



# **GRASS COURT**

**HOW TO USE LAW  
TO DEAL WITH THE  
PANDEMIC**

**Mary W Maxwell, LLB**

ISBN

Copyright Mary Maxwell, 2020

Cover design by Craig Arnold, photo of grass from Wikimedia Commons

Keywords: Covid-19, Grass Court, outlawry, MK-Ultra, militia

Author's email address: MaxwellMaryLLB @ gmail.com

Author's YouTube channel: Mary W Maxwell

Author's website: ConstitutionAndTruth .com

Some of Mary W Maxwell's other books:

*The Soul of Boston and the Marathon Bombing* (false-flag case)

*Prosecution for Treason*(conspiracy theory in academic format)

*Reunion: Judging the Family Court* (the crime of judicial kidnap)

*Fraud Upon the Court* (Pat Tillman, April Gallop, Troy Davis)

*Inquest: Siege in Sydney* (observation of coroner's hearings)

*Deliverance!* (MK-Ultra, kuru, satanism, pizzagate)

*Consider the Lilies: A Review of 18 Cures for Cancer and Their Legal Status* (vaccination, suppression of science, medical history)

To the keepers of the flame

especially Philip Allott,  
who scoffs at dying embers



## PREFACE

We are in extreme trouble. The Powers That Be have weapons that we cannot hope to beat. They also have a silly power over us – our own willingness to submit! In this book I say get a clear understanding of law and apply it vigorously. You may hear “Americans had wonderful law but lost it.” No, they did not lose it. It’s in great shape and available to all.

Stop worrying that members of government and courts have fouled things up. They are to be pitied. Someone must have got at them. I have the advantage of age – I can remember perfectly well when a judge felt honored to be a judge and would not have considered selling out.

This book’s title, *Grass Court*, refers to my recommendation that citizens respond to the corruption – or the AWOL-ness – of our judicial system by showing them how a real court applies real law. Maybe this will be only a mental exercise, but I can envision people conducting prosecutions “on the grass.”

Students in Law schools routinely hold competitive moot courts. Maybe they will devise a competition to see who can actually nail down the traitors among us.

Please don’t “let it ride.” There is no room for relaxing.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	7
Foreword by Dee McLachlan	10
Laws and Cases Cited in This Book	12
<b>Part One: Introduction</b>	
1. What Is Law?	16
2. The Crisis and the Coming Disaster	19
3. Military Instructed To Do “Operation Warp Speed”	22
4. Giordano Wants Transhumanism, But Do You?	24
<b>Part Two: American Law</b>	
5. The Constitution with Its Bill of Rights	28
6. Can They Jab Me If I Say No? No, They Can’t	33
7. The White-Anting of the Parchment	37
8. Blackstonian Law and the Material Witness Act	41
<b>Part Three: “Everything’s Ready”</b>	
9. How Takeovers Were Done in the Past	45
10. Rockefeller Knew the Pandemic in 2014!	48
11. Let’s Concentrate on Treason	51
12. Davos Has Announced “the Great Reset”	56
<b>Part Four: Grass Court Is in Session!</b>	
13. Just Show Up at Your Local Grass Court	60
14. Grass Court’s Work: Prosecutions and Lawsuits	65
15. Citizen’s Arrest Is Legal in All 50 States	69
16. Grass Court’s Outlook on the DoJ’s Corruption	74
<b>Part Five: Most Vaccinations Have Been Criminal</b>	
17. Bill Gates, WHO, Vaccination, Genocide	78
18. Pleomorphism, Polio Cure, Crofton, Dogs	83
19. Indicting the Media: the BBC Pushes Vaccination	88
20. Vax, 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment, HIV, Gulf War Illness	93

<b>Part Six: Law Enforcement Gets Violent</b>	
21. Is Martial Law Constitutional? Yes	101
22. Can Presidents Declare Emergencies?	104
23. Dr Day Foretold an Acceptance of Violence	107
24. Militias, the Dick Act, and National Guard	112
<b>Part Seven: Crimes of Bioweapons and Menticide</b>	
25. The Federal Crime of Possessing a Bioweapon	118
26. Lyme a Bioweapon: Barnett, Mikovits, Newby	123
27. This Mind Control Business Must Stop	126
28. A Newly Minted Crime: Menticide	130
<b>Part Eight: So, Take Action!</b>	
29. Truth Commissions, Amnesties, and Pardons	134
30. Useful Emotions: Curiosity, Fear, Spirituality.	137
31. Civil Disobedience, Mutiny, the Law of Outlawry	142
32. Conclusion: Tasks for the Grass Court	147
<b>Appendices</b>	
A. US Deaths in Vietnam Were Domestic Genocide	158
B. Fran Shure on the Treachery of the Media re POWs	161
C. Brice Taylor on NASA Torture of Her Son	164
D. Trish Fotheringham on Patterns of Mind Control	171
E. Germany Is, So Far, the Strongest Protestor	176
F. Review: Elias Davidsson's <i>America's Betrayal Confirmed</i>	179
G. The Grass Electoral College	182
H. Royal Rife's Sworn Deposition of 1961	187
J. Civil RICO When a Federal Court Is Corrupt	192
K. US Constitution, Full Text, with All Amendments	214
Bibliography	234
Index	235





## New Hampshire State Constitution -- Bill of Rights

1. All men are born equally free and independent; Therefore, **all government of right originates from the people**, is founded in consent, and instituted for the general good.
2. All persons have a right to keep and bear arms in defense of themselves, their families, their property and the state. **An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent.**
3. When men enter into a state of society, they surrender up some of their natural rights to that society, **in order to ensure the protection of others; and, without such an equivalent, the surrender is void...**
7. The people of this State have the sole and exclusive right of governing themselves as a free, **sovereign**, and independent State; and do, and **forever hereafter** shall, exercise and enjoy every power, jurisdiction, and right, pertaining thereto.
8. All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and **officers of government are their substitutes and agents**, and at all times account-able to them. ... any individual taxpayer ... shall have **standing** to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State... has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, or constitutional provision....
10. Government being instituted ... **not for the private interest ... of any one man**, family, or class of men; therefore, whenever the ends of government are perverted, and public liberty manifestly endangered, the people may, and of right ought to reform the old, or establish a new government. **The doctrine of nonresistance against arbitrary power, and oppression, is absurd, slavish, and destructive of the good and happiness of mankind.** [Emphasis added]

## FOREWORD

BY DEE MCLACHLAN

My home for the last twenty years has been Melbourne. It's a beautiful city with parks, beaches, restaurants and diverse communities. It was voted the "most livable city" for seven years running. But it is "livable" no more.

The Premier, Daniel Andrews, has brought in the most draconian measures to stop the spread of CoV2 and keep us all "safe." The other day he said "...until that vaccine is administered to every single Victorian we will have to live with and embrace a Covid normal." This politician is willing to "administer" a vaccine that would have had limited trials and absolutely no long-term safety data, yet he heavily restricts a 65-year-old drug, Hydroxy-chloroquine, that is getting, reportedly, excellent results overseas.

When I told a lady at the shop about my doubts, she ended the conversation more or less angrily, with "I'm going to trust mainstream medicine." But Dr Simone Gold and the 800 doctors that have signed a letter to President Trump *are* mainstream medicine!

Clearly, Australia has had a coup d'etat. Coups are well-known in history but this one has odd features. I'm pretty sure a "Stasi" is being developed, so that people will turn their friends in for Orwellian thought crime. We don't have to do this. The manipulators seem to know exactly how to demoralise and destabilise society, but we needn't cave in.

The author of this book, Mary Maxwell, who lived in Australia for more than three decades, is sure she can get on top of it, at least in the United States. Her thing is “our law heritage.” Mary has published over 400 articles at my alternative-media website GumshoeNews.com, mostly on law.

She has always expressed a faith that the law provides a remedy for almost anything. How many times have I heard her say *Lex semper dabit remedium* -- the law will provide a solution to the crimes being perpetrated on us. But even Mary developed some skepticism when we discovered, together, that judges are actively abetting the child trafficking business (see Maxwell’s 2019 book, *Reunion: Judging the Family Court*).

So, will the law rescue us from Covid-19? Maxwell says “You gotta do it yourself.” She has invented Grass Court as a means of preserving the already great body of civilised thinking and using it as a barrier against fear. You may find yourself inspired!

Please spread the word. Let’s hope this book will energise us to defeat the criminal and unconstitutional actions of the few. Look, the numbers are entirely in our favor. There’s no need for 7.8 billion folks to offer their arm for an injection by, say, a few mental cases who think God has ordained them to organise a global economy and redesign human nature.

Start your own local Grass Court and give “certain people” the willies.

Not a week from now, but today. Reach for your phone and make some calls -- before you get muted.

## Table of Authorities

### *United States Constitution:*

Article I, sec 8, the grants of powers to Congress:

Clause 3 regulate interstate commerce	90
Clause 15 provide for calling forth militia	88
Clause 18 the only branch that can make law	115

Article I, sec 9 habeas corpus 115

Article II, sec 2 president calling forth militia 88

Article II, sec 3 laws to be faithfully executed 88

Article III, sec 3 treason 54

Article IV guaranteeing each state a republic 89

Amendment II to keep and bear arms 34

Amendment IV secure in their persons and papers 97

Amendment XII electoral college 180

Amendment XIV state law can't breach Constitution 97

### *US Supreme Court Rulings:*

1807 *US v Burr* -- on conspiracy to commit treason 66

1810 *Fletcher v Perk* -- on corruption of legislature 74

1905 *Massachusetts v Jacobson* -- on legality of mandatory vax 34

1931 *Home Building v Blaisdell* – emergency doesn't override 39

1942 *US v Korematsu* -- on martial law 103

1982 *Nixon v Fitzgerald* a sitting president can be indicted 66

2005 *Kelo v New London* -- on eminent domain 37

2010 *Citizens United v FEC* -- on corporations' rights 43

2010 *Brusewitz v Wyeth* -- on the vaccine injury court 36

2012 *Florence v Freeholders* – on strip search and privacy 38

## **Federal Statutes Referred To in This Book**

Material Witness Act 1793	43
Insurrection Act 1807	116
Sherman Anti-trust Act 1890	74
Federal Communications Act 1934	73
Federal Declaratory Judgement Act 1934	143
Flood Control Act 1944	76
Smith-Mundt Act 1948	25
National Historic Preservation Act 1966	68
Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Org. Act 1970	116
Disaster Relief Act 1974	105
National Emergencies Act 1976	105
National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act 1986	37
War Crimes Act 1991	145
Patriot Act 2001	34
Homeland Security Act 2002	77
Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act 2005	95
National Defense Authorization Act 2012	25

## ***Law As Codified in the United States Code:***

funding planned parenthood: 42 USC 300	25
crime of bioweapon use: 18 USC 175	118
crime of treason: 18 USC 2382	42
crime of genocide: 18 USC 1091	96
crime of insurrection: 18 USC 2338	116
crime of misprision of treason: 18 USC 2382	118
crime of failing to report a felony: 18 USC 4	118
national guard bureau 10 USC 341	115
war crimes by Americans: 18 USC 2441	80
president to warn crowd before dispersing: 10 USC 254	116
private militia not to parade in uniform: 10 USC 771	114

**Petition at Change.org, started by Yvonne Boggs, which has obtained thousands of signatures**

“We the families of the murdered and missing women of Ohio have lost all trust in our justice system and its investigators therefore we **request immediate action to appoint a special prosecutor** from outside of Ohio to:

- 1) Investigate our cases without conflict of interest....
- 2) Investigate our investigators, prosecutors, and judges as we believe they are protecting one of the alleged informants that reportedly murdered our family members.
- 3) Prevent the [sheriff and FBI] from allowing Detective John Winfield to work on our cases because ... he is related by marriage to one of the alleged killers.
- 4) Prevent the ... hiding of information or minimizing, through unlawful means, their dereliction of duty and potential complicity in the murder of our daughters.
- 5) Investigate why law enforcement refuses to arrest an attorney who has been reported for human trafficking.
- 7) Investigate how and if Ross County Prosecutor Matt Schmidt compromised our attorney that was going to sue the same offices under the civil RICO statute.

Signed,

The families of the murdered and missing women of and the **citizens** of Ross Scioto and Franklin Counties

**Dear Ohio families, as a last resort, you can make your own special prosecutor -- MM**


Welcome to  
**Part One**  
INTRODUCTION



Power concedes nothing without a demand.  
It never did and it never will.

**Frederick Douglass**

## Chapter 1: What Is Law?



*Bible*

Any living creature that you find in Nature belongs to a species that has rules of behavior. In a few species those rules may be entirely hard-wired -- the individual gets told what to do by signals in her own brain. Thus the same routine passes down the generations, via the DNA.

Other species, and certainly all mammals, provide more opportunity for innovative performance. The individuals can use their experience to decide what to do. Nevertheless, they are so bound by the species repertoire of behavior that you can generally predict the outcome.

Humans are exceptionally free. Not as free as we tend to think, but at least able to consciously mull over a decision and perhaps improve on it by discussing it with others. The fact that human behavior is constrained has partly to do with DNA -- evolved emotions guide us to prefer one behavior over another. Also, parents guide the child to do what is right, according to their beliefs about what is right.

Members of the society acting together formulate rules -- help the elderly, don't steal from your neighbor, eat the fruit when it's ripe. Most of that may be what a person would instinctually do anyway, but people love to hear what the rule is.



Civilizations discover writing and this allows for collected wisdom. On top of just creating rules, people establish law as an institution. Specialists emerge – a religious leader may get all excited about the meaning of law, a judge may make fine distinctions about a law’s applicability.

I consider law a fantastic blessing to *Homo sapiens*. It makes the difference between millions of selfish individuals madly seeking their own benefit over the claims of others, and a sense that we depend on one another. Fairness and protection of all members tend to be standard themes -- the content of law is similar, be it the Code of Hammurabi, the Book of Leviticus, the laws of the Roman empire, or our modern law.

Right now, law in the United States is in crisis. Huge crisis. Many people are unaware of it, perhaps because they wish to be unaware of it -- as it’s pretty frightening.

The reason for the crisis is that some persons have got into the system with a deliberate plan to wreck the US. I don’t plan to sit back and let this happen. It is an outrage.

The mission of this book is to arm the reader with a great weapon, the law. Indeed, the founders of the nation intended the Constitution to be a weapon. An important theme is that the Framers of the Constitution, in 1787, anticipated power grabs. They did a great job, largely because they knew the worst of human nature and tried to foil it. We can do that, too.

## **The Grass Court**

And how will the reader of this book wield the weapon? Does he have to become a lawyer? Does he have to run for public office? Does he have to sue the government? No. People can “do” law. After all, if our official court system keeled over, people would have to try to make do, wouldn’t they?

The law is written down and its principles are well known. No magic judicial skill is required to apply it to circumstances. I have concocted the name “grass court” to indicate that you can adjudicate a case on your front lawn. The jury – anybody who shows up and who is not party to the case – can sit on the grass and talk it out.

Do I hear you ask “On whose authority?” Well, how about “on the authority of the law itself”? The law was produced by all of us, over many centuries; it’s a historic human product. Like the body of science, “the body of law,” gets constantly critiqued, and improved. You can improve on it now.

Please note: most chapters of this book are about law, but there are three non-law topics: virus, mind control, and vaccination. It will probably become necessary for Grass Court to apply the law with reference to those things. Surely the Covid-19 lockdown is a steppingstone toward martial law and totalitarianism.

Persons who are laying those steppingstones on the road are thereby committing crimes.

## Chapter 2. The Crisis and the Coming Disaster



*Picasso's Guernica, 1937*

The phrase “the new normal” is used openly by the MSM (the mainstream media: TV and newspapers). The indication is that our usual way of life, which got interrupted in March 2020 by a pandemic, will never be restored; “adjustments” will have to be made. What nonsense. Sinister nonsense.

Other, more drastic plans are also afoot. They are not classified secrets – their proponents boast about them. These are usually printed in the financial media, in legal papers, or by alternative news outlets. To give you just a quick sketch of the all-new normal:

-- There will be a global boss -- individual countries such as the US will lose sovereignty.

--People must carry an ID known as a vaccination passport, which may be “chipped” into your hand.

--You will not have cash anymore; your wealth, presuming you are permitted to have wealth, will be “digital only.”

--Your movements will be traced to reveal every person you are in contact with; the same goes for your email correspondence and what you read on the Internet or in libraries (which has mostly been in place anyway).

Media is conditioning us to think this has got to happen. Why should it? Think of, say, the Year 2018. We did not have a global boss, an internal passport, a cashless economy, or contact tracing. What would suddenly force us to do that?

Somebody (notably Bill Gates) says we need to do all this because of a disease. Haven't there been diseases before? Well, yes. Isn't it possible to live with a pandemic even while enjoying those old treasures: sovereignty, ID-free travel, cash, and privacy when meeting with friends?

The answer is clearly *Yes*. So, it must be that the (self-appointed) bosses have a purpose for the Big Takeover. Their purpose has often been stated by them as a "New World Order" or, more recently a "One World Government."

That is normal Machiavellian behavior. Persons who have climbed partway to the top – maybe by charisma and talent but more typically by force and guile – want to make sure they get as far up as needed. Needed for what? To not fall. I believe that is the real engine driving all this. They are scared; they dread our rising up against them.

You may be glad to hear they are scared. But in this era, in which they have wonderful remote-controlled technology at their fingertips, they can take extreme measures to defend themselves against the people. They have drones, geo-engineering, bioweapons, and you may be surprised to know how easily they could stop the food supply. Once we are hungry, we'll be too passive to attack the overlords.

And why is this Bill Gates person being treated with such deference? He talks a good game and seems absolutely self-confident. I think he is delusional. He could not pull off the job by himself. His confidence is made possible by a huge team of lackeys. They have been in place for years.

How did that happen? I don't know. Many critics think we should "follow the money," to find out. Perhaps they're right, but I would not bet on it. Others say a foreign nation is masterminding the whole plan. Israel is a favorite nominee, but I can't see it. If it's got to be a nation, I'd pick the UK, but I doubt any "nation" is the boss. I think it's a club.

Let's believe in the power of culture to stick up for morality -- that's a proven factor of human nature. However, there's the matter of getting enough people to feel they can do it. Note what law professor Zephyr Teachout says in her superb 2014 book, *Corruption in America* (p 293):

"In American culture, one of the social functions of a word like *corruption* is to support a system of government where the love of the public and the love of country are celebrated, where citizens do not imagine themselves as solely self-interested. The word *corruption* is itself a bulwark against temptation, separate from any criminal penalties that may attach to it."

This book won't often use the concept of corruption, but will instead zoom in on the particular crime that is being committed against the people by the corrupters. In every case, the crime is punishable; the punishment is on the books. We may in the end feel that mercy and reconciliation are better ways of dealing with high-level criminals, but we shouldn't make such a decision hastily.

## Chapter 3: Military Will Do Operation Warp Speed



One current plan is to require all Americans to accept a vaccination. President Trump said, in May 2020, that he will use the military to vaccinate all 300 million of us.

I recall President George W Bush signing the S15-Project BioShield Act in 2004. According to [Whitehouse.gov](http://Whitehouse.gov):

“[Bush] first proposed Project BioShield in his 2003 State of the Union address and Congress approved it last week [as a] comprehensive effort overseen jointly by Departments of Health and Human Services and Homeland Security. [It will] **give FDA the ability** to make promising treatments **quickly available in emergency situations** – this [relaxation of the rule that clinical trials precede use of a new drug] will enable access to the best available treatments in the event of a crisis.

“As the result of the Project BioShield legislation, the Administration has already begun the process of acquiring: **75 million doses of a second-generation anthrax vaccine** [and] new medical treatments for anthrax ...

“Today, based on the new BioShield authorities [new authorities? What would James Madison say!], Secretary Thompson will launch multi-year initiatives to develop advanced treatments and therapeutics for exposure to biological agents **and radiation poisoning.**” [Emphasis added]. (Wonder what became of the 75 million doses.)

It is not legally possible for the federal government to force injections on anyone. In Denmark, the legislature has already made it mandatory to accept a Covid-19 vax, even though they don't have one yet. In Australia, parents lost their ability to get welfare payments (pre-Covid) if they refused vax for their kids.

Much legislation that gets passed by Congress has to do with authorizing the money for the project. I cannot cite a law for Covid-19, as it does not yet exist, but here is the wording of the law that appropriated funds for Planned Parenthood:

“(a) The Secretary is authorized to **make grants to and enter into contracts with public or nonprofit private entities** to assist in the establishment and operation of voluntary family planning projects which shall offer a broad range of acceptable and effective family planning methods including ... infertility....  
(b) ...Local and regional entities shall be assured the **right to apply for direct grants** and contracts under this section, and the Secretary **shall by regulation fully provide for and protect such right.** [Emphasis added] – 42 USC 300.

Note: all federal law is in the USC -- the United States Code.

According to a May 16, 2020 *Forbes* article by Matt Perez: “Trump unveiled Operation Warp Speed, which will evaluate roughly 100 vaccine candidates from different companies and agencies, identify 14 of the most promising and expedite clinical trials and accelerate their manufacturing processes to be able to immediately distribute once approved. Vaccines can take up to ten years to develop.”

On July 17, 2020 the Inspector General of *Operation Warp Speed* rejected a request that Moncef Slaoui, formerly of GlaxoSmith-Kline, with a conflict of interest, be removed as head of Warp Speed. Warp speed means the highest speed. Grass Court may look at all Inspectors General. I think their pay is hush money.

## Chapter 4: Giordano Wants Transhumanism, Do You?



*James Giordano*

Don't worry, we are almost to Chapter 5 that offers salvation by the Constitution. The present chapter is about plans being made to alter you, via neuroscience and nanotechnology.

During the Covid-19 pandemic, we are hearing a lot about the capability of government to surveil us by data collection. This includes collecting information as to what is going on in our bodies, such as temperature, without our even knowing it.

But is it possible to pick up data from your mind? I don't know. Scientists in the field of Artificial Intelligence claim that one of their goals is to unite minds with computers. They also hope to bring the members of the human race together in a way that will make one lose one's free will.

For this to happen, some "scientists" would have to stand outside that system to direct it. Who could that scientist be? Does he have more of a right than I have, to decide how my brain will perform? Is policy making going on that we don't even know about? How should policy be made in a Republic?

Consider the neuroscientist James Giordano. He is enthusiastic about all sorts of drastic changes that can allegedly be made to our species. Will these changes be made without your say-so? We live in a Republic that has rules as to who has a right to affect another person. We wrote it in a Bill of Rights.



If Giordano, or anyone, wants us to change it, no problem. He can make his pitch to the citizenry who can amend the Constitution. We can swap our governance type to tyranny, as long as the folks in 3/4ths of the states are happy with that!

In 1972, Zbigniew Brzezinski wrote the book *Between Two Ages: America's Role in the Technetronic Era*. In it he takes for granted that humanity won't remain as we now know it:

“I foresee a time when we have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behavior and intellectual functioning of all the people through environment and biochemical manipulation of the brain.”

Brzezinski subsequently became President Carter's National Security Advisor and helped David Rockefeller create the Trilateral Commission to make Japan a partner in world order.

Brzezinski did not want any restraint on that “temptation to manipulate” our brains. Psychological warfare is a big part of the US military's arsenal. This includes ordinary propaganda and more subtle psy-ops -- psychological operations *on us*.

Note: In 1948 Congress passed the Smith-Mundt Act to allow the State Department to disseminate propaganda abroad, but not at home. The 2012 National Defense Authorization Act, the NDAA, subtly removed that restriction. So now we pay to have someone deceive us – about false flags or whatever.

An early published admission of US involvement in brain interference appeared over a decade ago in the form of a compensation payment made to US embassy employees in Moscow who suffered from “the Russian woodpecker.” Recently, investment broker Catherine Austin Fitts has said that she became a TI (targeted individual, receiving electronic hits) when she started to annoy the Department of Justice.

James Giordano is professor of “bioethics” at Georgetown, a Jesuit university. He also teaches at the US Naval War College (two salaries?) and consults with the Pentagon on DARPA -- Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (three salaries?) We need whistleblowers to find out what is happening in the practical application of the academic field known as trans-humanism. Do you want human nature to be fiddled with? Do you want DARPA to make decisions about human nature?

Note that nanotechnology is moving along at a fast pace. Consider the ability of scientists to use beetles to deliver *biological material* to crops. I quote *Newsweek*, October 4, 2018:

“The Insect Allies program was announced by DARPA in 2016. It is a research project that aims to protect the US agricultural food supply by delivering protective genes to plants via insects.... Scientists believe **loading the bugs up with viruses** ... could be one way of ensuring food security in the event of a major threat. [What!]

“In an editorial published in the journal *Science*, a group of researchers led by Richard Guy Reeves, from the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology in Germany, says Insect Allies isn’t exactly what it says it is. Instead, they claim DARPA is potentially developing insects as a means of delivering a ‘new class of biological weapon’.” [Emphasis added]

The United States is not opposed to using food supply as a weapon. In June 2020 we set fire to wheat crops in Syria. This was not reported by the press, so Americans do not know that they are responsible for this war crime. But I am sure hunger is on the menu for us, too.

In 1978 we had a gasoline shortage, thanks to an issue with Organization of Petroleum-Exporting Countries. That meant you could not travel, and delivery trucks could not ply the road.

Welcome to  
**Part Two**



**AMERICAN LAW**

A study of Disease -- of Pestilences methodically prepared and deliberately launched upon man and beast-- is certainly being pursued in the laboratories of more than one great country. Blight to destroy crops, Anthrax to slay horses and cattle, Plague to poison not armies only but whole districts -- such are the lines along which military science is remorselessly advancing.

**Winston Churchill**

## Chapter 5: The US Constitution with Its Bill of Rights



A hundred and fifty-three years ago on July 18, 1867, after the terrible Civil War was over, Ralph Waldo Emerson spoke thusly to the Phi Beta Kappa Society at Harvard:

“We meet to-day under happy omens to ... the commonwealth of letters, to the country and to mankind. No good citizen but shares the wonderful prosperity of the Federal Union. The heart still beats with the public pulse of joy that the country has withstood the rude trial which threatened its existence....

“The storm which has been resisted is a crown of honor and a pledge of strength to the ship. We may be well contented with our fair inheritance. Was ever such coincidence of advantages in time and place as in America today? All this activity has added to the value of life, and to the scope of the intellect....

“Here you are set down, scholars and idealists, as in a barbarous age; amidst insanity, to calm and guide it; amidst fools and blind, to see the right done; among violent proprietors, to check self-interest, by considerations of humanity to the workman and to his child; ... you are to make valid the large considerations of equity and good sense; under bad governments to force on them, by your persistence, good laws.”

It’s a happy thought that in the not too distant future, when the truth about Covid-19 gets out, the nation will -- as in the 1860s -- rejoice at its new-found liberty, dignity, and resolve.

## **Whence the Constitution?**

The “Constitution for the United States,” as it is officially called, had the job of *constituting* the new government in 1787. The Revolutionary War, which began in 1775, was won by 1783. A tentative form of union of the 13 states, was made under The Articles of Confederation.

Before that, “Americans” were British, having first crossed the Atlantic in 1620 under the auspices of commercial companies such as the Massachusetts Bay Company. They displaced, by various means, the natives, the “Indians” -- so-called because the explorers were hoping to find India.

Tom Paine, an Englishman, a Quaker, met Ben Franklin in England who recommended he go to America. Paine’s voice, through his pamphlet *Common Sense*, was of major importance in arousing civic virtue in the colonies.

By 1787, a series of essays in New York newspapers, now called the *Federalist Papers*, were penned by “Publius” -- really, James, Madison, Alexander Hamilton, and John Jay. They urged a stronger central government. A convention was called in June 1787, and the parchment was born.

## **What’s in the Constitution?**

The full text is printed at the end of this book, with outdated items omitted (replaced by amendments). There are seven articles of which Articles I, II, and III lay out the three branches of government. Article IV is about the states, V shows how to amend the Constitution, and VI is miscellaneous. The legislature is the First Branch, most powerful as it can impeach members of the other two. The states in 1787 did not hand over all their powers of governing. They gave the feds – Congress – a limited list of subject matters it could cover.

Article **I** -- *First Branch* – In section 8, the 18 clauses give the exhaustive list of federal legislative prerogatives. I'll paraphrase -- Congress can:

collect taxes, borrow money, regulate commerce, legislate for immigration and bankruptcies, coin money and fix the standards of weights, secure the production of currency, establish Post offices, protect copyrights and inventions, create courts inferior to the Supreme Court, punish piracy, declare war, raise an army, provide a navy, make rules for the armed forces, call forth the militia, discipline the militia, legislate for the city of Washington DC and for land purchased from any state, and to make any laws required by the other two branches.

Is there any other area the feds can legislate on? No.

Article **II** -- *Second Branch* -- makes a president the commander in chief of the armed forces, allows him to appoint officers and judges (if senate approves), and to make treaties, and orders him to see that the laws are faithfully executed. Can he make law via Executive Order? Impossible! The 18<sup>th</sup> of the 18 clauses in Article I, sec 8 says ... [Look it up, Appendix K of this book]

Article **III** – *Third Branch* -- says all trials shall be by jury. A Supreme Court has jurisdiction over laws made by the feds or by treaties, and appellate jurisdiction over cases from states. The justices may hold office “under good behavior.”

Article **IV** tells each state to honor the other's decisions, and not to divide its territory up unless Congress permits.

Article **V** amending the constitution needs 75% states to OK.

Article **VI** says that members of both federal and state governments “shall be bound by an Oath or Affirmation to support this Constitution” – a point further affirmed in the Fourteenth Amendment, ratified in 1868.

## The Bill of Rights

John Adams had already authored the Massachusetts Bill of Rights in 1780. The British had a Bill of Rights since 1689 and the Magna Charta since 1215. Our nation's bill of 1789 contains mostly negative rights – “the government mustn't do such-and such.” It is anti-tyranny, and pro-individual.

The Constitution has 27 amendments; the latest occurred in 1992. The first ten formed the Bill of Rights. The Bill had worldwide impact, with many nations copying it. It's mimicked in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948 -- a unanimous UN Resolution (with three abstentions).

The **First Amendment** catered to the fact that some settlers had come from Europe to avoid religious persecution; it forbade a state religion. It also protected freedom of speech and of the press, and guaranteed the right to meet up in groups and to petition government for redress of grievances.

(I might mention here that it is the press that now interferes with free speech! Google-type businesses do it, too.)

The **Second Amendment** will be covered later in this book, in that it acknowledges the necessity of a militia to protect the state. It was not intended as a gun law for personal self-defense, but court decisions have upheld that feature of “the right to keep and bear arms.”

The **Third Amendment** is obsolete, I think: government wouldn't force you to house a soldier or sailor during war....

The **Fourth Amendment** is a biggie for this book on Covid-19. It's about privacy “You can't touch me, Nurse Injector, and you can't read my mail, Big Brother. And if you want to take an item from my home, show probable cause that I

committed a crime and get a judge's OK. That warrant must specify the item, and place to be searched. Thanks."

The **Fifth Amendment** can be used to *thwart* justice, when a guilty party refuses to "sing," but that is a price we pay for maintaining the ancient right to not be forced to incriminate oneself. You don't have to answer questions about your crime or even take the stand. The Fifth also protects against double jeopardy and says the accused's case must first have been aired by a grand jury. It says if government takes your property, it must compensate.

The **Sixth Amendment** is about due process. You can get free legal counsel if needed. You must be told of the accusations and have a chance to face your accusers. The court must help you subpoena evidence, and provide a trial by an impartial jury.

The **Seventh Amendment** helps you sue for damages.

The **Eighth Amendment** forbids cruel punishment.

The **Ninth Amendment** says you have other rights, too.

The **Tenth Amendment** says that any powers not given to the federal government belong to the states "or to the people."

When SCOTUS (Supreme Court of the US) makes a ruling on a right, its ideas are considered to have become incorporated into that right. For example, free speech was elaborated in 1971 in *Cohen v California* to include the right to wear a jacket embroidered with the words "Fuck the draft." To find case rulings, search "US Constitution annotated," and specify the amendment, or any of the seven Articles of the Constitution. That will bring up all relevant cases. Why not go now and ask for "Article III , sec 3" on treason, just to get your feet wet. (I always choose ones marked "Cornell"; they are clearly stated.)



## Chapter 6: Can They Jab Me If I Say No? *No, They Can't*



*Inscription on the Liberty Bell  
is from Leviticus 25:10 – “Proclaim liberty throughout the land”*

So can Donald Trump decide – as he says he has decided -- to vaccinate all Americans? Did you read the previous chapter? Then you know the Bill of Rights would prevent that. The Fourth Amendment has the final word in it: you are to be secure in your person.

