rome for Pell's grilling (R) Fiona Barnett

Fiona Barnett underwent torture and terror for many years, and saw children murdered. Yet, at a press conference in 2015, she averred that the way she has been treated “since coming out” with her story, is *worse* than those experiences.

This is terrible and many other abused children say the same thing. Men who are now senior citizens reported to the Royal Commission hearings in 2016 that were ruined first by perpetrators and then by the way officials covered it all up. I saw some of them break down in tears when recounting it.

In the field of law, we pride ourselves on not giving credence to self-interested claims unless there is “evidence” to back them up. But that’s a bit silly, since, in our normal personal lives, we regularly believe self-interested statements. We can check on those statements if necessary, but we don’t burden ourselves with such a task unless something big is at stake, or some factor has given rise to suspicion.

I’m here to say that we need to do something to reduce the sort of legal treatment that causes an abused child to suffer from the frustration or humiliation of not being

believed. I make the suggestion that we invoke the concept, already established in law, called “a **rebuttable presumption.**” Thus we *presume* something to be true, but leave it open to anyone to produce evidence, or reasoning, that would rebut the presumption.

Can this give rise to people “taking advantage”? Sure. But why say that the problem of advantage-taking is so great that it must stand in the way of humane interactions with those who have probably suffered? That is all out of proportion.

The Usual Excuses for Dismissing a Child’s Claim

Let me anticipate a few arguments against what I have just recommended, *viz.*, the use of “rebuttable presumption.” The subject matter here is child sexual abuse and/or ritual abuse.

1. Grown-ups can use false claims of sexual abuse of their child by a spouse, in a divorce case. Usually it would be a mother telling the court that her husband should not have custody, as he would sexually abuse the child.

I am sure this does happen. How frequently it happens we do not know. When this claim is made (if falsely), the husband may lose his child, the child will lose her Dad, and the man may also suffer the loss of his reputation.

Very bad stuff, but remember: the presumption that the child is telling the truth is **rebuttable**. I am not saying it should become an iron law that every claim of abuse is to be believed. I am saying it *should not be an iron law* that every claim is to be doubted and easily dismissed.

2. Even where there is no divorce or custody case, a child may make up a story of sexual abuse. The motive could be to get attention or sympathy.

Sure, it can happen. However, the very telling of the details of sexual molestation is so embarrassing for a child, that it is at least highly unlikely that they'd make it up.

3. A child may have heard a story, or seen one on TV, and GENUINELY start to believe it happened to him or her. So here we are not talking about a kid who has a self-serving motive to falsely blame an adult. We are talking about a confused child.

In court there are ways to talk to a child that get at the truth. Indeed there are ways to ask questions of anyone whose story seems implausible. You can gently quiz the person and soon notice if their story does not hold up.

So the idea that a child is confused is never reason to dismiss his claim out of hand. Let a properly trained person interview him.

False Memory Syndrome -- FMS

All of that is separate from a matter that came up in the 1990s when kids who had been mind-controlled, such as by cults or as part of the CIA's MK-Ultra program, began to recover their memories of abuse.

It is now well known how the original programming, which kept the person's recall blanked out, can break down in middle age. The person becomes free to start to remember old events. Carol Rutz says, in *A Nation Betrayed*, that at her uncle's funeral she immediately sensed a new freedom – he had been her handler and now it was obvious he could not hurt her again.

The Powers That Be were desperate to thwart this recovery of memory, and not let any court believe that the kid was recounting a real episode. So Elizabeth Loftus and

others came up with “scientific proof” that the mind creates false memories. Her False Memory Syndrome organization was an effort to stand in the way of truth.

To take one example, at the McMartin Preschool in California, the complaints by children began in 1979. The parents started comparing notes and finally by 1987 there was a prosecution. Many children had reported the same things and it was possible for a “blind test” to be done.

Thus one child was taken to the street where her alleged abuser, Michael Aquino lived. She properly identified to police which house he lived in and she correctly described some interior features of the house.

Ultimately the case was thrown out. Many “experts” put forth the theory that the kids had a false memory of the event. Naturally, the media were on hand to help promulgate the FMS story. No abuser in the McMartin scandal got convicted. (See Chapter 13 above.)

Note: I recommend that all members of the Royal Commission be asked if they understand that satanic ritual abuse really does occur in Australia today. If they say No, they should be immediately “defrocked” from the RC.

False Memory Business in Australia

“The CIA’s mind control program in Australia was brought to our shores by The University of Sydney, Leonard Huxley and the Chairman of the Australian Psychological Society.”

So says Steve McMurray, a young man who runs the Mcmurrayreport.com. He points out that as long ago as 1960, Martin **Orne** (a cruel man, ask me about him) came to Sydney University, using funding from the US Air Force and

(think about this name) The United States Educational Fund in Australia (USEFA) run by a man from the **Huxley** family.

At the time, the head of Sydney's psychology department was Alfred Gordon **Hammer**, who chaired the Australian Branch of the British Psychological Society. Hammer went on to do two sabbaticals in Orne's lab at Harvard.

Orne gave a paper at Colgate entitled 'Antisocial Behavior and Hypnosis'. It related to a CIA mind-control experiment to see if **hypnotised** subjects would carry out dangerous and harmful acts that they wouldn't carry out in their normal state. Frederick J. **Evans**, a student at University of Sydney assisted Orne in the 1960 experiment., and later got a job at University of Pennsylvania. Steve McMurray notes:

"Prof. **Peter W. Sheehan** and **Campbell W. Perry**, psychology students at Sydney during Orne's visit, would later go onto perform **Manchurian-Candidate hypnosis experiments** with this network of researchers at Pennsylvania. Perry became a board member of the **False Memory Syndrome Foundation**.

"Dr. **Antony Kidman** was also studying psychology at Sydney during Orne's experiment and lectureship. He went on to study at University of Pennsylvania, with Dr. **Aaron Tim Beck**, an advisory board member of the False Memory Syndrome Foundation. It was there Kidman became a longtime colleague of Dr. **Martin Seligman**, a man whose life research was made the core of **CIA's torture** program.

"Interestingly, Fiona Barnett alleges that **Dr. Antony Kidman was working for the CIA** with Dr. **John W. Gittinger** as part of a **d** program that she was abused by – during and after he was involved with research in Pennsylvania."

22. Conclusion: Normalcy



(L) US Sen. Frank Church -- after his 1975 revelations he did not get re-elected. (R) Australian Senator Heffernan's 2016 valedictory speech.

In Chapter 20 on citizen arrest and truth commissions, I gave a sort of solution to the child-abuse and trafficking racket: **capture the criminals**. That will make the problem stop. At least in a local, short-term way.

But the recent events, known as Pizzagate, have spotlighted (to my mind, anyway) the fact that the hidden rulers of this world are 100% unmoved by people's suffering. They "play" evil the way you might play checkers. Needless to say **we have to get around them**.

In this concluding chapter I want to discuss *normalcy*, our culture's good way of living -- that is, the condition from which the bad guys' behavior diverges. **Arresting them is fine but we also need to pull ourselves together**.

See the two good senators pictured above? They are normal. We should take good behavior for granted. Society can work well. I saw it work well for decades.

Values

It may be thought -- wrongly -- by "modern writers" -- that values are not possible in a selfish world, as "only a few people would practice values." This is not true.

Indeed if we have got into the habit of letting killers get away with murder, we have probably acquired, and are practicing, **a social value** of “Let them get away with it.”

The human species is one of the **many social species** in the animal kingdom. All mammals are social -- at least to the extent that there is a mother-offspring bond owing to the offspring's need for milk. Some insect species are extremely social with a division of labor and elaborate defense of the group.

Uniquely in *Homo sapiens* there is the opportunity for a society, over time, to articulate its values – even to write them down in sacred books. I would guess that you **never find**, in such well-thought-out writings, a **set of values that underpins arbitrary behavior by all members**.

Come to think of it, no one ever says Let's have the law of the jungle; it's preferable to civilized behavior. Presumably no individual would think that, as he can picture himself being kicked around without anyone to protect him.

As far as I know, all societies come up with something like the Ten Commandments. They forbid behaviors that humans *would* do if there were no rules, namely, they would lie, steal, rape, hit, or even kill.

Of course when a society makes a firm rule, that does not physically restrain the person, but it lets him know that he is in for disapproval, at the very least, if he is observed breaking the rule. It also warns him that the rest of the group may take action against him.

So today we find ourselves with no words to worry him with! The obvious solution is find some words, and *pronto*. This is an easy task as so much has been written over the ages to create some discipline and motivation for good.

Idealistic Values

Perhaps just because it is the style of our brain to carry an idea to a high level, there might come to be a set of rules that are – admittedly – idealistic. For instance one might say “Always respect your fellow citizens’ human rights.”

It’s unfortunate if the existence of too-high ideals causes all such notions to be dismissed as impossible or silly. I think in the last 20 years my two societies (Australian and American) have **come to think the sophisticated thing to do is to make fun of high ideals.**

Before you know it, one denigrates any ideals at all. “What we need to do is look out for ourselves. Trust only the persons close to oneself. Assume the worst in strangers.”

Quel joke! That is almost back to the law of the jungle.

Creating a Rule of Law

Since the writings of, say John Locke and David Hume, people have talks about LAW ruling society instead of men running society. This was a new concept in terms of it being an idea that people could show strong approval of. That would, naturally, be the source of its strength.

We can still accept Niccolo Machiavelli’s 1513 book, *The Prince*. Ruthless leaders do anything to get to the top and say there. David Hume, wrote, in his 1752 book, *The Original Contract*:

“When an artful and bold man is placed at the head of an army or faction, it is often easy for him, by employing, **sometimes violence, sometimes false pretenses**, to establish his dominion.”

[Emphasis added]

Relevant to the issue in this book, as regards the odds of us succeeding against the powerful, note what Hume said:

[The leader] allows no such open communication, that his enemies can know, with certainty, their [own] number or force. [Wow.] He gives them no leisure to assemble together in a body to oppose him. Even all those ...may wish his fall; but **their ignorance of each other's intention keeps them in awe, and is the sole cause of his security.**" [Emphasis added]

What a hoot. So for us to gain strength we have to **show our fellow citizens that we strong in numbers.** We have, once again, to talk about the possibility – and of course the desirability – of the rule of law.

We have to contrast the two ways of life, for the public to make their choice – dictatorship, à la Machiavelli, or rule of law. Machiavelli wrote: “If an injury has to be done to a man **it should be so severe** that his vengeance need not be feared.”

That is what has happened today! Even though people may not be consciously aware of the destructiveness of the top dogs (via wars, earthquakes, deliberately concocted epidemics) they do know about it deep down. Hence “their vengeance” is not feared by the ruler.

Most likely, however, **the top dogs have a lot of fear.** They, too, know things deep down, even if they aren't consciously aware of them. For instance, do they not see the incredible numbers of humans in existence today whom they would need to fight, if it came to a fight?

Take comfort from this: the powerful are forever fiddling with legislation in such a way as to make themselves less

likely to go to jail. That means they have jail on the brain!

“Be Polite”

I notice that a by-product of our Western rule-of-law set-up is that nothing is supposed to come to fisticuffs. That is, citizens are supposed to assume that instead of getting violent with their opponent they should ask the law to do that for them.

This is good if the law is working. But when we ask the law to crack down on the very persons who are in control of democratic government, we get a look of disbelief.

It seems that the underlings have accepted a new norm – that even in a country that boasts of having rule-of-law, it is wise – or at least accepted – to **honor the old set-up in which there was “rule of men”** not rule of law.

I can only describe this turn of events as “zany.”

Actually I have watched in fascinated horror as it has taken place over the last few years. When I was in law school (first decade of the 21st century) there was at least a modicum of respect for law. I recall one of my teachers winning a prize for “standing up against” outrageous treatment of refugees at Woomera, South Australia in an article she wrote for the SA Law Society’s magazine.

Today I am sure none of my teachers would win a prize for writing such an article, the principal reason being they would not write such an article. They would be EMBARRASSED to do so.

We ought to stop this silly cultural nonsense.

WELCOME TO THE APPENDICES

A. Brice Taylor: NASA Tortures a Little Boy	186
B. Paul Verdier: A Legal Test for Brainwashing	197
C. Martin Bryant As “Child Fodder”	198
D. The US Domestic Law against Genocide	200
E. Kathleen Sullivan’s Lincoln Memorial Speech	202
F. EO Wilson: Music, Religion, and Tribalism	207
G. John Coleman: How To Engineer a Drug Culture	210
H. Dicks’ List of Medical Articles from Tavistock	217
J. Lynn Mary Karjala: Protectors and Critical Voice	220
K. Rabbi Antelman: Satanism and Sabbatian Cult	224
L. Anne Hamilton-Byrne, Sarah Moore, the Family	227
M. Daniel Estulin: The Fun of TransEvolution	233
N. Trish Fotheringham: the Canadian Experience	235
P. Henry Kissinger’s Obituary for David Rockefeller	242
Reflections on the Appendices	245

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING

As you have seen, the goal of this book is not to present a comprehensive picture of either child sexual abuse or mind control. If you want to research those topics a good place to start, is pedophilesdownunder.com -- the website of Fiona Barnett -- and UK websites of Cathy Fox and Cathi Morgan. Regarding this book’s goal of rejoicing that we’re now able to identify and capture the criminals, see, for example, mcmurrayreport.com. For this book’s theme of “Why are we idiotically letting jerks run our culture?” see especially Daniel Estulin’s *TransEvolution*. I am squarely with Estulin on the urgent need to say Tally-ho to Tavistock.

Appendix A. NASA's Torture of a Little Boy, in Brice Taylor, *Thanks for the Memories*, 1995.

Craig and I used the **“scientific method,” the same method we used in the past to insure that the sex of our third child was a boy.** And he was. Daniel Robert Ford was born on March 15, 1982 at Los Robles Hospital in Thousand Oaks, California. I was 31 years old. I have little conscious memory of Danny as a baby. When he was visiting me in the summer of 1996, he looked at me emotionlessly and said, “Mom, I don't remember anything about my childhood.” He just stated the fact. What was very apparent to me was that my teenage son had no emotion attached to this statement or even any means to think this thought through to understand what it all might mean.