What about Bill Gates saying he will jab every one of the 7.8 billion earthlings? Do you respect the Constitution? Well, then, who the heck is Bill Gates? How would anyone think he has any legal authority? He is a private businessman.

Preamble says: “We the People, in order to form a more perfect union,... provide for the common defense....” Thus, we’ve made a compact with one another. We will submit to the authority of the group, for subject matters laid out in the Constitution, and in subsequent statutes, properly legislated.

Oh. What if Congress does pass a law next week, saying every person has to be vaccinated? That would be an unconstitutional law. How do you know? You check the Constitution. The Constitution has superiority. All laws must bow to it. Why? Because the Constitution is us. It contains the authority of the people. Oh, what if Covid-19 is so bad that the people would *want* mandatory jabs? Fine. Let them organize a constitutional amendment.

## The Nullability of It All

I know what you are thinking. You're thinking that that's not how it's done today – Congress just churns out unconstitutional legislation such as the Patriot Act and gets away with it. True, but whose fault is that? Yours and mine.

The Patriot Act passed on September 14, 2001 three days after 9-11 when no citizen wanted to oppose it. But there was a sunset clause allowing more sober minds to rethink it later. Still, it gets renewed each year, as though by robots.

But in 1798 people were on the ball. Congress passed some Alien and Sedition Acts, *ultra vires* – that is, beyond power. Kentucky and Virginia threatened to nullify them, as is the right approach. Virginia (egged on by James Madison) lashed out:

“[Our state] Assembly doth also express its deep regret, that a spirit has in sundry instances, been manifested by the federal government, to enlarge its powers by forced constructions of the constitutional charter...; and that implications have appeared of a design to expound certain general phrases ... so as to consolidate the states by degrees, into one sovereignty, the obvious tendency and inevitable consequence of which would be, to transform the present republican system of the United States into an absolute, or at best a mixed monarchy.”

On July 18, 2020, the *Washington Post* reported that Oregon's attorney general, Ellen Rosenblum, has filed a suit against the Department of Homeland Security and the US Marshals in connection with violence perpetrated on the citizens of her state. That is, she asked for a restraining order. The violence was started when law enforcement personnel were carrying out President Trump's order to protect historic monuments (which were part of “racial tensions”). *WaPo* said:

“‘John Does 1-10’ are also named as defendants because ‘they have made it impossible for them to be individually identified by carrying out law enforcement actions without wearing any identifying information, even so much as the agency that employs them,’ the complaint says. Rosenblum said her department was also seeking a temporary restraining order to prevent federal authorities from unlawfully detaining Oregon residents. That comes after Mark Pettibone, 29, was detained by several men in green military fatigues and generic ‘police’ patches on their clothing as he walked home from a protest.”

See? All it takes is for office holders, such as this AG, to do their job. Oregon was not able to imitate Virginia and nullify a law, as there was no law (yet) involved. If there had been, then the mechanism known as judicial review could have been invoked. Any citizen badly affected by any law can take a case to court to check its constitutionality.

Sadly, the lower courts almost always side with the government, but an appeal court may rule that the law is unconstitutional. This can happen in criminal cases where the accused is fighting for acquittal. But where no criminal is charged, it’s harder for a plaintiff to bring her grief to court – as the judge will typically dismiss her for lack of “standing”.

Had you sought *judicial review* of the Patriot Act, you couldn’t have got it. But New Hampshire’s constitution does it right:

“All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people any individual taxpayer ... shall have **standing** to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State... has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, or constitutional provision....”

A *policy change* initiated by the judiciary could, I think, honor standing. There is nothing in black-letter law to prevent it.

## The 1905 Case of *Jacobson v Massachusetts*

The reader on seeing the title of this chapter “Can they jab me if I say No?” may have uttered “Yes, I saw an article about a Supreme Court ruling that gave the green light to mandatory vax.” That case is *Jacobson v Massachusetts*, but its ruling certainly does not say an unwilling person can be jabbed. It said that Mr Jacobson was liable for the \$5.00 fine for refusing vaccination.

The case, in 1905, turned on whether the state had the right to pass a law mandating a smallpox vaccination for its citizens. All states have “police power” as one of their prerogatives. As small-r republicans, we do not want the feds to claim this power. Since it is a state matter, it was up to Massachusetts legislators (not the health board) to decide if the smallpox was to be fought with vax. They said Yes. (They made exceptions only if a *child* was not suited for the vax.)

Jacobson, an adult, rejected the jab. His lawyer erred, perhaps, in trying to defend the man’s rights by reference to the US Constitution’s Preamble. The preamble itself is never enforceable. In any event, the US Supreme Court agreed that the Massachusetts law, fining five dollars, was constitutional.

The case was about the locus of the police power. The court said a state has the right to fix a particular solution to a health problem. In 2002, the Homeland Security Act twisted that. The Homeland Security Act needs judicial review urgently.

By the way, the efficacy of smallpox vax was the subject of a UK Royal Commission in 1898. Alfred Russel Wallace studied the testimony to that Commission and found that its final report did not accurately reflect the material collected. I think today one could challenge a state vax law based on its faulty reading of science. If they dismiss you for lack of standing, you can bring it to Grass Court.

## Chapter 7: The White-anting of the Parchment



To white-ant something is to destroy it quietly, bit by bit (as when termites white-ant a wooden pillar). Our beloved parchment gets white-anted every time Congress passes an unconstitutional law, every time a president acts *ultra vires* (and gets away with it), and every time a court declines to scream “unconstitutional” where appropriate.

This chapter laments the fact that nowadays you can’t tell when the US Supreme Court is going to stick up for the Bill of Rights. In my day – the 1960s and ’70s -- we knew what that court would do: it would *extend* human rights. I will show that some Amendments are looking like termite-ridden wood, and that this is causing the whole structure to fall.

Let’s begin with the Seventh Amendment. It promises that “In suits at common law... the right of trial by jury shall be preserved.” In 1986 Congress passed the NCVI, the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act. That was unconstitutional, as Article I, sec 8 does not grant the feds a power in health. It also offended the Seventh Amendment in removing a plaintiff’s right to sue a *tortfeasor* (one who harmed him or her).

Now why would Congress do that? The NCVI Act allegedly was a response to Big Pharma saying they were getting too

many vaccine tort actions and would quit that line of work (what a joke) if Congress did not help. Congress gasped, on cue, saying, in effect “We mustn’t let the manufacture of vaccines collapse as those precious substances are so needed by America’s children.”

The result was a new set-up in which the parent of the injured child would file a claim. It would be adjudicated in a court that was invented for the purpose. If the plaintiff won, the money paid to him or her would come from money that Pharma was accruing by a small tax on each vaccine dose.

Autism parents applied in droves for compensation but were always turned away “as vaccines don’t cause autism.” (Actually, they do.) The case of *Brusewitz v Wyeth Labs* got to SCOTUS. The parents lost, but Justice Sonia Sotomayor dissented, with Justice Ruth Bader-Ginsburg joining. Their 2011 dissent said the court had bent over backwards, further than Congress:

“Vaccine manufacturers have long been subject to a legal duty, rooted in basic principles of products liability law, to improve the designs of their vaccines in light of advances in science and technology. Until today, that duty was enforceable through a traditional state-law tort action for defective design. In holding that section 22(b)(1) of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 42 U. S. C. §300aa–22(b)(1), pre-empts all design defect claims for injuries stemming from vaccines covered under the Act, the Court ... disturbs the careful balance Congress struck between compensating vaccine-injured children and stabilizing the childhood vaccine market.”

Sure, but where were the other seven justices? And why did not these two dissenters go further to show the awfulness of citizens being told they can’t have a jury trial? Granted, the Seventh Amendment protects *common law* claims – maybe the fact that a *statute* is involved (the NCVI Act) negates that?

## Sample of Very Typical Vax Reports from Mothers

In the UK, Joan Campbell requested any vax complainers to send her their story, in a few sentences, and she would post it at her website [Followingvaccinations.com](http://Followingvaccinations.com). She got 1000's, e.g.:

**\*Sofia Backlund.** MMR vaccine Got VERY sick after vaccine and stayed sick for 7 mos, ear infections etc got 7 rounds of antibiotics Diagnosed Autism 1.5 years later. Vaccinated in Boynton Beach, FL. Today: after 4 years of biomedical intervention he is much better.

**\*Stacy Kurnosoff Baghdanov.** TX, 7 years old, with ASD diagnosis. Regressed severely after MMR vaccine, immediately got sick 1 hour after it with explosive diarrhea, very high fever, within weeks was not saying mommy anymore, no more smiling, didn't react to his name.

**\*Silvia Bagnasco.** My son, nearly five years old, was diagnosed PDD 2010. He was born absolutely normal and had grown up healthy until the MMR at 13 months. Spent 4 days in hospital vomiting and hypotonic. He is attending a specialized autism treatment center and on homeopathic detox. We are fortunately seeing some progress. Italy.

**\*Stacey Verdoorn Bahr.** Part of me wishes I had a story like many of the others, a story of regression, but my son, looking back, showed signs from birth. I strongly suspect the Hep B vaccine, but I don't have prior behavior to compare it to. Albert Lea, MN. All I can say to the type of reaction he had, he's autistic. Enough said, I guess!

**\*Cheryl Bailey.** Starting with DPT took his physical skills, MMR blew his guts to bits and left him with failure to thrive and seizure disorder rashes, hair fell out, vomiting, leaky gut, loss of remaining physical skills including speech, eye contact, even the ability to open and close his hands, feed himself. Now 18. It's been a long 16 years. Mississippi.

**\*Melissa Baker.** My son has been forever paused.

Now for the heist of the Fifth Amendment. It took place in *Kelo v New London*. Ms Susette Kelo owned a home on land that a developer wanted. The state of Connecticut allowed an “eminent domain” taking. The Fifth amendment says “nor shall private property be taken for public use...” The land would not go to public use, but for a new creature, *public purpose* -- the developer might create jobs and generate bigger tax revenue for the city.

In 2005, the majority ruled in favor of the taking. In dissent, Justice Sandra O’Connor said there would no longer be “any distinction between private and public use of property [and] effectively delete the words ‘for public use’ from the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment.”

Thomas issued a separate dissent, in which he argued that the precedents the court’s decision relied upon were flawed. He said: “Something has gone seriously awry with this Court’s interpretation of the Constitution. Though citizens are safe from the government in their homes, the homes themselves are not.” (Ah, would that they were still safe in their homes.)


In 2005, the man in *Florence v Freeholders* lost his strip-search case, killing the Fourth Amendment. He was taken to jail for an unpaid fine, had never committed a crime. Decision was 5-4. Yet, as Shaun Attwood says in *Hard Time* (2011), drugs and everything else do pass easily through the gates of US prisons.

The most terrible white-anting came in *Citizens United v FEC* in 2010. Truly SCOTUS unbalanced the whole Constitution, saying that corporations could give huge campaign donations, based on the corporation being a “person” with the same First Amendment rights as the rest of us.

I have no hesitation in claiming that that ruling was a *deliberate* white-anting of the Bill of Rights and that it is a case of *treason*.



## 8. Blackstonian Law and the Material Witness Act



*Blackstone (1723-1780)*

People laugh at the Constitution. President George W Bush said “It’s just a piece of paper.” That is incorrect. It is a contract and is enforceable. Or as John Adams said, it is a covenant -- people promise each other that that will do such-and-such. Just knowing that we have covenanted to protect each other brings a very valuable feeling of security.

Still, people could eventually treat the Constitution so badly, that it would lose its enforceability. I’m here to say let’s not be so stupid as to do that. And we have a helper, Sir William Blackstone, author of *Commentaries on the Laws of England*. His fourth volume of that work (1769) asks “How can we protect law itself?” Easy. You criminalize the trashing of law.

Perhaps George Bush, as above, committed a crime? I guess not, as he was protected by the First Amendment. But he may have trashed the law in substantive ways. I do not mean *breaking* laws, as when committing a robbery. I mean hurting *law*, as such. The crime of obstruction of justice is at 18 USC 1503:

“[an act that] corruptly or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, influences, obstructs, or impedes, or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede, the due administration of justice.”

Almost anything you can think of that is done in a courtroom that cheats the litigants (except them cheating each other) is a Blackstonian crime – perjury, bribing a judge, witness tampering, or helping a prisoner escape.

As regards hiding evidence or destroying it, the ancient penalty is expressed as a law maxim: *Omnia praesumuntur, contra spoliatorem* – Everything can be presumed against the one who destroyed the evidence. Isn't that wonderful?

Why put up with, say, the DoJ – “Department of Justice” – acting like law is but a game to be played? Or why do we hear that an accused “got rid of” a potential witness. Per 18 USC 1513, merely *attempting* to kill a victim or informant is punishable by “imprisonment for not more than 30 years.” That person did not just commit a murder, he obstructed justice, *which is worse*.

Note: anything that begins with “18” in the United States Code is a crime, including Blackstonian stuff. Look at 18 USC 4:

“Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the US, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the US, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.”

It's a felony for you – yes, you – to fail to report a crime. (Just think how many felonies you have committed lately!)

And for suppressing the crime of treason, you can get up to 7 years, per 18 USC 2382. You would be charged with misprision of treason (misprision rhymes with vision). Folks should be more attentive to Blackstone. Isn't it time you made an appointment to see your attorney general or district attorney?

You shake his or her hands and say “Mr or Ms So-and-So I am arresting you for the felony of covering up crimes. You don’t have to say anything but anything you do say may be used in court against you.” (Then you are required to phone the police and have them take over the rest of the activity. See Chapter 15 below on Citizen’s Arrest.)

### **The Material Witness Act**

As soon as our first Congress met, it passed the Judicature Act to set up the US Supreme Court. It also passed, in 1793, the Material Witness Act. This allows the government to hold a witness in detention without charging her with a crime. She is thought to have information that could be “material” to the prosecution of another person.

I mention it because it offers a way to capture someone for whom the government has not yet prepared a tight case. Unfortunately, there is a public impression that you can’t arrest a suspect unless you have proof, before trial, that she is likely guilty. That us not true. The DoJ hides behind that notion when it does not want to prosecute. (There are some people whom it does not ever want to prosecute.)

The correct procedure is to send the information about crime to a grand jury, and if they think the person “has a case to answer,” they issue a “true bill” or an indictment. The old witticism is “A good prosecutor can indict a ham sandwich.”

Many a ham sandwich is at large today. The mission of this book is to persuade citizens that if criminals are harming us, but are “protected” by cronies in government, the Grass Court may have to be used as the *forum conveniens* (the court most appropriate to handle a certain matter). So say I. If you don’t approve of Grass Court, please suggest another method by which our society can overcome the present stalemate.

Welcome to

# Part Three

“EVERYTHING’S READY”



Security is mostly a superstition. It does not exist in nature, nor do the children of men as a whole experience it. Avoiding danger is no safer in the long run than outright exposure. Life is either a daring adventure, or nothing.

**Helen Keller**

## Chapter 9: How Takeovers Were Done in the Past



Marlon Brando “*The Godfather*”

It may well be that soon we will be taken over by a hidden World Government. Actually, it’s not that hidden if you see how the IMF – International Monetary Fund – can command a myriad of nations. Or look at the method that was used by the United States to take over Iraq. It went in on a mission to save people from Saddam Hussein’s alleged weapons of mass destruction. Quickly, the institutions of that society collapsed, and we appointed a governor, Paul Bremer, to run Iraq.

William Guy Carr’s 1970 book, *Pawns in the Game*, includes a document reportedly published in 1936 at *Echo de Paris*, viz., the Comintern’s “Instructions to Take Over Government in Spain 1936.” It contains useful ideas. I’ll abridge it here:

### **Take Spain by Force, Carefully Arranged**

1. Reinforce shock troops and guards in barracks and supply them with automatic pistols.
2. These troops will be placed in communication with the Groups who are to break into the barracks.
3. When the fight starts our officers will carry out the pre-arranged plan of attack inside the barracks.
4. The provisional committees, in the barracks, shall renew their lists of enemies, neutrals, sympathizers, and experts. When the barracks have been taken over, those classed as enemies, including in particular all commanders and officers, shall be rapidly eliminated, and without hesitation.

5. Each member of the committees shall be provided with a list of individuals who are to be murdered by himself personally.

6. After the enemies have been disposed of, neutrals shall be subjected to severe tests.7. The vigilance groups outside will enter the barracks on the pretext of putting down the rebellion....

9. Those detailed to liquidate generals on the active list shall consist of ten men with revolvers. The generals have two adjutants, and a secretary, who must be murdered in their own homes. Those detailed to perform these killings shall not withdraw in face of any obstacle or opposition....

15. Violence shall not be used against the capitalists except if they resist; they shall however be forced to hand over the balance of the current accounts. In the event of concealment, they shall be completely eliminated.

24. The most intimate details concerning the lives and characters of all neutrals and sympathizers must be obtained and carefully recorded, including the influence which love of their children may exercise over them....

25. Our militia must be organized to work away from their own homes and localities because experience has taught us that at the last moment, through sentimentalism, men working in their own localities, and amongst their families, and friends, have failed to carry out our plan with proper enthusiasm.

27. STARVATION s a means of reducing opposition quickly, "During the first week, and until the [new] becomes normal, the supply of food and drink to the bourgeois is prohibited."

28. Stock of foods in barracks, and in the hands of our enemies, which cannot be captured, must be rendered useless by mixing paraffin or other substances with them. [End of *Echo* quote]

### **Conquest Is As Conquest Does**

Before we started to see national liberation movements by the "Third World" subjects of the British, French and Dutch

Empires, in the 1960s, it was normal for conquering nations to *boast* of their successes in grabbing territory for the Motherland. “Subduing” the natives was seen as necessary.

Or it was kept quiet. How many Americans know that the US forcibly took the Philippines, as part of a deal with Spain, or even know that we marched the Cherokee people from their home in southeast US to a place far west?

In 1934, Japan “raped” Nanking, trying to take over China. The brutality was excessive. In 1973 in Chile, after the CIA helped to depose President Allende, the Chilean military “conquered” its own nation. Thousands of challengers were killed or “disappeared” and students were tortured – in a stadium.

This chapter’s message is that takeovers are not nice. And they will get worse. The US has a “space denial weapon” – clever name for a way to make you give up the space you are occupying at a protest rally, by hitting you with heat that causes pain and makes you try to run away. First introduced as a truck, it now fits onto aircraft, can hit you from above.

It won’t be pretty. The time to deal is now.

## Chapter 10. Rockefeller Knew about Covid-19 in 2014



(L) Brothers Nelson and David Rockefeller (R) George Soros

Information has been uncovered showing that both the EC (the European Commission, which is the board of directors, so to speak, of the European Union) and the Rockefeller Foundation, the RF, had, back in 2014, **described the entire apparatus of what is going on today.** (I mean now in 2020.)

In 2014, the RF -- published a booklet. It's normal for think tanks to project what may happen. And Rockefellers have never been shy about proposing policy or starting whole institutions. For instance, philanthropist John D Rockefeller, Jr, on his own steam, began the CDC and the World Council of Churches. His Dad had, by 1907, put \$43 million into a General Education Board, a wholly private organization to influence schools. John D also changed the course of medicine.

**Scenario – Lockstep.** One chapter in the RF booklet, is called *Scenarios*. One scenario is called “Lockstep.” It shows what would happen in case of a pandemic. They published it in 2014 in the style of a historic novel — the pandemic in this “scenario” had putatively occurred in 2012. (Had the RF put it in future tense, that might have raised eyebrows.). The very opening sentence of the Lockstep Scenario is **“a world of tighter top-down control and more authoritarian**



**leadership.”** Holy cow! Isn't that the very thing that we said was the nightmare of peoples behind the Iron Curtain? Don't we constantly thank our soldiers for defending our freedoms?

## **Eight Things from RF's Scenario of a "2012" Event**

1. Rockefeller Foundation describes this virus as having come from wild geese and as being “extremely virulent and deadly.” It hit the world in 2012 and was not like the 2009 H1N1 strain:

“Even the most pandemic-prepared nations were quickly overwhelmed when the virus streaked around the world, **infecting nearly 20 percent of the global population and killing 8 million in** just seven months, the majority of them healthy young adults [!].”

2. Right away there was an effect on national economies. People couldn't travel across borders and the flow of goods “screached to a halt...breaking supply chains”: “Normally bustling shops and office **buildings sat empty, devoid of both employees and customers.**”

3. The virus struck everywhere simultaneously — it “blanketed the planet.” However: “disproportionate numbers died in Africa, Southeast Asia, and Central America, where the virus spread like **wildfire in the absence of official containment protocols.**” [Does this smack of wishful thinking?]

4. As we [the RF projectors] later realized, the US had been too lenient by only *recommending* that people not use air travel. Compare this to China which had a happier outcome: “The Chinese government's quick enforcement of mandatory quarantine for all citizens, as well as **its instant and near-hermetic sealing off of all borders, saved millions of lives, stopping the spread of the virus** far earlier than in other countries and enabling a swifter post-pandemic recovery.”

5. Many governments took measures “to protect citizens from risk and exposure.” Indeed: “During the pandemic, national leaders around the world flexed their authority and imposed airtight rules and restrictions, from the **mandatory wearing of face masks to body-temperature checks at the entries to communal spaces like train stations and supermarkets.**”

6. Once the pandemic faded, “this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities stuck and even intensified. In order to protect themselves from the spread of **increasingly global problems** from pandemics to environmental crises and rising **poverty**, leaders around the world took a firmer grip on power. At first, citizens willingly gave up some of their sovereignty — and their privacy — to more paternalistic states in exchange for greater safety and stability.”

7. In the West, we saw: “**biometric IDs for all citizens, for example, and tighter regulation of key industries whose stability was deemed vital to national interests.**”

8. “Sporadic pushback **became increasingly organized** ... as disaffected youth and people who had seen their status and opportunities slip away — largely in developing countries — **incited civil unrest.**” (Fancy that – the youth incited it!) [all emphasis added] - End of quotes from Rockefeller Foundation


*Comment.* Like David Rockefeller, George Soros has wielded incredible power. He funds the Black Lives Matter riots and the new “fact-checking” (censorship) at Facebook. The IMF openly sets policy in poor nations. Belarus has lately been told it will not get IMF loans unless it does the full Covid-19 lockdown, thus ruining its economy.

We need solidarity against these very few “world leaders.” Why do we put up with their arrogant incursions?

## Chapter 11: Let's Concentrate on Treason



*FBI men at Boston Marathon*



*Tony Fauci, MD*

The crime of treason differs from nation to nation and century to century. For convenience, I will speak only of the *federal* crime of treason in the United States. It has been unchanged since 1787 when it was put into the Constitution, in Article III, section 3. (Easy to remember: “3-3.”)

Based on that unassailable authority, Congress created a statute, and treason is now found in the United States Code, 18 USC 2381, as follows:

“Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort within the US or elsewhere, is guilty of treason.”

You may want to look for the usual swag of interpretations of that legislation, produced in American courts, but you won't find much. Hardly anyone gets prosecuted for treason. Some escape detection, and some who are caught get charged with an alternative crime such as murder or espionage.

Perhaps just as well, as once precedents are set by the US Supreme Court they may seem too formidable to challenge. I propose we take up the cultural prerogative to enlarge the interpretation of the phrase “levies war against them.” (Note: the pronoun “them” is plural only because it refers back to the word *United states* – plural.)

“Levies *war* against them” originally meant military style war. But if the Framers of the Constitution had been asked whether this could encompass attacking the United States with a disease, or with a scheme to immobilize people emotionally by a psy-op or by misinformation, I assume they’d have said Yes.

Don’t forget that 18 USC 2381 narrowed the pool of candidates for the role of traitor to “[persons] owing allegiance to the United States.” We are not talking about a foreign power sending us a disease or remotely manipulating American brains. We are talking about US citizens doing it to their own.

Let’s run two make-believe prosecutions against US citizens for levying war against this nation.

For the first, pretend a manufacturer of ventilators knowingly sold faulty ones to hospitals during the Covid-19 pandemic. Why? Because he was in a hurry to make money and did not have the right parts for the machines. *He was aware the ventilator might fail to work.* Some patients died, from an inability to breathe.

Probably a jury could find against him for *manslaughter*. But how about charging him with treason. Is he levying war? I say yes. He is knowingly killing fellow Americans. Did he intend it? *Intention* will be the crux of the trial. Granted, he didn’t do it “for kicks” (some of our traitors do it for kicks) but admits he made dangerous ventilators for money.

Why don’t people get as angry as they used to, when treachery is apparent? Many city-dwellers don’t know their neighbors personally. If the ventilator man did it in a small community, the jury would be more likely to think “He betrayed us. How could he be such a devil!” Quantity may also influence our perception of treason. If the accused harmed a million people, the jury would feel more pressured to convict him of treason.

**For a second make-believe prosecution,** I'll envision that the pandemic itself was maliciously created as a way to kill people. Let's make this a *federal* case, in order to match the USC legislation quoted above -- though the states also criminalize treason, ether by statute or by relying on common law

"Karen Blint," a US Attorney, will prosecute "John K Jones," a member of a Secret Society, for treason, for planning the pandemic. Joined to his case is the prosecution of "Martha Happy," editor of *The Someplace Times*, for treason, as she lied to the citizens that the vaccination was beneficial, causing many to die from the vaccine. John and Martha are both Americans.

John started to plan this pandemic more than thirty years ago. He and his secret-society brethren desired to establish a global economy and a global government. This would necessitate a surprise attack on the economy of many nations, including the US. To make this happen he called together many doctors in the secret society and first had them do a model virus known as SARS, in 2002, and then release Covid-19 in 2019.

John worked in cahoots with media executive Martha, to make sure people were fed scare stories and hope stories in measured doses, early in the pandemic. Well-known editor Martha stands accused of treason by committing fraud and suborning fraud - - she subcontracted with trolls who are well trained in manipulating social media. (Reminder: I am talking fiction.)

Those trolls made sure that any smart critics of Covid-19, of the lockdown, or of the vaccine, were ridiculed or threatened. Prosecutor Karen Blint gave immunity to some of the trolls in exchange for their testimony. (Karen is on society's side, as she should always be!) To jurors she explained the crime of treason. In fact, she quoted 3-3 from the Constitution which has punchier language than Congress' statute. Slowly she read:

“Article III, section 3 — Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort. No person shall be convicted of treason unless on the testimony of two witnesses to the same overt act, or on confession in open court. The Congress shall have power to declare the punishment of treason, but no attainder of treason shall work corruption of blood ....”

(Regarding “blood,” Karen noted that the Framers wanted to eliminate a type of punishment given in England whereby the blood, that is, one’s progeny, could receive punishment also.)

The defense team for John and Martha argued that their clients had not levied war, as there were no conventional weapons used. Karen retorted that conventional weapons went out with “teased hair.” She pulled out a 1951 quote from President Dwight Eisenhower – “Without a doubt, psychological warfare has proven its right to a place of dignity in our military arsenal.”

The trial lasted for weeks, with Martha bringing in every imaginable witness. Sensing the hopelessness of showing their clients to be innocent, the defenders (the Secret Society and media) eventually switched tack and tried to persuade the jury that the planning of the pandemic was beneficial to Americans.

The Defense even brought in an expert on transhumanism to argue that the chip to be inserted via mandatory vaccination would cause people to live in harmony with one another. Prosecutor Karen smiled at the jurors and asked if they would be interested in buying the Brooklyn Bridge.

In her closing speech, Karen told the jurors that they had only to decide on the facts and bring in a verdict -- the sentencing phase would occur at a later date. She read to them the punishment codified at 18 USC 2381:

“Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies... is guilty of treason and shall suffer death, **or** shall be imprisoned not less than five years and fined under this title but not less than \$10,000; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United States.”

Knowing that the jurors were still unschooled in the shocking facts of the disappearance of our government, she also read from Edward Bernays’ book, *Propaganda*, published almost a century ago in 1928. She enunciated dramatically:

“The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country.”

One juror fainted.

I recommend that your local Grass Court rehearse for a real case by choosing any past act of malice and charge persons with treason. There is no statute of limitations on murder or treason.

You could try Lyndon Johnson, president of the US from 1963-1968. He is rumored to have acted in three treacheries: the JFK assassination, the deliberate planning of the death of 57,000 American troops in Vietnam (see John Judge’s report in Appendix A.), and the nuking of Egypt in 1967 which got cancelled at the last minute when the *USS Liberty* failed to sink.

Come to think of it, our lack of acting on those cases proves that we have a serious deficit when it comes to laying blame for treason. As for “Dallas” there is actually a photo of the late George HW Bush standing outside the Texas Book Depository a few minutes after JFK’s assassination.

## Chapter 12: Davos Has Announced “the Great Reset”



*World Economic Forum, a private organization*

An excellent video, “Global Reset,” by Spiro Skouras, shows that skepticism about the origin and purpose of the pandemic is warranted. Several top finance people, who attend World Economic Forum yearly in Davos, Switzerland, are planning a Reset, a huge attempt at social engineering worldwide.

It’s not a matter of us having to guess what they’re doing – their own website announces it. They will restructure the economy, tap into the human brain to alter emotions (yes!), vaccinate everyone, and so forth. I think we need to get down to business defining the word *evil* in a practical way.

“Evil” should be used to describe some of the events now occurring and some of the things that are admittedly being planned for our imminent future. Let me name two examples: Bill Gates’ plan to vaccinate 7.8 billion people, and the Davos plan to create a worldwide system of identity that will make possible a tracking of our whereabouts every day for the rest of our lives. It would be an end to personal freedom and privacy, two of our precious gifts from God.

Note three obstacles that will be mounted to an effort to label, as evil, the vaccination and tracing stuff:



1. It will be said that the persons instigating this plan have *good intentions*, and that if eventually we see bad results, that shouldn't be blamed on them, poor dears.
2. It will be said that a chip cannot really harm you, and that the alternative to tracking your health status is to let you go around infecting your neighbors, *and you should not be so selfish*.
3. It will be said that *none of us can know* who is telling the truth, and so we should just live day to day, and not buy into puffed-up stories of an imminent disaster.

To all of those excuses I say Balderdash. Most likely the reason ordinary folk accept those three lines – and they do -- is that they don't want to be caught making a wrong guess. Really, you'd think a person could *die of embarrassment* if caught worrying about a disaster that's only iffy. (Never mind that we buckle up seatbelts on the quite slim chance that the car will crash.)

Another reason for blocking the horrible future scenario out of one's brain is that it's frightening, so just be postpone facing it and be comfortable. This book is meant to trash that stance.

**Face It Immediately.** People at the top have almost certainly got a devastating “Plan B” in store for us if they do not get us to submit voluntarily to their control. They have now taken the *big risk* of exposing their plan to us. The Davos video shows Klaus Schwab and others speaking to the world, undisguised. Soon, the Davos guys may become Public Enemy #One.

Why would they do this -- unless they have a way to duck out of our shooting range? I think they are going to strike at us right now. The menacing talk of big change coming will have destabilized emotions. The “stimulus payments” may have helped but many have lost their livelihoods permanently.

**They Are Mental.** To hear Bill Gates say he needs to get 7.8 billion people vaccinated is to hear a raving lunatic speak. Klaus Schwab (age 81), Chairman of the World Economic Forum at Davos, says that he is *going to reset the world economy for the sake of fairness* (no kidding, he said that.) I deduce that these people are not clever, selfish leaders. They are in deep delusion. You would get a restraining order against a nutty neighbor who was a threat to your family's survival, wouldn't you? Why not have Davos escorted, in straitjackets, to the nearest loony bin, and keep them under lock and key till they recover. Also get a legal action going to place their wealth under public guardianship.

**Protocols of the Elders of Zion.** There is precedent for the belief that a few men could run the world. In the Protocols (made in 1880, but not published till 1906, in Russia), we see:

Protocol 5: "We will so wear out and exhaust the Gentiles by all this that they will be compelled to offer us an international authority, which by its position will enable us to absorb without disturbance all the governmental forces of the world and thus form a super-government."

Protocol 10: "To wear everyone out by dissensions, animosities, feuds, famine, inoculation of diseases, want, until they sees no other way of escape except by appeal to our power."

Arguably, the word "Gentiles" supports a claim that the Protocols were the Minutes of a Zionist meeting. But the thrust of a coup, a putsch, a Takeover goes back to Machiavelli in 1532, in *Il Principe* (the Prince). Or for that matter to Caesar crossing the Rubicon. If I had to put money on it, I would bet that the "Protocols affair" is part of a plan to hurt Jews (for the fun of it and for distraction.) The real planners seem to me to be "equal opportunity" types. Time will tell – soon.

Welcome to

# Part Four



## GRASS COURT IS IN SESSION

### **Executive Order 9066 –Permitting Martial Law**

Whereas, the successful prosecution of the war requires every possible protection against espionage and against sabotage to national-defense material...

Now therefore, by virtue of the authority vested in me ...

I hereby authorize and direct the Secretary of War, and the Military Commanders whom he may from time to time designate, whenever he or any designated Commander deems such action to be necessary or desirable, to prescribe military areas in such places and of such extent as he or the appropriate Military Commander may determine....

I hereby further authorize and direct the Secretary of War and the said Military Commanders to take such other steps as [they] may deem advisable to enforce compliance ...including the use of Federal troops ...with authority to accept assistance of state and local agencies.

Signed, Franklin D. Roosevelt, The White House,

February 19, 1942

## Chapter 13: Just Show Up at Your Local Grass Court



*Lady Justice at [legalvictories.blogspot.com](http://legalvictories.blogspot.com)*

Years ago, I read an anthropology book about a small society that conducted its judicial business “on the grass.” The village chief called the people together if there was a dispute between any two villagers (“civil law”) or if the behavior of one person needed disciplining (“criminal law”). The people sat and listened.

There was no writing in that society, so no book of law. People used custom, experience, emotion, and the human’s natural sense of justice. The village chief was the decider, the judge, but the real judge was the people. He let the disputing parties speak. Then, he could tell from the reaction of “the grassers” what side they were on.

That is how it should be today. A terrible event is taking place – the Takeover of 7.8 billion people by a World Government (a government that won’t even admit its own existence). Folks everywhere should react. It will be dangerous to do so. But so what? All is at stake.

Please read, on the next page, some truly inspiring words from Jon Rappaport, the man who invented the term “matrix” to describe the mental environment in which we are trapped:

Jon Rappoport *Exit the Matrix* – **Empower You!**

“Civilization continues to erode and decay, as individual power is put on the back burner. But that doesn't give the individual a license to surrender. If others want to give up, that's *their* business. The individual, instead, finds new frontiers for his power, for his capacity to invent reality.

“There is you. Your power comes in two forms. First, there is the ability to apply logic to events and information; to think rationally from A to B to C; to analyze. Second, there is imagination, the capacity to conceive and then invent realities that would never otherwise exist in the world.

“You exercise your creative power to fulfill what you deeply desire; and that process will spill over and affect others in a positive way. It will lift them up. It will remind them that they, too, have power.

“Logic and analysis keeps you from being sent down wrong roads, keeps you from buying official reality. Logic reminds you that you have a mind.

“Passivity is a disease. It spreads and takes over. The passive life is precisely and exactly a life without power. The cure is a life lived with power.

“The ability to help others and defend them from oppression is part and parcel of your own power. How could you help them without your power? It is through imagination that you can devise new ways to expose and reduce oppression, ways that haven't been thought of before.

So, yes, it is great to be innovative. It is also smart to stick your neck out in support of old ways if they are valuable. They didn't get to be old ways by being chimeras. One of the old system's inventions was the **grand jury**. This is not the same as a trial jury (12 persons) which determines guilt or innocence of an accused. The grand jury (23 persons) looks at material that indicates so-and-so may have committed crime and decides if he should even be indicted.

In the Massachusetts Bay Colony, it was the duty of citizens to look around and see what needed attention – such as a sagging bridge or a neighbor who had kleptomania. There was always a grand jury (empaneled for a two-year stint) to bring this information to. The panel – the grand jury -- would see if “there was a case to answer.” The Fifth Amendment says “No person shall be held to answer... unless ... on indictment...”

At some point, both in the states and in the (overblown) federal justice system, the states' attorneys-general started to play boss and not let the grand juries make their own decision. (You can just imagine, say, where a “biggie” needs to be protected from prosecution, that the AG would whisper to the foreman that an indictment was not warranted.)

In the next chapter (Chapter 14), I show how to do a Grass Court trial. We'll pretend that indictments are already in hand. But if you are an activist wanting right now to start up a grand jury, I encourage that too. I assure you it is legal. You have a First Amendment rights both to *assemble* and to speak.

Note: you won't, at first, have the powers needed to summon suspects or subpoena evidence. But neither did the first grand jury foreman in history. It all has to start somewhere. Check out the history of the Clarendon Assize – an assize was a medieval name for a grand jury. Go look for a sagging bridge and report it to your assize today!

## A Glossary of Law

***Accessory before the fact*** – somehow helps the crime occur.

***Accessory after the fact*** – prevents the crime being subjected to justice by hiding the event (cover-up) or by lying about it.

***Affidavit*** – writer provides knowledge under penalty of perjury

***Authority*** – someone, not just anyone, who can impose law.

***Adjudication*** – applying of the law to a particular dispute.

***Balance of Probabilities*** – a lesser standard for judgment than “beyond reasonable doubt.” Usable in civil trials.

***Conveying false information*** – both tort and crime (18 USC 35) – the action is to be brought in the name of the US.

***Common Law, the*** – a collection of cases over centuries that judges can use as a basis for adjudicating.

***Court of Equity*** – you can ask a court to apply principles of equity rather than strict law, to achieve justice.

***Custom*** – a basis of law; how people have traditionally seen a practice to be right or wrong.

***Damages*** – money paid to a litigant to satisfy justice.

***Due Process*** – the process that is due, i.e., owed, to a citizen.

***Inns of Court*** – medieval associations of barristers that had disciplinary control over them — today, Bar Associations.

***Jurisdiction***—the question of which court is appropriate for the case depends on subject matter as well as geography.

***Jurisprudence*** – accumulation of the wisdom of judges via cases, or interpretation by jurists, such as academics.

**Justice** – fairness, balance. You can seek justice against unfairness by applying to the court, thus asking society to come to your aid against a bad person.

**Master of the Rolls** – medieval keeper of written material for the court (on rolls of parchment). Today, the clerk of court.

**Maxims of Law** – well-established observations, such as “He who flees judgement confesses his guilt” or practical principles, such as “A judicial writ does not fail through defect of form.”

**No Respector of Persons** – the law does not look at your status in society. (Of course that means it *shouldn't* do so.)

**Openness** – normally anything that takes place in court is public. However, there may be reason to seal the records for a time; national security or protecting a child's privacy.

**Precedent** – what has been decided in the past will remain good law until overturned by a new precedent.

**Private law** – most lawsuits are “private law” even though conducted by a public court, as the matter in dispute is between two citizens, not between a citizen and society. The main types of private law are contract law and tort law.

**Punishment** – specific punishment for a crime must be stated in the law, e.g., imprisonment, hard labor, fines, community service, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains (not “humiliation”).

**Remedy** – a judge must find a remedy that will satisfy the parties and society. Maxim: *Boni iudicis est ampliare jurisdictionem*. “It is the duty of a good judge to amplify the remedies.”

**Restraining order** -- Court can make an order to forbid a person to go to a certain area, can postpone a house sale, etc. You can get help in emergency. (The courts are never closed; they have an emergency phone number.)



## Chapter 14. Grass Court: Prosecutions and Lawsuits



(R) *NH State Rep Dan Itse*

The Grass Court can hear cases. A civil action, that is, a lawsuit, should have two litigants: a plaintiff (bringing the “plaint”) and a defendant. In a criminal case, the accused may be called a defendant; the “plaint” is brought by society by way of a prosecutor. You should provide all defendants with counsel, that is, a defense “attorney.” Voluntary witnesses may testify.

There is a problem for you in doing a Grass Court trial. Anyone who feels slandered by it can sue you. Probably if you “try” a big-ticket person they will not sue you. Vincent Bugliosi, when he had retired as a prosecutor, wrote a book accusing George W Bush of murder. Bush stayed mum. Still, it may be wise to consider using pseudonyms. (Real courts use “John Doe.”)

Let’s see how both a lawsuit and a criminal case can be made out in regard to each of two hypothetical harms: the rollout of 5G technology, and the pandemic’s economic collapse.

**Is 5G Technology Harmful?** “Fifth Generation” communications technology, “5G,” is well known. Its promoters boast about it openly, so it’s not like trying to talk about secretive bioweapons. Oh wait, maybe it is a bioweapon. Certainly we (the US) dropped an atomic bomb on Japan in 1945, causing lethal radiation sickness. It was no accident; it was a weapon used in the heat of war (which, by the way, violated a once well-accepted law of warfare: don’t attack civilians).

Chemical weapons, too, have been used by US. For example, Agent Orange was sprayed on trees in Vietnam in the 1960s. The excuse was that it could eliminate the ground cover in which soldiers of our enemy (the Viet Cong) hid themselves.

The 5G “rollout” has been going on since 2018. It consists of cell towers being set up, from which radio transmissions are sent out. The stated purpose of 5G is to enhance the capacity and speed of cell phones and related devices. The rollout is being done by providers such as Verizon, T-Mobile, and AT&T. In the US, those companies purchased bandwidth from the Federal Communications Commission, the FCC.

Note: I hinted at radiation sickness, but did not make the case for it being caused by 5G. If you take a defendant to trial, at real court or Grass Court, you must prove the injury you claim. It need not be set in concrete that 5G causes radiation sickness; you would flaunt your evidence and the jury will decide.

**Have You Been Injured by 5G? (Torts).** If you’ve been injured by 5G, two responses are possible. One is to treat it as a crime, the other is to try to get compensation from the harm doer -- the “tortfeasor.” Let us start with the latter.

Torts are types of injury a person may suffer. Among the established torts are: assault, battery, trespass, vandalism, fraud, and unnecessary infliction of emotional distress. You can claim monetary damages as the remedy for the injury. “Battery” means you have been physically touched in an unwanted way – whether by being hit violently or non-violently. “Assault” means you have been made to feel apprehensive that something bad will be done to you. “Trespass” means an uninvited person has entered your home or your personal space. “Infliction of emotional distress” means someone has infringed on your peace of mind. “Fraud” means someone has deceived you, to your detriment.

If 5G has harmed you, and you were the plaintiff in a real court, the clerk of court would take your pleadings and hand you a form for sending a summons to the plaintiff, to give him a chance to reply. A hearing would be set and then a trial. You may be awarded the money you asked for, or a lesser amount. You could lose, and may have to pay the other side's costs!

**Did 5G Rollout Entail Any Crimes?** Relevant 5G *crimes* could include assault and battery (those are crimes as well as torts), destruction of property, cruelty to animals, and violation of civil rights, such as the right to life. Since 1966, in the US, we have had **civil rights** laws. One civil rights law, is codified at 18 USC 241. It actually criminalizes the mere *conspiring* to deprive a person of rights:

If two or more persons conspire to **injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate** any person in any State... **in the free exercise** or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right ... They shall be fined or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results ... may be sentenced to death. [Emphasis added]

Note: when a corporation is responsible for a crime (rather than for a tort), its *officers* can be held liable. Can members of *government* be liable for crime? Yes. What a silly question. No one has immunity against being charged with crime. *No one*. See *Nixon v Fitzgerald* (1982) in which the Justices clarified that a president may be indicted even while holding office.

**United Keetoowah Band** of Cherokee Indians sued the FCC for neglecting to consult with them as required in the Historic Preservation Act, before attempting to install 5G. In 2019 the

federal appeals court agreed to halt the FCC's Wireless Infrastructure Streamlining Order. It had passed with a 3-2 FCC vote in September 2018. Incidentally this case shows how far from accountability to Congress is the Federal Communications Commission. It is called an "independent agency."

Keetoowah Chief Joe Bunch said: "For us, it's a tremendous ruling. It ensures that our ancestors' remains will be kept and the environment will remain intact as well. We will continue to fight for ancestors and our historical remains and sites to move our tribe forward."

**Were You Injured by Economic Collapse? (Torts)** The personal injury of "financial loss" is a well-established **tort**. You can sue someone who deprives you of expected sales in your business. A law student recently filed suit against Harvard for not giving him what he **contracted** to receive – live classes. He has made an unusual appeal to the court of Equity, asking for Harvard (\$40 billion endowment) to disgorge its ill-gotten gains. I guess it would take a law student to think of that!

**Any Prosecutions for Crimes of the Economic Collapse?** The intent to harm the US economically has been present for more than a century. The panic of 1906 was allegedly carried out by persons who stood to gain from it. Ditto the Wall St crash of 1929, resulting in a Great Depression. The perpetrators were American, hence acting against their compatriots.

To repeat from Chapter 12, the Great Reset is scheduled for the near future. The fact that we are not jumping into action against the self-proclaimed perpetrators is due a century's worth of being conditioned to expect such things by those big impressive guys who know everything. Oh, really?

All rise. Grass Court is in session. God bless America.

## Chapter 15: Citizen's Arrest Is Legal in All 50 States



(L) Barack Obama (R) Professor Cherif Bassiouni

In the old days (and in future days, I hope), it was understood that you call the cops for help when you see one person doing violence to another. However, when we see violence today we may assume the cops are doing it. Or if you hear of children being prostituted, you might guess that the government runs the racket. (We who work in that area know it to be so!)

In this chapter, the tables are turned -- you are the cop. You see bad things and want to stop them. What can you do that is legal? At the very least you can file a complaint-affidavit at the nearest police station or mail it to the local court. You can even undertake a private prosecution, but that is rather difficult.

You can, in all of the 50 states, perform a citizen's arrest. It is based on the common law. I learned most of what I know about this from a 1977 book by DePaul University law professor Cherif Bassiouni, who died in 2017, age 79. There hasn't been any expansion on his book: *Citizen's Arrest*, by himself or by other scholars. Be aware that the crime for which you are making a citizen's arrest must be a felony (a crime with a prison sentence) not just a lowly misdemeanor.

## Distinction from Self-Defense

If someone is hurting you, or hurting another person, you can take defensive action. Say he raises a baseball bat with clear intention to smash your head. You can do whatever is needed to prevent that, including killing him. It's a principle of law that we can't tell a man to refrain from protecting his own life.

The High Court of Australia ruled in 1987 in *Zecevic v DPP*:

“The question to be asked in the end is simple. It is whether the accused believed upon reasonable grounds that it was necessary in self-defence to do what he did. If he had that belief and there were reasonable grounds for it, or if the jury is left in reasonable doubt about the matter, then he is entitled to an acquittal.”

However, that isn't the law that particularly applies to the matter of citizen's arrest. The verb *to arrest* means simply *to stop*. “The antibiotics arrested the spread of the rash.” In regard to police arrest, the thesaurus offers such synonyms as: “To collar, capture, bag, nail, nick, grab, retain, hook, bust, or pickle.” The thesaurus also provides “to sequester” as a word for “arrest.”

I think an amateur doing a citizen's arrest should envision both *a stoppage* and *a sequestering*. If she is only on the verge of doing the crime you stop her from doing it. After you sequester her, it's your problem to deal with her until the authorities arrive. You have to keep her in your custody.

Let us remember that this whole thing has to do with conforming to the law of the land. The criminal has broken the law. She needs to be brought to book. The typical way is for our paid policepersons to observe activity in the community and actually capture someone in *flagrante delicto*, or else act on information received.

The cop has all that is needed by way of weaponry, including a Taser, to subdue a person. Anyway, in most instances all it takes is for him to flash the badge, the person will cooperate.

The 50 states have varying details about the carrying out of a citizen's arrest. Bassiouni recommended a uniform law, a model law that any of the states could voluntarily adopt. In his proposed model law, Bassiouni wants the arrestor to be required to tell the arrestee her proposed action and state the reasons. Example: "Joe Blow, I am arresting you, as a citizen's arrest, for shooting a man on April 28."

Bassiouni also says it should be the responsibility of the citizen arrestor to "do the Miranda's": "Mr Blow, You do not have to say anything but anything you do say may be used against you."

Per Bassiouni: "The arrestor may in effectuating the arrest use any reasonable amount of force necessary and warranted under the circumstance other than deadly force and force causing great bodily harm, but without prejudice to 'self-defence'."

As you may have guessed, that last part means "This wording does not 'prejudice' – does not rule out or infringe – the usual law of self-defense." Thus, even if you are arresting someone for a cold crime, rather than one the miscreant is presently engaging in, and he resists arrest to such an extent that he is about to harm you greatly, your "*Zecevic* rights" kick in.

To be cautious, Bassiouni also wanted the model law to say: "The arrestee shall not resist the arrest unless he knows it to be unlawful. This shall not however, prejudice the arrestee's right to 'self-defence' in preventing any unauthorized harm from occurring to him or her." [and]

"An arrestor must upon performing the arrest notify a peace officer and deliver the arrestee ... without delay."

I recommend that you have the sequestering factor well-organized in advance. What are you going to do if the police refuse to deal? I recommend you put the captive in a place that is not uncomfortable or degrading.

When it comes to keeping your mitts off of prominent persons I can only say why pick the most controversial for arrest when there are so many eligible? Use a modicum of diplomacy or this whole thing will scare the public away.

In his *proposed* law, Bassiouni suggested this discretion: “The following categories are immune from citizen’s arrest: A. law enforcement agents (local, state, federal) B. members of the judiciary C. members of the legislature.”

### **Massachusetts Law in Effect Today**

Besides the common law of citizen’s arrest, many states have enacted statutes in this area. The following was very likely written for the benefit of store owners who hire security personnel to prevent shop-lifting. When such security guards – uniformed or plain-clothed – arrest you, they are making a citizen’s arrest. In Massachusetts law, Chapter 231, Sec 94B we see that the emphasis is on providing the arrestor with a defense if he is accused of having done wrong to the arrestee.

In an action for false arrest or false imprisonment brought by any person by reason of having been detained for questioning on or in the immediate vicinity of the premises of a merchant or an innkeeper, if such person was detained in a reasonable manner and for not more than a reasonable length of time ... and if there were reasonable grounds to believe that the person so detained was committing or attempting to commit a violation of section thirty [etc] or attempting to commit larceny of goods for sale on such premises [etc] it shall be a defense to such action.



That is (I interpret): if you meet the requirements, such as a reasonable manner of holding him, and he sues you, a Massachusetts judge will not award damages to him.

In 1854, a New South Wales chief justice ruled, in *R v Elliot*:


“The Law of England **makes every man an officer to arrest a Traitor or Felon**, and all persons of Competent Age, **who are present where treason or felony** is committed or a dangerous wound is given, **are bound to apprehend the Offender on pain of being fined and imprisoned for their neglect**; they are also bound to raise Hue and Cry, and pursue him; and every private person is bound to assist an officer demanding his help for the taking of a *Felon* ...

if the Offender flees and **cannot be otherwise apprehended, and is killed, it is in them Justifiable Homicide**, but in all these cases it is essential that a Felony should have been committed, or that a Hue and Cry has been raised.”

I realize that it is unnatural today to imagine yourself having to arrest anyone. But think: it was not unnatural before 1820 – that’s the quite-recent date when cops came into being. When the world is full of harm-doers it is in the general inter-est of society for you to help out. Still, it’s hazardous and I recommend you not do it unless you have first tried “911.”

Be assured that your state police are well aware of the legality of citizen’s arrest. As stated, security guards who make arrests do so under the provisions for citizen’s arrest. And surprisingly, so do FBI officers. Although the FBI claim on their website that they are a law enforcement agency they definitely are not. If they have any constitutionally valid job it is limited to investigation. (At times they get deputized by local police, in which case they do make arrests as police.) Go pretend-arrest your mother – being sure to tell her in advance what you are doing.

## Chapter 16: Grass Court's Outlook on DoJ's Corruption



*Great books about justice by Sidney Powell, Anthony Hinton, and Rachel Barkow*

The Department of Justice is so corrupt that it's hard to see how it could handle a case fairly, ever. Presumably, the persons brought in to work at the DoJ have been selected for *not* having great respect for the Rule-of-Law. They know how to “game” the law. Also, Congress can write the laws of procedure in such a way as to enable *injustice*.

A famous recent example is that of Jeffrey Epstein. He ran some sort of racket in sex-trafficking. Photos of him with Bill Clinton, Donald Trump, and Prince Andrew date back to the 1990s. With two presidents and a royal as pals you wouldn't expect Epstein's crimes to get investigated.

Somehow, he got arrested and was tried in 2008. No, wait, you don't get tried if you do a plea bargain. I consider the plea-bargain stuff unconstitutional. It takes away society's part in trying, convicting, and sentencing criminals. And, as it goes on behind closed doors, we rarely know what it's about.

The excuse given for plea bargaining is that it saves the court from overwork. That may be so, but at what price? Ordinary arrestees serve prison time for drug offenses about which a jury may have found them *not* guilty – while “protected” arrestees get sent home, with “immunity” regarding other charges.

So lets' ask, what is the Department of Justice? It is headed by the US Attorney General and contains the FBI. The FBI is unconstitutional, as the parchment never allocated a general federal police power. Only a few federal crimes are specified in the Constitution: piracy, counterfeiting, treason, and violating the Law of Nations. Those hardly get punished, so the DoJ could pretty well close down.

However, Congress has passed many laws that seem to need federal police. For example, national parks may need guards; IRS may need to nab tax cheats. But state police could do all that under contract. As it is, many police-type commercial companies such as Dyncorp are contracted, *sub rosa*, to the US.

Recall that Oregon has now filed or an injunction against contractors manhandling that state's folks on the street. Per the AG, Ellen Rosenblum, they dress generically (in green) and don't wear badges. In 2018, when I quizzed a Watertown cop supervisor as to who shot 228 bullets at a boat in which Jahar Tsarnaev lay (in 2013), he said "Outside agencies." (??)

I propose that Grass Court make the DoJ a criminal suspect. I myself filed a civil RICO suit against the FBI; it is legal to accuse government of a crime. See Appendix J below. Also, recall Article 8 of New Hampshire's state constitution:

"All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them."
--

Note: NH's state motto is: "Live free or die."

Now ask: How do we hold accountable any of the officers who do not need our vote on election day as they are appointees, or government careerists? I can remember a time when you could make a fuss to the media about bad officers and hope for

action. Today that is truly out of the question; the MSM seem to front for those organizing the corruption!

But recall Zephyr Teachout's claim that anti-corruption is vital to the Republic. We can't just say "Ho hum." Zephyr shows us an amazing 1810 case, *Fletcher v Peck* about a sale of land in Georgia. The Yazoo land belonged, in part, to the natives but they did not have clear title. Georgia passed a law that sold the land to four companies at a penny per acre. We can see at a glance that the legislature must have been corrupt.

Fletcher then purchased a piece of land from Peck. It is suspected that those two "made up" a case in order to get a general ruling. (I see that going on a lot, even at SCOTUS level.)

Two of Peck's lawyers were John Quincy Adams and Joseph Story. (And we have Dershowitz and Giuliani as Trump's lawyers!) The question for Judge John Marshall was whether the land contract was enforceable, given that the statute had by now become defunct. Why? Because when the citizens found out about the bribery involved, they reacted by cleaning all legislators out at the next election. The new ones boldly *repealed* the corruptly-made statute.

On pages 89-94 of *Corruption in America*, Zephyr lists some of the thoughts of that day. For instance, in the founding era (still in living memory in 1810), Scottish critic Francis Hutcheson held that when the governors of states act corruptly, "the trust they have been given is violated" – so the first land grant was void. (Think how many 21<sup>st</sup> century laws in the US must be void!)

Zephyr notes that Richard Price said "governments possess no power beyond the limits of the trust for the execution of which they were formed." **Government dissolves itself** when it exercises **power not been given by its constituents.** Wow.

Welcome to  
**Part Five**



**MOST VACCINATIONS HAVE  
BEEN CRIMINAL**

*You can fool some of the people all the time and  
you can fool all of the people some of the time but  
you cannot fool all of the people all of the time.*

*Attributed to Abe Lincoln*

## Chapter 17: Bill Gates, WHO, Vaccines, Genocide



Bill Gates



Protesters

Part Five claims that most vaccinations have been criminal. It will take me four chapters to argue the case. This first one is on Bill Gates' plan to vaccinate the whole world. Fathom it!

Is Bill Gates criminal, and if so, what procedures exist to bring him to book? Bill Gates was born in Seattle in 1955. He is known for his Microsoft corporation. The US sued him for anti-trust but he was allowed to keep the billions he had made.

It should be stated that every *corporation* is a creature of statute. To start a corporation – even a non-profit one – you must apply to the state and follow the state's corporation law that dictates, say, the right of shareholders to vote at meetings.

Gates is also known for the Foundation he runs with his wife, Melinda. A *foundation*, too, is something that legislatures breathe into existence. They make it possible for a very wealthy person to “sort of” keep their money while placing it into the charitable foundation and paying low taxes.

Bill Gates often mentions, publicly, his wish to help the world population get reduced by 15%. That could be a shocking statement, but he softens it by implying that he will help women's reproductive health. Does he mean that those women will then prefer a smaller number of children? That is an acceptable theory – westernized women don't want many kids, as they know the ones they have will survive.

*Comments appearing on Bill Gates twitter, with real-time deleting:*

We take back our rightful awareness of beautiful earth.

Why don't you stop trying to practice med without a license.

The whole world is uniting against you and your masters.

35k people revolting in this section. That's not a tiny army.

We ALL know about Event 201 that you funded in 2019.

There will be no "new world order". You're going down, Bill.

Take your vax, your satanic mates and NWO and go to hell.

We the people are NOT going to allow you or your weasel Dr Fauci to mandate this vaccine!! We know your agenda!!

You are trash bill. Why are you not in jail yet?

Bill Gates won't even vaccinate his own kids. He has caused deaths in India and Africa. He needs to be lynched.

The great awakening is happening. Mother earth has stirred the sleeping. Truth is being revealed for all with eyes to see.

Divide and conquer is your goal, but we will prevail and triumph against the world that is your bubble.

Just a rich guy reaching out to help the world. #notonmywatch

Thanks to these comments I feel HOPE that as humanity we just might get through the dark times ahead. So thank you all.

Thanks for your vax in India paralyzing almost 500k girls.

Gates has long been involved in vaccination, including holding the patents for some vaccines. This probably means his charity should not be rewarding countries monetarily for purchasing those vaccines, but he does do that. Recall from Chapter 16 how “corruption” is a failure to do one’s job honestly.

The Gates Foundation is the biggest contributor to the World Health Organization, WHO. Let’s pause to ask if that entity has any authority. No. As far as I am aware, only nation states can command the obedience of their citizens. Even where the US signs a multi-lateral treaty, it can then disobey it. And no UN ‘troops’ ever go into any country to enforce law.

The WHO was sued by plaintiffs in India -- Kalpana Mehta, Nalini Bhanot, and Dr. Rukmini Rao. They stated: “BMGF, PATH and WHO were criminally negligent trialing the vaccines on a vulnerable, uneducated and under-informed population. School administrators, students and their parents who were not provided informed consent ...

On social media sites, Gates has been accused informally of “crimes against humanity.” That is not a category of crime in the US. Since 1991 we have had strict law of war crimes, domestically, against Americans but that law is collecting dust on a shelf. And it applies only in war. See 18 USC 2441.

The law of “Hostis humani generis” could also be applicable to a person who is “the enemy of all mankind.” It is said to have perhaps has “universal jurisdiction” -- so activists in any country could try to charge Gates with a crime. It can be applied to pirates and lately has been mentioned in regard to the torturers such as at the CIA’s black sites in Europe.

Courts in Spain agreed to charge Pinochet with torturing people in 1973 in Chile, but that was because one victim was a Spanish citizen. Anyway, London declined to extradite him.



Let's look at the law to see if Bill Gates could be charged with genocide. I have no way to investigate his deeds, but we can read the federal law on genocide at 18 USC 1091:

“Genocide: (a) **Basic Offense.**— Whoever, whether in time of peace or in time of war and with the **specific intent to destroy**, in whole or in substantial part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group as such—

(1) kills members of that group;

(2) causes serious bodily injury to members of that group;

(3) causes the permanent impairment of the mental faculties of members of the group through drugs, torture, or similar techniques;

(4) subjects the group to **conditions of life that are intended to cause the physical destruction** of the group in whole or in part....

(b) **Punishment for Basic Offense** (1) in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(1), where death results, **by death or imprisonment for life** and a fine of not more than \$1,000,000, or both; and (2) a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 or imprisonment for not more than twenty years, or both, in any other case.

(d) **Attempt and Conspiracy.**— Any person who attempts or conspires to commit an offense shall be punished **in** the same manner as a person who completes the offense.

(e) **Jurisdiction.**—There is jurisdiction ... if the offense is committed in whole or in part within the United States; or (2) regardless of where the offense is committed, the alleged offender is— (A) a national of the United States ...

So, if a US citizen kills a group *intentionally*, he or she could get the death penalty. No need for it to be in wartime. How would the genocide law be brought down on Mr Gates? The above law of genocide is to be handled domestically. So, one of the Department of Justice regions would have to alert its regional prosecutor to send the name of Gates and relevant material to a regional federal grand jury. If the grand jurors felt there was a case to answer, they would indict Mr Gates. He could then be arrested, and hearings and a trial would follow. (Maybe a Grass Court would do it if AG Barr deemed “impossible.”)

## **A Desire To Vaccinate the Whole World**

Note: As of now, no vaccine for Covid-19 is available but companies are vying for the contract and there are “trials.” Dr Rashid Buttar has stated his anxiety that one of the companies that made the short list and is doing trials, Moderna, has no medical experience but has AI (artificial intelligence) leanings.

## **Bill Gates’ Similarity to Cecil Rhodes**

Bill Gates talks a bit like British adventurer Cecil Rhodes (1850-1902). Rhodes, at age 27, wrote in his “Confession of Faith”:

“I contend that we are the finest race in the world and that the more of the world we inhabit the better it is for the human race. Just fancy those parts that are at present inhabited by the most despicable specimens of human beings; what an alteration there would be if they were brought under Anglo-Saxon influence.”

Like Bill, Cecil considered the world his property. Said he, in “moral” tones, “We know the size of the world we know the total extent. Africa is still lying ready for us it is our duty to take it.” Maybe Bill does things secretly, but he hasn’t openly praised the worth of secret societies. Rhodes wrote:

“To forward such a scheme what a splendid help a secret society would be -- a society not openly acknowledged but who would work in secret for such an object. I contend that there are at the present moment numbers of the ablest men in the world who would devote their whole lives to it.”

Undeniably, Rhodes influenced the formation of Lord Milner’s Round Table at Oxford, which spawned the American CFR – Council on Foreign Relations. That private entity, with its 3,000 invited members, wields huge influence on the White House, picking Cabinet Members. Consider how each man uses personal wealth. Rhodes died young at 52 but left the Rhodes Scholarship as a way to carry on his “British Empire” dream. Gates is worth \$70 billion and donates much to the WHO for vaccines.

I doubt, however, that Gates is on his own. It’s likelier that he is part of a machine that controls the world. Bill and Melinda Gates’ Foundation handles agriculture, too. Why are we educated people so ignorant as to who runs us?

Isn’t this the bigger disaster than corruption – that the American citizenry is going along with a radical change, whereby men with no accountability to voters are setting policy? Gates and others, such as bioethics professor James Giordano, are coming up with unheard of schemes to alter human DNA. Granted Giordano does so on behalf of DARPA, but have we any mechanism for holding DARPA to account? Gates speaks as if he is WHO, but that body is a Rockefeller type business.

Note for State Attorneys-General: The illegal actions of the Clinton Foundation are well known and are extreme, but the DoJ does nothing about it. But in any state where a citizen has contributed a dollar to the foundation, that state can prosecute for breaches of the State’s foundation laws. Most likely that is true of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation also.

## Chapter 18. Pleomorphism, Polio Cure, Crofton, Dogs



*E. Rosenow*



*Crofton's research*

When writing my 2013 book on the suppression of good cancer cures (*Consider the Lilies*), I noted the repetitiveness of the mistreatment of the discoverers -- they were smeared, sacked, or even killed. It happens today, too. And why did long-FDA-approved HCQ, Hydroxychloroquine, get withdrawn? Why does suppression of cures take place? Per my understanding of the real power structure of society, good health is not allowed. The powerful like to keep us chasing doctor's appointments and worrying about cancer and other illnesses.

Although this book is about the pandemic, it does not go into the science. This chapter's mission is to demonstrate the bad faith in which science is sometimes conducted.

**Introduction to the 'Debate' on Pleomorphism.** William Crofton, MD, in *The True Nature of Viruses* (1936), claimed that dog distemper is caused by *bacteria*, specifically a bacillus (that is, a rod-shaped bacterium). But The Powers That Be insisted that distemper is caused by a *virus* – and thus can't be cured.