I was devastated. Understanding now that my children will not be served by remembering anything about their past until they are in a safe, supportive environment to do that, I simply acknowledged his reality **and recommitted to doing whatever I could to bring about his freedom.**

War Games at Jordan Ranch to Terrorize Kelly and Me
When Kelly was around three years old, we were told to walk down to the “end of the road,” which I knew to be Bob Hope's Jordan Ranch. Once inside the fence we were injected with drugs in the back of a limo and were told to start walking out onto the ranch. Somehow, all of a sudden, my little daughter was gone. **There was a whole group of men in army fatigues who I later found out were playing war games.**

But in the drugged state of mind they put me in, I had no way to know this was just a game. Bob had a walkie-talkie that he used to radio to the men in army fatigues. He told them what to do and say to us. I know because the guys would listen to their walkie-talkies while I heard Bob say directions like, “Ok, rape her now.” These guys were shooting their weapons and throwing hand grenades.

As I revived the memory I was **able to realize that the hand grenades and gunfire were all fake**, but the drugs I was subjected to made everything feel very real and very terrifying. While these men were shooting at me, I was running for my life, ducking under bushes trying to stay alive so I could find my little girl, and **the drugs made it impossible to think clearly**.

They told me Kelly's life depended on me finding her quickly. A helicopter flying overhead landed nearby and I finally found Kelly, naked and huddling near a small scrub bush. She was very dirty and had cried so much that her little eyes were swollen nearly shut and her face was covered with dirt and tears all mixed together. She was crying so hard that she was shaking and had begun the involuntarily sniffing and jerking that infants do when they have cried for a very long time. I picked her up and took her, as directed, over to the helicopter.

Due to the severe trauma, I was unable to retrieve the rest of this devastating experience. This is the type of activity these men needed to use in order to guarantee that, under national security, a mother and her baby daughter would never remember the perverted experiences for which they were being used by **Henry Kissinger**, Bob Hope and the agenda of our other high-level controllers.

When I was deprogramming and really getting beneath my instilled trauma-based programs in order to retrieve my experiences, my programmed mother sent me a picture of Kelly that she had taken. In it, Kelly was crouched down, hiding beneath a bush. **Most likely our controllers had my mother send that picture in an attempt to tap into this traumatic memory** in order to remind me what my odds were, in order to keep me under control.

Trauma Before [Sexual] Use by a President

After I had my children, they always inflicted trauma on me

and one or more of my kids (always with my daughter Kelly) before an assigned rendezvous took place. Those **experiences were terrifying and horrific enough so, that my controllers felt very certain I would never gain access to memory of the experiences the trauma** was meant to cover. The trauma they inflicted on me and then on my children in front of me, began when they were born.

This time, we were in Catalina and my husband told the kids that we were going to look at a new hotel complex on the island. When we arrived, three men in suits told us to go into a room where there was a single row of chairs lined up against the wall. We were told to sit down in the straight back chairs. Passively and robotically, we complied.

Kevin our oldest son, who then was nine, was the first in the lineup; then Craig, me, and Kelly, who was seven, and last, at the far end, our youngest son Danny, who was three. **One of the suited men took a razor blade or something similar and started with Kevin and slowly and deliberately ran it over the top of his legs, then onto Craig's, then mine, Kelly's and little Danny's.** All of us were bleeding and traumatized, in a daze, physically frozen, staring straight ahead. I was terrified and panicked, but sat there, helpless to do anything to protect my children, as a result of years of abuse and mind control programming.

Craig could do nothing to defend the children or me. He couldn't even defend himself. All of us just sat there like zombies with blood trickling off our burning legs. One suited man informed us, "This is just the beginning," and they took Danny and threw him up against the wall. With the air knocked out of him and in obvious pain, he crumpled over and crouched up into a small ball, **already, at three years old, knowing better than to cry out.**

They always did something horrific and if the kids or I cried out or showed any reaction or retaliation, they would hurt another one of the kids or me even more.

Watching my children get hurt was always the worst; nothing they ever did to me was ever as bad. Then the men in suits took me away, and told my family, “run along and play at the beach. Your mother will be along in awhile. You will never even miss her, never even be aware she is gone.” Without reaction, Craig stood up and robotically walked out the door with the kids following in like manner.

The rest of the day, all night, and part of the next day, I was left in isolation. **Food and water were deliberately withheld** until I was reunited with my family, which could be up to three days. A man in a suit would come to get me out of isolation and take me to get ready for Reagan or Nixon, or Pete Wilson or whomever. I was instructed to shower and dress in the clothes they provided. Outfits, complete with accessories just my size, were left for me.

After the sexual encounter was completed, I was taken back to the room to put on my own clothes. Hypnotic commands were given to, “simply walk out and sit down with your family on the beach. You will not notice any lapse of time, but will resume interacting with your family naturally.”

On this occasion, I was told to sit down next to Craig on my beach chair and it was as if I had never been gone! No one mentioned another word about it. The experiences were supposedly wiped away from all of our minds as if nothing out of the ordinary had happened.

Each time I was taken, there was similar trauma before they could “safely” use me and be able to insure that my programming and amnesia would remain locked tightly. All of this for a Governor’s or President’s sexual perversions, or for the fulfillment of the New World Order agenda.

Bob Hope’s Escapades

We owned a large family camper that was fully self-contained. At times we went up into the High Sierras, to visit Mammoth, Sequoia, Tahoe, Reno, Yosemite National

Park, Big Bear, and Crestline. We also took the children to Six Flags Magic Mountain and, of course, Disneyland.

Bob Hope showed up in many of these locations. It seemed like he was everywhere. I had a number I called to let him know my vacation plans or he would make the suggestion of where to go. When we arrived at our destination, I wouldn't consciously know to expect to see him but the part of me that was programmed and readied for the rendezvous was instructed to walk towards him when he appeared.

Sometimes Bob would snap his fingers in front of my face or jingle his keys in front of my eyes to get me to respond. He often liked to meet for sex or information exchange in mountain cabins. ...He usually spoke in clever little lines and phrases. I met him aboard yachts, also, even when I thought Craig and I were simply going sailing with friends.

What actually occurred often was **a rendezvous with Bob or some leader they needed to get information to.** Sometimes Reagan was brought out on a little ocean excursion and ended up navigating right to us. I was then transported aboard with him for sex and messages. Sometimes I stayed all night with him on his transport.

Reagan in Mazatlan

I was escorted by the Secret Service to the back door of a dark Mexican Restaurant. I joined Reagan in a booth in the back of the restaurant and waited for him to finish eating. It wasn't long until we walked out on the beach. We walked hand in hand along the beach with the Secret Service agents following a short distance behind us. As we were walking on the beach that night, Reagan seemed upset and anxious, very nervous. He said he was concerned about the 'state of affairs.' With my pre-programmed sexual orientation, my mind immediately went to the thought of sexual affairs, but as he continued speaking I realized he was speaking of the affairs of the nation. He went on to explain that being

President was difficult, and he was concerned about the way things were going and **was upset with Kissinger about some things he had handled. He said he was very upset with Henry for taking so many matters into his own hands.** He said he knew a few hours with me would help him snap out of the mood he was in.

I had been given a few key words by the Council to help Reagan “snap out of it” when he got into one of his slumps. They were very simple phrases like, “everything will be okay,” said while I was rubbing or caressing his forehead over and over. **Reagan seemed to respond to that like a kitten going into a purr.** I would generally rub him all over, front and back, before climbing on top of him to satisfy him sexually....

A Secret Service agent took me back to where I was staying with my husband. It was a very quick encounter; rushed, like Reagan had somewhere else to go. Craig and I returned to our home in California without conscious knowledge of my “missing time” or of what he did during my absence.

NASA

When Danny was an infant we went for programming together. **He was a year old when his innerspace mind files were created** in order for him to have a wide range of access points, without the necessity of as much trauma as was necessary back in the days when mine were created. Time had shown our controllers that trauma itself was one cause for the breakdown in slaves.

So Danny was exposed to their newer technology, from birth, and Danny and I were both heavily programmed **and cross-programmed together.**

I was there with Danny when he was 3 or 4 years old. It seemed like a school field trip, but the series of events that unfolded were much different. Danny had on a light blue T-shirt. We were sitting with other mothers and children, in

the front row of a circular auditorium. Men in NASA suits, **who were dressed like astronauts were all around** and one of them came over, lifted Danny up and put him into a chair. "Like the real astronauts sit in!" the man explained. This chair had equipment all around it.

Danny smiled so sweetly across the auditorium at me like he was so proud and so happy to be chosen to sit in the big astronaut chair. There was such anticipated excitement and innocence in his joyful smile. Soon the man instructed him to lean back so his head was properly aligned to fit into a silver band and when Danny was in the proper alignment, **I watched the NASA official clamp the back of the silver band to fit snugly around his little forehead.**

Danny looked up at the NASA official, eyes wide with innocence and youthful exuberance, and smiled as the man said to Danny, "Hold on for the ride of your life!"

They brought in some sort of visual/optical glasses (virtual reality?) to rest in front of Danny's eyes and told him to look into the viewer. Then to my horror, the man standing next to Danny gave a cue to another man and I watched in agony as Danny's little body jolted. They must have been giving him electroshock and God knows what else. After a time, his little body went limp and he was unconscious.

I was dying inside, but knew from many past experiences with his older brother and sister that if I made any attempt to interfere things would only get worse for all of us, especially Danny, so against all maternal protective instinct, I maintained my composure. Pretty soon the NASA official waved a smelling salt or something in a cotton ball bound with gauze, in front of Danny's face. He came to abruptly and they released him from the equipment and then from the chair. He was sweating profusely around his forehead and under his nose. As the man helped him out of the chair, Danny looked over at me and several facial expressions quickly washed over him.

At first he looked utterly humiliated and embarrassed, which was soon replaced with a look of utter shame that spread over his entire face and down his little body. He could barely walk over to me and when I stood to help him, the NASA man said, "He's a big boy, he can do this on his own."

"Mommy, I feel sick," my little son said as he hobbled over to me and put his head in my lap. The men did the same thing to several other children, including another little girl from Danny's preschool, Born Learners. Soon we were escorted out, put on a shuttle back to the airport and were flown home. None of this experience was available to my conscious mind until years later when I began the grueling process of deprogramming. And, to this day, Danny has no memory of this event available to his conscious mind.

The Highway to Heaven billboard that we had to pass along Kanan Road on the way to Zuma Beach or Point Mugu dissociated me. Instead of the actual sign, I would experience an internal experience of, "You are going to another plane of reality, one that only exists in your imagination and this Highway is your start off point in going there," and, I would go into a programmed mode that my controllers called the Highway to Heaven zone.

There were landmarks (landmines) all over California that they used in order to keep me in line, "in the right state of mind." Danny's mind files were filled with data early on and expanded after he was three years old. I drove him to Point Mugu or we were intercepted at the intersection of Kanan and Agoura Road, and go in the car with these men. They usually drove a **dark colored sedan with tinted windows**. Whether I drove or not, these men took my son from me in the car at Point Mugu in the morning, and returned him back to me at the car by late afternoon. He would just limply lie on my lap all the way home, and then I put him to bed in his crib where he slept until the next morning.

Once some men on a Coast Guard boat took him from me at Zuma Beach when he and I were playing. He was around five. They came up close to shore. A lifeguard type guy in a red swimsuit that was about 25 years old took Danny by the hand from the beach and swam out to the boat with him.

Henry Kissinger filled Danny with **high-level information, intended to span many years** and to be delivered whenever necessary at specific future dates to large crowds of people. Danny had historical files put in, as did I.

At Born Learners Preschool at three to four years of age, Danny started special computer classes that kept him at school long hours, sometimes into the evening. When I asked him if he wanted to stop he always said he loved it, as did his best friend Justin. I believe programmers do more of the training via computer screen now, often using virtual reality. After computer class, I took the two of them to Monarch's Gymnastics.

I didn't like Mike, the man who ran the gymnastics center. He had a very bad temper and was often emotionally out of control. Kevin, Kelly and Danny all went to Monarch's Gymnastics in conjunction with the Montessori preschools.

I thought I would not be able to bear the pain and grief when I began remembering scenarios of the ways in which I'd been programmed to be a part of my children's preschool mind control experiences. This is information I'd prefer to withhold as it goes against everything I believe in, but **in order for people to understand how this system works, it is necessary for me to share the following.**

This event occurred in Danny's preschool. On occasion, I helped out in the class. I remembered standing with Danny's preschool teachers who were instructing the children in a game where they all had to take their clothes off, step onto a colored circle and then take turns doing sexual acts to the child next to them. The **teachers were laughing and**

clapping and everything seemed surreal, just like it always did when programmed events of horror occurred.

As the game progressed, with music playing, the children looked progressively more stunned. They were told to walk in a circle and stop on a color. If they didn't do what they were told, the teacher yanked them out of the circle by the arm and yelled at them.

I couldn't tell what she said but it scared the child into compliance. The games always took place at the same time in the mornings and were centered around colors, music, body movement and hand signals. **Hand signals** were taught to the children this way and put to music for reinforcement.

There was a VCR off to the side of the classroom where an individual child was placed to watch a special pre-selected tape. ...All of the special private preschools my children went to took this approach. And, ritual trauma and sexual perversion was often the way we were programmed to begin with the children.

Danny completed computer classes in preschool before he was four years old. During my deprogramming process, I was horrified when I remembered that I continually read him the story of Danny and the Dinosaur. As I re-read the story years later, I found a phrase in the book, which states, "there's no place to run, no place to hide."

And here again was another example of how I, as his programmed parent, was used to keep my own son's programming locked tightly in place, reinforcing the programs that were used to keep the parts of his mind that were compartmentalized for our controller's use, separate from his conscious awareness.

Henry Kissinger has been Danny's main man. He was the one calling the shots and organized the **creation of Danny's mind files for NASA/military use**. Danny was, and may still be, scheduled for a major position within NASA one day, following in the footsteps of his **grandfather Ford** and **Uncle Lyle Curran**. They have him scheduled to become a scientist or something of that nature. I overheard Henry talking to someone about it.

Danny has very specific programming themes that center around all the planets -- Jupiter, Mars, Venus, Pluto, Saturn, etc., and I was programmed to tell him often before he went to sleep, "I love you to the moon and much, much, much, much, more than that. A thousand times more than that. A trillion times more than that," and on and on until we got to the highest number beyond infinity, and Danny knew that number. For some reason I can't remember it. I never could. **Then, he would go to sleep.**

Danny and Kelly both had those neon, glow-in-the-dark stars and planets on their ceilings and so did I. Danny has tons of high tech information in his brain. I saw him demonstrated at Point Mugu when they put him in front of a group to demonstrate his capabilities. **Henry took Danny to different locations to "display the technology," showing that a five year old could appear to be genius level, "a computer whiz."**

He had mega memory, displaying the intelligence level of what they termed a "Junior College Student." Danny was seen as having the intelligence of the future and they said he would blossom in high school, whatever that meant. They said that, by then, **Danny would be fully functional and used by them extensively in international work**. Henry talked a lot about Danny and I remember Danny holding onto Henry's leg one time when he was demonstrating Danny in front of a whole group of people.

[All emphasis added]

Appendix B. “CRIB”: A Legal Test for Brainwashing, in Paul Verdier, *Brainwashing and the Cults*, 1977.

Verdier reacted to the Manson murders and Patty Hearst’s bank-robbing by saying that courts need to know if a defendant was brainwashed. Mary Maxwell paraphrases him here:

P 93: We should not hold a brainwashed person completely responsible for his actions. He should be regarded as a sick person, a puppet. And should not a brainwashed person be therapeutically de-programmed? I [Verdier] propose a test to determine if he has been brainwashed, and how much. I call it Conditioned Response Index for Brainwashing: CRIB.

1. A radical change in beliefs, attitudes, or behavior. This will receive the highest numerical weighting on the test.
2. A threat of death – it brings about instant compliance if believable. (Manson’s prisoners were guarded 24/7.)
3. Isolation from family, friends, all. To be locked away from human contact is a special kind of sensory deprivation that makes a person very compliant. Patty Hearst was in a closet.
4. Loss of sleep makes the person suggestible to repetitious messages. The subject seems to have no will of his own.
5. “Ego destruction.” His sense of worth and his self-esteem have to be destroyed in order to assure unquestioning obedience to every directive, no matter how degrading, that is set before him. Manson made girls perform sex openly.
6. Repeating message over and over. He’ll believe it even if false. In cults, youth are subject to endless lectures.
7. Torture or pain is disinhibiting. Religious conversion was once achieved by torture on the rack. After one punishment, only a reminder is needed to keep the person compliant.

Appendix C. Martin Bryant As “Child Fodder”



A scene from Chaucer's Canterbury Tales

Martin Bryant has been incarcerated in Risdon Prison, Tasmania, for over 20 years. He was wrongfully convicted of committing the 1996 Port Arthur Massacre. At the 2016 Adelaide Fringe I presented a show called “A Pardoner’s Tale for Our Era” at which I read “the Fodder note.”

I believe Martin Bryant was involved in some mind control by his psychiatrist Dr Eric Cunningham Dax. “The Fodder Note” appears anonymously on the Internet, most likely contributed by a whistle blower. It is not signed, thus it would “have no probative value” in court. But Martin Bryant is still alive and could verify it! Yay!

The Fodder Note [author unknown]:

Martin Bryant “randomly picked” as secret services “child fodder”, meeting 1988 Unley, South Australia, at his handlers’ orders, chaperoned by [redacted] who introduced him as a ‘cook’, he wants to kill people and tried to paint him as worthless and disposable. He showed **no sign of mental incapacity**, psychiatric condition, retardation or PHTs. His body language indicated apprehension.

We established that he had been drugged unconscious for the trip from Tasmania, following the usual – expressly

forbidden – practice and injected with the usual antidote immediately before being ushered into our office. He obviously was bewildered by the strange environment. We tried to allay his fears. His verbal communication was understandably reticent but his body language quickly indicated a trusting, open nature.

Specifically questioned on the allegations of wanting to kill people, Mr Bryant was coherent, clearly denied, showed fear... [about] what was reproached him. We ascertained unreservedly that he was sincere, peaceful ... in a word ‘normal’ and probably not PHT’d (yet). We offered protection... but his handlers immediately rushed in and whisked him away.

A few weeks later he was again brought to us. He could not walk unaided. **He had clearly been severely electroshocked and overdosed on neuroleptics**, displaying an absent gaze, with an attention span of five seconds or less, constantly stooping head... He was unable to recognize the interviewer, had lack of muscle coordination (e.g., inability to close mouth and control flow of saliva), and symptoms congruent with very heavy dosage of benzodiazepines. He had some uncontrollable jerking of limbs and body rigidity.

His handlers [said] in his presence, and in very menacing tones, that they had “done it”, that he was “gone”, that they would kill him, and that we should take as proof of his worthlessness the state he was in and the symptoms which they declared to be epilepsy! It was revealed the same treatment would be applied to us should we **make any move to defend Martin or divulge**. Subsequent history has shown their threats were not idle. At a later date a staff from **Glenside** visited us and informed that Martin had been imprisoned incommunicado (and hypnotically induced). Similar follow-ups by former **Hillcrest** psychiatric staff. [Emphasis added] -- end of quote.

Appendix D. The US Domestic Law against Genocide

Per federal law, 18 USC 1091 (but see amendment re states):

(a)Basic Offense. -- Whoever, whether in time of peace or in time of war and with the specific intent to destroy, in whole or in substantial part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group as such --

(1) kills members of that group;

(2) causes serious bodily injury to members of that group;

(3) causes the permanent impairment of the mental faculties of members of the group through drugs, torture, or similar techniques;

(4) subjects the group to conditions of life that are intended to cause the physical destruction of the group in whole or in part;

(5) imposes measures intended to prevent births within the group; or

(6) transfers by force children of the group to another group;

shall be punished as provided in subsection (b).

(b)Punishment for Basic Offense. --The punishment for an offense under subsection (a) is --

(1) in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(1), where death results, by death or imprisonment for life and a fine of not more than \$1,000,000, or both; and

(2) a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 or imprisonment for not more than twenty years, or both, in any other case.

(c)Incitement Offense. --

Whoever directly and publicly incites another to violate subsection (a) shall be fined not more than \$500,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

(d)Attempt and Conspiracy. --

Any person who attempts or conspires to commit an offense under this section shall be punished in the same manner as a person who completes the offense.

(e)Jurisdiction. -- There is jurisdiction over the offenses described in subsections (a), (c), and (d) if --

(1) the offense is committed in whole or in part within the United States; or

(2) regardless of where the offense is committed, the alleged offender is --

(A) a national of the United States (as that term is defined in section 101 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101));

(B) an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States (as that term is defined in section 101 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101));

(C) a stateless person whose habitual residence is in the United States; or (D) present in the United States.

(f) Nonapplicability of Certain Limitations. --

Notwithstanding section 3282, in the case of an offense under this section, an indictment may be found, or information instituted, at any time without limitation.

Amendment in 1988 regarding states:

Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as precluding the application of State or local laws to the conduct proscribed by this chapter, nor shall anything in this chapter be construed as creating any substantive or procedural right enforceable by law by any party in any proceeding.

Note: As a UN Convention, a law against genocide came into force in 1951 but the US was not a signatory. A note from en.wikipedia.org says: The US Senate was treated to a speech by Senator William Proxmire in favor of this treaty every day that the Senate was in session between 1967 and 1986.

That's twenty years of persuasion. -- MM

**Appendix E. The Lincoln Memorial Speech [abridged],
Given by Kathleen A Sullivan, MSSW in 1996, North
America Freedom Foundation Rally, Washington DC**



The memorial to President Abraham Lincoln

My name is Kathleen Sullivan. I am a survivor of extreme abuse and a former slave. I am also a clinical social worker.

Many victims of extreme abuse are still being held against their will, even if there are no shackles or prison bars. Although some are kept in cages and tunnels and basements and other physical prisons, the majority are kept in prisons inside their heads. This is why so many of us use the term “mind control” when we refer to the psychological abuse that we’ve endured.

Perpetrators know this trauma-based mind control technique pretty well now, and I think it’s one reason why we’re getting more reports of perpetrators using victims’ pressure points to cause extreme pain, and then saying certain phrases that the victims have an unusually difficult time getting out of their heads later on.

I believe widespread knowledge about trauma-based mind control is influencing larger numbers of perpetrators to use stun weapons to torture victims, leaving marks on the victims’ skin that too many investigators don’t know how to look for, or identify.

Recognizing the Signs

One of the most telling signs that a person has experienced extreme abuse is that he or she displays extreme reactions. Three extreme reactions that are quite common in the extreme abuse survivor community are extreme fear and distrust; Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD); and Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID).

Survivors' extreme fear and distrust are not indicators of paranoia. These social behaviors are based on harsh reality. Survivors behave as anyone would, who has been betrayed, terrorized, and harmed in terrible ways. If you were to encounter an animal that had been horribly abused, and now it cowers, and stays shaking in a corner, and refuses the food you offer it even though it's obviously starving, then why in the world would you negatively label a survivor of extreme abuse who behaves in similar ways? Like the animal that has been abused, you have to earn the survivor's trust. You have to prove, over and over again, that you are consistent, you are truthful, you are trustworthy, you will not harm the survivor. You have to prove that you won't break his/her mind or heart.

Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), the second extreme abuse reaction I listed, is a direct result of a traumatic experience. We develop it when we're in a situation or environment that makes us feel like we're about to die...spiritually, psychologically, or physically.

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), the third extreme abuse reaction, is most likely caused by a combination of trauma and being significantly betrayed by someone important in our life ... even if the person is an abuser. The person's betrayal messes with our head so badly that we have to check-out from reality for a while and take a nice amnesia break to keep from losing our sanity for good.

Since the early 90s, I've communicated with hundreds of survivors of extreme abuse. Every one of them is a walking miracle, regardless of the condition they currently find themselves in.

Because our legal system is not, in general, victim-friendly, many of these victims weren't able to break away from perpetrators until the victims were in their 30s, 40s and even 50s. Sometimes they just had to wait the perpetrators out; once the perpetrators died, the victims feel safer to try and start new lives.

These perpetrators are more careful to keep victims from talking to outsiders because the perpetrators' crimes have much harsher legal penalties. Fear of victims telling others about the crimes may be one reason why the perpetrators force victims to participate in the perpetrators' crimes.

Blackmail and Sociopaths

My dad and his associates blackmailed me into silence by promising me that if I told what they did, they would tell what I had done. One of the phrases they said to me after torturing me was, "You do the crime, you do the time."

Another truth: many perpetrators of extreme abuse are skilled and resourceful sociopaths. They are not the kind of people you are likely to read about in the news because they rarely are caught. And yet, even if this is true, we must not stop working on behalf of their victims. ...

Where Does the Buck Stop?

I believe our society must bear part of the responsibility for the crimes they may eventually commit against us and future generations. In other words, it may be time for our society to adopt a practical new attitude: "The buck stops here. Right now. With me."

In April 2004 The Source Magazine reported, "According to the CIA, 200,000 children are trafficked as slaves inside the U.S." More recently, Ernie Allen, president of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, stated that 100,000 children are "involved in sex trafficking in the U.S."

There is one more reason why **we need to take adult survivors of extreme abuse more seriously and provide them with more solid support and protection: they know about who is doing what to whom, and where, and how.** But this isn't happening ... at least not yet. Why?

Corruption

I cannot ignore my own history, nor can I downplay reports I've received from numerous survivors of extreme abuse who stated that they were forced to "sexually service" law enforcement personnel, businessmen and women, politicians, religious leaders, and other adults who held prominent positions locally and even in Washington, DC.

For these and other reasons, I believe that until we are willing to accept that major corruption occurs in this country, we will never understand how difficult it is to discover and successfully prosecute the most serious forms of human rights violations that are being perpetrated by organized groups of criminals.

The ongoing campaign of corruption here in the U.S. frightens and intimidates extreme abuse survivors most of all. It's terribly sad that we should experience this kind of fear and terror as citizens in this country. Most of us who have told "outsiders" about our abuse histories have subsequently been retaliated against in awful ways.

A common report from survivors is that when they go to the local police, either their statements and supporting documentation are not taken seriously and they are told to

get psychiatric help; or, their statements are taken seriously and then someone reports back to the perpetrators and the survivor is retaliated against for breaking silence. Lack of protection is perhaps the number one reason why most of *us* choose to remain silent.

Choose Which Is Lesser of Two Evils

Each time we're retaliated against for speaking out, we have to make the same decision: is it time for me to be silent...or should I risk being hurt again? Because this decision is never easy, I have just as much respect for survivors who choose silence as I do for those who choose to speak out again.

Unless we have some kind of support network or system already in place outside the organized crime system, we have nobody we can go to who can help us feel safe, wanted, and valued as a human being. I am not aware of any established support network or system, outside our own limited community, that is safe and helpful.

I have great respect for the survey's developers of the online Extreme Abuse survey: Wanda Karriker, Thorsten Becker, Bettina Overcamp, and Carol Rutz – because they chose to do the survey, despite knowing they might incur the wrath of the multibillion dollar CSEC organized crime industry.

Although some survivors have understandably lost their faith in most of humanity, and although some believe that our society will never become safer and more welcoming towards them, I believe that it can and will.

I believe that every time a group of people comes together to hear very hard truths and embrace them in their hearts, they have already begun to improve the world in significant ways...starting in their own selves. And I believe it's happening again today.

Appendix F. Music, Religion, Tribalism, in EO Wilson, *The Meaning of Human Existence*, 2014.

Neurobiologists have tracked at least some of the peak experience of music to at least one cause, the release of the transmitter molecule dopamine within the striatum of the brain. The same biochemical reward system also mediates pleasure in food and sex.

Because music began in Paleolithic times -- bird-bone and ivory flutes date back more than thirty thousand years -- and because it remains universal in hunter-gatherer societies around the world, it is reasonable to conclude that our loving devotion to it has been hard-wired by evolution in the human brain.

In almost all living societies, from hunter-gatherer to civilized-urban, there exists an intimate relation between music and religion. Are there genes for religiosity that prescribe a neural and biochemical mediation similar to that of music? Yes, says evidence from the relatively young discipline of the neuroscience of religion.

Of course there is far more to religion than its biological roots. The attempted resolution of its mysteries lies at the heart of philosophy. The purest, most general form of religion is expressed by theology, of which the central questions are existence of God and God's personal relation to humanity. Deeply religious people want to find a way to approach and touch this deity.