A virus can't be cultured in the lab, as bacteria can, to yield an antigen that will make the patient's immune system fight the infection. You may be surprised to hear the way in which viruses first got categorized as virus, versus bacteria. It had to do merely with size. If the item can pass through a ceramic filter (and is therefore called 'filterable'), it must be a virus.

Some ‘dissident’ researchers found that a virus isn’t always a virus. It seems to be part of a life cycle of certain microbes; at times it morphs into a bacillus (or even, perhaps, a fungus). This theory is called pleo-morphism – ‘many shapes or forms.’ Note: the US military takes pleomorphism for granted in its bio-research, but since 1950 medical journals reject any mention of it. It is a huge secret. What does that tell you?

**Polio.** The original expert on pleomorphism was Edward Rosenow, MD, who published plenty. Roughly he said “If you want to cure polio (a virus), get its other form, a bacillus, and culture it.” He did cure polio, in 1917. See this headline:

REMEDY FOR INFANTILE PARALYSIS [Polio]; Dr. Edward C. Rosenow of the Mayo Clinic Tried His Serum on Children with Brilliant Results During Epidemic in Davenport. By Mary B Mullett, November 18, 1917.

*The New York Times Magazine*, Page SM3. “EVERY father and mother in the country will feel a throb of relief and of hope over what has just happened at Davenport, Iowa. An epidemic of infantile paralysis in that city has ended with a banquet and an ovation.”

Consider people’s great unwillingness to believe that medicine might be withheld for an evil purpose: to keep them sick.

Rosenow also cured MS and schizophrenia. Alan Cantwell, MD, routinely finds bacilli in cancer. He published this in journals from 1968 to 1990, but nowadays he can get ink only in the dissident press! Lida Mattman and Gerald Domingue have added a crucial discovery to pleomorphic theory -- that some microbes are cell-wall-deficient. If you want to start sleuthing, see Lawrence Broxmeyer, MD’s very entertaining writings on bacilli. He contravenes much of the orthodox position on AIDS, TB, autism, and Ebola ‘virus.’

## The Flu, Including the 1918 Flu Pandemic

The bacillus of flu had been isolated by German bacteriologist Richard Pfeiffer in 1891. From it, Crofton was able to prepare an inoculation. As regards 61 students at University College dormitory in Dublin in 1918, he had the following success: Of the 35 who were not inoculated, 100% caught the flu. Of the 26 who were inoculated, only 3.8% got the flu. Just think, he may have been able to prevent the millions of deaths that did in fact occur in Europe in 1918. Crofton considers the Pfeiffer bacillus to be found also in measles, mumps, and chicken pox:

“If an antigen is rushed through when the first spots appear in chicken-pox ... no further spots appear. Likewise in *mumps*, if a culture is made from material at the opening of the parotid duct one obtains a swarming growth (on blood-agar) of bacilli. A one-million dose of this, followed by others at suitable intervals, rapidly aborts the disease.

For instance, my younger boy developed the typical swelling -- a culture was made; next morning there was sufficient growth to make an antigen. A one-million dose was given. Next morning the swelling had almost disappeared....”

**Dog Breeders Conquered It 120 Years Ago.** Distemper, an illness of dogs, is sometimes fatal. It involves loss of appetite, discharge from eyes and nose, vomiting, and possible paralysis from demyelination of nerve tissue. For over a hundred years, there has been an excellent cure – but The Powers That Be won't let it be used. In 1900, Monckton Copeman published that distemper was caused by a bacillus. By 1910, Newell Ferry also isolated it and called it *Bacillus bronchisepticus*. In 1911, JP McGowan wrote it up in Volume xv of the *Journal of Pathology*.

Trust me: scientists did proper experiments and shared them with all and sundry. Hands-on experiments were often carried

out by dog breeders, as they had the most to lose financially from sick dogs. Crofton quotes from FB Carrell in the April, 1911 edition of *The Kennel*:

*“A friend told me of Dr. Copeman’s vaccine. He had, two months before, inoculated two Smooth Collie pups. [He took them to] a kennel of Griffons all affected with distemper. Some of the mucus from the eyes and noses of the Griffons was inserted into the nostrils of the inoculated Collie pups. One Collie was not affected at all, temperature normal, appetite good.*

*“The temperature of the other Collie rose to 105° F., appetite capricious and puppy rather irritable. After a week he became perfectly healthy. Both these puppies were afterwards exhibited all over England. [Carrell adds]: Where the [vaccinated] puppies have been kept by myself, and, of course, frequently exhibited, they have, I think, in every case eventually taken the disease, but always so slightly that a week or ten days sees them right again.”*


OK, that’s *immunization*. But can the bacillus also be curative? Crofton states:

“If grown on blood agar the microbe is quite easy to isolate, very much easier, for instance, than the influenza bacillus, which will not grow on ordinary agar. If isolated early and the dog inoculated with a quarter to one million, followed by a small series if necessary, the disease will be aborted and even the most extreme cases can be recovered in many instances....”

Long story short, pets as well as humans may have suffered unnecessarily for the entire twentieth century. This is not an accident. It is malice. To fix it we have to know about it.

Note: Be prepared for trouble. In her book *Plague of Corruption*, Judy Mikovits tells of a colleague, Tim Cunningham, whose death was ruled a suicide, at age 34, but she says “unlikely.”

## Chapter 19. Indicting the Media: Lionel Dole vs the BBC



(L) The BBC's Bush House, London. Photo: Wikipedia (C) Lionel Dole's 1965 book (R) Eleanor McBean's 1955 book

How about charging the mainstream media with fraud? In his 1965 book *The Blood Poisoners*, Lionel Dole in the UK said:

**The radio advertising of commercial vaccines, especially with public money, is the greatest enemy we have to fight.** This dishonest propaganda is incessant....

Dole noted that “the TV can sometimes fool the public by showing pictures or documents to ‘prove’ things that no one would dare to utter. The really expert technique is to tell nothing but the truth, *but to omit part of it*. A perfect example of this occurred in a BBC TV extravaganza, “Matters of Medicine”, designed to boost the terrors of poliomyelitis. Is there any reason why the BBC should not be charged with fraud if they encourage the use of commercial vaccines by misrepresenting the facts of history?”

I agree that the public broadcaster BBC, and Australia's ABC, are just as bad as the commercial media. Each foists preferred “experts” on the public, and shuts out debate, in spite of having a charter that promises to listen to and give platform to all.



I was surprised to learn that the BBC is free to *not state its source* if it is government. Dole (55 years ago) said, regarding vax: Per the license granted by the Postmaster General: The BBC

“shall, whenever so requested by any Department of Her Majesty’s Government in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, at the Corporation’s own expense, send from all or any of the stations any announcement ... the Corporation when sending such an announcement or other matter may at its discretion announce or refrain from announcing that it is sent at the request of a named Department.”

Dole adds: “The Postmaster General would be perfectly justified in withdrawing BBC’s license for causing a public mischief — even, in fact, for committing multiple murder.”

Dr Bhakdi’s complaints in regard to Covid-19 (see Appendix E) are reminiscent of Dole’s comment: “Modern epidemiologists know that vaccinations cannot prevent the spread of any disease whatever, but they are seldom quoted in the Press.”

Further from Dole: “The influenza pandemic of 1918-19, which... caused 25 million deaths has never been fully and honestly reported. Of course, the epidemiologists tried to trace it to its source, and it seems that the virus took on its terribly virulent form in certain American army camps on the eastern side of the U.S.A. Why, though, has this matter never been properly investigated? Is it a medical secret?”

“Autoimmune disease” is the strange name has been given recently to a number of well-known degenerative diseases of unknown origin, such as rheumatoid arthritis, Hashimoto’s disease of the thyroid, and others We very strongly suspect that this new name, completely unintelligible to the layman, has been adopted to obscure the fact that all these troublesome

diseases really ought to be classed ‘autogenous vaccinations,’ but the word “vaccination” has to be kept out of it at all costs. “Honest people could hardly have foreseen that the Jenner-Pasteur business would become a wealthy international protection-selling racket, which, in spite of its universal failure, has the power to monopolise the Press and the Radio almost completely, to use large sums of public and “charity” money to advertise its abominable wares and inflicts cruelty upon countless millions of animals every year.”

### Can the Grass Court Help?

As Americans we support free enterprise and free speech – so naturally we don’t want the media to be told what to say. But the US Constitution was designed to curtail an excess of power by players *outside* government as well as inside it. Article I, sec 8’s “commerce clause” allows federal laws about commerce. Since 1890 we have had legislation to prevent monopolies:

### The Sherman Anti-Trust Act

This law was a response to the new development of the 1880s in which some businesses were becoming monopolized thanks to new inventions and quick consumer uptake. These included railroads, oil drilling, and sugar. Imagine someone owning all the railroads of the US -- he could control the price of a rail ticket to a ridiculous degree. The Sherman Act says:

“Every contract, combination in the form of trust or otherwise, or conspiracy, in restraint of trade or commerce among the several States, or with foreign nations, is declared to be illegal. Every person who shall make any contract or engage in any combination or conspiracy hereby declared to be illegal shall be deemed guilty of **a felony**, and, on conviction ... be punished by fine not exceeding \$100,000,000 if a corporation... \$1,000,000, or by **imprisonment** not exceeding 10 years, or by both....” [This law is still current, even though it gets ignored.]

**The FCC – Federal Communications Commission.** There are two other ways to control media’s lying: the FCC and the crime of fraud. The earliest control we had on media was the Radio Act 1927, followed by a Federal Communications Act of 1934 that established the FCC. The justification for governmental involvement in electronic media is that more than 500 radio stations were quickly set up in the 1920s causing chaos. The FCC is tasked with selling air space. But remember that it’s we the people who own the airspace, not the FCC.

A company such as CNN does not have a right to occupy the airwaves without our permission. There are rules as to how a broadcaster can “deserve” a license. In general, when you see a very powerful public *or* private entity, you can be sure that there’s a law to guard against that entity hurting society.

**Conspiracy To Defraud.** At 18 USC 371 we find: “If two or more persons conspire either to commit any offense [greater than a misdemeanor] against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.”

But also don’t forget the ordinary Blackstonian crime of *misprision* for one who fails to report felonies. That brings a small punishment. Moreover, covering up a crime can also make you an “accessory after the crime.” In that case, the penalty is proportionate to the crime being covered up. Media often arranges prompt coverage of a false flag event. See my lawsuit in Appendix J, naming *The Boston Globe* as a defendant in regard to the Marathon bombing false flag.

On next page please find a collection of quotes from doctors that appeared in McBean’s self-published 1955 book on polio:

**Eleanor McBean, *The Poisoned Needle* (1955, re polio)**  
from website whale.to Ms McBean collected these quotes:

Editorial in *The Lancet* April 23, 1955: **If injections are given regularly for several years to millions of children the risk of allergic reactions to monkey kidney tissue will become increasingly grave.**” (Emphasis McBean’s)

**Dr. J. K. Marlin**, from the Guy’s Hospital reported 80 cases where children developed polo soon after being vaccinated.

**Dr. F. H. Haines:** “It is impossible to say what remote after-effects may be caused by the introduction of alien substances into the blood stream. Products which alter metabolism, cause profound change in the fluids of the body, and anaphylaxis, are the negation of nature’s own methods.

**Dr. A. Bradford Hill and Dr. I. Knowelden:** “We conclude that in the 1949 epidemic of polio in this country, cases of paralysis were occurring which were associated with inoculation procedures carried out within the month preceding the record date of onset of the illness” (*British Medical Journal*, July 1, 1950)

**Ralf R. Scobey, MD** (*Archives of Pediatrics*, Sept. 1950) lists 170 diseases of *polio-like* symptoms and effects but with different names such as: *epidemic cholera, cholera morbus, spinal meningitis...*  
“Inasmuch as nerve cells react in much the same way to various poisons, further research will probably show that in these cases polio micro-organisms are not always present, but intoxication (poisoning) may be produced by faulty metabolism or by the absorption of external poisons.”

**Dr. John Toomey:** In *Journal of Pediatrics* (19:103, 1941), “No animal gets the disease from another no matter how intimately exposed.”

## Chapter 20: Vax, 14th Amendment, HIV, Gulf War Illness



*Catherine Austin Fitts   Alan Cantwell, MD   Viera Scheibner, PhD*

I claim that a Hep-B vaccine trial gave AIDS to gays. But this chapter is about more than AIDS. It's about the use of injections to cause disease, disability, or death. If used to injure a group it is genocide. Many people should be in prison for it.

I have a gay friend who thinks that The Powers That Be hit the New York gay population in the 1990s – with many deaths – out of hatred for the gay lifestyle. I disagree. The Powers That Be deliver a disease whenever they get the chance. They do not care who it is. In the New York case, I believe they knew they could try it out on gays, complete with a story that it was sex-related, and hetero citizens would not bother to react.

Recently, the United States set fire to the wheat fields in Syria. We also participated in the Saudi job of starving 10 million kids in Yemen. When the targeted group is far away, we lose our morals. (Or to be more accurate, we naturally possess dual-standard morality. See my 1990 book, *Morality among Nations*.)

Consider this odd fact. In 1803 the king of Spain sponsored the Balmis Expedition to inoculate every man, woman, and child in the Spanish colonies of South America and Philippines. Wouldn't that be an unlikely concern for Spain at a time when the Napole-

onic wars were starting? More likely the plan was to *harm* the natives. Indigenous folks get massacred all the time. When the smallpox shot was brought to the Hawaiian island of Molokai, there had not been any leprosy. Soon the natives got leprosy.

The smallpox shot had been invented – supposedly – by Edward Jenner, a country doctor, in 1796. I think it has been correctly indicated by Charles Creighton, the medical historian, that Jenner was fronting for someone. He was given membership in science’s prestigious Royal Society just in time for him to list that in his book *Vaccinia*, a book that never mentions the subject of vaccinia!

In brief, Jenner (1749-1823) “noticed” that milkmaid’s hands were not looking good and figured it may be from touching the udders of cows that allegedly had cowpox. He somehow equated cowpox with human smallpox and made “vaccinia” from the cows and used it – hence the word *vaccine* from *vacca*, cow. Today we talk about dishonest science in Covid-19.

### **Catherine Austin Fitts on “What Is a Vaccine?”**

Catherine Austin Fitts is a portfolio adviser. She noted that many of her clients had had devastating financial losses, some attributable to a corrupt health industry, and learned that as many as 54% of American children have a chronic disease.

“Of all the questions that I had, the one that I spent the most time researching and thinking about was *why*. Why was the medical establishment intentionally poisoning generations of children? Writings by Forrest Maredy, Jon Rappoport, Dr. Suzanne Humphries and Arthur Firstenberg have helped me understand the role of vaccines....

“Here is one example of how the trick may play out. A toxin creates a disease. The toxin might be pesticides or industrial pollution or wireless technology radiation. The toxin damages

millions of people and their communities. Companies or their insurance provider may be liable for civil or criminal violations. Then a virus is blamed. A ‘cure’ is found in a ‘vaccine.’ The pesticide or other toxic exposure is halted just as the vaccine is introduced, and presto, the sickness goes away. The vaccine is declared a success, and the inventor a hero. A potential financial catastrophe has been converted to a profit.”

Ms Austin Fitts points to The Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act (PREP Act) of 2005. She says it’s

“a controversial tort liability shield intended to protect vaccine manufacturers from financial risk in the event of a declared public health emergency. The act specifically affords to drug makers immunity from potential financial liability for clinical trials of . . . vaccine at the discretion of the Executive branch of government.... it evolved to the engineering of epidemics -- the medical version of false flags. These can be psyops.... If this sounds strange, dig into the writings of Targeted Individuals.”

Catherine wants us to pin down the definition of vaccine so other stuff won’t enjoy the freedom from liability. Among the ingredients in vaccines, she notes: aborted fetal tissue, animal tissue, aluminum, mercury, and genetically altered materials.

### **Fitts on Nanotech and Brain-Machine Interface**

“Whatever the ingredients of vaccines have been to date, nothing is more bizarre and unsettling than the proposals of what might be included [soon]. Strategies, already well-funded, include brain-machine interface nanotechnology, digital identity tracking devices, and technology with an expiration date that can be managed and turned off remotely. One report indicates that the Danish government and U.S. Navy had been paying a tech company in Denmark to make an injectible chip that would be compatible with one of the cryptocurrencies.”

## Viera Scheibner on Hepatitis-B Shots for Newborns

If you gave birth to a child in the 1990s, you may not even be aware that he or she received a Hep-b vaccine in the hospital. There is a form for a parent to fill out, giving consent, but many mothers have said they refused to give consent, but the nurse “misunderstood” and gave the shot anyway. And worse, it took place in the first hour of life when the baby’s physiology has not yet adjusted to being “outside.”

On the matter of sneaky vaccine policy, I find the three best books are *A Shot in the Dark* by Harrison Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher (about the DPT – diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus), Ty Bollinger, *The Truth about Vaccines*, and Viera Scheibner’s *Behavioral Problems in Childhood: The Link to Vaccination* – chock full of neuroscience. Scheibner says:

“One has only to peruse the policy statement of the American Academy of Pediatrics to see the cruelty, bordering on brutality, and lack of consideration for the most vulnerable of humans: infants. Starting in 1978, after two years of an intensive propaganda media campaign, individual states enacted laws [for] full vaccination for entry to school. They prescribed and enforced [vax] from 6 to 8 weeks of age, followed by boosters at 4, 6, and 18 months, and MMR at age one.”

Scheibner saves her best wrath for doctors who accuse worried autism Moms of having Munchausen-by-proxy syndrome – or accuse Dads of Shaken Baby, after vaccination, and the Dad gets jailed for a cot death (Sudden Infant Death Syndrome).

**Paul Offit.** Thanks to Age of Autism website, I learned that doctors, in the last two decades, have developed the shocking policy of “firing” from their practice any ant-vax parents. From that source I also discovered that Dr Paul Offit, head of the Academy of Pediatrics, said a baby could tolerate a hundred shots. Surely criminal charges can be brought against him.



**The Fourteenth Amendment.** In the book at hand, *Grass Court*, the emphasis is on quoting laws that will wake people up to the fact that governmental wrongdoers can be indicted for *specific* crimes. Acting unconstitutionally is a separate matter; it's not always a crime, although whenever an official acts *ultra vires* – beyond his powers, it could amount to fraud or worse.

In my opinion, every American is free to get all the vax shots she wants, but if she “buys” a presidential decree mandating a shot (or any health measure), she is giving the Constitution a kick in the teeth. Chapter 6 asked “Can they give me a jab if I say No?” My answer was No. The *Jacobson* case didn't say you must submit. It affirmed a state's prerogative regarding health.

I now add that even a state cannot break the Fourth Amendment: **the right of the people to be secure in their persons.** Massachusetts courts should “revisit” *Jacobson*. (And it's OK for judges to take “judicial notice” of public knowledge – such as about the chicanery of Big Pharma.) In the early days of the Republic it was not clear if state legislatures were bound by the Bill of Rights. In 1868 the issue was resolved as follows:

Amendment XIV, sec 1: No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.
---

Pamela Popper, a naturopath, has started a social action to get citizens to sign a simple statement “I do not consent to vax.” This is wonderful, as we do need solidarity. Remember, Trump has said he already ordered the vaccine. You may say “He means well.” What? Meaning well has nothing to do with it. The president is not your uncle. The law must be followed. It is your sword and shield. And no president has ever been or will ever be, a *ruler*. We don't have rulers. The law rules us. This is called Rule of Law and is a heavenly gift, believe me.

## **The New York Vaccine Trial of 1979, Gays Only.**

A thousand men, who were screened to see that they were in good health, took part in what was billed as a trial to see if a Hep-b vaccine would help gays avoid hepatitis. Wolf Smuzness (1919-1982), an epidemiologist from Poland, became the head of New York Blood Center and he ran the vaccine trial.

I presume the content of the vaccine is what caused the men to contract an illness, later called AIDS – acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Thus they died of whatever they were vulnerable to, such as pneumonia and cancer. The theory of a virus jumping from the African green monkey is nonsense and so is media's story of an airline steward spreading the disease.

The first doctor to suspect foul play was Robert Strecker, whose brother, an actuary, noticed the unorthodox pattern of spread of AIDS. Why would a new illness emerge in New York and the West Coast at the same time? Dermatologist Alan Cantwell listened to Strecker and did the research that resulted in his book *Queer Blood*. (It is online at [whale.to](http://whale.to).)

A clue is the fact that Dr Donald McArthur of Ft Detrick asked Congress, in 1969, to fund his development of a bioweapon that would attack a person's immune system. Congress granted it and RAND corporation was tasked with following each patient. Note: in her 2020 book, *Plague of Corruption*, Judy Mikovits says that Dr Antony Fauci has much to answer for, in regard to AIDS. We have an obligation to investigate that.

Perhaps the outbreak of AIDS in Africa and Brazil resulted from WHO's urgent campaign to rid the world of smallpox:

TIMES, London, May 11, 1987, by Pearce Wright. The Aids epidemic may have been triggered by the mass vaccination campaign [!!!!] which eradicated smallpox. The World Health Organization, which masterminded the 13-year campaign, is

studying new scientific evidence suggesting that immunization with the smallpox vaccine Vaccinia awakened the virus [HIV].

The coincidence between the anti-smallpox campaign and the rise of Aids was discussed privately last year by experts [?] at WHO....Doubts would have risen sooner if Africa had more willingly reported infection statistics. The greatest spread of HIV infection coincides with the most intense [WHO smallpox shots], with the number of people immunised being: Zaire 36 million; Zambia 19m; Tanzania 14m; Uganda 11m; Malawai 8m; Ruanda 3m; and Burundi 3m. Brazil, the only South American country covered in the eradication campaign, has the highest incidence of Aids in that region.

### **Gulf War Illness (GWI) – Meanness to Soldiers.**

Viera Scheibner, PhD, is one of many who are outraged at what is done to soldiers. She says (page 100) of the 1990-1991 Gulf War soldiers “The compounds given to personnel made the recipients very ill, some 6000 personnel died from them and they incapacitated tens of thousands more.” Thirty years later the soldiers have not got satisfactory apology or admission.

Viera is Australian. She notes: “A TV show on the Gulf War Syndrome interviewed a number of [Aussie] veterans who testified that they were forcibly vaccinated with up to 23 vaccines within 2 weeks and were held down by several men when they just ‘inquired’ what sort of vaccines are in those injections.”

The US government may try to pass off complaints by saying that under the UCMJ – Uniform Code of Military Justice – a soldier is obliged to stay fit. The UMCJ is written by Congress and that is a reasonable rule. But it is not reasonable to give men huge amounts of untested stuff. Don’t think “lawyeres.” Think of the maxim *Lex est dictamen rationis* – Law is the dictate of reason. And *Lex spectat naturae ordinem* – Law regards the course of Nature. And get in touch with Pamela Popper, ND.

Welcome to  
**Part Six**



**LAW ENFORCEMENT GETS  
VIOLENT**

My Love is of a birth as rare  
As 'tis for object strange and high  
It was begotten by Despair  
Upon Impossibility!

**Andrew Marvell**

## Chapter 21. Is Martial Law Constitutional? Yes



*Spirit of '76*

In the first five parts of this book I have hammered away at two opposite themes. One is that we have good law and can still use it. The other is that much top-down cruelty is happening -- a planned economic collapse, a lockdown to thwart social gathering, and mandatory vax any day now.

How did it come to this? I say it comes of the non-enforcement of law against protected persons. They are the very ones planning (for all of last century) to genocide us, to menticide us, and to concentrate all wealth and power in their pathetic little hands. Many of them hold office, so are in a position to weaponize the law against us. In sum, our government is semi-disguised thuggery.

Americans are now assuming they have to submit to house arrest (curfews classed as quarantine) and may worry that since the president has said he has put syringes in the hands of the military, we may soon get physically attacked. In this chapter I will state what the Constitution says about martial law, without commenting on how it is being used or misused today.

### **Martial Law Is in the Constitution**

The Framers made sure not to let any branch of government get too much power. They adhered to John Locke's 1690 Treatises on Government as to the separation of powers. They

hardly prepared a police-type role for the feds. However, in Article I, section 9, they wrote:

“The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in cases of Rebellion or Invasion the public Safety may require it.”

The point of our beloved habeas corpus is that you can't be thrown into jail for anything but suspected crime. So, you *can* be thrown into jail when that writ is revoked. It is this section in the Constitution that legitimates the use of martial law. Does the parchment contain the phrase “martial law”? No.

The word *martial* means military, so it is rule by commanders. They will tell you what to do and you are legally bound to obey. And they'll probably make the rules up as they go.

Military commanders won't get a warrant from a court and thus the Fourth Amendment, like habeas corpus, will be effectively suspended. They can barge into your home, guns drawn. In an executive order for martial law written by FDR (see below), the final words were: “I further authorize and direct the Secretary of War and the said military commanders **to take such other steps** as they may deem advisable to enforce compliance.” [Emphasis added]

### **An Executive Order for Martial Law?**

Now ask: on what grounds can a president declare martial law? The answer is he cannot declare martial law. It's up to Congress to do that. Says who? Says the fact that Article I, sec 9, appears in the part of the Constitution that lays out the powers of the First Branch, Congress.

In 1942, President Roosevelt wrote EO 9066, making it illegal for Japanese Americans to leave their homes in the three West

Coast states. **But he first went to Congress for approval – he got Congress to pass a law.** It also included provision to move 100,000 Japanese-Americans, many of them US citizens, into concentration camps. (And their property, including businesses was seized!)

Later, in 1984, Fred Korematsu, who has been convicted of a crime for disobeying martial law in 1942 (he went outside after curfew), went to court to get a retroactive acquittal. His attorney said that hidden papers showed that FDR and State Department knew the Japanese Americans were no threat, so his house arrest was unjustified.

He petitioned for a Writ of Error Coram Nobis. This is a writ that must be sent back to the original court for a new look. Korematsu then won, but only on the basis that the prosecutor had not supplied the exculpatory evidence that was on file, of the Japanese people's harmlessness.

Notice the words used by the local judge, Marilyn Patel, who gave Korematsu his wish. In concluding her judgement, she wrote: "Thus the Supreme Court's [1942] **decision stands for the law of this case and whatever precedential value it may have.**" That 1942 decision had generally OK'd the use of martial law. Korematsu's victory was personal to him not to us.

Note: Matthew S. Belser has written a 2007 article on martial law with respect to Hurricane Katrina that contains many useful points: "MARTIAL LAW AFTER THE STORM": 35 S.U. Law Rev. Belser gives a full rundown of constitutional finagling, including for thousands of folks at the Superdome.

The governor of Louisiana, Kathleen Blanco (1942-2019), did not permit federalization of the National Guard in New Orleans, despite pressure from President George W Bush. Hooray for Blanco. She must have read the Constitution.

## Chapter 22. Can Presidents Declare Emergencies?



*David Gonzales and his wife Dixie of 9<sup>th</sup> ward witnessed explosion of levies in Hurricane Katrina*

To repeat, a president does not have the power to suspend habeas corpus. The military cannot intervene in civilian life unless Congress says so and unless the criteria of Article I, sec 9 are met: “when in cases of Rebellion or Invasion the public Safety may require it.”

However, the First Branch has granted the Second Branch the power to declare a national emergency. **This does not extend to replacing court-protected law with military commanders’ rule.** It gives the president authority to deal with specific things. The first such law was the Flood Control Act 1934. The issue was an overflow of the Missouri River. The Army Corps of Engineers was allowed to deal with it.

In 1950 we got the Defense Production Act. President Trump recently invoked it to speed delivery of ventilators. Codified at 50 USC 4502, it seems to support both defense and industry:

(a) Congress finds that—  
(1) the security of the United States is dependent on the ability of the domestic industrial base to supply materials and services for the national defense and to prepare for and respond to



military conflicts, **natural or man-caused disasters**, or acts of terrorism within the United States;

(2) to ensure the vitality of the domestic industrial base, actions are needed ...

(C) to provide for the protection and restoration of domestic critical infrastructure operations under emergency conditions;

... (2) every effort should be made to foster cooperation between the defense and commercial sectors for research and development and for acquisition of materials, components, and equipment... [Etc] [Emphasis added]

In 1974 we got the Disaster Relief Act (Stafford Act), to send federal funds to a state that requested it. No Congressman would dare to vote “No” -- venality predictably triumphs.

In 1976, Congress passed the National Emergencies Act, which contained at least one blatantly unconstitutional item – it promises the legislature won’t question an executive decision for 6 months! (Note: we’ve also been under an Emergency since September 2001, which Congress renews yearly, but this book is avoiding the topic of 9-11, other than in Appendix F.)

The biggie of unconstitutional funny business was FEMA. So how did FEMA get legislated? It didn’t. President Jimmy Carter issued an EO. But there’s no point sending the paddy wagon to get Carter for this, as the anthrax-smitten Congress did pass the Homeland Security Act in 2002, which gobbled up FEMA, so “FEMA’s” constitutionality (hence, legitimacy) is moot. As for the constitutionality of DHS, I just peeked at its website and noticed this statement about the 2017 Muslim ban:

June 26, 2017. “The Supreme Court today has allowed the Department of Homeland Security to largely implement the President’s Executive Order and take rational and necessary steps to protect our nation from persons looking to enter and potentially do harm. The granting of a partial stay of the circuit

injunctions with regard to many aliens abroad restores to the Executive Branch crucial and long-held constitutional authority to defend our national borders.”

I can't agree that defense-of-borders is what DHS is doing. They were keeping Muslims out. Who is legitimately in charge? Article I, section 8 of the Constitution gives Congress sole responsibility for “naturalization” matters, i.e. immigration.

This chapter must concede that President Trump does have legal authority, per the 1976 National Emergencies statute, to call an emergency. He does have “authority,” under it, to do what he (or his bosses?) wants. What he orders, however, is *still subject to the Constitution*. Were he to order, say, cruel and unusual punishment – because of the virus emergency – that would not be constitutional, as the Eighth Amendment prevails. In short, the Bill of Rights is your sword and shield.

My wariness is owing to the possibility that those various Acts, going back not just to 1976 but earlier, were carefully laid to prepare for the Takeover. The entire exercise of the pandemic looks to me like a step toward total control. We never heard of the social-distancing routine until now. It is a clever affront to the First Amendment's right to assemble.

In 2011, I published *Prosecution for Treason*. It says, on page 34:

“When Congress proposes a bill with the word ‘emergency’ or ‘terrorist’ in the title, we should immediately decode it, calling it The Act To Reduce Citizen Power – followed by the year of the act, to distinguish it from many others. Thus, the Patriot Act will be called The Act To Reduce Citizen Power, 2001; the National Emergencies Act will be called The Act to Reduce Citizen Power, 1976, and so forth.”

I stick by that.

## Chapter 23: Dr Day Foretold an Acceptance of Violence



*Dr Richard L Day*



*Dee McLachlan*

Dr Richard Day worked for Planned Parenthood. In 1969 he gave an after-dinner speech to medical students and faculty. In it he foretold about 100 new things that would happen in society and which did then happen. Although he had told the audience not to take notes, Dr Lawrence Dunegan took notes and revealed them in 1988 on Randy Engels radio show.

I've chosen items that sound related to our Covid-19 "era":

Messages will be sent to the young via loud music and they will not even realize certain lyrics are coming into their brain. Libraries would not be so open-door. You may have to show a reason for wanting a particular book.

**Scientific reports would be falsified as needed.**

Religion would be changed, in the direction of a universal religion. This would call for the collapse of the Catholic Church ("and the clergy will help us").

Everyone would be busy with less time or opportunity to really look about and see what was going on.

**Violence would become more prevalent** and seeing dead people on the street would no longer cause panic.

The old-fashioned way that make strong families must go. For

example: **Fewer people would get married.** Girls would be expected to act like boys, such as by having sports teams.

The age of puberty would be driven down.

Men would travel to work in other cities – this would help break marriages up. The elderly would be given hints that they are no longer able to keep up and should take a Demise Pill.

**Home ownership would become a thing of the past and ultimately you would be assigned a place to live.**

Strangers may live with you, thus you would not be certain whom to trust. [That is one that has yet to be sprung on us!]

### **Control Over the Individual**

Many things will come about to ensure control from the top. For example:

The screen of your TV will monitor what you do at home.

**Everyone must carry an ID and soon this will be in the form of a chip under the skin.** Or a dentist may put it in a filling without your being aware.

**All sales will be done by credit.** If you don't obey, your credit card will be cancelled.

Anyone who tries to help you will be committing a crime.

No one will be permitted to grow their own food.

**We can control the weather** and cause a drought or make the land so muddy you can't harvest a crop.

**New diseases will appear** and be untreatable for a long time.

**National identity will decline,** you will be a world citizen.

Anti-trust laws will change. Only big business can survive.