The brain was made for religion and religion for the human brain. In every second of the believer's conscious life religious belief plays multiple, mostly nurturing roles. All the followers are unified into a vastly extended family, a metaphorical band of brothers and sisters, reliable, obedient to one supreme law, and guaranteed immortality as the benefit of membership.

Being supernatural and infinitely powerful, the deity can perform miracles beyond the reach of human understanding. Throughout prehistory and most of history, people needed religion to explain the occurrence of most phenomena around them. Torrential rain and flooding, a lightning bolt streaking across the sky, the sudden death of child. God caused it. He or She was the cause in the cause-and-effect required for sanity.

Inspired by their example, followers strive to be righteous in the sight of man and God. The churches over which they preside are centres of community life. When all else fails, these sacred places, where God dwells immanent on Earth, become ultimate refuges against the iniquities and tragedies of secular life. They and their ministers make more bearable tyranny, war, starvation, and the worst of natural catastrophes.

It is tribalism, not the moral tenets and humanitarian thought of pure religion, that makes good people do bad things.

Unfortunately a religious group defines itself foremost by its creation story, the supernatural narrative that explains how humans came into existence.

And this story is also the heart of tribalism. No matter how gentle, it assures its members that God favors them above all others. It teaches that members of other religious worship the wrong gods, use wrong rituals, follow false prophets, and believe fantastic creation stories.

There is no way around the soul-satisfying but cruel discrimination that organized religions by definition must practice among themselves. I doubt there ever has been an imam who suggested that his followers try Roman Catholicism or a priest who urged the reverse.

Acceptance of a particular creation story, and of accounts of miracles vouchsafed by it, is called the faith of the believer. Faith is biologically understandable as a Darwinian device for survival and increased reproduction. It is forged by the success of the tribe.

Religious warriors are not an anomaly. It is a mistake to classify believers of particular religious and dogmatic religionlike ideologies into two groups, moderate versus extremist.

The true cause of hatred and violence is faith versus faith, an outward expression of the ancient instinct to tribalism. Faith is the one thing that makes otherwise good people do bad things. Nowhere do people tolerate attacks on their person, their family, their country—or their creation myth.

Another way of expressing the history of religion is that faith has hijacked religious spirituality. The prophets and leaders of organized religions, consciously or not, have put spirituality in the service of groups defined by their creation myths.

Awe-inspiring ceremonies and sacred rites and rituals and sacrifices are given the deity in return for worldly security and the promise of immortality.

Appendix G. Where Did You Think the Drug Problem Began? John Coleman *Conspirators: Committee of 300*.

Witness how test scores keep dropping. The specialists bewail the fact that the United States now ranks around 39th in the level of education in the world.

Why do we bemoan what is so obvious? OUR EDUCATION SYSTEM WAS PROGRAMMED TO SELF-DESTRUCT. Our education system hasn't failed. Under the guidance of King, Black and the Myrdals it is actually a great success, but it all depends from whose point of view we look at it. The Committee of 300 is DELIGHTED with our education system, and will not allow one comma of it to be changed.

According to Stanford and Willis Harmon, the induced trauma of long range penetration of which our education is a part, has been going on for 45 years [this was written in 1993], yet how many are aware of the insidious pressures being applied to our society and the constant exposure to brainwashing that goes on every day?

The mysterious gang wars that broke out in New York in the 1950s is an example of how the conspirators can create and stage-manage any type of disruptive elements they like. Where those gang wars came from nobody knew until the 1980s when researchers uncovered the hidden controllers who directed the so-called "social phenomena."

Gang wars were carefully planned at Stanford, deliberately designed to shock society and cause ripples of disturbances. By 1958 there were in excess of 200 of these gangs. They were made popular by a musical and a Hollywood movie, "West Side Story." After making the news for a decade, suddenly, in 1966, they disappeared off the streets of New York, Los Angeles New York, New Jersey, Philadelphia and Chicago.

Throughout the near decade of gang violence, the public reacted according to the profiled response Stanford expected; society as a whole could not comprehend gang warfare and the public responded in a maladaptive manner. Had there been those wise enough to recognize in gang wars a Stanford experiment in social engineering and brainwashing, the conspirator's plot would have been exposed.

Either we did not have trained specialists who could see what was going on--which is highly unlikely--or else they were threatened and remained silent. The cooperation with Stanford by the news media brought to light a "new age" attack on our environment just as predicted by the social engineers and new-science scientists at Tavistock.

In 1989 gang warfare, as a social conditioning to change, was reintroduced to the streets of Los Angeles. Within a few short months of the first incidents, gangs began to proliferate-- first in the scores, then in the hundreds on the streets of the East Side of Los Angeles. Crack houses and rampant prostitution proliferated; drug dealers dominated the streets. Anybody who got in their way was gunned down. The outcry in the press was loud and long. Stanford's targeted large population group began defending itself with slogans. This is what Tavistock called the first phase, with the targeted group failing to identify the source of the crisis.

The second phase of the gang war crisis was "fragmentation." People not living in the area of gangs said, "Thank God they are not in our neighborhood." This ignored the fact that the crisis continued with or without recognition and that social order in LA had begun to break down.

As profiled by Tavistock, those groups not affected by gang war "broke away to defend themselves" because the source of the crisis was not identified, the so called "maladaptation" process the period of disassociation.

Apart from the proliferation of drug sales, what is the purpose of gang wars? First, it is to show the targeted group that they are not safe, i.e., insecurity is generated. Secondly, it is **to show that organized society is helpless in the face of such violence and** thirdly to bring about a recognition of the fact that our social order is collapsing.

An outstanding example of social conditioning to accept change, even when it is recognized as unwelcome change by the large population group in the sights of Stanford Research Institute, was the “advent” of the BEATLES. The Beatles were brought to the United States as part of a social experiment that would subject large population groups to brainwashing of which they were not even aware.

When Tavistock brought the Beatles to the United States nobody could have imagined the cultural disaster that was to follow in their wake. The Beatles were an integral part of “THE AQUARIAN CONSPIRACY,” a living organism which sprang From "THE CHANGING IMAGES OF MAN," URH (489)-2150-Policy Research Report No. 4/4/74. Policy Report pre-pared by SRI Center for the study of Social Policy, Director, Professor Willis Harmon.

The phenomenon of the Beatles was not a spontaneous rebellion by youth against the old social system. Instead it was a carefully crafted plot to introduce by a conspiratorial body which could not be identified, a highly destructive and divisive element into a large population group targeted for change against its will.

New words and new phrases--prepared by Tavistock-- were introduced to America along with the Beatles. Words such as “rock” in relation to music sounds, “teenager,” “cool,” “discovered” and “pop music” were a lexicon of disguised code words signifying the acceptance of drugs and arrived with and accompanied the Beatles wherever they went, to be “discovered” by “teenagers.” Incidentally, the word

“teenagers” was never used until just before the Beatles arrived on the scene, courtesy of the Tavistock Institute for Human Relations.

As in the case of gang wars, nothing could or would have been accomplished without the cooperation of the media, especially the electronic media and, in particular, the scurrilous Ed Sullivan who had been coached by the conspirators as to the role he was to play. Nobody would have paid much attention to the motley crew from Liverpool and the 12-atonal system of “music” that was to follow had it not been for an overabundance of press exposure.

The 12-atonal system consisted of heavy, repetitive sounds, taken from the music of the cult of Dionysus and the Baal priesthood by Adorno and given a “modern” flavor by this special friend of the Queen of England and hence the Committee of 300.

Tavistock and its Stanford Research Center created trigger words which then came into general usage around "rock music" and its fans. Trigger words created a distinct new break-away largely young population group which was persuaded by social engineering and conditioning to believe that the Beatles really were their favorite group. All trigger words devised in the context of “rock music” were designed for mass control of the new targeted group, the youth of America.

The Beatles did a perfect job, or perhaps it would be more correct to say that Tavistock and Stanford did a perfect job, the Beatles merely reacting like trained robots “with a little help from their friends” -- code words for using drugs and making it “cool.” The Beatles became a highly visible “new type” -- more Tavistock jargon. This was part of the “fragmentation-maladaptation” process worked out by Willis Harmon and his team of social scientists and genetic engineering tinkerers and put into action.

The role of the print and electronic media in our society is crucial to the success of brainwashing large population groups. Gang wars ended in Los Angeles in 1966 as the media withdrew its coverage. The same thing will happen with the current wave of gang wars in Los Angeles. Street gangs will wither on the vine once media saturation coverage is toned down and then completely withdrawn.

As in 1966, the issue would become “burned out.” Street gangs will have served their purpose of creating turbulence and insecurity. Exactly the same pattern will be followed in the case of “rock” music. Deprived of media attention, it will eventually take its place in history. Following the Beatles, who incidentally were put together by the Tavistock Institute, came other “Made in England” rock groups, who, like the Beatles, had Theo Adorno write their cult lyrics and compose all the “music.”

Tavistock and Stanford Research then embarked on the second phase of the work commissioned by the Committee of 300. This new phase turned up the heat for social change in America. As quickly as the Beatles had appeared on the American scene, so too did the “beat generation,” trigger words designed to separate and fragment society.

The media now focused its attention on the “beat generation.” Other Tavistock-coined words came seemingly out of nowhere: “beatniks,” “hippies,” “flower children” became part of the vocabulary of America. It became popular to “drop out” and wear dirty jeans, go about with long unwashed hair. The “beat generation” cut itself off from mainstream America.

The newly-created group and its “lifestyle” swept millions of young Americans into the cult. American youth underwent a radical revolution without ever being aware of it, while the older generation stood by helplessly, unable to identify the source of the crisis, and thus reacting in a maladaptive

manner against its manifestation, which were drugs of all types, marijuana, and later Lysergic acid, "LSD," so conveniently provided for them by the Swiss pharmaceutical company, SANDOZ, following the discovery by one of its chemists, Albert Hoffman, how to make synthetic ergotamine, a powerful mind-altering drug. The Committee of 300 financed the project through one of their banks, S. C. Warburg, and the drug was carried to America by the philosopher, Aldous Huxley.

The new "wonder drug" was promptly distributed in "sample" size packages, handed out free of charge on college campuses across the United States and at "rock" concerts, which became the leading vehicle for proliferating the use of drugs. The question that cries out for an answer is, what was the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) doing at the time? There is compelling circumstantial evidence that would appear to indicate that the DEA knew what was going on but was ordered not to take any action.

With very substantial numbers of new British "rock" bands arriving in the U.S., rock concerts began to become a fixture on the social calendar of American youth. In tandem with these "concerts," the use of drugs among the youth rose in proportion. The devilish bedlam of discordant heavy beat sounds numbed the minds of listeners so that they were easily persuaded to try the new drug on the basis that "everybody is doing it." Peer pressure is a strong weapon.

Great anger was felt by a number of civic leaders and churchmen over the new cult but their energies were misdirected against the RESULT of what was going on and not against the CAUSE.

Critics of the rock cult made the same mistakes that had been made in the prohibition era, they criticized law enforcement agencies, teachers, parents anybody but the conspirators.

One of the worst drug slobes ever to walk the streets of America was Alan Ginsberg. He pushed the use of LSD through advertising that cost him nothing, although under normal circumstances it would have cost millions of dollars in TV advertising revenues. This free advertising for drugs, and LSD in particular, reached a new high in the late 1960's, thanks to the ever-willing cooperation of the media. The effect of Ginsberg's mass advertising campaign was devastating; the American public was subjected to one cultural future shock after another in rapid succession.

We became over-exposed and over stimulated and, again, may I remind you that this is Tavistock jargon, lifted from the Tavistock training manual, overwhelmed by its new development and, when we reached that point, our minds began to lapse into apathy; it was just too much to cope with, that is to say, "long range penetration had taken hold of us." Ginsberg claimed to be a poet but no greater rubbish was ever written by anyone who ever aspired to becoming a poet. Ginsberg's designated task had little to do with poetry; his main function was to push the new subculture and force acceptance of it upon the large targeted population group.

To assist him in his task, Ginsberg coopted the services of Norman Mailer, a writer of sorts who had spent some time in a mental institution. Mailer was a favorite of the leftwing Hollywood crowd and so had no problem with getting maximum television time for Ginsberg. Naturally Mailer had to have a pretext-- not even he could blatantly come out with the true nature of Ginsberg's television appearances. So a charade was adopted: Mailer would talk "seriously" on camera with Ginsberg about poetry and literature.

Without abundant help from the print and electronic media, the drug trade could not have spread as rapidly as it did in the late 1960s to early 1970s, and probably would have been confined to a few small local areas.

Appendix H. Publications by Tavistock Staff, Listed in H Dicks, *50 Years of the Tavistock Clinic* (1972).



The Rockefeller Foundation's website says it is the creator of the Tavistock Clinic (not quite the same as the Center, in London)

I selected these items to suggest that one can hide what one is really doing yet publish it. E.g., I believe maternal bonding was studied in order to learn how to break that bond. -- MM

Ainsworth. M. 1952 "Psychodiagnostic assessment of a child after prolonged separation in early childhood. *Brit. J. Med. Psych.*

Alcock, A T. 1960 some personality characteristics of asthmatic children. *Brit. J. Med. Psych.*

Allen. C. 1936. Mental disease in general practice. *Clinical J.*

Allen. C. 1937. Personality deviations in children, in E Miller, *The Growing Child and Its Problems*. Kegan Paul.

Allen. C. 1938. Adrenal dysfunction; its relation to sexuality.

Ambrose, J A. 1961. The concept of a critical period for development of social responsiveness. In B Foss, *Determinants of Infant Behavior*. Methuen.

Balint, M. 1966. *A Study of Doctors*. Tavistock Publications.

Balint, M. 1957. Training Medical Students in Psychotherapy. *Lancet*.

Bannister, K. 1963. Marital interaction and its impact on the family, in J King, *New Thinking for Changing Needs*.

Bennett, Edward. (1928) Heredity and Environment, in E Erleigh, *The Mind of the Growing Child*.

Bennet, Edward. 1933. The psychopathology of sexual perversions. *Proc Roy Soc Med*.

Bion, W. 1946. Leaderless group project. *Bull Menninger Clin*.

Boreham, J. 1967. The prediction of suicide. *Rorschach Newsletter*.

Bott, E. 1956. A Study of ordinary families. Translated to German. Tubingen.

Bowlby, J. 1951. *Maternal Care and Mental Health*, trans into many languages. WHO, Geneva.

Bowlby, J. 1958. Can I leave my baby? *Nat Assoc for Mental Health*.

Bowlby, J. 1963. Pathological mourning. *J American Psychoanal Ass.*

Burns, C. 1930. The psychology of the criminal. *Probation*.

Churchill, S. 1937. *On Being a Mother*. Gollancz.

Crookshank, F. 1929. Organ States and Emotional Correlates. *Psych*.

Dicks, H. 1950. In search of our proper ethic. [I'll say!] *Brit. J. Med. Psych.*

Dicks, H. 1960. Some notes on Russian society.

Dicks, H. 1967. The proper study of mankind. *Brit J Psychiat.*

Emery, F and E Trist. 1963. The causal texture of organizational environments. French trans. *Sociol du Travail.*

Foss, B. 1961. Proceedings of a Tavistock Study Group on mother-infant interaction. Methuen.

Gordon, R. 1929. The physiological basis of repression and dissociation. *J Neurol. Psychopath.*

Gordon, R and R Norman. 1932. Some psychological experiments on mental defectives in relation to perceptual configurations which may underlie speech. *Brit J Psych.*

Heard, Dorothy. 1966. Anxiety in nurses and teachers. Maternal Health Care.

Higgins, R. 1966. The concept of maladjustment. *Hum Relat.* [*Human Relations* is the journal of Tavistock.]

Wittkower, E. 1951. Eczema; a case study. *Arch Derm Syph.*

Woodhouse, D. 1961. Psychiatric influences in community services. In E Irvine, *Ventures in Professional Cooperation.*

Wright, M. 1931. Sociological factors which influence the suicide rate. *Psyche.*

Note: call up the name of the above books, at worldcat.org, and see that they are carried at select universities -- probably ones with staff working, overtly or covertly, for Tavistock.

The list, from *50 Years of the Tavistock Clinic* covers only the period from 1920-1970. Much more after that!

Appendix J. Lynn Mary Karjala, PhD, The Critical Voice, in *Understanding Trauma and Dissociation*, 2007.

Part of my job as a clinician is psychoeducation—that is, teaching my patients about how the mind works.... Ever since I became a clinician, I've told my 'singleton' patients [those who do not have Dissociation Identity Disorder] -- about what I call the internal critical voice. I told my DID patients about the protectors. A few years ago, I realized that I was talking about the same thing in both cases. My assumption at this point is that every human being develops this internal protective function.

The Critical Voice

The original, positive purpose of the critical voice is to guide and protect us. At its best, it's what gives us the capacity to be self-directed and to oversee the quality of our work. Some of what the critical voice says is blatant. Any time we say to ourselves, "Oh, that was so stupid!" or "I'm so clumsy" or "I hate my body," that's the critical voice. Oftentimes, though, it learns to be much more subtle.

Carol was shy, with significant self-esteem problems. I asked her to read the chapter in the self-esteem book and do the exercise of listening for her critical voice and writing what she heard in a log. When she came in the next week, she had nothing written down. She said, "I don't know. I've been trying and trying, but I just can't hear it. I must be really dumb." I held my breath and simply looked at her. After a few moments, she heard what she had said, raised her head and looked at me with dawning comprehension. The following week, her logbook was full.

The Development of the Critical Voice

In the normal course of child development, the critical voice is a direct result of socialization, the process through which children learn how to be acceptable members of their cultures. It's probably born the first time someone who matters to the toddler says "no no!" and backs it up with action.

Even in normal development, then, the critical voice is born out of trauma. The words “no no” don’t initially mean anything to a toddler. They take on meaning when they’re accompanied by some kind of negative consequence: the caregiver pulls back, temporarily withdrawing warmth and affection or the child is slapped.

The child is motivated to avoid that in the future. The way she does that is to internalize a schema, a kind of concept of the caregiver. If this internal caregiver can learn to say no before the external one does, the child can successfully avoid the negative consequences. In the best possible outcome, when parents are consistent in the consequences they impose, the child also comes away with a positive and empowering sense of mastery: she can choose her behavior, and therefore she has the power to forestall these negative consequences from happening

But when the mother withdraws her affection, the implied threat is that the child could be in danger of losing her mother’s love forever, and she picks up on it. Even though young children can’t articulate their feelings, they’re normally quite perceptive and intuitive. In this case, the child doesn’t know if she can ever do enough to win back her mother’s love. Because she’s dependent on her parents for all of her physical and emotional needs, the threat is essentially one of annihilation. That’s a terrifying experience. The main coping mechanism that the child has is dissociation, so the fear becomes dissociated.

The immediate, short-term effect for the child is very rewarding—on a conscious level, she no longer *feels* the fear. But dissociated feelings still have a significant impact. That, I believe, is one reason that the critical voice becomes so powerful and so strongly negative; it reflects the terror of the consequences of not behaving.

Characteristics of the Critical Voice

The first major coalescence of the critical voice into a discernible “voice,” a discrete and recognizable part of ourselves, seems to occur, on average, at about age 4.

Because parts [of person with multiples, as from MK-Ultra] tend to stay the age at which they were created, the central essence of the critical voice tends to think like a 4-year-old. Socialization is well established by that time.

One maddening characteristic of the critical voice is that it can hold completely contradictory thoughts or opinions with no apparent discomfort. You cannot win an argument with the critical voice using conventional, adult logic. It will find something to criticize you for no matter what you do.

When the critical voice holds contradictory opinions or beliefs, it can flip rapidly back and forth between them, but it doesn't actually ever hold them at the same moment. It was one of my patients who taught me the enormous healing power of the And. When you hold two seemingly contradictory statements at the same time and link them with "and" instead of "but," you are acknowledging that neither one of them negates the other. "My mother did the best she could to be a good parent AND she was intensely and constantly critical."

The Protectors

The protector parts in a DID system are simply another manifestation of the critical voice, and they have the same kinds of characteristics. They're hope-phobic, punitive, rigid, and often inconsistent; they have a nonlinear sense of time; they make and enforce an elaborate system of rules.

Above all, their job is to protect the core from further pain and abuse. Note: they don't have the same concern about the other parts. In fact, they'll gladly sacrifice the welfare of the other parts in order to protect the core.

A part who holds a trauma memory is trapped in that moment of time. The protectors' only concern is to keep those parts locked in their dissociative prison so that the pain can't reach the core.

Like the critical voice, however, the protectors have no difficulty maintaining entirely contradictory beliefs and behaviors. Although they'll fight to the death to protect the core from being hurt from outside, they're perfectly capable

of hurting her themselves if they think it's necessary to protect her. They may even cause her to re-enact trauma elements. One patient, for example, was severely abused as a child. She was also dependent on her abuser and he would sometimes withhold food as a way of controlling her. So her protectors evolved a complex set of unbreakable rules around food and eating. As an adult, she was unable to go into a grocery store to obtain food for herself.

It may seem absurd that the protectors would be acting as if the abuse could happen at any time, as many as 30 or 40 years after the event. But remember that the protectors don't have a linear view of time. For the protectors, as well as for the parts still stuck in the memory, then is now. And they're easily powerful enough to blend with the core and swamp her thinking. She then believes that these are her own thoughts and feelings. Often she has no understanding of why she has these rules; she only senses that, if she breaks any of them, something terrible will happen.

The littlest protectors are easy to reach, and they often melt with no more than a kind, caring voice. The further up the hierarchy you go, however, the more difficult the protectors are to deal with.

Parts who have experience with the outside world, including protectors, aren't frozen in time the way that memory parts are. Even though they tend to stay the same age as when they were created, they can grow and learn. However, the protectors' view of the core does essentially stay frozen. The core creates the inside system as a young child because she believes that she can't survive knowing and feeling all of her traumas. The protectors continue to see her that way—as a child who will be destroyed if she tries to take on the crushing burden of her memories. And because they genuinely believe that, they do their best to make sure that the core continues to believe it as well.

Because the protectors genuinely see the outside world as dangerous, they keep sending strong messages to the core. ... One aspect of the healing, then, is for the core to take back her power from the protectors.

Appendix K. Rabbi Marvin Antelman. *Eliminate the Opiate, Vol 2. (2002) – Opposing the Sabbatian Sect.*

According to the Sabbatian prophet, Nathan of Gaza, the souls in Israel have a destiny to be united in a “wonderful union” with the supernatural seirot, i.e., the supernatural void of radiant emanations surrounding God when he created the universe.

This is the horrifying Gnostic Mandate for a Shoah; kill Jews, exterminate them so that they may be united because of their holiness with their creators’ primordial emanations. In combining with them, Tikun, or perfection is achieved as the souls are “all united in one body which is the Messiah”

As in Judaism, so too in other religions did the poison of Gnosticism exercise its toxic sinister influence. Among Gnostic secret and non-secret societies, there were elements or universal themes that persisted, which took on their own putrid parochial flavour within a specific group.

If we would attempt to catalogue the most prominent conceptual aberrations of Gnosticism, our list would certainly include the following elements:

Satanism, Dualism, Antinomianism, Millennialism, Orgiastic sex rites, Witchcraft, Esotericism, Violence, Self Immolation, Reincarnation, Astrology, Demonology, Necromancy, Secrecy, Asceticism, Use of hallucinogens, Renunciation of property ownership and New Ageism....

The Thule Society, of which Adolf Hitler was a member, emphasized Satanism, reincarnation, hallucinogenic narcotics and violence. The Flagellants emphasised millennialism and self-immolation. They exaggerated the teachings of Orthodox Christianity, while the Satanic millennialistic, ascetic Sufi secretly sabotaged the moral teachings of Islam....

Some Gnostic movements resulted in apparent disparate behaviour among sectarians. For example, it was not uncommon for some members of the same Gnostic sect to indulge in promiscuous sexual behaviour even to the point of actual incest while others would lead ascetic lives.

Actually, both the ascetic and promiscuous sexual indulger were practising antinomian behaviour. Their common ground “is the determination not to play the Creator’s game”. Asceticism repudiates allegiance to God through abstention, and promiscuity through excess....

Of all Gnostic societies and cults, those that posed the greatest threat to Christianity, monotheistic faiths and especially to Jews and Judaism, and have influenced the course of contemporary history, are the Sabbatians, Illuminati-CFR Conspirators, Rosicrucian mystics, Thule Society, Cult of The All-Seeing Eye – Temple of Understanding, Breira Frankists, Sufi and the Buddhist Master Cult

The Gnostics believed that weather was controlled by Princes of Light and Darkness who created hail and storms. To them, these Princes controlled various aspects of nature and their wrath was to be supplicated. The Sh’ma states that rain would be withheld from Israel if its people should pursue that very lack of values implied in Gnostic idolatry.

As it says in the Torah, “It shall come to pass that if you worship other gods that the anger of the Lord will be kindled against you. The Heavens will be locked up and there will be no rain and the earth will not yield its produce.”

Gnostics proclaimed the fecundity principle. Sexual promiscuity was an ultimate end. The Sh’ma warns Israel not to “lust after” the desires of the heart and the eyes. Indeed, the Rabbis comment that to lust after the heart refers to Gnosticism....

The international occult neo-Frankist Cult of the All-Seeing Eye has in its heirarchy known Satanists. The Gnostic Golden Dawn and the Thule Society were replete with Satanists. The Illuminati Asiatic Brethren Lodges, heirs to Frankists' Sabbatian mysticism, based much of their theosophy on Jonothan Eibeschutz's (1690-1764) Gnostic antinomian work V'Avo Hayom El HaAyin, literally, "I shall approach the eye today."

However, the title is verbatim the verse in Genesis (24:42) in which Ayin which usually means eye here means "spring" or fountain, and concerns Abrahams servant Eleazar's narrative about Rebecca. ... The first word of this verse may be read with different vowels to indicate either the future or past tense. The concepts of Illumination are inextricably interwoven with the eye....

In Hebrew there is a letter Ayin as well as a word Ayin, meaning eye. The Hebrew Ayin is equivalent to 70 and RI is equivalent to three times 70, or 210. Illumination is brought about by destroying the Demon of Blindness through triangular diminution. The pyramid and triangle are important Gnostic symbols.

The eye of Illumination of the Illuminati is above a pyramid. That of the Gnostic Golden Dawn is inside a triangle. When Ayin is spelled out in Hebrew, it consists of three letters whose numerical equivalent is 130. The triangle in which the eye sits is also symbolic of the Platonic three classes; the working, military and the ruling classes.

In Sabbatian mysticism, sin is holy and is to be indulged in. This is the opposite to what the Torah preaches. Therefore one of the biblical sections of the Sh'ma, which is central in the daily worship of the Jew, was completely reversed by Gnostics and provides the link between the hidden eye and the fecundity principle of sexual anarchy.

**Appendix L. Anne Hamilton Byrne, Sarah Moore, and
“The Family.”** (*GumsboeNews.com, March 28, 2017*)



“The Family,” a new movie, is currently playing in Australia. There is a trailer for it on Youtube. What a strange story about a cult that dates back to the 1960s. Let me lay out some facts. I got most of them from writings by Sarah Moore, one of the children who grew up at Eildon, Victoria. Luckily for us she wrote a book in 1995, published by Penguin, called *Unseen, Unheard, Unknown*.

Sarah was born in 1969 and left the troubled home in 1987 at age 17. She then entered medical school and upon graduation did charity work in Burma, and came back and practiced medicine in Melbourne. She died at age 46 last year. Anne Hamilton Byrne is in palliative care.

One hears that Julian Assange grew up in that house in Eildon, but I have no evidence of it. The Family in Victoria might be connected to the one in South Australia (of which the best-known convict is Spencer von Einem).

The movie was first shown at the International Film Festival in Melbourne in 2016, with Anna Grieve as producer and Rosie Jones as co-producer and scriptwriter. According to the newspapers, the group is a cult that has had many adult

members not just the houseful of children.

It was a religion that featured both Jesus Christ (of whom Anne Hamilton Byrne was “a reincarnation”) and elements of Hinduism, particularly yoga. Sarah Moore says that a morning (mandatory) hatha was often the only exercise the children got, and that they were kept in darkness indoors.

The cult had some involvement with a private psychiatric hospital in Victoria, called New Haven. I pass over the fact that Skull and Bones, at Yale, is in the city of New Haven CT. This hospital closed in 1992. Relatives of patients said that New Haven used Deep Sleep therapy – I use the term “therapy” in the loosest possible manner.