There will only be a single banking system

**Inflation is infinite** – keep adding zeros.

**Uncooperative individuals will disappear.**

Doctors will give lethal injections; hospitals will act as jails.

Psychiatric services would be greatly expanded.

The government would enter the gambling business.

News about drug abuse would also tend to reduce the unwarranted American complacency that the world is a safe place, and a nice place. [This is Dunegan talking for Dr Day.]

Buildings and bridges would be made so that they would collapse, there'd be more accidents involving aeroplanes and railroads and automobiles. All of this to contribute to the **feeling of insecurity**, that nothing was safe.

Violence would be made more graphic. This was intended to desensitize people to violence. There might need to be a time when people would **witness real violence and be a part of it**. So there would be more realistic violence in entertainment, which would make it easier for people to adjust. Nothing is permanent. Streets would be re-routed and renamed. Areas you had not seen in a while would become unfamiliar.

After talking about the central control of banking, Dr Day casually mentioned the following: **“When the new system takes over, people will be expected to sign allegiance to it**, indicating that they don't have any reservations or holding back to the old system. “There just won't be any room,” “for people who won't go along.

Somewhere in this vein Dr Day said there would not be any martyrs. “People will just disappear.” The bringing in of the new system, he said, probably would occur on a weekend in the winter. Everything would shut down on Friday evening and Monday morning when everybody wakened **there would be an announcement that the New System was in place**.

We would bring in the ‘New International Political System.’ “If there were too many people in the right places who resisted this, there might be a need to use one or two or possibly more nuclear weapons.” “By the time two of those went off, even the most reluctant, would yield.”

Terrorism would be used widely in Europe and in other parts of the world. At that time 919690 it was thought terrorism would not be necessary in the United States. It could become necessary in the United States.

## Dee McLachlan's 2017 Article on Dr Day's Predictions

The editor of GumshoeNews.com, Dee McLachlan, picked out some of Dr Day's 1969 predictions 3 years ago:

Dr Day said that **travel** was a privilege. "Travel would be easier, less expensive, **for a while...** "...travel then would become very restricted. People would need permission to travel and they would need a good reason to travel... and everyone would need ID. This would at first be an ID card you would carry on your person and you must show when you are asked for it." This sounds extraordinary. But I was brought up in South Africa, where travel restrictions, like this, were imposed on the African populations. Truly appalling. Don't believe that it can't happen again. And if you don't comply, and fully submit to the city protocols, the fines imposed will cripple you financially.

In Melbourne at the moment [2017], GP, Dr John Piesse, is facing prosecution and deregistration for the "crime" of supporting parental vaccination choice — and writing medical exemption forms for families whose children are at risk of serious reactions. Chips or implants will initially be sold as a medical "saving" device — then used for banking and tax purposes. If we are being forced to give a newborn a Hep B shot, it is not a big step for the authorities to say, "as part of the Hep B shot, we are planting a chip — a few millimeters in size — to monitor your baby's health and keep her safe.

*DiscloseTV* wrote about the *National Medical Device Registry* and the "Class II Device That is Implantable": "This bill offers guidance for FDA staff. It is not just a tracking device, but this microchip would have the ability to control the food and money of the people on a grand scale. It has even been indicated that it would be possible to kill using this implant if people didn't obey orders." And University of Technology Sydney is exploring the future of "wearable technology."

## Let's Call a Spade a Spade

When you have a spade in hand, you should not refer to it as a hoe or a shovel as that may lead to misusing it. Call it a spade. In this chapter and the previous one, I referred to the clear laws pertinent to martial law and emergency law. But they are not what they appear to be. During Hurricane Katrina and during the manhunt for the Boston bomber, military-looking men drew guns on the population; they have never been identified or their bosses held accountable. They must be mercenaries.


Plus, we have in the White House, and on Capitol Hill, and in many governors' offices, persons who have no intention of following the law. They want to follow the visions of the boss. We the people don't even know who that boss is! I think the Marathon bombing and the geo-engineered Hurricane Katrina were both carried out *in order to start a new system of martial law*.

There are many fine-honed minds in the legal community but if you ask them to hold forth on martial law, they will diligently interpret Supreme Court rulings; they never come out and say "But we live in a time when Rule of Law is not happening."

Let's call a spade a spade. Forces acting lawlessly, using the powers of government, are performing many violent deeds. The fact that we have constitutional restraints on federal power is *of no help* if we constantly let officials do as they please. The maxim: *Impunitas semper ad deteriora invitata* means "Letting them get away with it always invites worse. Isn't that always true?"

Anyway, the Framers in 1787 were not able to foresee a "pain truck" or its airborne equivalent. They didn't even have trucks or cars. I suppose Article 9's caution about suspending habeas corpus just can't do the job today. My proposed solution, the theme of this book, is: put a stop to the lawlessness of officials. If you want Rule of Law, a precious thing, you must work at it.

## Chapter 24: Militias, The Dick Act, National Guard



*Charles Dick, US Representative from Ohio, and the bill he sponsored in 1902 to create a National Guard*

On June 8, 2020, US Secretary of Defense Mark Esper criticized the decision made by President Trump to “call out the troops” against protestors. Although I suspect the persons causing the mayhem were not genuinely protesting anything, Trump’s call was and is constitutional – he can do it.

**Article II Powers To Use Force Domestically.** The powers of the Second Branch (as I call the Executive) appear in Article II of the Constitution. Article II, Section 3 says the president: “shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed.” Sec 2 says: “The President shall be Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy of the United States, and of the Militia of the several States, when called into the actual Service of the United States.” (The phrase “of the Militia of the several states” means, in today’s set-up, the National Guard.)

However the phrase “when called into actual Service of the United States” refers back to Article I, section 8, Clause 15. **“The Congress shall have Power ... To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions.”**



This chapter is about the parchment’s messages about *militias*. (Please put aside the earlier talk of *martial law* and *emergency law*.)

## How about the States’ Article – Article IV?

In the Constitution’s fourth article, about states, we find only one reference to a warlike situation. – Article IV, Section 4:

“The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion; and on Application of the [state’s] Legislature, or of the [state] Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened) against domestic Violence.”

That is known in the trade as “the Guarantee clause.” I find it utterly fascinating, and beautiful. It must have taken some imagining of the future for the Framers to come up with it. Only one other part of the Constitution fits into our search for items that could help us evaluate Trump’s recent call – the Bill of Rights. The two relevant amendments are:

**II.** “A well-regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the People to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed.” (The term “well-regulated” in those days meant “working properly,” rather than “tightly bossed.”)

**IV.** “The Right of the People to be **secure in their Persons** Houses, Papers and Effects **against unreasonable Searches and Seizures** shall not be violated.”

**State Militia.** A militia in 1787 was whatever the state constitution of each state said it was. Roughly, it was a Posse Comitatus -- typically, a band of citizens age 16 to 60 who would obey the governor if something urgent came up.

In regard to the 2013 Marathon bombing, which was a false-flag operation, I advised Governor Charlie Baker to use his powers to defeat an invasion by the FBI. I quoted Article VII from Chapter II of the original Massachusetts Constitution of 1780. Per an amendment, its current wording is:

“Article VII. The general court [that’s the name of Massachusetts legislature] shall provide by law for the recruitment, equipment, organization, training and discipline of the military and naval forces. **The governor** shall be the commander-in-chief thereof, and shall have **power to assemble the whole or any part** of them for training ... **and to employ them for the suppression of rebellion, the repelling of invasion, and the enforcement of the laws....”**

**But Can You Have a Private Militia?** The Second Amendment’s “right of the People to keep and bear Arms” is construed by most people to mean “you can’t take my gun away,” it also means that citizens are responsible for the defense of their free state.

Can this militia be something other than the National Guard? In my opinion, the Second Amendment permits private militias, in the sense of community militias. Citizens can even use their Second Amendment militia power against the elected authority of a state or federal government if “necessary for the security of a free state.” It’s the obvious meaning of the words.

(Federal law at 10 USC 771, however, forbids private militias to parade around with guns or to wear military-type uniforms.)

**“National” Guard.** Today in the United States there are approximately a million soldiers, of which half are regular army, another 200,000 are Reserve forces (people who hold another job but can be called up if needed), and almost 300,000 “National Guard.” Being in the Guard is a full-time job, and

most of one's time is spent in the home state. Back in 1902 there were not yet any Reserves, as such, or National Guard, as such. The first army had been brought into being before we had the 1787 US Constitution. After breaking from Britain, in 1776, via the Declaration of Independence and revolutionary war, Americans had "Articles of Confederation."

Note: From 1788 to 1902 the US had made war on Britain, in the War of 1812, on Mexico in the Mexican War of 1849, on local Indian tribes, and on Spain in the Spanish-American war of 1898. The troops consisted of a standing army plus the states sending some of their militia-men to help out.

**The Dick Act.** In 1902, Rep Charles Dick of Ohio introduced a bill for a Militia Act "to supersede the archaic Militia Laws of 1792." A booklet was circulated, with words by the members of an "Interstate National Guard Association" making favorable comments on the new plan. Most likely they did not see the consequences of their willingness to have us go from an entity that was "Interstate" and was only an "association," to a centralized entity, the National Guard.

It was not Charles Dick but Elihu Root who was behind the "reforms" perhaps with an eye to World War I (1914-1918).

**Is President Trump's Call-up Legal?** So the Dick Act of 1903 created a "national guard." As already stated, the Constitution allows a president to call out the National Guard to meet a crisis. To do so he would have to get Congress' permission, as we have seen. But Congress cannot permit it for just any reason: Article I, Section 8, Clause 15:

"Congress shall have Power To provide for **calling forth** the Militia to **execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions.**" [Emphasis added]

**Insurrection.** In 1807 Congress passed the Insurrection Act. Today the crime of insurrection is found at 18 USC 2338:

Whoever incites, ... or engages in any rebellion or insurrection against the authority of the US shall be fined...or imprisoned.

Hmm. But we have a right to assemble. The president must give a warning. This is codified at 10 USC 254:

“Whenever the President considers it necessary to use the militia or the armed forces under this chapter, he shall, by proclamation, immediately order the insurgents to disperse and retire peaceably to their abodes within a limited time.”

Two famous uses of federal troops to assist with civil rights were Eisenhower’s action in 1954 to enforce school desegregation in Kansas, and in 1965 by LBJ for the Selma marches, at which the use of dogs by state police stunned Americans.

### **The National Guard Bureau Is Surely Unconstitutional**

The Pentagon has an office called “National Guard Bureau.” What? The Constitution does not provide for any federalizing of the state’s militias. This NGB came into existence in relation to NATO’s Partnership for Peace Program. It pairs foreign counties with individual US states. Examples: Bulgaria is partnered with Tennessee, Michigan with Latvia. For 35 years foreign troops have been on our soil and people don’t know it!

10 USC 341: (a) The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, is authorized to establish a program of activities ... to support the security cooperation objectives of the United States, between members of the National Guard of a State or territory and ...: (A) The military forces of a foreign country.... (B) [foreign] security forces...

No wonder nobody knows what is really going on.

Welcome to

# Part Seven



## CRIMES OF BIOWEAPONS AND MENTICIDE

If the machine of government is of such a nature that it requires you to be the agent of injustice to another, then, I say, break the law.

**Henry David Thoreau**

## Chapter 25. Federal Crime of Possessing a Bioweapon



*Dr Walt Orenstein of CDC gets honorary Doctor of Science degree from Wake Forest University*

The law that criminalizes possession or use of bioweapons was last updated in 1990. You can find it at 18 USC 175:

“(a) Whoever knowingly develops, produces, stockpiles, transfers, acquires, retains, or possesses any biological agent, toxin, or delivery system for use as a weapon, or knowingly assists a foreign state or any organization to do so, or attempts, threatens, or conspires to do the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for life or any term of years, or both.

“(b) Whoever knowingly possesses any biological agent, toxin, [etc] that,... is not reasonably justified by ... peaceful purpose, shall be fined ... imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both. ...

Camp Detrick, Maryland, was officially set up in 1943 as the US's research location for *bioweapons*. That term can include any method of hitting an enemy by making him get sick or by harming the food supply. I will omit the chemical weapons that aim at biological processes, such as or defoliating trees, as we did with Agent Orange during the Vietnam War.

In 1969 President Nixon had declared that we will no longer make offensive bioweapons, yet the US military has admitted having weaponized anthrax, botulism, brucellosis, and Venezuelan equine encephalitis. In 2012 I downloaded from the Internet an article entitled **“Biological Warfare: Its**

**Cutaneous Manifestations”** written by military men at af.mil but stated that it was not official: Thomas W. McGovern, MD, MAJ, MC, and George Christopher, LTC, USAF. They wrote:

“Panic would result as medical capabilities are quickly overwhelmed .... BW attacks would most likely occur late at night or early in the morning .... At these times, atmospheric temperature inversions would allow an agent cloud to travel at low altitude to cover its target. More unusual methods of dispersion could include releasing agents in their natural arthropod vectors. Person-to-person transmission of several agents ... could perpetuate an epidemic....” [Tularemia] starts with sudden onset of fever, chills....”

The disease known as Covid-19 was possibly brought into existence in a laboratory rather than being a spontaneous creature of nature. 2008 Nobel Prize winner Luc Montagnier says that this coronavirus contains bits that require a certain technique to produce. Any such theory should have been aired widely, and debunked if appropriate.

Instead, on March 26, 2020, Dr Francis Collins at the National Institutes of Health, reacted to Montagnier’s idea informally. Collins wrote on his official blog ([directorsblog.nih.gov](http://directorsblog.nih.gov)): “Some folks are making outrageous claims that the new coronavirus causing the pandemic was engineered in a lab and deliberately released...”

A Nobel Prize winner is not “some folks.” And it’s wrong to call a claim of bioweaponry “outrageous.” What if an enemy had produced Covid-19 to harm us, what a discovery that would be! If engineering of the virus was done for domestic mischief that would easily rise to a charge of treason.

Look at John Ott’s accidental discovery about viruses. It still goes unstudied – like Crofton’s in the previous chapter:

**The Tomato Virus, in John Ott, *Light and Health* (1973):**

“The tomato virus usually appears following long periods of cloudy weather and low sunlight intensity [hint, hint] I brought some virus-ridden tomatoes from the glass greenhouse into my plastic greenhouse. With just a few days of sunlight in my greenhouse, and a light foliar feeding of the leaves, the tomato plants quickly came to life, started new healthy growth and began producing normal tomatoes. “[Why has] no consideration has been given to the possibility of a virus originating within the living cells of the plant itself .... [rather than having to be] introduced from... outside.

“The metabolism, or life itself, that goes on within a living cell is the utilization of the nutritional factors present by the energy of light....It seems quite possible that a chemical substance of a poisonous nature could result as a by-product from an incomplete or unbalanced metabolism within the cells of a leaf.

“.... If so, then this chemical by-product would fit all the various descriptions of a virus. If injected into the cells of other leaves, it might throw the metabolism of these cells off balance so that they would in turn produce more of the same chemical substance of a poisonous nature. ... It could fit all the various descriptions of a virus and still originate within the affected plant itself. ....

“By now, a new theory was boiling within me and I determined to attack the virus problem through photography --to take microscopic time-lapse pictures of the streaming of the protoplasm within the cell of a leaf as stimulated by direct unfiltered sunlight, [and] various types of artificial light. It would show precisely the effect of different sources of light and variations of temperature on the photochemistry. It would then be possible to study the effect [of light] on the germination of spores, mitosis, and other growth processes.”



## **What about Grass Court?**

This book postulates an entity where decent legal work can be done. Of course there's no reason why regular government prosecutors and impartial judges can't do it. Indeed, they did it in the past. But they don't do it now, so we must act. There is no reason why a medical journal can't invite responses to Montagnier's claim. They worked that way in the past, in fact it was science's bread-and-butter, and was often thrilling.

The radical changes in practice of medicine, journalism, law, and academia need to be addressed head-on, but there is not room in this book. So let's just concentrate on the fact that there is a federal US law against bioweapons. When a law exists it should be enforced. (Or repealed for some reason.) Note: the FBI determined that anthrax powder menacingly mailed to Senators Daschle and Leahy in September 2001 was made by a "rogue agent" at Ft Detrick. The rogue could be charged with possession of a bioweapon, and maybe with obstructing government -- Congress closed down that week due to danger, which meant no debate about the Patriot Act would take place!

## **Autism, Orenstein, and the Simpsonwood Conference**

Recall Dr Day saying in 1969 "There will be new diseases that will be untreatable for a long time." Undoubtedly autism is one such disease. A few cases of it were reported in 1943 at John Hopkins Hospital. Suddenly, in the late 1980s, the rate went up and soon one boy in 66 was diagnosed with it. My late husband, a pediatrician, was puzzled, as he knew that a disease cannot emerge ubiquitously. The public still does not know that doctors who found a cure were harassed (such as Rashid Buttar) or killed (such as Jeff Bradstreet). An extreme scandal.

There is immense evidence pointing to the MMR shot as the culprit. You have only to read how the excellent Dr Andrew

Wakefield was treated by the UK licensing board, the *Lancet*, and the media, to know that something sinister was afoot. Here is a part of my 2013 Open Letter to the UK's Privy Council:

“To the Lords of the Judicial Committee of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth’s Most Honourable Privy Council,

“I respectfully ask for your attention to an urgent, very urgent, matter. The matter arose last month when Mrs Justice Theis of the Family Division of the High Court ruled, surprisingly, that two sisters, age 11 and 15, must be given the MMR vaccination (measles, mumps, and rubella) against their mother’s wishes. The urgency to which I refer is not based on any danger to those two girls. They are past the age at which MMR has allegedly caused developmental problems. I refer, rather, to the way we seem to be bounding down the slippery slope to a point where law and reasoning simply disappear....

“Salon.com’s critical report of the Simpsonwood conference, held in the US by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 2000 [quotes someone at Simpsonwood]: “It’s what Walt wants.” The word “it” there refers to a certain interpretation of the results of research into thimerosal. “Walt” refers to CDC official Walter A Orenstein, MD. In other words, it was said that researchers were asked to find that thimerosal does not cause autism. One would be curious about anyone wanting a finding that such-and-such a substance doesn’t cause autism. What if the substance did cause autism — should we deduce that someone wants to withhold this knowledge in order that more children would receive the substance and come down with autism?

“The new ruling about the 11 and 15-year-old sisters is sad. (Was the case set up to engender feelings of powerlessness? It’s pretty odd that a father would go to court to demand that his daughters be vaccinated.) The courts are being used. These outrages must stop. Law is precious and law has got to have at least something to do with truth and reality.”

## Chapter 26. Lyme a Bioweapon: Barnett, Mikovits, Newby



*Fiona Barnett*



*Judy Mikovits*



*a tick*



*Kirsten Newby*

At her website [pedophilesdownunder.com](http://pedophilesdownunder.com), Fiona Barnett posted an article, February 24, 2020, on Lyme disease. She said:

“My own brother contracted Lyme Disease while working as a surveyor in the Northern NSW rainforest. Witnessing his ordeal, I discovered Australia to be the only country in the world not to recognise the existence of Lyme Disease. Owing to our Government’s blatant denial, and ruthless persecution of dissident doctors, my brother and an estimated 60,000 fellow Aussie Lyme Disease sufferers have been denied medical treatment, workers’ compensation, social security, and more.”

Dr John Curnow graduated from Sydney University in Veterinary Science in 1960. [His] wife Dr Barbara Curnow was also a veterinarian who graduated in 1963. The Curnows established the Government laboratory for tick-borne diseases in cattle. Following the couple’s retirement, Barbara contracted Lyme Disease in 2004 and died in 2012, according to Dr Curnow: “Following eight years of hell trying to get her treated.”

Ticks are arachnids that survive on the blood of mammals. They can be spread for nefarious purposes by us. I phoned Dr John Curnow. Here is the first half of our telephone interview:

**Mary:** Good morning, John, please tell me how you came to be involved in the study of Lyme disease.

**John:** My job, as a veterinarian, was for the New South Wales Agricultural Department, testing the health of pigs to certify if they could go to market.

**Mary:** Does Lyme disease affect pigs?

**John:** No, it affects cattle. My wife and I then also studied how ticks were affecting cattle. She was a veterinarian, too.

**Mary:** Fiona Barnett told us that your wife died after 8 years of suffering from Lyme disease.

**John:** Yes, she got it from a tick. She died at age 70; I am an old man of 81. I want it to be known that there are many Australians like my wife, thousands of them, who have the disease but cannot get treatment in this country.

**Mary:** Why is that?

**John:** Let me explain the ELISA test. It is the only test used and it does not work. Patients who submit to the test will not come up positive, even if we can tell from the symptoms that they have the disease.

**Mary:** Are there better tests overseas?

**John:** Yes, but doctors in Australia are not allowed to use them. In fact it is worse than that. Most doctors will not give a referral for the test. I complained about this to the HCC and was told that no doctor has to make a referral if he does not wish to.

**Mary:** My research into autism showed me that Lyme disease has much in common with other “mysterious” illness such as chronic fatigue syndrome, known as CFS which is now called ME. I learned about ME from Judy Mikovits. She wrote a book called *Plague*, co-authored by Kent Heckenlively, a lawyer. She is a

whistle blower who found herself in jail circa 2015 for “talking out of school.” (The charge was actually: theft of notebook

**John:** I myself feel under threat of arrest by Australian Federal Police, just for broadcasting this information. In part it’s because I whistleblaw the likelihood that Milson Is. is a bioweapons place.

**Mary:** Where is that island and why do you think the AFP cares?

**John:** I live in Gossford, near Sydney. Milson is nearby, an island in the Hawkesbury River. Back around 1962, when I was allowed to visit any cattle station between Lismore and Ballarat, I was not allowed to go to Milson. That made me suspicious.

**Mary:** I live in New Hampshire which has Lyme disease. Ticks may have come from Plum Island, a nearby bioweapons lab.

**John:** It is possible. My belief is that migratory birds are the vectors. We can see in Australia that the path of the ticks is along the same route as the migration of some bird species. My guess that the ticks at Milson traveled to the mainland by birds. Let me repeat that my complaint is about humans getting the disease and not being able to get help from any doctor. They will lose their license to practice.

**NEWBY.** John Curnow then suggested I read the book, *Bitten*, by Kris Newby, a recovered Lyme patient. Newby tracks down Willy Burgdorfer (1925-2014) whom she suspects of having done naughties at Fort Detrick. Her complaint is that the medical profession keeps saying to Lyme patients “You’re mental.” Thousands of people have tragically suffered this insult. Newby’s doctor who once refused to help her later apologized. She says: “Infectious disease departments at most major medical centers, including Stanford, were simply following the iron-fisted Infectious Disease Society of America guidelines that state that Lyme isn’t an infectious disease.”

## Chapter 27. This Mind Control Business Must Stop



(L) Mary Maxwell (R) Trish Fotheringham, in 2012 YouTube video

For the last 15 years I have spent most of my time looking into mind control. It is massively with us. Not wanting to harm the credibility of this book, and knowing how hard it is for citizens to countenance what really goes on in that area, I will limit the discussion, but add more in Appendices C and D.

Starting in the 1920s, or perhaps sooner, a few men in the UK had a big plan for manipulating minds. I want to label these men *envisioners* – they had a big vision of how they could change humanity. So, you may presume that they had an exciting goal, something on which they could give you a sales pitch. No, they never tried to justify their plan. They did not have to “sell it” to the public, as it was all to be carried out secretly.

My opinion as to why they worked hard at attaining it (and, they have attained it!), is that they were entranced by the ease of tricking us and the experiments just got bolder and bolder.

The leaders, who were at the Tavistock in London, must have been psychopaths – they had no morals. Those who study under them took on the project uncritically. Here is a snippet about Tavistock, from a 1997 edition of *The Executive Review*:

“[There are] moves, both in Australia and in the U.S. towards fascist forms of police state control ... [there are] “emergency measures” planned ... this tendency is manifest in the “shoot-to-kill” legislation. Government is now empowered to deploy the army to kill Australian civilians.

... Dozens of incidents of mass homicide have taken place such as at Columbine High School. A key aspect of these mass murders is the “programming” of young people to be cold-blooded killers through the use of “point-and-shoot” video games, which were originally developed to overcome soldiers’ natural reluctance...

“In 1944, Bank of England chief Montagu Norman suddenly quit his post in order to start a Tavistock spin-off called the National Association for Mental Health. [His protegee] called him the “head of a *secret international freemasonry of central bankers.*”

...“In every country, groups of psychiatrists linked ... [must begin] to move into the political and governmental field.” The “mission” Rees outlined, was ... for people of every social group to have treatment when they need it, *even when they do not wish it*, without the necessity to invoke the law.”

“Further experiments by the SAS/SIS during the 1950s, including in Malaya and Kenya, showed that stress, with resultant “reprogramming” capabilities, could be applied to **entire societies**. Aldous Huxley said, in 1961 lectures entitled “Control of the Mind,” there will be a “**method of making people love their servitude** ... so that people will have their liberties taken away [and no] real desire to rebel.”

Tavistockian brainwashing works only if they can create a “controlled environment,” in which the victim **sees only the alternatives** presented by his tormentors. In this new age of mass communication, they said, a series of short, universal shocks would destabilise a targeted population, plunging it into a form of “shell shock,” a mass neurosis. A **more and more infantile pattern of thinking would develop....** Finally, “disassociation” would set in.

## Targeting Your Free Will and Personality

Allegedly, Tavistock's investigations began on soldiers of WWI who were shell-shocked. Dr John Rawlings Rees was in charge. Dr Eric Dax was his understudy, as was William Sargant, author of *Battle for the Mind* (1957). But they went on to other things that can be interpreted as ways to tear us apart, such as separating mothers from their children. (Yes, they did that!)

The MK-Ultra program of the CIA appears to have been the offspring of the Nazi scientists who were secretly brought to live in the US after WWII. That has been declassified for many years. We also know of MK-Ultra from lawsuits. (See my YouTube video "Whom Can You Sue for MK-Ultra?") It involved torture, mainly of children. There were 80 subcontractors including hospitals, universities, and military bases. Soldiers and prisoners were used in the LSD experiments. Two famous prisoners whose participation in MK-Ultra is well documented are Whitey Bulger and Ted Kaczynski.

Fiona Barnett tells the story in Australia in her online book *Eyes Wide Open*. She identifies one of her torturers as Anthony Kidman, father of the movie star Nicole Kidman, and names an Australian prime minister as a necrophiliac child-murderer.

Cults figure heavily. Possibly some of the big cults of the 1900s were established for purposes of mind control. I see most members of government today, at all levels, as being "not really there." They are dissociated from reality. Nearly every member of Congress is like that. My best guess is that most politicians get recruited in the first place from people who are programmable. I imagine a few at the top making all the decisions – persons whom we might not recognize. However, the excellent social critic Jon Rappoport sees another possibility, that it's not a pyramid but a thick fabric. Jon writes:



*“It’s Not 12 Men in a Room. The World Is Run by Cartels”*

“Major institutions on this planet that control Military, Money, Energy, Gov’t Medical, Corporate, Media, and Education are becoming, more and more, global cartels, horizontally integrated across national borders. This is more than a top-down command process. It’s organically evolving. Entities realize they may well have more to gain [by co-operating]. During one conversation with retired master propagandist Ellis Medavoy, I asked him about the extent of mutual cooperation in his field, psychological warfare. He responded:

“Twenty years ago, I would have said we were all operating separately and jealously. Each of us was mining his own contacts and building his false pictures of reality for the masses. But then things began to change. Globally. We would run into each other more often. We began to share information. I mean, it was cautious. We weren’t gushing with unbridled love, I assure you. The competitive factor was still strong. And we had fights. But we began to understand the effectiveness of cooperating.

“We’d both be briefed by a team of intelligence experts, and those experts would be of several nationalities. Slowly, I saw a new kind of umbrella structure emerging.

“See, suppose during the secret lead-up to a planned economic crisis [money cartel], you can distract everybody with a phony epidemic [medical cartel]. Do you see? Leaders perceive a reason to cooperate. Planners become more intelligent and clever. They reach across lines they never would have reached across before...You begin to see the outlines of a much more inclusive future structure....”

Note: whether it’s 12 men in a room, or a thick fabric, it has to stop. This s no laughing matter. It is horrendous.

## Chapter 28. A Newly Minted Crime: Menticide



*5G tower*

It seems that there are many players in the mind control area. There's the entire mass media and related public relations. There's the entire public education system. In UK there's the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations with branches no doubt everywhere. There is a large part of the mental health field devoted to mind control, including hospitals and Big Pharma's psychiatric drugs industry.

We can, and must, find ways to stop mind control. One way would be to try to alert and encourage members of the professions – nurses, lawyers, teachers, pharmacists – to not put up with it. Bev Eakman (“Education’s whistleblower”) has shown how The Powers That Be are always on the lookout for parents who complain to their child’s school about odd practices. Those parents are to be crushed. But if there were enough of them acting together, they would be harder to crush.

Let's see what the law can offer. I propose that we call the offense *menticide*. That term was invented in 1951 by Joost Merloo, a Dutch psychiatrist. We can insist on our legislators passing a relevant criminal law. How could anyone lose by having a law against the manipulating of the brain? As Professor James Giordano proclaims, this is what the geniuses of Artificial Intelligence and “the Internet of Things” have in mind for us in the near future. They wish to change humanity.

## **Existing Law: Nuremberg Code of Informed Consent**

There is at least one “law” on the books to protect you. At the Code of Federal Regulations, we find 21 CFR 50.20:

“Except as provided in 50.23 and 50.24, no investigator may involve a human being as a subject in research covered by these regulations unless the investigator has obtained the legally effective informed consent of the subject or the subject's legally authorized representative. An investigator shall seek such consent only under circumstances that provide ... sufficient opportunity to consider whether or not to participate and that minimize the possibility of coercion or undue influence....

No informed consent, whether oral or written, may include any exculpatory language through which the subject or the representative is made to waive or appear to waive any of the subject's legal rights, or releases the investigator, the sponsor, the institution, or its agents from liability for negligence.”

Citizens currently battling the threat of mandatory vax have tried to say that no “*informed* consent” is possible since Big Pharma has not conducted tests on the safety of vaccines and thus no one can be informed as to the risks. Robert F Kennedy, Jr is a leader in this, demanding accountability from Pharma.

## **Ordinary Crime -- and Tort -- of Assault and Battery**

Granted the lack of a crime named “Menticide” does not deprive victims of suing or getting someone prosecuted. If mind control has harmed someone, that person can claim assault and battery or other types of damage. Among those who were paid damages are Velma Orlikow and Alison Steel in Canada, and the family of LSD victim Frank Olson in US.

An important issue is “diminished responsibility” for accused criminals. See the legal test that was proposed by Verdier:

**“CRIB”: A Legal Test for Brainwashing, in Paul Verdier, *Brainwashing and the Cults*, 1977 (paraphrased):** *Courts need to know if a defendant (Patty Hearst) was brainwashed:*

P 93: We should not hold a brainwashed person completely responsible for his actions. He should be regarded as a sick person, a puppet. And should not a brainwashed person be therapeutically de-programmed? I [Verdier] propose a test to determine if he has been brainwashed, and how much. Let's call it Conditioned Response Index for Brainwashing: CRIB.

1. A radical change in beliefs, attitudes, or behavior. This will receive the highest numerical weighting on the test.
2. A threat of death – it brings about instant compliance if believable. (Charles Manson's prisoners were guarded 24/7.)
3. Isolation from family, friends, all. To be locked away from human contact is a special kind of sensory deprivation that makes a person very compliant. Patty Hearst was in a closet.
4. Loss of sleep makes the person suggestible to repetitious messages. The subject seems to have no will of his own.
5. “Ego destruction.” His sense of worth and his self-esteem have to be destroyed in order to assure unquestioning obedience to every directive, no matter how degrading, that is set before him. Manson made girls perform sex openly.
6. Repeating message over and over. He'll believe it even if false. In cults, youth are subject to endless lectures.
7. Torture or pain is disinhibiting. Religious conversion was once achieved by torture on the rack. After one punishment, only a reminder is needed to keep the person compliant.

Welcome to

# Part Eight



SO, TAKE ACTION

Treason doth not prosper, what's the reason?  
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason.

**John Harington**

## Chapter 29: Truth Commissions, Amnesties, Pardons



*Chaucer's The Pardoner's Tale*

The penultimate chapter of this book will be about aggressive means to turn our situation around, and the final chapter will be about imposing the law. For now, however, let's inventory the three soft solutions – TRCs, amnesties, and pardons. These three have in common that they are strategic. They may be the more clever way to turn hostility into cooperation.