Deep Sleep – à la Dr Ewen Cameron in Montreal --was the subject of a Royal Commission concerning Chelmsford Hospital, Sydney. In Victoria, it was found, or should I say “found” that Deep Sleep had not been used there.

An odd thing about Anne Hamilton Byrne is that she did not spend much time with her raft of kids in Australia. She was usually overseas at one of her properties in England or in America such as one in the Catskill Mountains of New York where she was eventually grabbed by the FBI.

This is not to say the kids escaped the pressure Anne put on them. She was there via phone calls and by sending lectures on tape. She also had cult members known as “Aunties” live in Eildon as disciplinarians. The house was called Uptop.

I mentioned the FBI. It seems that when Sarah Moore left the home she contacted police about the bad things that were happening. This led to a much-reported raid in which the kids were liberated, never to have to go back.

This probably saved the life of Cassandra whom Anne had been starving to death. Sarah Moore speaks with approval of the way the state handled the liberation. She wished it had happened circa 1978 when police came out to snoop.

The kids' transition to reality was not easy. Anne was their mother, after all, and they were indoctrinated to adore her and to believe she had many supernatural powers. Sarah Moore admits to having believed everything, or nearly everything. She craved her mother's love, as all children do.

I am not sure when Dr Moore found out that Anne was not her biological mother. Anne probably had no real offspring. In 2001 Sarah found her real Mum. I have seen Youtube videos that imply that some of the "brothers and sisters" are still faithful to Anne. That is, they defend her and are still believers in the cult. Anne is far gone with dementia.

When growing up at Eildon the kids had no outside source or means of comparison, certainly no TV or newspapers. They did not go to school. There were 14 of them, adopted, and fosterings sometimes meant 22 or 28 lived together.

Secrecy may have been needed as the adoption papers were not according to Hoyle. A solicitor, Peter Kibby, later said he forged some of them. It seems that some of the babies were grabbed from mothers.

In 1993 Anne and her husband Bill Byrne were extradited to Australia but no charges were brought regarding the abuse of the children. They each got fined \$5000 for some sort of fraud. Bill died in 2009 At present Anne's wealth is said to be as much as \$150 million but that figure may be way off. Sarah asked, in her 1995 book:

"Why did she subject us to the bizarre and cruel regimen in which we grew up? Was it to demonstrate that she had the power to create a generation that would be reared with her beliefs and believing in her? **I suspect perhaps that there were more sinister motives than these alone.** Some of us had multiple birth certificates and passports. Only she knows why thus was and why we were also all dressed alike, why most of us even had our hair dyed identically blond."

The Regimen

“Because she travelled so much she left two books of instructions called ‘Mummy’s Rule Books.’ These books listed penalties for infractions. They had entries such as: “If David rocks or sways during meditation, he is to be hit over the head with a chair.”

Lack of activity was a feature. The kids seldom went out to play. Listening to tapes and praying and meditating took up many hours. Anne claimed to have supernatural powers.

The kids were terrified of punishment. They got belted. In the morning they heard the first screams from one of the boys who had wet their bed. The cane used had three-corners, that is, each side of it was sharp. Food deprivation was also used to punish even the tiniest breaking of rules, or for giving an insolent look.

It should be emphasized that this cult was not a preparation for life as a prostitute. Sarah hardly knew that sex existed. Seven when she started to menstruate at 16. The girls were also instructed not to wash “down there.”

Sarah wrote the book in 1995 and may have been planning to update it. I am guessing her death was murder-by-cult.

Let me summarize what she said about the drug diet all the kids were on, and the participation of medical and other professionals in the cult. Some of the names are: Raynor Johnson, (head of a college at University of Melbourne), John Mackay, psychiatrist, and Joan Villimek, who owned the Newhaven Private Psychiatric Hospital in Kew.

An Abundance of Drugs

LSD was not illegal in the 1970s and was distributed to all the children at Uptop. Even after it was criminalized Anne was able to stock it. I now quote Sarah Moore about drugs:

“We would be given extra Mogadon if the adults thought we needed calming down. The Aunties would say, ‘Have a Moggy, you’re feeling upset.’ We were also given Largactil, Stelazine and Tofranil. Often our food tasted strange and sometimes we would uncover little pieces of tablets or powder in it. When we questioned these findings, the Aunties would say, ‘It’s just something to calm you down.’

“The climax of each child’s drug-taking came in the sect practice known as ‘going-through.’ During this process, also known as ‘clearing,’ we were given LSD and a number of other hallucinogenic drugs. It was a state that was basically a sustained LSD trip. It was meant to clear your soul and take you to a higher plane of understanding, and was perhaps the key to Anne’s spiritual influence.

“I had my first ‘go-through’ at fourteen and afterwards I was given Largactil, Haloperidol and Diazepam by Anne to ‘slow me down.’ One of the ‘foster’ girls, Mechalia, was also given Lithium because of her uneasy mental state.

“For a period of about six years our daily vitamin dose was staggering. Each day we had to take twenty-eight yeast tablets, twelve kelp, two vitamin C, two white and one oily vitamin E, one desiccated liver and half a B-forte tablet. We took this size dose two and sometimes three times a day.”

Sarah said Joan Villimek may have supplied the money for the vitamins. She also mentioned a rumor that that Lord Casey had made a donation to the cult! He served both Britain and Australia and was Governor General until 1969. Casey died in 1974, from the effect of a car accident.

Sarah had insight to see that these professional people: doctors, lawyers, engineers, architects, psychiatrists, nurses social workers “allowed Anne to successfully pull the wool over everyone’s eyes for more than twenty years.” Anne had no qualifications herself, she only lied about having them!

“Had The Family been a group of strangely dressed people meeting twice a week for meditation, an address by the Master, playing of music and chanting, they would never have gone unnoticed for so long. But professionals in their pin-striped suits with their impeccable social credentials could get away with maintaining in their private life morals that were completely at variance with their professional ethics. They looked respectable, people thought, therefore they must be respectable.

“Lawyers wrote out Deed Polls that were needed to forge passports and birth certificates, social workers allowed Anne to by-pass normal channels to allow her to adopt, or simply steal in some instances, sixteen children; doctors and nurses who gave her contacts with rich dying people who then left their estates to her “and doctors and nurses who supervised the abuse of LSD, which for a while they actually obtained free of charge from the Swiss drug company, Sandoz.” !!!

I don't know if anyone has looked for connections here:

1. Raynor Johnson, appointed head of a college, was a professor of religion, known for his studies of meditation in India. He was co-founder with Anne of the cult, known as The Family and also as The Great White Brotherhood. He must have known what the aunties were doing to the kids.
2. New Haven private psychiatric hospital was accused by a patient of using Deep Sleep. Its owners were in the cult.
3. The aunties were said to have nursed Lord Casey Jones – he was a – wait for it – Knight of the Garter.
4. Sandoz bothered to supply LSD to the Family -- for free!
5. Sarah Moore lost her left leg and blamed it in hospital mistreatment and then she died last year, age 46. Poor soul. As far as I can tell, media has not shown an interest in her.

Appendix M. “Let’s Control Our Future,” in Daniel Estulin, *TransEvolution*, Trine Day Press, 2014.

Lifelong emotional well-being is a key concept within trans-humanism. This can be achieved through recalibration of the pleasure centres in the brain. *If you could use nanobots to control your brain you wouldn’t even need money or possessions to be happy. You could stimulate any living experience in your head.*

The goal is to replace all aversive experiences with pleasure. Nanotechnology is a pivotal area for transhumanists. It is the science of creating machines, which are the size of molecules. Such machines could create organic tissue for medical use to dramatically prolong life span. For how long, you ask? How does forever sound to you?

In less than one generation, humanity may merge totally with technology by uploading human consciousness to virtual reality.

In this machine the individual could merge his intelligence with the collective intelligence with all others in the digital reality, effectively becoming one super-intelligent being. The concept of the ‘hive mind’.

But it goes way beyond that. One of the grand visions is to upload human minds into holographic bodies. A three-dimensional hologram, blurring reality and virtual reality by projecting images with blue beam or barium and strontium turning our beloved blue sky into a giant mind-numbing television set. You don’t believe me?

Back in 1998, U.S. Army Research Laboratory patented a three-dimensional holographic display. In fact, the US Government has a patent for holographic clouds (Patent 5409379), which was filed way back in 1993. In 1994, the USG patented the use of holographic clouds in a scene (Patent US 5489211).

The government and industry has done a good job inserting us into the matrix. We are being sucked into our iPhones, sucked into video games, into RD interface.

Theoretical physicist, Michio Kaku is convinced that: “by 2020 there will be an entire three dimensional universe in cyberspace, with virtual countries and government, virtual schools and universities, virtual properties and stock markets and virtual family and friends”.

Per futurist, Ray Kurzweil:“...in 10 years, things like second life will become just as prevalent as email now, and I think virtual worlds will have a similar way for people to get together and communicate.”

To give skeptics the benefit of the doubt, perhaps this version of humanity is pure speculation. However, converging technology does present a need for radical change within society, no matter how it is applied.

This point is made over and over again in the National Science Foundation NBIC 2001 report. The message of the report is abjectly clear. *The world of virtual reality is a world of totally controlled environment.*

Furthermore, if virtual reality technology is administered as a brainwashing tool, it can weaken what the Freudians call the “super-ego”, that part of the personality that coheres to one’s conscience, which is the basis for truth seeking on one hand and uniquely human act of fundamental discovery and integration of new universal principles on the other.

In fact, science has tried to phase out our built in connection to the universe, and replace it with “consensual hallucination” of virtual reality. Please understand that this environment that has been created, made-to-order by the corporate mass media.

Appendix N. Trish Fotheringham. Excerpts from Patterns in Mind-Control, at MoreThanAnIdea.ca, 2007.

I do not know the identity of the group who “programmed” me. From my birth in 1960, I was subjected to daily trainings and exploitations designed to create dissociated identities or alternate personalities (hereafter referred to as “alters”) that could be programmed according to the needs of the buyer(s). This programming was instilled through the use of mind-control techniques, including ritual abuse-torture.

My alters were trained for a variety of uses. I was rented as a child sex slave for individual pedophiles and cults. Other uses included writing the abusers’ words, preaching their agendas, recruiting, triggering others to action, recording, reporting, stealing, spying, and drug and arms trafficking.

I was in two different secret cults: my father’s ancient patriarchal clan, which had a few brutal sexual “family traditions,” and my mother’s “healing magic coven.”

Mom made a deal: social status and a secure cash flow in exchange for her secrecy and unquestioning cooperation in making me available for a “secret military training program.”

People involved, in one form or another, included relatives, neighbors and parents’ co-workers; doctor, and dentist; local businessmen, police, hospital staff, government officials, and politicians. Most of these were/are apparently “upright citizens”, often community leaders.

My mind-control programming included the use of: sensory/sleep/food deprivation; confusion, fear, pain, terror, guilt, shame, humiliation and belittlement; theatrics, trickery, illusion, lies and coercion; physical, emotional, verbal, sexual and spiritual abuse; forced actions against self/animals; double-bind situations; role-play “characterizations” based on nursery rhymes, fairy tales, stories, books.

One important piece of technology my trainers used was a wooden chair that could rock, buck, rotate, and administer electric shocks. They always told me it was a “magic gateway chair.” They said the straps and helmet were to make sure all of me made the trip safely, and were for my protection while I travelled in faraway and strange realms.

The chair’s bucking and spinning was used to create internal tornados. Over the years, the chair was used to vibrate me into an “open channel” state, and to “ride the rainbow”, which was mostly the sensation of traveling with really amazing lighting and special effects added. Later it was used more harshly for “repairs”, by making me near crazy and totally suggestible, and for punishments (“do what you’re told or we’ll have to zap some sense into you”) and then for the final events which locked down my “inside people.”

Because of the way memory works, dissociation was also a primary tool; intentional use of traumatic situations made it possible to selectively disconnect aspects of awareness or experience from my conscious mind, and create dissociated identities. Various psychoactive drugs were used to induce or enhance each particular dissociative state and to create “altered realities” or “escapes” that made it possible for alters to cope with otherwise unbearable experiences.

My understanding is that traumatic memories are stored differently than “ordinary” memories, in a manner which allows them to remain available and accessible, clear and intact as if locked in a vacuum that prevents aging or disintegration of integrity. In my case individual memories come up as a sort of “experiential movie clip.”

Throughout much of my training, one man was often present. My handler, whom I called “Puffy,” was in my life from infancy. Usually loving and friendly, stroking and kissing me, he was like my daddy, lover, sweetheart, and master all at once. He played with me and treated me like

his precious little princess. He took me walking in his beautiful gardens (like in the gospel song “In the Garden”).

At first I believed memories of a series of satanic events meant my family were Satanists and that “I” had been married to Satan (red skin, horns, tail, and all), so I lumped all the memories of the rituals of my parents individual cult groups together under this one heading of Satanism. Later, through an out-of-body alter that saw the cameras, wires, crew and staged setting, I learned the satanic-themed situations had actually been a series of porn and snuff films.

Carefully pre-planned experiential incidents used “smoke and mirrors” (deception and illusions, assisted by drugs) to make specific aspects of each piece of training stick with the correct alter identity in a predictable manner. The very first intentional splits were created with trauma, including violent shaking, while I heard my first words, “Don’t cry! Stop crying! Be Quiet!” repeated loudly and angrily.

Basic “negative” emotional states such as fear, helplessness, stifled anger, and loneliness, as well as “positive” ones such as pleasure, contentment and safety, were isolated into separate alters. Over time, some alters came to be identified by different bodily positions such as curled up, bent over, twisted, or held rigid. [Later] each alter’s piece of the memory had to be accessed and addressed before the whole experience could be considered reclaimed and healed.

Steadily, as each alter had enough time “out” in the body, this all began to solidify. Each alter had his or her own unique way of being. Dissociative barriers kept them aware of only their own pieces of my overall life. And in turn, “I,” the primary person who handled everyday life at home and in the world, was not aware of these alternate identities.

It seemed natural for life to be broken into chunks, with missing pieces, so “lost time” went unnoticed. Since

continuity was unknown, there was no sense of discontinuity. A way of life had been established and my brain's way of coping was "wired in" -- simply create another alter!

A core system was placed in the center of the inner world, as a root or anchor, a guidance system, and an overseer system, all in one. For me, this was a "Tree of Life", with branches for the general color-coded path, and colored leaves for holding the specific memory and training links. Training thereafter was linked and built upon this tree.

Nearly all alters were taught to be "good", but what that meant was tailored to their training path. I had to become skilled at connecting image and sensation records of lessons and trainings to the correct branches and leaves, a skill that later grew into a somewhat photographic memory.

Some alters were objects without personality. For example, I had an "eyeball in a glass ball" that was the famous "All-Seeing Eye", always watching and recording me. Brown dust balls and whirlwinds ("magical dwarves and brownies in disguise") came to "whisk things away" when a secret appeared in danger of being exposed, and a "wise" blue tornado whirled "safe words" to mind as distractions.

During this same age training began to move out into new venues. At 2 ½ years, my red and pink path alters' training had me in "an elite group" with other girls and boys, a class called "manners training" (at an official local government residence) where we were taught "how to behave appropriately" in high society – etiquette and manners which included such "necessary skills" as how to do "lap dances."

Accuracy was also part of the white "word path" training. At first using pictures, then words, by 5 years of age, a little "scribe" alter had learned to make point form lists for the "military leaders" at their planning meetings (they believed the silencing programs were infallible at this point).

At other times, in another terror-creating aspect of my “secret life” that took place from toddlerhood to age 12, Puffy was sometimes among these same men, on a huge, glamorous off-shore yacht that was used for child sex slave trafficking, among other things. When I was little, the pink alters being used for lap dances were treated and spoken to like a little princess who was precious and loved.

Sometimes my young dark blue and black soldier alters were forced to “do battle” here with other young boys in soldier training, while the men placed bets to see which of us “had what it takes to succeed.”

My grandfather was often the one who took me there, when he made his deliveries of “newly acquired trainees”, who were usually missing or unregistered children of all races who had been transported from other places in the country and were being readied for shipping and sale overseas.

At other times I was already onboard the boat, dressed in a formal gown to indicate that the children were being handed over to me for training. This pomp and ceremony made me believe it was legitimate and made the other children (who were drugged, starved, beaten, confused and terrified) believe it too, giving me clear authority over them as they were led, dragged or pushed to their “bunks.”

The physiological and chemical overload from all the events occurring outside of my conscious awareness produced severe asthma by this age of 6, ulcers by 11. Long hospital stays became part of my life and were simply incorporated into my training – lessons and abuse could take place there as easily as anywhere else, and sometimes it was quite convenient -- equipment for experiments and training.

Unbeknownst to my handler and trainers, a record keeper alter split off and got stuck at age eight, due to an overload of righteous outrage and hate from witnessing and recording

too much hateful, horrific wrongness and injustice. Most of the “boxes of records” were kept by this alter and hidden in my inner tunnels. Luckily, “files in folders” had recently been introduced as the main storage system, so this absence amazingly went unnoted, and the records remained pure until this alter was discovered in my late 30’s.

From the time I was tiny, anything that comforted or empowered me was always taken away or somehow tainted, so I’d not be able to draw upon it in my times of need.

“Self-destructs”, mostly done after this age of 10, were triggered if I became aware of memories I was not supposed to know. They could cause a “trip wire” physical response, and/or trigger a flood of feelings, without the content, from emotionally traumatic memories such as the death of a loved one, being outcast by “the gods,” or being told I had failed.

Undeniable evidence of my drop in status to outright slave came when I was shackled and chained, then forced to participate in the very crime my actions had been intended to save me from, after which I was “left to rot” in the cold, dark, hungry isolation of a cage in the basement of my handler’s estate house.

When I was still only twelve years old, the dark blue and black path soldier shutdown involved a series of incidents in which, while drugged with LSD, I had to endure a “final survival skills exam” in a forested section of a local military shooting range/training ground.

The staged event brought “failure”, beatings and interrogation as a “prisoner of the enemy”, then escape when I used self-defense training against other “soldiers” (children like me!). I was captured, given the label “traitor”, and forced to undergo more interrogation with a shocking gang rape. This reduced my cold, tough, mostly male alters to “a stupid, whimpering, useless little girl” on the floor.

In retrospect, it is evident that “putting me in my place” (as was said often) was the agenda – and my place was to be one of life’s losers, a high school dropout.

After a self-initiated visit to the psychiatrist I left his office in a state of despair, hitchhiking home. Getting into a van that stopped, I sensed danger, but too late. The man in the back grabbed me, pulling me into the van. I felt a prick in my arm. I was taken to the Colonel and a soldier alter was called forth and was convinced that he was a traitor! He [I] was told, and agreed, that the only option was to “take one for the team” and have his brain wiped clean with a “new medical procedure”; at least then he would retain his rank.

He was regressed in age and his memories were “erased in reverse order, back to the womb.” When he learned he was “really only a stupid little girl”, he disappeared. This was the last I saw of Puffy and the Colonel, although they continued to have me watched and triggered.

After the healing I have done, and based on everything I have experienced and learned, from those who abused and exploited me, I can honestly say I have never encountered a truly evil person, only people who do evil things. But when people do evil, or allow evil to persist in the world, it’s only because that’s what they were taught or conditioned to believe is the most acceptable, bearable, livable choice.

In my experience, survival needs include not only food, water, sleep, shelter, and safety, but also a sense of self and of being loved and belonging, as well as sufficient understanding to make our way in the world. I’ve learned that understanding naturally leads to wiser choices, and positive change inevitably results, in fact cannot be stopped, since human nature drives us forward to ever better things, relentlessly and unavoidably. We can choose to act with understanding and compassion rather than fear, to be discerning, to think for ourselves.

Appendix P. Obituary for David Rockefeller (1915-2017) by Henry Kissinger (b 1923), former Secretary of State (1973-1977), in *The Washington Post*, March 30, 2017.

In an egalitarian society such as America, the inheritance of great wealth presents a complex challenge. In an aristocratic world, status provides an automatic legitimacy. But in the United States, great wealth can produce ambivalence. The line between personal advancement and the pursuit of high principle can grow elusive.

For David Rockefeller, who died this month at age 101, that line did not exist. He saw his life as an obligation to enable the consequential issues of our time to be pursued by the most talented and committed men and women, **for the sake of our society and the peace of the world.**

David devoted his long life to identifying the able, forming them into a study or action group, and then supplying the means, often by a combination of financial contributions and assistance in fundraising efforts — a task in which his tenacity often overcame the challenge presented by a Rockefeller raising money. Most frequently, he joined the efforts he was creating, but I can remember no occasion on which he took the floor for personal commentary. Amid prevalent self-absorption, he pursued a staggering range of important objectives with unobtrusive humility.

Character and integrity were the sources of David's inspiration. We met 60 years ago as part of a study group at the Council on Foreign Relations, among the first such efforts **to discipline the ominous aspects of nuclear technology by moral and political purposes.**

Shortly afterward, he encouraged a discussion group, which later was developed into what is now known as the Bilderberg Group, an annual meeting of European and American leaders to explore their challenges and common purposes.

A decade later, David called on me, at the time secretary of state, to inform me that, in the view of some of the colleagues he had brought with him, **the scope of U.S. foreign policy needed broadening**. A truly global study to include Asia was required for that challenge.

His associates, in fact, included Jimmy Carter, Walter Mondale and Zbigniew Brzezinski; in other words, a government in exile waiting to replace the administration in which I served. But David's combination of dedication and innocence was such that the thought never took hold. Instead, I became a founding member of the Trilateral Commission, which thrives to this day.

I have described David's activities in the political world, which also included the **Americas Society**, International House, the Dartmouth Conference, the International Executive Service Corps, the Emergency Committee on American Trade and the Business Group for Latin America, because it was what I could personally observe. In fact, David's impact was far more embracing. He was a dedicated supporter (and collector) of art and **a mentor of medical science**. He participated in the leadership of the Museum of Modern Art and of Rockefeller University, dedicated to medical science.

As a universal benefactor, David was received around the world like a head of government. On one occasion, in the late 1980s, I accompanied him to the Soviet Union for a visit to Mikhail Gorbachev to discuss nuclear issues. David had invited former French president Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, former Japanese prime minister Yasuhiro Nakasone and me to produce a document on dealing with nuclear proliferation.

Only David would have been capable of bringing about that combination of participants or, for that matter, conceiving the idea. The only hitch turned out to be that David had

brought a gift with him for Gorbachev. His wife, Peggy, had suggested that he deliver a vial of bull semen to the Soviet leader to enhance Russian livestock. The nuclear discussion paled before the impossibility of convincing the staggered customs officials to grant permission to store a gift for which they could discover no applicable regulation.

Service was one facet of David's life. Devotion to his family was its equal. In 1979, when the Shah of Iran was being exiled, some close friends appealed to David to help find refuge for a ruler who had demonstrated his friendship with America in various international crises. David regretfully refused because of his obligation to Chase Bank. Such was their sense of family that his brother Nelson took David's place. Three weeks later, Nelson died. And without comment or another request, David assumed the task and helped the Shah find refuge, first in Mexico, then in Panama, regardless of the commercial impact of the decision.

It was uplifting to observe David's pride in his children and their reciprocal care for him. When he retired from business two decades ago, there was some concern in the family that he might become depressed. Self-pity was not a quality of David's, nor was imposing his needs on others.

Instead, in the last part of his life, he arranged trips to every part of the globe, often accompanied by a grandchild, to look into his many projects, to discover new challenges and to indulge his love of sailing.

David would often mention departed friends with whom he had shared part of his life. They would merge in his recital as if still part of a continuing, never-ending effort. Now, as he joins their number, **he will be in our mind as a permanent part of our life, and to our country he will remain a reminder that our ultimate legacy will be service and values, not personal ambitions.** [Emphasis added]

REFLECTIONS ON THE APPENDICES

Did you really read the whole book? Wow. Thanks. And also the 13 appendices? Come on, I don't believe you. Nobody ever reads a bunch of appendices. Oh, you got sucked in by the first one – Brice Taylor's amazing tales of the exploits of Henry Kissinger (b 1923) and went on from there? Ah, that's believable.

Let's talk about Henry's criminality. Henry is a major criminal, possibly responsible for *millions* of deaths. He needs to be indicted, arrested, and tried. There is no reason for anyone to hang back on arresting him. But since that is physically risky – he might have umpteen mercenaries --you could at least start by indicting him. Once an indictment is issued, it becomes the duty of law enforcement to snag him.

David Rockefeller, too, is responsible for much human suffering – even just the suffering that comes about by indebtedness, and that which is caused by the suppression of many medical cures that were available pre-1920. For yhe Rockefellers' part in war-creation, see Michael Rivero's "All Wars are Bankers' Wars."

In Appendix P, Henry waxes sentimental about the humane values of David. This needs to be a serious part of our consideration of our predicament. As stated above, Henry must be indicted. David should have been indicted but people were in awe of him. (No one is in awe of Henry, and he was hated in the State Department and elsewhere.)

The kind words laid on David by Henry need to be seen for what they really are – total lies intended to confuse people. We truly are confused, as *it is natural* to look up to persons of high rank. It's almost impossible to "take them on."

My message is, as usual: use the law. Mathematically we can see how the numbers stack up. The system today makes

almost everyone feel it's in his/her best interest to shut up and not chase after Criminal Kissinger. Even people whose profession is Law now side with the powerful and ridicule any person who would say "Let's investigate Henry."

So far, I have to slot Justice McClellan into this category, as he has not uttered a word in four years of commissioning, to indicate he sees who is at the top. Does he care how Denis Ryan got stymied? Or whether the real explanation for Pell's sins is that there was a Tavistock node at Ballarat?

Did he read Fiona Barnett's statement that some of the torture she was subjected to in Oz was MK-Ultra-like? How about the murders she witnessed – is it OK for the Royal Commissioner to exclude that data? He will have failed his duty if he won't lend the weight of his office to the research done by office-less me, Mary Maxwell -- and similar folk.

Speaking of Tavistock, note that Appendix G by Coleman puts the blame on Tavistock for the drug culture. Think how many people are suffering today from this, which has been the work of people who take pride in destroying minds. We were lucky that Coleman whistle-blew. Is it not vital for us to act on this trove of information? And how do you think Ms Sullivan and Ms Fortheringham (Appendices E and N) feel after spilling their story and seeing the law not crack down? Haven't members of a society an obligation to pitch in and help one another? It could be your child next.

All of this blockage in our thinking is emotional. It puts paid to our rich heritage of reasoning and working out ways to overcome the ravages of dictators. So stupid! Just change your attitude and we'll be all set. In Australia, join the move to free Martin Bryant (Appendix C) from his chains and tell who really massacred 35 people on April 28, 1996.

I ken who did it and so do you. Once we get past our silence whole new vistas will open up. I am sure of it, sure of it.

PHOTO CREDITS

Part One: The Royal Commission

- Page 11 -- Queen Elizabeth II: l1.wp.com
Page 20 -- Kingdom Hall sign: poemnest.com
Page 28 -- St Peters, Rome: media1.britannica.com
CIA, Langley: cpn.loc.gov
Page 34 -- Abby Rockefeller: Wikimedia.org
Dr R. Day: henrithlbodeau.files.wordpress.com
Page 49 -- Cardinal Pell: Tasmaniantimes.com
Pell and Howard: wixxyleaks.com
Page 56 -- Abu Ghraib prisoner: morallowground.com
Page 63 -- Detective Denis Ryan: humanheadline.com.au
Page 71 -- Model of human brain: dailymail.co.uk
Page 78 -- Justice McClellan: resources2.news.com.au
Page 87 -- Navy ship: divejervisbay.com
Page 96 -- Hebrew slates: spirit889.com

Part Two: They Stand Incriminated

- Page 107 -- John Podesta: victuruslibertas.com
Page 122 -- Valerie Wolf: tulanelink.com
Bill Clinton apologises: img.timeinc.net

Part Three: Tavistock, Social Engineering, Lucifer

- Page 138 -- Dr Dax and art: Daxcentre.org
Page 146 -- Devil of the Year: content.time.com
Page 152 -- W Hoffman and A Miller books: amazon.com

Part Four: Considering Humanity


- Page 158 -- Sistine Chapel: Vatican.com
Page 167 -- Caveman: Brophyworld.com
Page 175 -- Men in Rome: abc.net.au
Page 180 -- Sen Heffernan valedictory: l.guim.co.uk



Appendices

- Page 202 -- Lincoln Memorial: edtech2.boisestate.edu
Page 217 -- Tavistock Centre: l.dailymail.co.uk
Page 227 -- Anne Hamilton-Byrne: pulpcurry.com

Bibliography

(in alphabetical order by author)





ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Mary W Maxwell was born in the US in 1947 and moved to Australia in 1980. She was educated at Emmanuel College, Boston (BA), Johns Hopkins University (MLA), and the University of Adelaide (PhD and LLB).