### **TRCs – Truth and Reconciliation Commissions**

In other books I have recommended that citizens form a Truth Commission (with or without a Reconciliation aspect) to pursue matters that the government refuses to deal with. Indeed the Grass Court proposed in this book has some of resemblance to a Truth Commission. Features of a TC are:

- \*The guilty party has somewhere to go to confess.
- \*He is motivated to do so by the prospect of the TC perhaps acquiring official status, eventually.
- \*The gesture of coming forward spares him from a police arrest that could involve his being brutalized.
- \*The TC investigates -- to verify his story and his sincerity.
- \*The TC may be able to reward him for tattling on others.

The most famous example is the South African Truth and Reconciliation. In 1990 the people of South Africa voted to end apartheid. Until that point there had been laws that treated the black population differently from the white. It allowed unbelievable legal cruelty to be done to any black person.

Now that all were equal, in 1990, how could the past brutality be addressed? The TRC invited, say, policemen, to come forward admit atrocities, and show remorse. You could say this gave some “closure” to the previous way of life. Blacks could be assured by more than just pious words – the forgiven police had to make a commitment to “reconcile.” Today anyone can form a truth commission. The whole thing is based on voluntary participation and goodwill. Believe me, you could go a step further by play-acting some confessions -- of John Doe and Jane Doe – just to start the ball rolling.

**Amnesties.** Thousands of people have committed the crimes alluded to in this book, with regard to the dreaded Takeover. A president or a governor has the inherent power to lift their culpability by declaring an amnesty. Small amnesties come about to clean up a matter in a way that is best for both the miscreant and the aggrieved. Your local library, aggrieved by loss of books, may declare an amnesty on fines. “Get the books onto our desk by next month and we will waive the fines.”

For an amnesty to be given, no case need ever have been brought (which would require a *pardon*). For example, illegal aliens may be hiding in the population. The government may regularize their status by granting a one-time amnesty.

On December 8, 1863, when the Union was clearly winning the Civil War, President Lincoln declared a plan for Amnesty for the Confederates and Reconstruction of the South’s state governments. The forgiveness was available to those who took an oath of allegiance to the US. Amnesty benefitted the US by forestalling a court challenge on the right of secession!

**Pardons.** Days after his inauguration in 1977, President Carter wrote an EO pardoning more than 10,000 draft dodgers. Possibly an incentive for the government was to head off a challenge on the matter of evading service in an unjustifiable war. The pardon power is expressly provided in the parchment:

Article II, section 2: “He shall have Power to Grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offences against the United States.”

Governors “inherently” have that power regarding state crime.

**Immunity.** Prosecutors can act for the nation’s sake by promising immunity, or light sentence, to persons that will testify against other, more high-value criminals. But this has plenty of potential for misuse, as in Jeffrey Epstein’s case. The DoJ helps the “protected’ under the guise of doing such deals.

### **Kevin Annett’s Tribunal for Crimes of Church and State.**

In Canada, Kevin Annett, a defrocked priest (defrocked for caring about people), has been running a tribunal for many years. He calls it International Tribunal for Crimes of Church and State. It concentrates on child-stealing. As citizens are finally learning about the extent of child trafficking – and about the undeniable participation in it by officials – they come to realize that a crime is a crime no matter who is committing it. Annett’s underground tracks the child-killers; his ITCCS issues downloadable arrest warrants for them and asks anyone to make the arrest as soon as opportunity arises. His best targets are popes. He claims credit for making Benedict XVI resign.

The matter of child stealing has finally got laypersons to make the proper response, which is vigilantism of the good kind. In Australia, Dee McLachlan is devoting all possible resources to fight the power that pedophiles have over the courts. In UK, Shaun Attwood, who has half a million followers, at Brandnew-Tube.com, is determined to get justice for all victims.



## Chapter 30: Useful Emotions: Curiosity, Fear, Spirituality



(L) Temple Grandin, b 1947



(R) Jaak Panksepp 1943-2017

Early chapters showed that a few ambitious people are out to do harm to the whole human race – soon. They intend to close down our economies, block the food supply, give us a cultural “new normal,” and reduce the population numbers.

They have made a century-long career of finding out how to control people. While we were twiddling our thumbs, they were conducting ugly research on how to break down the human individual – so he/she *could not pose a threat to them!*

Also, as seen in Chapter 23 on Dr Day’s 1969 Insider-based predictions, the family was to be destroyed. This, surely, was planned to benefit the “rulers,” by making ordinary folks lose the strength they get in marriage. The same is true of Day’s announcing that the Church would collapse, and that patriotic tendencies had to diminish in favor of world citizenship.

Richard Day was not alone. Orwell, in 1949, made similar projections as to loss of friendship, honesty, and trust! Back in 1928, HG Wells wrote up a fake utopia, praising the loss of family and religion. His book was called *The Open Conspiracy*. I venture a guess that The Powers That Be instructed all three - - Dr Day, HG Wells, and George Orwell -- to spill the beans in a way in a way that would condition us toward a new reality.

## Temple Grandin on Animal Aggression and Fear

Temple Grandin is a genius at neuroscience. She advises livestock owners on the behavior of pigs, cattle, horses, and dogs. One of her research animals is herself. As a child she was severely autistic and can show that some of her autistic traits are closest to that of cows. Grandin says researchers have varying ways to categorize *aggression*. She prefers to divide it as follows in her book *Animals in Translation* (p 143):

1. Assertive aggression (dominance and territorial).
2. Fear-driven aggression, e.g. maternal protection of young.
3. Pain-based aggression.
4. Intermale aggression, influenced by testosterone levels.
5. Irritable or stress-induced aggression.

The brain circuits that govern each type are distinct. The animal has got to do what it needs to do, and Nature has provided very specific mechanisms. If your horse is acting aggressive it's not because you have a generally aggressive horse, Grandin says. There is a practical cause of the behavior.

**Fear.** The emotion of fear evolved as an adaptation for survival. A subordinate dog is afraid to attack a stronger one, and just as well or he'd get seriously injured. Fear also makes a prey animal stay alert against predators. Dr Grandin lists some examples of predictable fear-based behavior (p 310):

- A cat struggles and vocalizes during veterinary procedures.
- An abused dog bites when a person raises his hand.
- A dog runs under the couch during a thunderstorm.
- A horse refuses to load onto a trailer because he hit his head the first time he entered one.
- A horse bites you. Maybe that horse was too harshly trained.

**Rage** is about survival. "I saw on video a beef cow kicking the living daylights out of an attacking lion -- some of the hardest kicking I have ever seen. Rage is the ultimate defense all animals draw upon when their lives are in mortal danger".

## **Jaak Panksepp, Author of “The Archaeology of Mind”**

The late Jaak Panksepp was a brilliant animal researcher from Estonia. Studying the emotions of animals caused him to realize that human emotions come from the subcortical regions. They are evolutionarily older than the cortex. Jaak taught that the most positive one is the “seeking” emotion – it includes curiosity and a drive to get things done. He says you are happiest not when you achieve your goal, but in getting there. In depression, your brain is low in the chemical that helps seeking.

Panksepp’s posthumous work, written with colleague Kenneth Davis, is *Emotional Foundations of Personality*. They identify four positive emotions: seeking, play, care, and spirituality in which the human relates to all of nature and the universe. The three negative emotions are fear, sadness, and anger. Let’s listen to Panksepp on *panic*. He points to the etymology of the word. ‘Panic’ comes from the name of the god who finds people lost in forests: Pan. The biological root of this emotion is separation from the mother – the child panics.

As adults, when panic strikes, we can’t think rationally or make decisions. This is a major plus for our overlords. They can give us such a big scare we will panic. Do you recall the “shock and awe” drama that we created in the Baghdad sky to overwhelm the people of Iraq, before we clobbered them? In medieval times human heads were put on public gates. When the MK-Ultra girls were “trained” by CIA torturers, they were often subjected to something that they now label ‘horrorification.’

It’s doubtful that we can “learn” not to panic. I think it would be smarter to *prepare for panic situations* and rehearse a response.

(Note: the latest trick being floated is to tell the people we are being invaded by extra-terrestrials. Unfortunately, many would believe it. Rocket scientist Werner von Braun warned his secretary before he died that this was on the cards.)

## “Anger Management”

Are you feeling angry about things listed in this book? Perhaps not, as righteousness has been almost deleted from our culture. We need to get it back. Expressing anger directly to one who has hurt you, or is about to hurt you, is a normal way to try to control the situation. Any parent, or dog owner, knows that a disapproving tone of voice, or a stern look, is what it takes to train the toddler or puppy to curtail undesirable behavior.

When a fellow adult hurts you, you attack back, verbally or in some other way. At least you do so if he is your equal. If he is above you, you calculate if it's worth how he may respond. It seems we are calculating today that it is not worth attacking the ones who want to destroy civilization, as they are so powerful that they will hurt us. But that *is* what they will do!

Today, I watched an old video of a 2012 interview I did with Trish Fotheringham, and had a Eureka moment as to why we have suppressed our normal anger. I had entitled the YouTube video “Anger Management.” Trish had discussed how her mind-control handlers (see Appendix D) trained her to *not* focus her wrath on the correct person. Wow, clever.

They would deliberately arouse her righteous wrath by doing something unjust to another child (or to Trish), and then watch her explode in fury. Then they would do “something really horrible” to anyone in the room as a punishment. The desired result was achieved – her brain learned not to feel righteous anger. She says it was classic aversion training.

I then asked her how they might have done it to all of us today, so we don't make waves. Trish said the media does it. For example, “They always let us know that a whistleblower or troublemaker got bumped off.” We pretend we don't really think it was retaliation but our subconscious knows better. “Deep down, no one is that stupid.” Classic aversion training!

## **The Emotions Are Constantly Teaching Us What To Do**

Positive and negative emotions dispense chemical rewards or punishments to guide survival behavior. Listen to Davis and Panksepp (2018, p 268):

“Manifestations of affective states are instinctual. It is a marvel to observe the coordinated interactions of new mammalian infants and parents. Reunion [after a separation], accompanied by renewed physical contact, creates a two-edged learning event: learning to avoid painful social separation and learning the soothing comfort of reunion. When infant learns that he can rely on a parent returning, a secure social bond is created.”


**War.** Wars don't just break out. Some arranger manipulates domestic events to get the citizens ready. This is seen in *Hidden History*, by Gerry Docherty and Jim Macgregor, about World War I. Today, many flash points around the globe could persuade Americans that we have no choice but send bombers. We are all in a state of continuous low-level apprehension. The media is beating war drums and also cancer drums, kidnap drums, inflation drums, and poison-in-the-candy type drums.

A main method of keeping us off balance is the “news” about terrorist attacks. Attacks occur in schoolrooms, on buses, and at sporting events. I happened to have been involved in researching terror events – two in Australia and one in the US -- and can say unhesitatingly that traitors within government were heavily involved. (Port Arthur, Sydney, and Boston.)

That is such an unpleasant, panic-making fact that most people reject it out of hand. I myself am adept at running from the potential pain of fear that I associate with certain topics. Boy, can I slither away and block out the issue. But this is getting us nowhere. Maybe it's just buying us a bit of extra time on earth.

Can't we do better? Can't we analyze our fears and support one another by forming some sort of phalanx?

## Chapter 31. Civil Disobedience, Mutiny, Law of Outlawry



*Arrests in Melbourne  
of people who go outside without a mask, or who go outside at all*

This chapter will discuss options for making the right stuff happen. Of course, my favorite scheme for this book is the grass court. But we can have a look at civil disobedience, mutiny, and the surprising Law of Outlawry.

### Civil Disobedience

According to the *Encyclopedia Britannica* [Emphasis added]:

“Civil disobedience is a symbolic or ritualistic violation of the law rather than a rejection of the system as a whole. The civil disobedient, **finding legitimate avenues** of change **blocked** or nonexistent, feels obligated by a higher, extralegal principle to break some specific law. It is because acts associated with civil disobedience are considered crimes, however, and known by actor and public alike to be punishable, that such acts serve as a protest. By submitting to punishment, the civil disobedient hopes to **set a moral example** that will provoke the majority or the government into effecting meaningful change.”

During the pandemic, many people are disobeying local laws by not wearing a mask. They could go to court and ask for an injunction against the ruling. Federally, they can ask a court for judgement, per the Federal Declaratory Judgement Act 1934.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 57:

“The demand for relief shall state with precision the declaratory judgment desired, to which may be joined a demand for coercive relief, cumulatively or in the alternative; but when coercive relief only is sought, but is deemed ungrantable or inappropriate, the court may *sua sponte*, if it serves a useful purpose, grant instead a declaration of rights.”

**Emerson.** In 1851, poet Ralph Waldo Emerson was an abolitionist. The Fugitive Slave Law required a runaway to be returned to his master. A part of the US Constitution, which was later obsoleted by Amendment 14), supported slavery:

Article IV, sec 2: “No person held to Service or Labour in one State, escaping into another shall be discharged from such service or labour but shall... shall be delivered up on Claim of the Party to whom such Service or Labour may be due.”

A slave named Shadrach was ordered by the court in Boston to be returned. Some freed slaves rescued him from the courtroom and were later charged. Emerson wrote:

“An immoral law makes it a man’s duty to break it, at every hazard. For virtue is the very self of every man. It is therefore a principle of law that an ... immoral statute is void. For, as laws do not make right, and are simply declaratory of a right which already existed, it is not to be presumed that they can so stultify themselves as to command injustice.”

Regarding Rosa Parks on the racially segregated bus in 1955, almost everyone today would say that she did the right thing by disobeying a local ordinance, but at the time it took courage. People in Melbourne today should be doing a Rosa Parks by walking down the street in defiance of a ridiculous quarantine. Everyone should say “This is immoral.”

## Mutiny

When the citizen does civil disobedience, the punishment is simply the relevant criminal or civil penalty – a fine or imprisonment. But if a member of the armed forces disobeys his superior, he can be punished for insubordination as such.

Mutiny by sailors is a hanging offense. Such a harsh proscription on revolt by a ship's crew may have been made in the days when a captain was virtually at the mercy of his men, far from shore. The modern law is found in Title 10 of the US Code:

- (a) Any person subject to this chapter who—
- (1) with intent to usurp or override lawful military authority, refuses, in concert with any other person, to obey orders or otherwise do his duty or creates any violence or disturbance is guilty of mutiny;
  - (2) with intent to cause the overthrow or destruction of lawful civil authority, creates, in concert with any other person, revolt, violence, or other disturbance against that authority is guilty of sedition;
  - (3) fails to do his utmost to prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition being committed in his presence, or fails to take all reasonable means to inform ...
- (b) A person who is found guilty of attempted mutiny, mutiny, sedition, or failure to suppress or report a mutiny or sedition shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct. -- 10 USC 894, Article 94.

One of the pandemic's dissident doctors, Rashid Buttar, OD, of North Carolina, who was an army surgeon, makes a plea to all officers to practice their oath "to defend the Constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic." He also mentioned that a buddy of his, named Raphael, told him that *he* had lit the fires of Kuwait's oil fields in March 1991, under orders.



That is an example of an unlawful order and it should be disobeyed. Of course any soldier in battle is in a tricky situation. I would like to see that man, 29 years later, turn himself in for committing a war crime. Our War Crimes Act did not get enacted till later in 1991. Criminal laws are never retroactive but there is enough other law on which to convict him. At Raphael's trial, the chain of command could be explored.

A separate matter is the fact that US soldiers, and war veterans, are deliberately mistreated. (Please see Appendices A and B.) During the Vietnam War, hundreds of officers were "fraggged" by their underlings, a form of mutiny by any other name. If a soldier sees that his commander is endangering his life for no good reason, he has the normal right of self-defense.

You may say his line of recourse should have been Congress. True, but Congress was refusing to help and had not even gone the constitutional route of declaring that war in the first place. LBJ drove it, via a false-flag, "the Gulf of Tonkin incident."

Some soldiers went to court but SCOTUS washed its hands of the matter as it has done with every war since its 1952 ruling in *Youngstown*. Even when a state tried to get a court ruling in 1970, in *Massachusetts v Laird*, SCOTUS voted 6-3 not to hear the case. Even when 53 Congressmen tried to get a ruling in 1991, in *Dellums v Bush*, the judiciary wouldn't play.

I make the claim that mutiny is justified when no branch of government will uphold the Constitution's carefully designed balance of powers. How else can anyone stop a wrongful war?

Let me broach The Workaround Rule: "When there is good law on the books but officials won't apply it, when education has been deliberately distorted, and when secret groups with a lethal agenda have achieved a fantastic concentration of wealth, citizens have to use Workaround ways to rescue society."

## The Law of Outlawry

Throughout this book there has been reference to law going unenforced as “the elite” get protected from prosecution. So let’s look at the ancient law of outlawry. It is still in force as common law unless a state has specifically repealed it. This law makes it your duty to give the outlaw no food, no haven. You are allowed to kill him. He is “civilly dead.” To qualify, he has to be “beyond the law.” Is that not true of many people today?

Consider the case of the now-deceased Jeffrey Epstein who ran a sex trafficking business whose purpose was perhaps to get top politicians into bed with underage girls. The men would be filmed in order to be blackmailable later. Although Epstein’s criminality was known to President Clinton and then President Bush, it certainly did not lead to indictment. Finally, he was arrested in 2008 but got a deal from the DoJ. He pleaded guilty to two state charges and got 13 months in a semi-jail. At the time, the FBI had identified 36 underage victims. If you were a victim, you would have realized that this plea deal shows that Epstein was above the law – no one was willing to hold him.

I say he was an outlaw. It is hard to know at what point the public could have killed him under the Law of Outlawry. You may say “The law *was* working; he did get some punishment.” I say that’s lawyerese talk. Anyone can see Epstein was protected. Why are we putting up with this? Recall from Chapter 15 the 1854 judge in *R v Elliot* saying that it is justifiable homicide to kill an outlaw as long as the hue and cry has been raised.

A grass court could study and indict any person who appears to have engaged in treason, genocide, or any crime where it is structurally predictable that the miscreant will be protected. You know what I mean. We all “understand the situation.”

So far we are all being lousy Americans.

## Chapter 32. Conclusion: Tasks for the Grass Court

“And the lie has, in fact, led us so far away from a normal society that you cannot even orient yourself any longer; in its dense, gray fog not even one pillar can be seen.”  
(Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, *The Gulag Archipelago*)

One thing that always gives human societies trouble is a preponderance of power in some members. That may be based on mere personal strength or a ruthless nature, even before any differential of wealth or weaponry has come about. But once a few have attained major weapons usable over the rest, *their* disregard for right and wrong will almost disappear.

When some people first got gunpowder (in China by the tenth century, in the West around 1400), they could make everyone else submit to their will. You can't hope to win a battle with arrows against men equipped with guns. Today there is no way for us to prevail, militarily, over the wielders of missiles, torpedoes, bioweapons, not to mention the weather-changers.

Oddly, those people are not foreign enemies but are, to some degree, our leaders. They utterly deny that they have such weapons, and loudly ridicule anyone who fears their use of them. This nonsense needs to be straightened out.

This book has argued that we are at a historic turning point – the situation could go either way: we might lose most of humanity's gains and enter a nightmare police state (“with a great reduction in population”), or we might crack the code as to how a few men have been twisting our reality for centuries.

I claim that the main solution is to remove the top criminals from the scene, and that it can be done by simple prosecution.

## **Outline of Laws Covered in This Book** (with chapter #'s)

As found in constitutions, statutes, and the common law today:

\*Laws governing right to privacy (against surveillance and against authorities ordering you to answer questions). #5

\*Blackstonian laws against corruption of the court and against obstruction of justice, including perjury. #8

\*Laws that punish misprision, i.e., failure to report a crime. #8

\*Law against treason -- to stem the urge of the globalists. #11

\*Laws to protect your right to sue if injured by police brutality or by private parties thwarting your civil rights. #14

\*Laws to curb the size of corporations, and to hold media to standards when purchasing air space from FCC. #19, 20

\*Laws against fraud and against defrauding the US. #20

\*Law that permits martial law if the People approve. #21

\*Laws supporting citizen's arrest #15 and private militias. #24

\*Laws for the right to assemble peaceably. #5, #24

\*Laws against genocide #17, and against bioweapons. #25

\*Laws to protect against assault on your brain, whether by propaganda, or by invasive medicine. #28

\*Law to enable court to declare a plaintiff's right. #31

\*Laws of amnesties, pardons #29, and of outlawry. #31

In American society we are unbelievably lucky to have the heritage of a system, based on ideals of equality and justice, that gives every person a share in the big decisions that affect us.

So why throw in the towel when the Fauci's and Gates's and Rockefeller's tell us that the fight is over and that they won? They won by meticulously planned deceit, using the media.

Perhaps most folks remain ignorant of the criminality of our leaders. The resolute immorality of officials just doesn't fit the ordinary person's perception of the world. They prefer to think goodness is the norm. I say it would be better for kids to be taught that there are good *and* bad aspects of human nature, and be told that we have to work together as a group to devise arrangements that best prompt good behavior and reward it.

Any person of great wealth (there are 600 billionaires in US) goes along with the stupid plan to make the status quo survive. Please admit that if you possessed extreme wealth, you'd be nervous about trouble from below. It is a simple principle and they are going berserk trying to keep a lid on us.

### **Some Pending Efforts To Use Law During the Pandemic**

During the 2020 pandemic, many are speaking out. In South Africa a group of 50 physician-advisors to the government has taken a stand. In Canada, attorney Rocco Galati has sued Prime Minister Justin Trudeau for effectively dismissing Parliament and "ruling by royal decree." Here are some US examples:

David E Martin, in a video, lists his efforts to get Anthony Fauci prosecuted for violation of the Sherman Anti-trust Act. This would require action by the DoJ, so he has sent his ideas to several US Attorneys. (Note: Grass Court could carry out the prosecution, as in a "moot court." I am serious. You could be waiting a long time for anyone at "Justice" to act.

Dr Dessie Andrews has filed a lawsuit with the US Court in her state, Texas, claiming that the lockdown causes her to miss her trip to the movies once a week, and this harms her mental health. Andrews has a PhD in constitutional law. Her website says “If you cut me, I bleed red, white and blue. I was brought up to keep my shoulder to the wheel ... and to be tenacious.”

A Congressman from Michigan, Rep Paul Mitchell, has filed federal lawsuit 1:2020-cv-00384 against the state governor, Gretchen Whitmer et al. He objects to her renewing her executive order for lockdown without going to legislature. He says he is testing the balance of powers.

The American Association of Physicians has filed suit against the ban on Hydroxychloroquine. Paul Craig Roberts has said that the ban shows a racket being run by “CDC, NIH, WHO, and FDA in cahoots with Big Pharma which does not want the information out that a cheap cure for Covid exists, because Big Pharma and its skills at the public health agencies want to sell you Big Pharma’s expensive vaccine and ‘cure’.”

Peggy Hall in California merely threatened to sue for fraud, stating that Orange County had unlawfully prolonged the health emergency to collect federal and state emergency funds. In her lobbying efforts she got Deputy Health Director Nichole Quick to resign, and got the commission to strike down mandatory face masks. Peggy Hall wants to help you do likewise in your county. I’ll bet the overlords didn’t see that one coming.

**Treason.** Citizens shy away from talking about treason, both because it’s psychologically difficult to contemplate, and the traitors have ways of keeping a lid on our thinking about it. I believe we need to stop keeping a lid on it. Silence does not make any sense – the acts of treason in the last hundred years in America have been amazing and are about to get worse.

Let's repeat the wording of the crime of treason. It appears in 3-3 of the Constitution, and has been codified at 18 USC 2381:

“Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort within the US or elsewhere, is guilty of treason.”

In the first treason case, *US v Burr*, 1807, Chief Justice Marshall interpreted the crime to include *conspiracy* to commit treason:

“...if war be actually levied, that is, if a body of men be actually assembled for the purpose of effecting by force a treasonable purpose, **all those who perform any part, however minute, or however remote from the scene of action,** and who are actually leagued in the general conspiracy, are to be considered as traitors...”. [Emphasis added]

Note his opening phrase: “If war be *actually* levied.” Well, the final attack on us, the Takeover, has not occurred. But don't falter on that. The traitors can be rounded up for past crimes. And don't forget that they can be detained indefinitely under the Material Witness Act. And don't forget citizen's arrest. Plus there is the law of outlawry for those who are “outside the law.”

There is also law for pre-emptive strike. If you are about to be killed you can act in self-defense. *Necessitas non habet legem* – Necessity has no law. If someone comes to my home with a syringe of Warp Speed vaccine, they can expect to see me wield a baseball bat. It's no match for a Taser or pepper spray but *not* to raise any fight is to say that I accept this crime.

Go on, accuse me of vigilantism – taking the law into my own hands. Well, I'm not advising anyone, right now, to use violence, as we are so totally outgunned. But yes, if the Grass Court routine amounts to “taking the law in one's own hands,” I own up to it. If no one will accuse the eminently accusable, I will.

## The Innocuity Phenomenon

Look at this babyish legislation. Note: all bills, both pending and enacted, are easily accessed at Congress.gov:

### Families First Coronavirus Response Act. March 18, 2020

This bill responds to the COVID-19 (i.e., coronavirus disease 2019) outbreak by providing paid sick leave, tax credits, and free COVID-19 testing; expanding food assistance and unemployment benefits; and increasing Medicaid funding.

DIVISION A -- SECOND CORONAVIRUS PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2020

*Second Coronavirus Preparedness and Response Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2020...* The supplemental appropriations are designated as emergency spending, which is **exempt from discretionary spending limits**.

TITLE I -- DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. This title provides appropriations to the Department of Agriculture (USDA) for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC); and the Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP). [Emphasis added]

What innocuous, sweet talk of unemployment benefits, nutrition for children, and “preparedness” for the next round of the virus. This is a serious problem of ours. Since it be uncomfortable to talk about things that are normally not said – such as that “respected leaders” are committing genocide – we readily fall back on talking about lesser realities.

Today (August 17), the airwaves are full of chitchat about the logistics of schools’ re-openings. And, just when “they” need a focus other than the pandemic, there is the crisis of the death of George Floyd and related racism for us to endlessly debate.



Let me stress, this itself is a human problem – we are great at avoiding things that do not fit the norm. But today we *should* focus on the Takeover. Look how easily the behind-the-scenes bosses were able to press Congress to authorize billions for a bank bailout, along with the citizens’ “stimulus check.” This wouldn’t have happened if discussion was reality-based. Note: the entire matter of government’s *legitimacy* is now in question.

So please get angry. Just get angry at that one fact, that the high mucky-mucks think they can get away with restyling your life. Who do they think they are? You are letting them get away with it -- so naturally they think they are gods.

### **How Can This Author Know If Covid-19 Is Genuine?**

The “d” at the end of the word Covidd stands for disease. The name “Co vi” means corona virus. 19 is the year of the first case, 2019 (in China). We who are not trained in science cannot do much to grasp what is being looked at under the microscope. But laypersons can judge as unreliable the mortality statistics quoted in the news. Doctors have been instructed to over-report, as seen in this quote from Western Australia:

“Where a person is known to have suffered typical symptoms of Covid-19, such as fevers, cough, or breathing difficulties during a Covid-19 pandemic, but has not been formally tested or diagnosed, then it is reasonable to “assume” that the death was related to Covid-19 and should be recorded on the death certificate.” – WA’s Guide for Medical Practitioners.

It is also true that the *tests* for Covid-19 are unreliable. Actually, they’d have to be unreliable, given that this virus is not easily discerned and that experts do not agree on what makes this virus special or what the presence of antibodies indicates.

Much can be deduced about the honesty of the whole thing from the fact that the social media owners made a decision to

de-platform anyone *who proposed a theory* such as that the 5G technology, or the air pollution in Italy, may be a factor in the incidence of the Covid-19 disease. That could be criminal cover-up of crime, if the official explanation proves fraudulent.

I have made sure not to let this book stand on any particular position as to the genuineness of the Covid-19 virus, or to even ask “Does it really exist?” I hope my Grass Court ideas about law works just as well in *either* case. Personally, I’m guessing that the hype is largely dishonest – it has the hallmarks of a psy-op. Yet the Covid-19 disease may be genuine and contagious.

The censoring of suggested causes of the disease is equaled by the FDA’s *ban* on Hydroxychloroquine after the many years in which it was approved. “FDA” stands for Food and Drug Administration. As we all know, there is no grant of power, listed in the power-grant section of the US Constitution – Article I section 8 – to permit the feds a role in health matters.

The Pure Food and Drug Act of 1906 gave a national means for measuring the fidelity of a product to its description. This was to conciliate the Muckrakers’ anti-corporate protests. As a testing service, it *is* constitutional under Article I, section 8:

Clause 5, “to fix the standards of weights and measures,” [and] Clause 3, “to regulate commerce ... among the several states.”
--

There is no justification for the feds to have a Department of Health and Human Services or to have purchased the CDC, a private Rockefeller creation. We are moving toward socialism, the Nanny State. (Do you need a nanny? No, you don’t.)

Meanwhile, taxpayers pay the DoJ to guard the “rights” of mega-corporations by failing to enforce the Sherman Anti-trust Act. Recall that the law mandates the DoJ to break up monopolies and prosecute the violators. Picture it happening.

## What Are You Doing Next Tuesday at 7pm?

Long story short, citizen action is needed to save our country. The overlords have lost their minds and are bent on all-out destruction. Folks who have put decades of hard work into their small businesses have already had to quit and we're all in a well-deserved panic over police violence and "vaccinations."

The rescue job will have to start with you. To start a Grass Court, you need only phone a few friends to meet "next Tuesday at 7pm" at any location. No point being afraid as it is clearly your right to speak freely. Sure, it's scary, but you just have to do it. All you need to do is discuss the dilemma of how to stop protecting protected persons. Could be uplifting.

I don't mean my Grass Court way is the only way; we need hundreds of ways. Chapter 20 pointed to Dr Pamela Popper collecting signatures of people who make the simple statement "I do not consent to forced vaccination." Please sign up. It's good to do minimalist things that can't easily be toppled.

Try to think of ways to include those who have been given "classic aversion training" to "not see" what is going on, or not be angry about it. Watch the Anger Management video with Trish Fotheringham on Youtube. We need to get with it.

Let's try, also, to include the perpetrators. They are human too (what else could they be?). They stand to gain by your effort. Maybe your Grass Court (which should be local, not national) will go light on persons who show remorse. Surely many of our prodigal sons wish to return to the fold. Welcome them home!

I advise that where possible you invite cops to sit in on your meetings, serve tea, and then send them a printout of the Minutes. Grass Court is anything but secret. Sharing is the name of the game.

## Philosophical Questions

If “blaming” individuals is not your favorite thing, your group could instead try to solve “philosophical” problems such as:

“What if a foreign force attacked us, on the sly, by infiltrating our halls of government with stooges to do their bidding?”

“What if it becomes impossible to find the truth or even locate raw facts, if lying has been established as the cultural norm?”

“What if a few people mind-controlled us so that we would be confused about law and our rights and would accept the very tyranny that we were formerly proud of rejecting – what should we then do?”

You might leaf through Philip Allott’s book, *Eutopia*, for some philosophical inspiration as to how happy the future could be. Allott says the highest form of happiness occurs when we feel at one with all humans. He says: Let’s make history!

Kindly keep me informed of your Grass Court successes, if you wish. My website is [ConstitutionAndTruth .com](http://ConstitutionAndTruth.com).

Most of my books, including this one, are free downloads at [ProsecutionForTreason.com](http://ProsecutionForTreason.com). Also, they are sold at [Lulu.com](http://Lulu.com) and [Abebooks.com](http://Abebooks.com).

Thank you for reading this amateur treatise on law! Not quite finished though. There are appendices....

## Guide to the Appendices

I've postponed till now the “proof” of some of the malice that our dear country is the designer of. There are two articles dealing with cruelty to veterans. In Appendix **A**, John Judge tells of his Mom, a Pentagon employee, learning *in advance* that the Vietnam war was to last 10 years and take 57,000 American lives – exactly what happened. Think about it. I say it was a deliberate self-genocide. Appendix **B** by Fran Shure blames the media for its complicity in hiding the shocking story of Bobby Garwood, a POW.

Next, **C** and **D** are autobiographical reports, by Brice Taylor and Trish Fotheringham who underwent cruel mind control. Possibly thousands of Americans were tortured as part of MK-Ultra; some do not yet remember. Those who did speak out were not believed -- an additional cruelty. *Alert:* reading these can be triggering.