She has been a children's choir director in Abu Dhabi, a producer of three plays at The Adelaide Fringe Festival, and a Republican candidate for Congress in 2006, and president of the South Australian branch of the Australian Institute of International Affairs.

Mostly she is a researcher and a writer, with some publications in scholarly journals and about 300 articles on the Internet. She has a column at GumshoeNews.com

Her books are as follows:

Human Evolution 1984
Morality among Nations 1990
The Sociobiological Imagination (ed) 1990
Moral Inertia 1991

Prosecution for Treason 2011
Consider the Lilies 2013
A Balm in Gilead 2014
Fraud Upon the Court 2015
Marathon Bombing 2016
Inquest: Siege in Sydney 2017
Deliverance 2017

Co-authored with Dee McLachlan:
Truth in Journalism 2015
Port Arthur: Enough Is Enough 2016

Under pseudonym Fortunata Fiji:
Teen Etiquette with Feelings 2012

INDEX

- Aishe 139, 140, 141, 155
Antelman, Rabbi Martin 32,
39, 84, 148, 224-226
Aquino, Michael 120, 164
ASIO 32, 65
Assange, Julian 141, 227
Australian Army 102
Australian Federal Police
20, 21
Bailey, Dr Harry 138, 144,
Balcombe 100, 102
Ballarat 27, 45, 50, 69, 141
Barnes, Alan 142, 143
Barnett, Fiona 31, 47, 78, 79,
81, 84, 103-106, 110-112,
115, 147, 155
Barritt, Jim 65, 67, 68, 70
Beaumont, Jane 114
Beazley, Kim 85
Beazley, Kim Sr 110
Beck, Richard 118, 119, 120
Bezmenov, Yuri 52, 74
Bishop 33, 34, 36, 42, 43, 49,
68, 72, 104
BIW 43, 45, 46
blind institutional allegiance
46
Blumenthal, Sen. Richard
109
Bogle, Gilbert 114
Bonacci, Paul 126
Bowlby, John 133, 218
Brandis, George 48
Brick, Neil 148
Bryant, Martin 77, 102, 103,
141, 198-199, 243
Bryce, Quentin 81
Buckey, Ray 117
Bugg, Robert 43, 44
Bush, First Lady Barbara 80
Bush, George W 80, 149,
150, 156
Bush, Poppy 107
Cameron, Dr Ewen 125,
139, 144, 228
Canon Law 30
Casey, Lord Richard 231-
232
Catholic Church 22-24, 28,
30, 35, 40, 48, 63-66, 68,
85, 87, 106, 131, 148, 151
Catholic hierarchy 28, 42
Catholic Mafia 65
Chandler, Dr Geoffrey 114
Charles, Prince of Wales 42
child porn rings 82
child protective services 133
child sexual abuse 20, 21, 23,
24, 26, 28, 40, 42, 44, 46,
48, 50, 72, 92, 117, 118,
131, 138
Child, Det. Inspector 66
Church of Satan 149
Church, Sen. Frank 54, 122

CIA 32, 43, 47, 53 54, 56,
 57, 62, 79, 82, 122, 123,
 125, 131, 133, 135, 146,
 citizen's arrest 167, 170-171
 Claridge, Paul 45, 46
 Clinton, Bill 107, 122
 Clinton, Hillary 80, 107, 108,
 149
 Coate, Justice Jennifer 21, 40
 Col Edward House 74
 Coleman, John 210-216
 Conley, Shawn 91
 conspiracies 38
 cover-up 14, 32, 42, 44, 48,
 70, 95, 117, 30
 Covich, Suzanne 48
 Cox, Justice William 77
 critical voice 220-223
 Crocker, Father Maury 85
 Crocker, Liz 117, 120
 Crowley, Aleister 39, 80,
 148, 149
 Curran, Mr. 99, 100
 Dax, Dr Eric Cunningham
 138, 139, 140, 141
 Day, Dr Richard 32, 33, 34,
 35-38, 39, 40, 72, 75
 Day, Monsignor John 64, 65,
 66, 67, 68
 Delgado, Jose 51
 Veteran's Affairs Dep't
 100Fsin
 Dicks, H 163, 217-219
 drugs 208-214, 230
 Dulles, Allen W 56, 62, 133
 Dunblane massacre 31
 Dunegan, L 32, 35, 39, 75
 Dutroux case 31, 116
 Emery, Fred 138, 139
 Epstein, Orit 150
 Estulin, Daniel 233-234
 eye, the 226
 False Memory Syndrome 54,
 79, 175-179
 Family, the 141, 227-232
 FBI 56, 108, 116, 122, 228
 Finnegan, Bishop Brian 78,
 Foster, Mrs 68, 69
 Fotheringham, Trish 82, 84,
 140, 235-241, 245
 Fox, Senior Officer Peter 50
 Frank, Eva 39
 Fran, Jacob 148
 Friedman, Jesse 118
 Fringe, the Adelaide 198
 Geelong 27, 42, 44, 46, 47,
 64, 73, 104, 106,139
 General Education Bd 137
 genocide, law of 200-201
 Gillard, Julia 20, 81
 Gnostics 224-226
 Goldstein, Emanuel 157
 Gottlieb, Sidney 124, 125
 grand jury 122-123
 Grieve, Anna 227
 Griggs, Kay 92
 GumshoeNews 34, 47, 78,
 106, 147
 Haber, Jon 109
 Hamilton-Byrne, Anne 141,
 227-232
 Hart, Barry 144

Hart, Archbishop Denis 68
 Hawke, Bob 81, 111
 Heffernan, Sen. Bill 3, 47-48
 Hell's Angels 82
 Herron, John 138, 144, 145
 HG Wells 32, 39, 74
 Highton House 42, 43, 45
 Hitler, Adolf 155
 Hoffman, Wendy 152, 153, 155
 Holding, Tim 66,
 Holt, Harry 81
 Horley, Mr 26
 Houston, Frank 113
 Howard, John 49, 111
 Howden, John 64
 Hubbard, Ron 134
 human nature 158-166
 Hume, David 182
 Hussein, Saddam 81
 Huxley, Aldous 135
 Huxley, Leonard 178
 IMF 74
 Irwin, Alby 67
 Iserbyt, Charlotte 38, 136
 Jehovah's Witnesses. See
 Watchtower Australia
 Johnson, Anne 155
 Johnson, Elliot 112-114
 Johnson, Judy 117, 121
 Johnson, Raynor 232
 Johnston, Lt Commander 90
 Jones, Prof Ivor 141
 Jones, Jim 135
 Judaism 39
 judicial committee 24-27, 47
 Karjala, Lynn Mary 220-223
 Karkker, Wanda 3, 155, 206
 Kearney, Joe 64, 65, 67, 68, 70
 Keating, Paul 111
 Kelvin, Richard 142
 Kennedy, Alexander 138, 139
 Kidman, Anthony 110,
 Kidman, Nicole 85, 110, 134
 Kissinger, Henry 140, 187, 191, 196, 242-244, 245
 Kurnell Beach 110, 111
 Langley, Mark 142
 LaVey, Anton 149
Leeuwin, HMAS 87-91, 96-98
 Levin, Bonnie 108, 109
 Little, Bishop Frank 35
 Little, Thomas Francis 35
 Loftus, Elizabeth 178
 Lucifer 146, 157
 Luzzato, Tamara 108, 109
 LSD 82, 135, 230
 Maxwell, Mary W 37, 104
 McClellan, Justice Peter 21, 40, 49, 55, 78, 79, 84, 99, 101, 246
 McDonald, Adam 102
 MacFarland, Officer 63
 McGowan, Daniel 120, 121
 McLachlan, Dee 37, 46, 147
 McMartin Preschool 116, 117, 119

Meirs, Michael 135
 Melbourne Response, the 68
 Mengele, Josef 152, 153-155
 mental furniture 70-77
 MI6 32, 80
 Mildura 48, 63, 64, 66, 69
 Miller, Alison 152, 155, 156
 Minchin, Tim 85
 mind control 49, 51, 52, 55,
 62, 72, 82, 122-124, 131,
 145, 146, 149, 150, 152,
 203-208, 235-241
 MK-Ultra 32, 50, 53, 54, 72
 123, 153
 MK-Ultra victims 55, 148
 Moore, Sarah 227-232
 Mossad 32
 Muir, Neil 142, 143
 Mulkearns, Bishop Ronald
 68, 87
 Mullens, Claudia 123, 124
 Music 207
 NASA 186, 192
 NATO 74, 242
 Navy 87, 88, 89, 90, 98, 99
 Neill, Bill 25
 Nixon, Christine 66, 110
 normalcy 180-184
 O'Brien, Bob 142
 occult, the 74, 146, 147, 150,
 155, 226
 Orwell, George 74, 157
 Owen, Alisha 126
 Parsons, Catherine 45
 pedophilia 28, 30, 32, 46, 50,
 54, 72, 73
 pedophile rings 30-31, 82-83
 Pell, Cardinal George 20, 49-
 55, 62, 68, 72, 79, 84, 140
 Petrauskas, Leonas 110-111
 Pew Trusts 108
 Pizzagate 106, 108, 138, 141
 Podesta, John 107, 108
 police corruption 63-68, 205
 precautionary principle 39,
 77
 priests 29, 30, 31, 33, 37, 48,
 49, 55, 64, 68
 principle 39-40, 57, 64, 73
 prisoner anxiety 60, 61, 62
 private law 21
 protectors (Karjala idea) 222
 psychopath 65
 Qadaffi, Muammar 81
 Queen Elizabeth II 10-12,
 21
 Quigley, Carrol 132
 Rabkee, Judge 91
 Randy Engels program 35
 Ratzinger, Joseph 148
 Rawlings Rees, John 133,
 138
 Reagan, Ronald 107, 190
 Red Cross 123
 Reiner, District Attorney Ira
 121
 religion 34-35, 37, 39, 131
 Rhodes, Cecil 132
 Richmond Football Club 51
 Ritual Abuse-Torture 82, 83,
 Rockefeller 37, 72, 75, 137

Roman Catholic Church. See
 Catholic Church
 Royal Commission Act 20
 Rubiner, Laurie 109
 Rudd, Kevin 111
 Rutz, Carol 54, 146
 Ryan, Detective Denis 31,
 48, 63-70, 78, 135
 Sabbatian sect 39, 84
 Satanic cults 82, 118, 226
 Satanic Ritual Abuse 117,
 126, 147, 178
 Satanism 140, 148, 226
 Savage, Russell 66
 Shurter, David 155
 Schwartz, Joseph 150
 Searson, Fr Peter 34, 35
 Sharia law 21
 silence 13-14, 42
 Sinclair, Peter 99
 Skolnick, Sherman 112
 Skull and Bones 14, 149
 SMART conferences 53
 sociobiology 159
 sociopath, 204
 Springmeier, Fritz 149
 Stanford Research 213
 Stewart, Angus 29, 40, 100
 Stogneff, Peter 142, 143
 student suicides 42, 45
 Sullivan, Kathleen A 155,
 202-206, 245
 Tavistock 106, 131 -137,
 139, 140, 141
 Tavistock Clinic 133, 138,
 217-219
 Taylor, Brice 140, 186-196
 tribalism 208
 Trist, Eric 139, 219
 Trump, Donald 108
 truth commission 167
 Trutmann, Philippe 42, 43,
 45, 46, 73
 Tsarnaev, Jahar 102, 103
 Twartski, Mrs 153, 154
 Orlikow, Velma 125
 Vatican, the 50, 55, 88, 123,
 140, 148
 Verdier, Paul 197
 Villimek, Jan 230, 231
 Von Einem, Bevan 142, 143
 Watchtower Australia 20-27,
 30, 47, 42, 47, 78, 82
 Weishaupt, Adam 149
 Whitlam, Gough 110
 Wilson, EO 207-209
 Wolf, Valerie 122, 123
 Wood, Justice John 54
 Woodling, Bruce 119
 Woodward, Judge Bob 112
 World Government 32, 39,
 72, 73, 74, 157, 242-245
 Zachary 7
 Zevi, Sabbatai 148

STOP PRESS

On April 5, 2017, at GumshoeNews.com, I wrote “What’ll It Be, Justice McClellan, Forest or Trees?” asking the judge to look at overarching reasons behind the abuse in schools. This caused “Speculator247” to send us this comment:

From what I’ve heard over the years, it seems to me that this is or was more or less “standard practice” in boys’ boarding schools in England. It seems it’s one way that they can determine from a fairly young age who will be successfully recruited into “the society.” “The society” runs governments and all of its systems from within and without. *They like to give those who they might consider for entry into the society an opportunity to “enjoy” themselves at the expense of the younger boys,* which will cause some to grow up to be men with very little self-esteem and sense of their own power and therefore more or less compliant subjects or citizens.

Really, the more organized chaos they can cause the better they like it. Others will grow up to be men with varying degrees of moral degeneracy. Most will grow up to be much less than they would have been without interference.

[One of the men named in this book] is a moral degenerate, -- he has been recruited into the society. When you think about the boarding school system of “education” it’s apparent that malevolence has been at work, sometimes very blatantly and sometimes covertly. And the military is the same. Note: whenever people are allowed to operate behind a privacy screen, the nasty sort will infiltrate and usurp since this “darkness” is their home territory.

They use a large portion of their resources to make sure the screen remains in place and also to try to expand the “darkness.” This sounds simple enough, but it’s really huge and it’s what these people are about. It includes keeping our conscious minds separate from our less conscious minds.

I think this may be the answer – from Highton House to Leeuwin.

In US, many Skull and Bonesmen have become top officials– their ritual of recruitment is “sexual humiliation.” -- Mary W Maxwell

DELIVERANCE means deliverance from the fate we had apparently been slated for – some combination of Brave New World, 1984, and Dr Strangelove. Deliverance means we can start to connect to one another again and plan our future with trust! Wow.



*Mary W Maxwell is an American Australian.
Her most recent book is “Inquest: Siege in Sydney.”*