Appendix **E** is about Germany's protest of Covid-19 restrictions, and Appendix **F** is a review of Elias Davidsson's comprehensive book on 9-11. Appendix **G** says we need a grass-type electoral college. In Appendix **H** you will find the long-forgotten testimony by Royal Rife as to the features of a virus. See more of such startling reports from the pre-censor days, in my *Consider the Lilies*.

Appendix **J** is my civil RICO suit against the FBI, the *Boston Globe*, and other parties blaming them for making an “enterprise” of the Marathon bombing. I believe the whole thing was a false flag. You can mimic my format to file your own case. For RICO, the defendants have to have committed two or more crimes (from mail fraud to murder to money laundering, etc.) all in a period of 10 years. One of the named players can be government. To get standing, you must show that you suffered an economic loss.

Finally, Appendix **K** is the Constitution. Had we bothered to honor the parchment, we wouldn't be in the trouble we are in!

**Appendix A. US Casualties in Vietnam Were a Planned Genocide!** John Judge's letter to investigators, 1996

My mother, Marjorie C. Judge was a 30-year civilian employee of the Pentagon (1943-1973). She was a man-power analyst for Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, under the Joint Chiefs of Staff. She was 5 levels above top security clearance, and had access to the War Room for briefings....

**Her job was to project, quite accurately, national annual draft calls in advance, and to pass them on to the Selective Service System for planning purposes.** She was given a current manpower force level by the Joint Chiefs, a projected force level they wanted to reach in the future (either higher or lower), and statistical tables that would help determine their need for additional personnel. **She could project, in a given period, how many would die naturally or accidentally, how many would retire, enlist, re-enlist, discharge in given categories...**

My mother had to project these national, yearly figures accurate to within 100 people either way, in years when hundreds of thousands of people were being drafted. She had to come up with that level of accuracy five years in advance. I remember her fretting each year as the real figures came in, but she was always on target.

After she retired, I asked her about plans for the Vietnam war, since she would have been among the first to know them. **She told me that in the spring of 1963 she was told to project a phased withdrawal of troops, with all troops out by the end of 1964,** a withdrawal plan. I then asked her when they told her they would escalate in Vietnam.

“Late November of 1963,” she said. “I couldn’t believe the figures,” she told me. “I took them back to the Joint Chiefs office, and I said, “These can’t be right.” And they said, “Those are the figures and you’ll use them.””

This was, effectively, the first civilian protest to the Vietnam war. This was November 25, 1963, with Kennedy barely in the grave. The NSAM's **that are under debate were signed by LBJ over that weekend. This was a complete reversal of policy, and it shocked my mother.** The figures they gave her were for a full-scale war. **“A ten-year war, with fifty-seven thousand dead,”** she told me. Exactly the opposite of what Kennedy was planning. This was the war that LBJ carried out.



*Memorial wall*

This policy originated, **at least in part, at special meetings of the Kennedy cabinet members in Honolulu that same weekend.** Any and all records concerning that meeting should be sought for release. **Moving almost all the major operational heads of state outside the country the day of the assassination also fits the pattern of a coup.**

In addition, I have indications that their most critical communications link to the President was cut. Pierre Salinger relates that the “code book” was missing on the military flight bringing the cabinet members back to this country, when they learned of the assassination. This “code book” is a decryption or deciphering manual for verifying and understanding “command and control” messages from the President in times of emergency.

During the Vietnam war years, I worked as a civilian counselor to enlisted and drafted members of the military, and to veterans. As part of my work, I met with enlisted men and officers at Wright

Patterson AFB in Dayton, Ohio. Several disaffected pilots spoke to me in 1973 on the base who had been with the Strategic Air Command on the day of JFK assassination. These pilots were in the air, as part of a three-shift, 24-hour readiness pattern, at the time Kennedy was killed. They picked up “radio chatter” that the President had been shot in Dallas.

Assuming that an alert of some kind would be ordered, or that instructions would be given, one pilot and his co-pilots used a simultaneous key procedure to open the locker containing decrypting code books for use in such emergency situations. **They were surprised to find the code book missing from the locker. They spoke to other pilots on the same shift once they landed at SAC HQ,** and other reported the same situation, no code books aboard.

My understanding from them is that these code books were changed on a regular basis, and carefully handled by a chain of command that went from the Pentagon down to the plane. Effectively, if this story is true, the nuclear defense of the United States was compromised at the hour of JFK’s murder.

Records concerning November 22-23 at SAC headquarters and the Pentagon should be sought to confirm these matters. The person who developed the SAC procedures and implemented the program was **Gen. Curtis LeMay, then Secretary of the Air Force and part of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.**

I found it curious that, with the President just assassinated, and with a prime suspect identified later that day as a “Communist,” known defector, and a “pro-Castro” activist, that there would not have been some sort of alert on a national, if not international level. **If the Joint Chiefs had no idea about who had killed the President, or if they had reason to suspect the Soviet Union was involved, would there not have been reason to go to a readiness alert?** Apparently, the message the SAC pilots expected to get never came through.



**Appendix B.** **The Fourth Estate**, about Monika Jensen-Stevenson by **Fran Shure** -- Part 22 of her article at [ae911truth.org](http://ae911truth.org).



*Fran*



*Monika*

Monika Jensen-Stevenson trod where other journalists refused to tread when she exposed the U.S. government's pitiless persecution of Marine Private First Class (Pfc.) Robert R. (Bobby) Garwood and the cover-up of 3,500 prisoners of war (POWs) left behind in Vietnam and Laos.

The forsaken Garwood transmitted word of his status to a Finnish diplomat, who was savvy enough to take Bobby's note to the BBC rather than to U.S. authorities. As a result, after 14 years in the brutal penal system, Garwood was finally released in 1979.

But why would his release be problematic for U.S. authorities? When the Vietnam War ended in 1973, the government had declared that all troops missing in action (MIA) had been accounted for and that all POWs had been returned. Garwood's sudden appearance was a glaring and embarrassing exposure of this lie. How would the U.S. government cover for itself?

**Thinking he was returning** a free man in 1979, the Marine was instead summarily met on his home soil with charges of desertion. Garwood estimated that there were still 200 POWs still left in Vietnam. Yet, the media sat on this statement and continued to regurgitate the government's assertions that he was a deserter and traitor, not a prisoner. Why did both the U.S. and Vietnamese governments, former enemies, cooperate

in creating this monstrous deception? The North Vietnamese communists initially held the POWs to ensure that the U.S. would fulfill its secret promise, to pay more than \$3 billion in reparation monies. But the U.S. did *not* pay and had *no intention* of paying. Therefore, by 1979, American POWs had become worthless pawns.

Washington convinced the poverty-stricken Vietnamese not to reveal the existence of the prisoners if they wanted to exchange ambassadors and establish trade relations. After all, abandonment of war prisoners was the kind of mistake that could destroy not only careers, but administrations.

No amount of effort was spared to prevent that. Garwood's court-martial ended up being the longest in U.S. history. Although Garwood was cleared of desertion charges, he was found guilty of collaborating with the enemy. The media ignored the *lack* of evidence backing up this charge.

**Then, to add horrific insult to injury, early in the court-martial,** “headlines shrieked from every supermarket tabloid: ‘Garwood Accused of **Child Molestation.**’” Even though he was thoroughly cleared of this specious charge in a separate trial, the original tabloid slur “festered on.” Obviously, character assassination was the strategy of both the military and the cooperating media against Garwood, thus to ensure that in the public mind he was crucified, one way or another.

As Jensen-Stevenson followed the Bobby Garwood story, she was also working on a *60 Minutes* program, “Dead or Alive?” on the general issue of POWs and MIAs.

**Despite continuing pressure and threats that Jensen-Stevenson received from the National Security Council and the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) — and despite pressure put on the CBS president by Pentagon covert**

operations, urging the station to drop the story due to “sensitive matters of national security,” *60 Minutes* nevertheless aired *Dead or Alive?* in 1985.

Jensen-Stevenson’s book, *Spite House*, was published in 1997, exposing the full story of Bobby Garwood’s ordeal. Learning of his story, veterans invited Garwood to speak to more than 200,000 Vietnam veterans near the Vietnam Memorial. When he came to the stage, they erupted into wild cheers of “Welcome home,” and “We love you, Bobby!”

Filled with emotion, Garwood could not speak. One highly decorated soldier and then two more jumped to the stage to prop him up. In this soldier’s embrace, he finally began to speak. A hush settled over the crowd as Bobby spoke only of the country he loved and of the darkness he felt in his heart, knowing that his brothers were left behind. So it was an especially touching moment when his stateside military mates finally gave him the welcome home and tribute he so richly deserved.

Due to the participation in “*Dead or Alive?*” by Lieutenant General **Eugene F. Tighe, Jr.**, who headed the **DIA** from **1978 to 1981** and who had a worldwide reputation as one of the finest intelligence professionals ever in the U.S., Congress screened this program several times.

**These screenings resulted in the formation of a DIA commission on MIAs and POWs** chaired by General Tighe. It concluded in 1986 that prisoners *had* been left behind and that there was strong evidence many were still alive. Nevertheless, the report was immediately **classified**, without public explanation. [Emphasis added]

*Note: Much more of Fran Shure’s psychology work is online.*

**Appendix C.** NASA's Torture of a Little Boy, in Brice Taylor, *Thanks for the Memories*, 1999.

Craig and I used the "scientific method," the same method we used in the past to insure that the sex of our third child was a boy. And he was. Daniel Robert Ford was born on March 15, 1982 at Los Robles Hospital in California. I was 31 years old.

I have little conscious memory of Danny as a baby. When he was visiting me in the summer of 1996, he looked at me emotionlessly and said, "Mom, I don't remember anything about my child-hood." He just stated the fact. What was very apparent to me was that my teenage son had no emotion attached to this statement or even any means to think this thought through to understand what it all might mean.

**War Games at Jordan Ranch to Terrorize Kelly and Me**

When Kelly was around three years old, we were told to walk down to the "end of the road," which I knew as Bob Hope's Jordan Ranch. Once inside the fence we were injected with drugs in the back of a limo and were told to start walking out onto the ranch. Somehow, all of a sudden, my little daughter was gone. **There was a whole group of men in army fatigues who I later found out were playing war games.**

But in the drugged state of mind they put me in, I had no way to know this was just a game. Bob had a walkie-talkie that he used to radio to the men in army fatigues. He told them what to do and say to us. Drugs made it impossible to think clearly.

They told me Kelly's life depended on me finding her quickly. A helicopter flying overhead landed nearby and I finally found Kelly, naked and huddling near a small scrub bush. She was very dirty and had cried so much that her little eyes were swollen nearly shut. Her face was covered with dirt and tears all mixed together.

This is the type of activity these men needed to use to guarantee that, under national security, a mother and her baby daughter would never remember the perverted experiences for which they were being used by Kissinger, Bob Hope, and others.

After I had my children, they always inflicted trauma on me and one or more of my kids (always with my daughter Kelly) before an assigned rendezvous took place. Those experiences were terrifying and horrific, so that my controllers felt very certain I would never gain access to memory of the experiences the trauma was meant to cover.

This time, we were in Catalina and my husband told the kids that we were going to look at a new hotel complex on the island. When we arrived, three men in suits told us to go into a room where there was a single row of chairs lined up against the wall. Passively and robotically, we complied. Kevin our oldest son, who then was nine, was the first in the line-up, then Craig, me, and Kelly, seven, and at the far end, Danny, age 3.

**One of the suited men took a razor blade or something similar and started with Kevin and slowly and deliberately ran it over the top of his legs, then onto Craig's, then mine, Kelly's and little Danny's.** All of us were bleeding and traumatized, in a daze, physically frozen, staring straight ahead. I was terrified and panicked, but sat there, helpless to do anything to protect my children, as a result of years of abuse and mind control programming.

Craig could do nothing to defend the children or me. He couldn't even defend himself. All of us just sat there like zombies with blood trickling off our burning legs. One suited man informed us, "This is just the beginning," and they took Danny and threw him up against the wall. With the air knocked out of him and in obvious pain, he crumpled over and crouched up into a small ball, **already, at three years old,**

**knowing better than to cry out.** They always did something horrific and if the kids or I showed any reaction or retaliation, they would hurt another one of the kids or me even more.

*Bob Hope's Escapades*

We owned a large family camper. At times we went up into the High Sierras, to visit Mammoth, Sequoia, Tahoe, Yosemite. We also took the children to Six Flags and, of course, Disneyland. Bob Hope showed up in many of these locations. It seemed like he was everywhere. Sometimes Bob would snap his fingers in front of my face or jingle his keys in front of my eyes to get me to respond. He often liked to meet for sex or information exchange in mountain cabins. ...He usually spoke in clever little lines and phrases.

What actually occurred often was **a rendezvous with Bob or some leader** they needed to get information to. Sometimes **Reagan** was brought out on a little ocean excursion and ended up navigating right to us. I was then transported aboard with him for sex and messages. Sometimes I stayed all night with him on his transport. **He said he was very upset with Henry Kissinger for taking so many matters into his own hands.** He said he knew a few hours with me would help him snap out of the mood he was in.

When Danny was an infant, we went for programming together. **He was a year old when his inner-space mind files were created** in order for him to have a wide range of access points, without the necessity of as much trauma as was necessary back in the days when mine were created. Time had shown our controllers that **trauma itself was one cause for the breakdown in slaves.**

I was there with Danny when he was 3 or 4 years old. It seemed like a school field trip, but the events that unfolded were otherwise. Danny had on a light blue T-shirt. We were sitting

with other mothers and children, in the front row of a circular auditorium. Men in NASA suits, **who were dressed like astronauts were all around** and one of them came over, lifted Danny up and put him into a chair. “Like the real astronauts sit in!” the man explained. This chair had equipment all around.

Danny smiled so sweetly across the auditorium at me like he was so proud and so happy to be chosen to sit in the big astronaut chair. There was such anticipated excitement and innocence in his joyful smile. Soon the man instructed him to lean back so his head was properly aligned to fit into a silver band and when Danny was in the proper alignment, **I watched the NASA official clamp the back of the silver band to fit snugly around his little forehead.** Danny looked up at the NASA official, eyes wide with innocence and youthful exuberance, and smiled as the man said to Danny, “Hold on for the ride of your life!”

They brought in some sort of glasses (virtual reality?) for Danny’s eyes and told him to look into the viewer. Then to my horror, the man standing next to Danny gave a cue to another man and I watched in agony as Danny’s little body jolted. They must have been giving him electroshock. After a time, his little body went limp and he was unconscious. I was dying inside, but knew from many past experiences with his older brother that if I made any attempt to interfere things would only get worse for all of us, especially Danny, so **against all maternal protective instinct**, I maintained my composure.

Pretty soon the NASA official waved a smelling salt or something in a cotton ball bound with gauze, in front of Danny’s face. He came to abruptly and they released him from the equipment and then from the chair. He was sweating profusely around his forehead and under his nose. As the man helped him out of the chair, Danny looked over at me and several facial expressions quickly washed over him.

At first he looked utterly humiliated and embarrassed, which was soon replaced with a look of utter shame that spread over his entire face and down his little body. He could barely walk over to me and when I stood to help him, the NASA man said, "He's a big boy, he can do this on his own." Soon we were escorted out, put on a shuttle back to the airport and were flown home. None of this experience was available to my conscious mind until years later when I began the gruelling process of deprogramming. Danny has no memory of this.

Danny's mind files were filled with data early on and expanded after he was three years old. I drove him to Point Mugu or we were intercepted at the intersection of Kanan and Agoura Road, and got in the car with these men. **They usually drove a dark colored sedan with tinted windows.** Whether I drove or not, these men took my son from me at Point Mugu, and returned him back to me at the car by late afternoon.

Henry Kissinger filled Danny with **high-level information, intended to span many years** and to be delivered whenever necessary at specific future dates to large crowds of people. Danny had historical files put in, as did I.

At Born Learners Preschool at three to four years of age, Danny started special computer classes that kept him at school long hours, sometimes into the evening. When I asked him if he wanted to stop he always said he loved it, as did his best friend Justin. I believe programmers do more of the training via computer screen now, often using virtual reality. After computer class, I took the two of them to Monarch's Gymnastics.

I thought I would not be able to bear the pain and grief when I began remembering scenarios of the ways in which I'd been programmed to be a part of my children's preschool mind control experiences. This is information I'd prefer to withhold



as it goes against everything I believe in, but **in order for people to understand how this system works**, it is necessary for me to share the following.

This event occurred in Danny's preschool. On occasion, I helped out in the class. I remembered standing with Danny's teachers who were instructing the children in a game; they all had to take their clothes off, step onto a colored circle and then take turns doing sexual acts to the child next to them. The games were centered around colors, music, body movement and hand signals. **Hand signals** were taught to the children this way and put to music for reinforcement. There was a VCR off to the side of the classroom where an individual child was placed to watch a special pre-selected tape. ...

Danny completed computer classes in preschool before he was four years old. During my deprogramming process, I was horrified when I remembered that I continually read him the story of Danny and the Dinosaur. As I re-read the story years later, I found a phrase in the book, which states, "there's no place to run, no place to hide." I was used to keep my own son's programming locked tightly in place, reinforcing the programs that were used to keep the parts of his mind that were compartmentalized for our controller's use, separate from his conscious awareness.

Henry Kissinger has been Danny's main man. He was the one calling the shots and organized the **creation of Danny's mind files for NASA/military use**.

Danny was, and may still be, scheduled for a major position within NASA one day, following in the footsteps of his **grandfather Ford** and **Uncle Lyle Curran**. They have him scheduled to become a scientist. I overheard Henry talking to someone about it.

Danny has very specific programming themes that center around all the planets -- Jupiter, Mars, Venus, Pluto, Saturn,

etc., and I was programmed to tell him often before he went to sleep, “I love you to the moon and much, much, much, much, more than that. A thousand times more than that. A trillion times more than that,” and on and on until we got to the highest number beyond infinity, and Danny knew that number. For some reason I can’t remember it. I never could. **Then, he would go to sleep.**



*NASA*

Danny and Kelly both had those neon, glow-in-the-dark stars and planets on their ceilings and so did I. Danny has tons of high tech information in his brain. I saw him demonstrated at Point Mugu when they put him in front of a group to demonstrate his capabilities. **Henry took Danny to different** locations to “display the technology,” showing that a five-year-old could appear to be genius level, “a computer whiz.”

He had mega memory, displaying the intelligence level of what they termed a “Junior College Student.” Danny was seen as having the intelligence of the future and they said he would blossom in high school, whatever that meant.

They said that, by then, Danny would be fully functional and used by them extensively in international work. Henry talked a lot about Danny and I remember Danny holding onto Henry’s leg one time when he was demonstrating Danny in front of a whole group of people. [All emphasis added]

**Appendix D.** Trish Fotheringham, “Patterns of Mind Control: A First Person Account,” in Randy Noblett and Pamela Perskin, eds, *Ritual Abuse in the Twenty-First Century: Psychological, Forensic, Social and Political Considerations* (2015).

I do not know the identity of the group who “programmed” me. From my birth in 1960, I was subjected to daily trainings and exploitations designed to create dissociated identities or alternate personalities (hereafter referred to as “alters”) that could be programmed according to the needs of the buyer(s).

My alters were trained for a variety of uses. I was rented as a child sex slave for individual pedophiles and cults. Other uses included writing the abusers’ words, preaching their agendas, recruiting, triggering others to action, recording, reporting, stealing, spying, and drug and arms trafficking.

I was in two different secret cults: my father’s ancient patriarchal clan, which had a few brutal sexual “family traditions,” and my mother’s “healing magic coven.” Mom made a deal: social status and a secure cash flow in exchange for her secrecy and unquestioning cooperation in making me available.

People involved, included relatives, neighbors and parents’ co-workers; doctor, and dentist; local businessmen, police, hospital staff, government officials, and politicians. Most of these were/are apparently “upright citizens”, often community leaders.

One important piece of technology my trainers used was a wooden chair that could rock, buck, rotate, and administer electric shocks. They told me it was a “magic gateway chair.”

The chair’s bucking and spinning was used to create internal tornados. Over the years, the chair was used to vibrate me into an “open channel” state, and to “ride the rainbow”, which was mostly the sensation of traveling with really amazing lighting and special effects added. Later it was used more harshly for “repairs”,

by making me near crazy and suggestible, and for punishments (“do what you’re told or we’ll have to zap some sense into you”).

Various psychoactive drugs were used to induce or enhance each particular dissociative state and to create “altered realities” or “escapes” that made it possible for alters to cope with otherwise unbearable experiences.

My understanding is that traumatic memories are stored differently than “ordinary” memories, in a manner which allows them to remain available and accessible, clear and intact as if locked in a vacuum that prevents aging or disintegration.

My handler, whom I called “Puffy,” was in my life from infancy. Usually loving and friendly, stroking and kissing me, he was like my daddy, lover, and master all at once. He played with me and treated me like his precious little princess. He took me walking in his beautiful gardens (like in the gospel song “In the Garden”).

At first I believed memories of a series of satanic events meant my family were Satanists and that “I” had been married to Satan (red skin, horns, tail, and all), so I lumped all the memories of the rituals of my parents’ cult groups together under a heading of Satanism. Later, thanks to an out-of-body alter that saw the wires, cameras, crew and staged setting, I learned the satanic-themed situations had actually been a series of porn and snuff films.

Basic “negative” emotional states such as fear, helplessness, stifled anger, and loneliness, as well as “positive” ones such as pleasure, contentment and safety, were isolated into separate alters. Over time, some alters came to be identified by different bodily positions such as curled up, bent over, twisted, or rigid. [Later] each alter’s memory had to be accessed and addressed before the whole experience could be reclaimed and healed.

Steadily, as each alter had enough time “out” in the body, this all began to solidify. Dissociative barriers kept them aware of only their own pieces of my overall life. And in turn, “I,” the primary

person who handled everyday life at home and in the world, was not aware of these alternate identities.

It seemed natural for life to be broken into chunks, with missing pieces, so “lost time” went unnoticed.

A core system was placed in the center of the inner world, as a root or anchor, a guidance system, and an overseer system, all in one. For me, this was a “Tree of Life”, with branches for the general color-coded path, and colored leaves for holding the specific memory. Training was linked and built upon this tree.

Nearly all alters were taught to be “good”, but what that meant was tailored to their training path. I had to become skilled at connecting image and sensation records of lessons, a skill that grew into a somewhat photographic memory. At 2 1/2 years, my red and pink path alters’ training had me in “an elite group” with other girls and boys, a class called “manners training” (at official local government residence).

Accuracy was also part of the white “word path” training. At first using pictures, then words, by 5 years of age, a little “scribe” alter had learned to make point-form lists for the “military leaders” at their planning meetings). Puffy was sometimes among these same men, on a huge, glamorous off-shore yacht that was used for child sex slave trafficking, among other things. When I was little, the pink alters being used for lap dances were treated and spoken to like a little princess who was precious and loved.

Sometimes my dark blue and black soldier alters were forced to “do battle” with other young boys in soldier training, while the men placed bets to see which of us “had what it takes to succeed.”

My grandfather made deliveries of “newly acquired trainees”, who were usually missing or unregistered children of all races who had arrived from other places in the country and were being readied for shipping and sale overseas.

At other times I was already onboard the boat, dressed in a formal gown to indicate that the children were being handed over to me for training. This pomp made me believe it was legitimate.

The physiological and chemical overload from all the events occurring outside of my conscious awareness produced severe asthma by this age of 6, ulcers by 11. Long hospital stays became part of my life – convenient for them!

Unbeknownst to my handler and trainers, a record-keeper alter split off and got stuck at age eight, due to an overload of righteous outrage and hate from witnessing too much injustice. Most of the “boxes of records” were kept by this alter and hidden in my inner tunnels. Luckily, “files in folders” had recently been introduced so this absence amazingly went unnoted. The records remained pure until this alter was discovered in my late 30s.

From the time I was tiny, anything that comforted or empowered me was always taken away or somehow tainted, **so I’d not be able to draw upon it in my times of need.**

“Self-destructs”, mostly after age 10, were triggered if I recalled what I wasn’t supposed to know. It caused a “trip wire” physical response, and/or trigger a flood of feelings, without the content, from emotionally traumatic memories such as the death of a loved one, being outcast by “the gods,” or being told I had failed.

My drop in status to outright slave came when I was shackled and chained, then forced to participate in the very crime my actions had been intended to save me from, after which I was “left to rot” in the cold, dark, hungry isolation of a cage in the basement of my handler’s estate house.

When I was still only twelve years old, the dark blue and black path soldier shutdown involved a series of incidents in which, while drugged with LSD, I had to endure a “final survival skills exam” in a forested section of a local military shooting range/training ground.

The staged event brought “failure”, beatings and interrogation as a “prisoner of the enemy”, then escape when I used self-defense training against other “soldiers” (children like me!). I was captured. In retrospect, it is evident that “putting me in my place” (as was said often) was the agenda – and my place was to be one of life’s losers, a high school dropout.

After a self-initiated visit to the psychiatrist I left his office in a state of despair, hitchhiking home. Getting into a van that stopped, I sensed danger, but too late. The man in the back grabbed me, pulling me into the van. I felt a prick in my arm.

I was taken to the Colonel and a soldier alter was called forth and was convinced that he was a traitor! He [I] was told, and agreed, that the only option was to “take one for the team” and **have his brain wiped clean** with a “new medical procedure”; at least then he would retain his rank. He was regressed in age and his memories were “erased in reverse order, back to the womb.” When he learned he was “really only a stupid little girl”, he disappeared. This was the last I saw of Puffy although he continued to have me watched and triggered.

After the healing I have done, and based on all I’ve learned, from those who abused and exploited me, **I can honestly say I have never encountered a truly evil person**, only people who do evil things. When people do evil, or allow evil to persist in the world, it’s only because that’s what they were taught or conditioned to believe is the most acceptable, bearable, livable choice.

Survival needs include not only food, water, sleep, shelter, and safety, but also a sense of self and of being loved and belonging, as well as sufficient understanding to make our way in the world. I’ve learned that understanding naturally leads to wiser choices, and positive change inevitably results, in fact cannot be stopped, since human nature drives us forward to ever better things, relentlessly and unavoidably. **We can choose to act with understanding and compassion rather than fear, to be discerning, to think for ourselves.**

**Appendix E.** “Tag der Freiheit”: Germans Not Going Gentle into That Lockdown Night, by Mary W Maxwell

I have a feeling that August 1, 2020 will become a famous day in the history of freedom. Maybe up there in the Pantheon with July 14, 1789’s storming of the Bastille, or April 19, 1775’s battle on the Lexington Green. Or maybe December 1, 1995’s choice, by Rosa Parks, of a seat at the front of the bus.

On August 1, Germans, in their hundreds of thousands, turned out in Berlin for a peaceful protest of the Covid-19 restrictions. Some did wear a mask, which shows that there are shades of opinion. I assume the masked persons were saying “Even if there is a contagious disease you cannot lock down my home and my business thank you very much.”

During the event, a friend of mine in Europe and I, in America, were “in cahoots,” watching the video of the protest being livestreamed from Berlin on Russian TV. At one point the friend emailed me to say that the police had taken off their helmets, in solidarity with the protestors. I hadn’t seen it happen but was pleased to hear of it. To be precise I was extremely moved. To be even more precise, I cried.

This is exactly what has been needed for at least a century – for the cops and soldiers who have been trained to go against their country’s interest, to suddenly see the light and say “Hey, wait a minute!” I think we should grab any opportunities today to teach cops how to reject the new round of training in which they are taught to be brutal. Dear Peace Officers, just say No.

Ah, the friend who told me about helmet-removal called back a while later and said maybe he got the story wrong. But at least when the cops said they had to do their job they admitted that “We can’t arrest a million people.” Some protestors replied “OK, you can arrest a few of us and we won’t show any resistance.”



Isn't that nice? I won't reach for the Kleenex over it, but it's nevertheless the thing we most need: reasonableness! Simple acknowledgement that there are different interests at stake. Bob Marley would sing, "Let's get together and be all right."

Ah, the Mainstream media did not rejoice. Here is the WaPo report, published on August 1, 2020, that Great Day. From *WaPo's* Loveday Morris and Miriam Berger, poor things.

"Thousands in Berlin protest coronavirus restrictions in 'Freedom Day' march as cases continue to rise. The demonstration took place despite recent warnings from German health officials about a new rise in infections. Billed as a "Freedom Day," the protest drew around 15,000 people, according to police figures cited by German media. [Half a million, the other side says]

"The demonstration was organized by Querdenken 771.... Members sometimes wear tinfoil hats or necklaces.... In the past [they] have railed over conspiracies of forced vaccinations, microchipping and other false claims around covid-19 that involve Bill Gates and pharmaceutical companies."

Come on, Germany has a thriving intellectual discussion going on about the Takeover and the Re-set. Participants are Prof Sucharit Bhakdi, an epidemiologist; Wolfgang Wodard, a physician ex-Bundestag; Hermann Ploppa, a German expert on American politics; Ulrich Mies, a political scientist; Elias Davidson, author on 9-11 and the Mumbai bombings, Beate Bahner, a lawyer; David Jungbluth, a former judge; and journalists Ken Jebsen, Dirk Pohlmann, and Paul Schreyer.

On April 27, 2020, Dr Bhakdi penned a polite Open Letter to Chancellor Angela Merkel asking if the matter had been considered scientifically (Merkel is a trained physicist). Bhakdi wrote:



*Dr Merkel. Photo: CS Monitor*

“The reason for my concern lies above all in the truly unforeseeable socio-economic consequences of the drastic containment measures. In infectiology – founded by Robert Koch himself – a traditional distinction is made between infection and disease. An illness requires a clinical manifestation. Therefore, only patients with symptoms such as fever or cough should be included in the statistics as new cases. Did the projections make a distinction between symptom-free infected people and actual, sick patients: those who develop symptoms?”

“**2. Dangerousness.** A number of coronaviruses have been circulating for a long time – largely unnoticed by the media. [2] If it should turn out that the COVID-19 virus should not be ascribed a significantly higher risk potential than the already circulating corona viruses, all countermeasures would obviously become unnecessary. Per *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* preliminary results of the study lead to the conclusion that the new virus is NOT different from traditional corona viruses in terms of dangerousness. Has there already been a random sample of the healthy general population to validate the real spread of the virus, or is this planned in the near future?”

“[There are other factors such as] increased air pollution in the north of Italy. According to WHO estimates, this situation, even without the virus, led to over 8,000 additional deaths per year in 2006 in the 13 largest cities in Italy alone.” [Emphasis added]

## **Appendix F.** Review: Davidsson's *America's Betrayal Confirmed*

Elias Davidsson, whose previous comprehensive work on 9-11 was published only in German, is also the author of a book about the London Tube attack, and a very important 2013 book *Hijacking America's Mind*, that analyzes the phone calls that were allegedly made from the alleged flights that day. Elias learned of them from records in the trial of Zacharias Moussaoui -- the FBI had to cough up what it had in its files.

Two big kickers in that book were that Yes, a call was placed by Barbara Olson, but it lasted zero (!) seconds. And Ed Ballinger of United Airlines was able to learn that two of the flights were not located where the official story says they were.

Ballinger, the dispatcher for United Airlines' 16 daily flights from the East coast to the West coast, gave amazing sworn testimony to the 9-11 Commission. He said he sent a message to *all* his pilots when he heard there was trouble, that morning. He thus got "receipts" showing which RGS (remote ground station) had forwarded each call.

In the case of UA93, the "Let's roll" flight, the receiving RGS was not near Shanksville, PA, but near Cleveland, Ohio. As for Flight UA175, which supposedly smashed into the South Tower, Ballinger found that the remote ground station that forwarded his message to that plane was not near New York City but near Champaign, Illinois. Bet you have never heard that astonishing evidence from any source -- including the Commission's report!

Now two samples from *America's Betrayal Confirmed* (2020)

**1. The puzzling nonchalance of three veteran flight attendants** [page 157] "Betty Ong and Madeline Sweeney (AA11) spent 27 and minutes respectively on the phone relaying information about murderous events allegedly occurring aboard their plane. Presuming that both were veteran, conscientious and

compassionate flight attendants, it is inconceivable that had their colleagues been really attacked and stabbed a few feet away, they would sit quietly and chat on the phone so long. The only explanation for their conduct is that they were not relating real events.”

**2. Vodka metamorphosed into cranberry juice** [page 85] “As religious Muslims do not drink alcohol, the initial story of the Shuckum’s spree [conflicted with] the official legend of fanatic Muslims. But on 16 September *The Washington Post* reported from Shuckum’s that ‘Atta played video Trivial Pursuit and blackjack with great determination,’ — another atypical occupation of religious Muslims. Six days later, alcohol disappeared completely from the story. On 27 September another ‘newspaper of record,’ the *Los Angeles Times*, reported Shuckum’s owner saying that “Atta sat quietly by himself and drank cranberry juice....”

**Concise conclusions** are conveniently provided for most of the chapters. Here is Elias’ conclusion to Chapter 8 [page 140]:

“Photographic evidence of aircraft wreckage from the three alleged crash sites is sparse and inconclusive.

“At none of the three locations designated as aircraft crash sites did eyewitnesses observe wreckage that could plausibly come from a Boeing 757 or 767 aircraft.

“No bodies or blood were sighted at the UA93 crash site, but numerous paper documents belonging to UA93 passengers and crew members were reportedly found there.”

### **Is Elias Davidsson a Conspiracy Theorist? An Aluhut?**

Certainly there are some sloppy, irresponsible conspiracy theorists in this world. (I’m guessing many of them are “on the payroll.”) But what of the many sober scholars like Davidsson, Thierry Meyssan, David Ray Griffin, and (I blush) myself. Are we conspiracy theorists?

The German word Aluhut (aluminum hat) is used. I personally am honored to be called an aluhut. I think a good way of diminishing the clout of our detractors would be to take up the title and boast about it. Aluhuts of the world, unite! We are doing the hard yards for everybody – and sometimes at a great price.

“Conspiracy theorists” include top journalists from around the world -- Jim Corbett in Japan, Hanne Herland in Norway, Eva Bartlett in UK, James Perloff in Boston, plus scholars and ex-military. Elias Davidsson is a classical musician. I hereby name him Top Dog Conspiracy Theorist of the 9-11 Event. How could you not love the ending of his book *America’s Betrayal Confirmed*:

“In the garb of combating terrorism, governments institute arbitrary rule, reduce government accountability and justify the surveillance of their populations. Their final aim, as a service to the ruling minority, is to hollow out democracy, leaving only a façade. Some governments [“not mentioning any names”] use the counterterrorism ideology to justify their foreign military interventions and threaten world peace.

“But truth has the tendency to seep through. The truth about 9/11 may be regarded as **the Achilles heel of the ruling oligarchies, because they have no defense available**. The quest for the truth on 9/11 can, therefore, help empower and unite all those who cherish freedom, social justice and peace to act for a system change.” [Emphasis added]

The Back of the Book. Just before the lovely Index, there are 45 pages of Endnotes. Elias Davidsson has never been abstemious with references, but really he deserves a Writer’s Award for this adventure. Note: Having declared Elias to be the Top Dog, I must add that my respect for Kevin Ryan’s book *Another Nineteen*, is also very high. Ryan names 19 people whom he suspects were in the game on September 11, 2001. One example – Paul Bremer, later governor of Iraq.

**Appendix G.** **The Grass Electoral College: Ignore the Parties and Choose a President by Common Sense**, by Mary W Maxwell, published at VeteransToday.com, July 26, 2020.

In 2008, New Hampshire citizen Fred Hollander asked a court to rule on the presidential eligibility of candidate John McCain who was not born in the US. Hollander worried that his party, the Republicans, would be left without a candidate if there were a last-minute disqualification of McCain. The court dismissed Hollander's efforts.

At the moment we have two candidates, Trump, age 74, and Biden, age 77, whose *inability* to unite a nation has already been on display for years. But the electoral college can step in and find brilliant other candidates. In 1788, Alexander Hamilton wrote:

(In *Federalist Paper 68*) "It was desirable that the sense of the people should operate in the choice of the person. ... [The] election should be made by men ... *acting under circumstances favorable to deliberation* .... A small number of persons, selected by their fellow-citizens ... will be most likely to possess the information and discernment requisite to such complicated investigations.

Happily, Hamilton's recommendations became part of the Constitution. But the make-up of an electoral college has been gradually altered by party politics. This year, the key dates to notice are: August 17-20, Democrat national convention in Milwaukee, WI; August 24-27, Republican convention in Charlotte, NC; November 3, the general election; December 14 for the Electors (of the Electoral College) to sign their ballots, and Jan 6, 2021 for those ballots to be counted openly in Congress.

No "deliberation" will have taken place! But it's not too late for us to call for a make-believe "electoral college" that can meet somewhere – such as on the grass -- and *propose* great candidates. To do so would be perfectly legal. I am calling it the Grass

Electoral College to emphasize its outreach to any American who cares to nominate a new president.

I think many Dems will pull the lever for Biden, *reluctantly*, as will many Repubs for Trump. Satire-style videos on YouTube have mocked both men to an extreme degree, and many writers are making the case that criminal charges lurk, for both Biden and Trump. One perfectly legal option is to do a write-in – at the polls you can pencil in the name of anyone. But getting a new president by that means would be cumbersome. I am arguing for a different approach here, based on reviving the perfectly-constitutional creativity of the electoral college.

Mass media has misguided the public to believe that the electoral college's job is to confirm the candidates who got nominated at the November election (which in turn reflected the summertime party conventions, which in turn reflected party primaries).

This year, the US Supreme Court ruled that it's OK for states to instruct their Electors on how to vote on December 14<sup>th</sup>. But no state is *required* to instruct; 22 of them do not instruct. And the legislatures of the 28 “instructing states” could pass a law to free their Electors.

### **Electoral College**

The Constitution never mentions parties (they are private). The Framers in 1787 envisioned only a “college” of persons, chosen within each state, who would put forth the name of a president and a vice president. It's in Article II, section 1, and was expanded in 1804 by the Twelfth Amendment (after a fuss about Jefferson's 1800 election).

Subsequent federal legislation set the date on which the Electors must meet, as the first Monday after the second Wednesday of December. So, in 2020, it's December 14.

As of now (July), no state Electors even exist. When citizens go to the polls on November 3, they will choose them. How so? In a few states, the Electors' names are right there in black and white on the ballot, but for most states the election of Electors is "implicit." This means that, if a majority of citizens in your state pull the lever for Trump, the Republican party will, in its own private way, find people to send to the Electoral college on December 14. Note: the whole nation's Electors do not meet together. In 1787 that would have meant traveling on foot or by horseback! The Grass Electoral College *can* meet across borders, but to stay constitutional, it **MUST** hand its proposed list of candidates to state Electors. OK?

The media tries to keep the Electoral College hush-hush. They never mention it -- other than to say it is "elitist" and should be gotten rid of. But it need not be elitist; its choices could be anti-elitist. Amazingly, Ron-Paulishly, anti-elitist.



*Your backyard perhaps*

### **The Need to Win 270 Electoral Votes**

The question that will get asked on the morning of November 4 is: "Which candidate, if any, got 270 electoral votes?" Every TV station will be showing a map of the US with "blue states" (Democrat) and "red states" (Republican) and the number of electoral votes that each has. Constitutionally, low-populated Wyoming is entitled to a paltry 3 Electors – one for its 1 congressional district and two (like every state) for its 2 senators. High-populated California gets 55 Electors because it has 53 congresspersons and two senators.



The “magic number” of 270 electoral votes, as the basis for winning the presidency, comes from the fact that the House of Representatives has 435 members, and the Senate has 100, and residents of the capitol territory, DC, get 3 votes. This totals 538 electoral votes. A majority of that means 50%, namely 269, plus one. Thus, 270. It’s possible to come out first in the popular vote but second in the count of electoral votes. On November 8, 2016, Hillary Clinton won the popular vote (she got 2.8 million more votes than Trump). Trump won the presidency because he got 304 electoral votes (to Hillary’s 227).

Most states (but not Maine or Nebraska) use a “winner takes all” method of deciding who won that state’s electoral vote. In 2016 the majority of Californians pulled the lever for Hillary, so *all of the 55 Electors* for that state went to their in-state “college” (in Sacramento) and voted for her. I assume that the Democrat Party of California designated 55 people to be those Electors.

### **The Nominating Conventions**

“What about the nominating conventions held in summer?” I hear you ask. Don’t they create legally binding rules within each state as to how to pick the names to be listed on the November ballot? I don’t know the laws of every state, but these conventions (held by the two big parties and any small party that wants to have one) are private affairs.

“Delegates” meet,” create their party’s platform and pick a presidential candidate and a VP candidate. (Remember Sarah Palin?) By the way, it is not kosher for a VP to be “ticketed in.”

Note that the term “president elect” is technically not correct when it is used immediately after the November polls close, since “the people” don’t *elect* the US president – the Electors do. Even after the *December* date, we still don’t have a “president elect.” That can’t occur until January 6 when the new Congress – specifically the president of the Senate – opens and counts all 538 Electors’ ballots.

If no one received 270 votes, no problem; the Constitution's 12<sup>th</sup> Amendment says: "... if no person have such majority, then from the persons having the highest numbers not exceeding three ... the House of Representatives shall choose immediately, by ballot, the President. But in choosing the President, the votes shall be taken by states, the representation from each state having one vote..."

Note: In dismissing Fred Hollander's case, Judge LaPlante wrote:

"Because Hollander can show no such injury, this court lacks jurisdiction over his attempt to resolve the question of McCain's eligibility under Art. II, § 1, cl. 4. Whatever the contours of that constitutional provision. Article III has been definitively read by the courts to confer no jurisdiction over this kind of action."

### **Would You Like To Audition for the Role of President?**

Let's get responsible this year. We need fabulous candidates. An unofficial committee could receive CV's from interested persons and then invite them in for an "audition." Just imagine recruiting a man or woman for this high office without the help of the media, the parties, the Supreme Court, or deals done in backrooms. Just imagine triggering the old gray matter into action. Wow.

Phone a friend today and start to do something. The Constitution is fully on your side in the matter of choosing a president. You can arrange a teeny-tiny Grass Electoral College, or a huge one. Please be loyal to the parchment, strictly. While it may seem fun to nominate the leaderly Dr Rashid Buttar, or the brilliant prison-reformist Shaun Attwood, don't do it – they were not born in US. Also, the Constitution gives a minimum age of 35, OK?

Never sacrifice the Constitution "for just this one time." Never. The whole point of the Constitution is that it has huge authority. It stands for all of us. Society ratified it and only society can change it.

**Appendix H.** 1961 Deposition of Royal Raymond Rife (sworn testimony) in the case of *The People of the State of California Vs. John Marsh, Lallas Bateson, and John Crane*. Deposed in Tijuana, Mexico

Question: Please state your name? *Answer: Royal Raymond Rife*. Where do you now reside? *As a tourist in Tijuana*. Are you the same Royal R. Rife who invented the system of killing or de-activating pathogenic organisms by electronic waves or frequencies produced by instruments similar to those made by Mr. John Crane, one of the Defendants in this case? *Yes. ...*



*Royal Rife*

What is the basic theory upon which you sought to find a means of killing pathogenic organisms? *The theory of coordinative resonance with frequencies which I proved would kill microorganisms by electron transfer and internal stresses of pathogenic cells owing to electromagnetic and electrostatic forces*. What kinds of pathogenic organisms did you study, in these experiments? *Tetanus, typhoid, gonorrhoea, syphilis, staphylococci, pneumonia, streptothrix, streptococci, tuberculosis, sarcoma, carcinoma, leprosy, polio, cholera, actinomycosis, glanders, bubonic plague, anthrax, influenza, herpes, cataracts, glaucoma, colitis, sinus, ulcers and many other virus bacteria and fungi*.

From what sources were these organisms obtained? *The Hooper Foundation, Paradise Valley Sanitarium, from Northwestern Medical University in Chicago, from the Mayo Clinic, and from many medical doctors*. What sort of laboratory facilities did you have? *I had one of the best privately equipped laboratories in the world complete with a million-volt x-ray, frequency instruments, electronic test equipment, precision lathes, mills, drill presses, shaper and all equipment necessary to make instruments .... I had animals in cages in the basement with facilities for 1000 animals*. *The Rife*

*Research Laboratory was air conditioned and humidity controlled to one tenth of one degree.*

Were any special instruments required for your study of viruses? *Yes. What were they? Prismatic virus microscopes and Berkefeld porcelain filters, a micromanipulator and electronic test instruments and frequency instruments. Were all of these obtainable from ordinary commercial sources? No - I could not buy them on the open market and they are still not obtainable even today. How did you obtain them? I had to design and build these instruments to accomplish what I wanted to attain with my research. Who designed these? I designed them. Describe these special instruments for us. The universal microscope was described and published by the journal of the Franklin Institute. Time does not permit me to describe all of the many instruments that I designed and constructed. The micromanipulator was used to dissect and operate on cells. The spectrometer was used to measure the angles of crystals, the frequency instruments were used to kill bacteria, virus, and fungi, the microscopes of the prismatic virus type were used to study living virus, bacteria, and fungi, a petro-graphical micro-polariscope was used to analyze chemicals and color frequencies with polarized light, special rare gas glass contained atmospheres were used to provide ionized radiation to transmit energy to increase virulence and to devitalize all microorganisms as desired..... Describe your experiments by which you isolated these viruses. After the filtered form was obtained, a micropipette is used to place a drop of the fluid on a slide. This slide is placed on the microscope stage of any of the five virus microscopes that I designed and built.*

*A special risely prism which works on a counter rotation principle selects a portion of the light frequency which illuminates these virus in their own characteristic chemical colors by emission of coordinative light frequency and the virus become readily identifiable by the colors revealed on observation. 8,000 to 17,000x magnification is sufficient to see them. Before building the virus prismatic microscopes, I sectioned over 15,000 slides trying all types of acid and aniline dye stains with no results over a period of ten years. How did you determine whether these viruses were pathogenic? By animal test and from known sources and by microscope examination which reveals the true identity of microorganisms to the trained observer. Describe your experiments made to prove that these viruses were pathogenic. On one series of cancer tests, I inoculated the virus which I had isolated and filtered from an unulcerated breast mass into an albino rat, the tumor was allowed to*

*grow and then I surgically removed the tumor and again isolated and filtered the virus from a portion of the ground up tumor and inoculated the next rat and repeated this procedure 411 times to prove that this virus was the causative agent of cancer. Tests on many other diseases such as those previously mentioned are too numerous to even start on at this time. About how long a period of time did your work/study of these viruses, and proof of their pathogenic character, cover? 15 years on virus only. Did you also study bacterial forms of pathogenic organisms associated with these viruses? Yes. Did you find whether some bacteria were capable of releasing a form of virus?*

*Yes. Virus are released from bacteria just as a chicken lays an egg. How did you determine this? By virus observation and cell study and virus photographs which I made and one which John Crane made from a film of cancer virus which has been copyrighted. What are some of the bacteria which you found to be capable of releasing a form of virus? Bacillus coli, tuberculosis, typhoid, and many others. Were certain kinds of culture media better suited than others to the study of the relationship between the bacteria and virus forms? A media developed by Arthur I. Kendall known as K media proved superior to other types of bacteria media. Why, or in what way, were some culture media superior to others for this purpose? Because of the results obtained.*

*Were any physicians or scientists associated with you in any of these studies? Yes.... Initially I worked with loose couplers to get an audio oscillation and then with the use of transmitters, I tried to balance the audio and modulate the audio on a carrier wave to transmit the audio energy but I found that both the audio and the audio transmitted through a tube as an antenna worked equally as well in a painless and harmless method to human tissue. ...Walker and I studied leprosy and I isolated a virus which we jointly demonstrated was common to rat, and soil, and human leprosy and I found a frequency which would eliminate leprosy. From 1950 and on, John Crane has continued on with this research. The others were visitors and interested parties. Many others have aided in promotion of this research and the AMA has suppressed all effort and research knowledge of my developments. Did you grow bacteria and viruses in various culture media? Yes. How did you determine what they were? They can be readily diagnosed by their own true colors which are emitted when placed in any of the five virus microscopes that I designed and built for this virus identification and study. What study and experience did you have*

in the science of optics, before commencing these experiments? *I studied for 6 years with Hans Luckel who was Karl Zeiss's optical scientist and researcher. I also made all the photomicrographs for the Atlas of Parasites which was done at the University of Heidelberg. I also studied eye surgery for two years....* What is necessary, in order to make bacteria and viruses visible under the microscope? *First there must be high enough power to enable the observer to see them and second they must be identified by a frequency of light which coordinates with the chemical constituents of the virus or filterable form in question....* What study and experience have you had in the science of bacteriology? *I studied bacteriology at John Hopkins and the University of Heidelberg . . .* Did any other scientists observe, without actually assisting, any of these studies or experiments? *Yes. Who were they? Dr. Kendall, Grunner, Johnson, . . . and others ...* As a result of such studies, did you and Dr. Arthur I. Kendall publish a report of some of your experiments in "*California and Western Medicines*" the Journal of the California Medical Association, in the December, 1931, issue? *Yes? Yes.*

Did Dr. Rosenow publish a report of this study in the July, 1932, issue of the Mayo Clinic Bulletin? *Yes.* How did you obtain the device or mechanism used to generate such frequencies? *Some coils I wound myself. Other parts I purchased.* How did you determine whether particular frequencies had any effect upon bacteria or viruses? *By observation with bacteria and virus under the Rife Virus Prismatic Microscope in conjunction with the application of electronic energy.* Were you able to kill or de-activate any bacteria or viruses by the application to them of electronic currents or rays? *Yes.* Can you name some of the bacteria and viruses which you were able to kill or to de-activate by such means? *Tetanus, typhoid, gonorrhoea, treponema pallidum, staphylococci, pneumonia, streptothrix, bacillus coli, tuberculosis, streptococci, sarcoma, carcinoma, and many others. And it was found that by using combinations of these frequencies for the different microorganisms that many other diseases could be helped like sinus, ulcers, cataract, arthritis, poliomyelitis, etc....* Was there ever any change in the appearance of such bacteria or viruses as seen under your microscope? *Yes. Some types will explode or disintegrate and some will gather together like log jams or agglutinate....* Did you furnish one of your electronic frequency-generators to Dr. Milbank Johnson for his use? *Yes.* Over about what period of time did he use it? *8 years....* During the period of time when Dr. Milbank Johnson was so using

your electronic frequency-generator, were you acquainted with Dr. James B. Couche, M.D. (now deceased)? *Yes. Did Dr. James B. Couche participate in the work of Dr. Milbank Johnson in the treatment of human patients with the frequency-generator? Yes... I saw cancer and tuberculosis cases that had completely recovered. I saw Dr. Couche's brother who had come over from England. He had a 30 year sinus condition with terrible drainage. Dr. Couche used the frequency instrument on him and he was well in three weeks. Dr. Couche had treated Dr. Hamer, M.D., for a sinus condition which cleared up. Dr. Couche had treated Dr. Butterfield, M.D.'s brother-in-law who had a stiff wrist a tuberculosis of the bone which cleared up. Also I saw a Mexican boy who had osteomyelitis of the bone which Dr. Couche cleared up with the frequency instrument. I saw George Lemm, being treated by Dr. Couche for tuberculosis and he had come out from Chicago to die. He was sent from the Vulclain Home. As soon as they found out that Couche was getting results, they tried to get all of their patients back but Lemm said no that he was going to finish up with Couche and he completely recovered.*

...Did you observe the condition of any of Dr. Arthur W. Yale's patients after they had been treated by him with your electronic frequency-generator? *Yes. They completely recovered from syphilis, cancer, tuberculosis, and many other infections. Did you perform any experiments on laboratory animals ... We also did a great deal of work on tuberculosis with animals and proved that the rod form and the virus form must both be devitalized to attain results which requires two frequencies, one for each form before recovery can occur. Did you compare the subsequent condition of the animals so treated with your frequency-generator with the condition of "control" animals?... Yes. The inoculated controls died and the controls which were not inoculated were not affected.*

Were you able to determine whether each kind of bacteria or virus which you tested was affected most by some particular frequency? *Yes. What happened when you used a different frequency on it? It was not affected. ... Did you ever request any governmental department or agency to make a test of your electronic frequency-generator to determine its effect upon diseases? If so, which one or ones? Yes. The Department of Health, Education and Welfare and the National Research Council, Committee on Growth, Washington DC, The American Cancer Society, The Damon Runyon Fund, The Sloan Kettering Institute, and others. They had no interest in the electronic method.*



*The start of the 2013 Boston Marathon. Photo: AP/ Stew Milne*

**Appendix J.** UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE Civil RICO suit

MARY MAXWELL, Plaintiff, PRO SE.

v

THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, UNITED STATES PROSECUTOR CARMEN ORTIZ, PUBLIC DEFENDERS WILLIAM FICK AND MIRIAM CONRAD, WATERTOWN CHIEF OF POLICE EDWARD DEVEAU, RICHARD WOLFE, MD, CHIEF OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE AT BETH ISRAEL DEACONESS HOSPITAL, THE DIRECTOR OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, THE BOSTON GLOBE, INC, NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (MIT), AND JOHN DOE, HIGH OFFICER IN THE RULING CABAL, Defendants.

Jury trial demanded.

**I. Introduction**

1. On April 15, 2013 two bombs went off during the Boston Marathon race. This crime was blamed on Tamerlan Tsarnaev and his younger brother, Dzhokhar "Jahar" Tsarnaev (hereinafter Jahar). Tamerlan was killed four days later. Jahar was wrongly convicted of



the bombing and is currently on Death Row in Florence, Colorado federal prison, where he is not allowed to send or receive mail.

2. The FBI submitted a video of the brothers walking near the Marathon. It is clear that Jahar is carrying a grey backpack, in that photo, minutes before the bombing, yet the FBI presented a bomb-ripped backpack, of black color, as proof that Jahar did it.

3. A friend of the Tsarnaevs, Khairullozhon Matanov, was harassed by the FBI for making false statements, and was unfairly convicted and later deported. This was probably because he had information that would exculpate the convict. Another friend, Ibragim Todashev, who could have been a witness in the Tsarnaev trial, was killed by the FBI in his home in Florida, in May 2013, as is admitted by the FBI.

## **II. Jurisdiction and Venue**

4. This is the proper court because it is a federal RICO case under 18 USC 1961, and one of the predicate crimes is obstruction of justice, per 18 USC 1503.

5. The New Hampshire venue is proper because the plaintiff lives in New Hampshire and also because it is considered problematic to file the case in Boston where emotions run high on the subject of the Marathon bombing.

## **III. Parties**

6. The plaintiff is Mary Maxwell, widow, age 72, who works fulltime as a law researcher and writer. She has a PhD in Politics and a Law Degree from the University of Adelaide, Australia.

7. She suffered stress and financial loss as a result of the Tsarnaev trial that occurred in April 2015, and devoted much of her work time in 2016 and 2017 to offering correctives.

## **IV. Statute of Limitations**

8. The statute of limitations for federal civil RICO is four years. Plaintiff's injury and losses occurred from 2016 through 2019.

9. The enterprise to be identified in this case has existed from at least 2001 until now.

## **V. The Racketeering Enterprise**

10. A racket, within the intent of the RICO Act, means organized crime. An example of this is a child-trafficking racket in which top members of schools, churches, adoption agencies, and hospitals start to do the opposite of what one would expect them to do -- protect minors from harm. They network secretly to achieve their criminal goals.

11. The racket related to the Boston Marathon bombing has to do with carrying out a psychological operation (a "psy-op") on the people of Boston via a terrorist incident.

12. A terrorist event may be used for three things -- to cause social fear, as a way for people to be rendered weak (and thus not challenge the power-holders); to set the stage for invasion of an enemy nation for having done the deed (in this case setting off two bombs on Boylston St, Boston, helps justify United States' crackdown on terrorists such as ISIS in the Middle East); and to pave the way for legislation that supports the security state, wherein all neighbors gradually become distrustful of one another.

13. The media are essential to this enterprise of psy-ops, as they are able to purvey the racketeers' narrative to everyone who watches television or reads a newspaper.

14. Police and lawyers, working within the enterprise, have the means of making sure the wrong person gets blamed for a so-called terrorist incident. Police can intimidate and/or arrest persons who create any obstacles to the enterprise's plans. Within a court case there may be falsified evidence (the production of which is a known

skill of the FBI), tampering with witnesses or jurors, and other violations of due process.

15. Of the 11 Defendants in this case, the racketeers of the enterprise, only four are outside of the categories of media, law enforcement, and the legal profession, namely the Director of the federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP), MIT, Dr Wolfe, and John Doe.

16. The Director of BOP participates in the enterprise by being the custodian of Jahar Tsarnaev, keeping him incommunicado.

17. MIT, the site-owner of the place where Sean Collier was murdered, is included for having contributed a misleading video surveillance that was used against Tsarnaev.

18. Dr Wolfe, chief of emergency, Beth Israel Deaconess Hospital, is named for giving an eyewitness description of Tamerlan's last moments of life that can't possibly be accurate.

19. A RICO case must show pecuniary gain. The Marathon bombing may have enriched the media, the security industry, and war-makers. But tricking citizens and their elected representatives gives an indirect gain to all members of the wealthy, powerful class.

20. John Doe, "high officer of the ruling cabal," is listed speculatively. To prove a RICO enterprise, one must show collaboration of criminal parties. But there probably exists a set of society's masters, imagined as "the cabal." Their man, "John Doe," may have worked behind the scenes, dictating the agenda to media, cops, lawyers, and others.

21. A theme of Jahar's trial is that he was motivated by Islamic ideology. This helped galvanize Americans against Muslims, with President Trump saying they should be barred from entering the United States, even if they had permanent residence status.

## **VI. RICO Requirements of Continuity and Relationship**

22. A RICO suit must show continuity of the crimes involved. There had to be continuity at least from, say, January 2013 to plan the bombing, then publicize the false story, until the present time, in late 2019, when Jahar is holding his appeal in the First Circuit.

23. A RICO case must also show relationship. All eleven Defendants may have colluded. The doctor at Beth Israel Deaconess had to misreport Tamerlan's death, to suit the story of the Watertown shootout; the Director of the BOP keeps under wraps anything that Jahar might want to share with the public; MIT had to know what the enterprise required when its man, Matthew Isgur, was selecting surveillance footage, and so forth.

## **VII. Two or More Predicate Acts**

24. For RICO, a minimum of two pertinent crimes, known as predicate acts, must have been committed. Plaintiff names the murder of Tamerlan as one predicate act, and the Public Defenders' refusal to let the jury know that Jahar's plea was Not Guilty as the other predicate act, an obstruction of justice. A spectacular crime allegedly occurred in Russia.

Maret Tsarnaeva says in her affidavit: "Dzhokhar's parents expressed willingness to engage independent counsel.... Mr Fick replied that government agents would obstruct independent counsel." And the Public Defenders shockingly warned "that, if their advice were not followed, Dzhokhar's life in custody near Boston would be more difficult."

## **VIII. Injury to Business and or Property**

25. Plaintiff has suffered distress and monetary loss resulting from the bombing of the 2013 Boston Marathon. For example, she sent a petition for a Writ of Error Coram Nobis to the District Court in 2016 and a follow-up on that to the Massachusetts legislature a year later. The price of postage (sent from Australia) was approximately \$20.

26. Plaintiff also lost valuable time from her business interests, in responding to the scandalous trial -- time she could have spent marketing some of her books and plays.

27. To produce a book on the matter, entitled *The Soul of Boston and the Marathon Bombing*, Plaintiff had to pay \$1600 for printing it and spend \$240 on postage to distribute copies. She also had major travel costs, for example going to Sydney to film the Youtube video "To Massachusetts Governor, Please Arrest the FBI."

## **IX. Facts and Allegations**

28. The Marathon bombing was presented to the public as a terrorist incident, similar to many others in the world. Typically, these incidents are "false flag operations," blamed on the chosen enemy. A "patsy" is assigned to be accused of the crime.

29. Media immediately built up the excitement in Boston and emphasized both the personal tragedies involved and the human-goodness aspects of the day's events. This is a well-developed tactic; psychologists know that raising any emotion, sad or happy, will deepen one's impression of an experience. The media arranged to spotlight a Marathon amputee at a sports match waving the flag, with a slogan for the event: Boston Strong.

30. After the bomb crisis was over, on Monday, April 15, 2013, no news came out as to who did it. Then, on Thursday at 5:00pm, FBI leader Richard DesLauriers -- along with Senator Elizabeth Warren, Governor Deval Patrick, Boston Police Commissioner Ed Davis, and many others -- held a press conference to announce that they had picked out two men, Suspects One and Two, that were recorded on a Boylston St surveillance camera.

31. The FBI said it did not know the Suspects' names, but that is a lie. The FBI had been in contact with Tamerlan for years prior to the Marathon. He was probably an FBI informant. Many immigrants are asked to be informants and are afraid to refuse.

32. DesLauriers then made a statement that cannot possibly have an innocent interpretation. He told the TV audience that the photos he had chosen are the only ones "the public should view to assist us. Other photos should not be deemed credible."

33. In other words, if you were holding in your cell phone a perfect shot of some other person planting the bomb, you should realize it is not to be given credence, even by you!

34. The brothers probably did not see this TV spot. But the psy-op story has them starting to act like fugitives. Allegedly, around 11:00pm, Tamerlan carjacked a parked SUV (sports utility vehicle), and its driver, despite his having his own Honda with him.

35. Carjackings are diagnostic of scripted events, as in the Brian Nichols case in Atlanta or the Martin Bryant case in Tasmania. Real fugitives do not add to their woes by taking a hostage who will only be a burden, and a witness, later. The media relayed a comical story in which Tamerlan said to the SUV owner, Dun Meng, that he had just killed a cop at MIT. Has there ever been a man who volunteered to a stranger that he was a cop killer? *The Boston Globe* expected people to believe that item on the basis of human nature -- a man wants to throw his weight around, to another man, pulling rank.

36. Next, all three persons in the carjacked SUV stopped at an ATM machine so Jahar could steal \$800 from Meng's account. For purposes of the script, this beefed up the

story that the brothers needed cash for their next stop -- Times Square -- to do more bombing. (Meng eventually said there was no discussion about a trip to New York, but the media had creatively carried it.)

37. The bank's photo of Jahar at the ATM machine does not look like Jahar. The carjacking probably did not happen at all, nor did the ATM heist.

38. Next, they reportedly go to a Shell station for gas. Meng makes his escape and thus, very conveniently, he is able to alert police to the identity of the bombers' SUV and the fact that it can be tracked. Thus the police see the car headed for Watertown. Members of the enterprise would no doubt have made advance plans for a drama in Watertown.

39. By now it's Friday morning, April 19, 2013, around 12:30am. Something violent occurs on Laurel St, Watertown but most likely it did not include the Tsarnaevs. The Enterprise may have arranged for two guys to start shooting at cops in the dark and even toss an explosive device. At least one cop, Rick Donohue, was seriously wounded. Plaintiff notes that the enterprise put all those lives at risk. Have others also died over this affair?

40. Soon the media spread a story that the younger brother, Jahar (but not saying his name) had tried to escape from the Laurel St shootout in the SUV and in the process ran over his brother. He allegedly sped away, then abandoned the car and was met by Officer St Onge, who did not kill or capture him. A capture would have been awkward for the enterprise, as it would have precluded the important Friday event -- a huge manhunt with military style trucks and soldiers, incredibly, entering homes with guns drawn.

41. Meanwhile, the allegedly run-over man, who isn't Tamerlan, perhaps a "stooge," is still at Laurel Street. (In one variation on this story, he was dragged 40 feet.) Reportedly this man acts aggressively with cops despite his injuries. Sgt John MacLellan was close up and saw this man bleed to death. (He said "unless I'm mind controlled.") MacLellan later figured it was Tamerlan. There hadn't been any way to identify the participants during the Laurel St shoot-out. The FBI later said they did it by testing the corpse's fingerprints.

42. That unidentified (bled-out) man's corpse was dispatched in an ambulance, yet Dr Wolfe says he supervised "Tamerlan's" final moments in hospital and blood was transfused. In court Wolfe testified: "multiple injuries, probably, we believe, a combination of blast, potentially gunshot wounds." Nothing about run-over wounds

or drag marks (road rash). And it is odd to say the wounds were only "potentially" gunshot.

43. The real Tamerlan appeared, as can be seen in two different films, at about 1:05am (around 20 minutes after the run-over man's body was taken by ambulance). The scene is Mt Auburn St. A cop in a phosphorescent yellow jacket has the real Tamerlan pinned to the sidewalk. Tamerlan yells "Podstava" -- Russian for "I've been set up."

44. The brothers had probably come to Watertown on their own, under FBI instructions. A "pal" of Tamerlan's seems to be on the ground with him; maybe he had lured him to the right place. Lawyers did not call that person to court.

45. The Podstava video was reportedly filmed by a Mt Auburn resident named Big Headphones who posted it on Youtube shortly after.

46. This man, the real Tamerlan, may have been stripped naked as a precaution that he could be carrying explosives. He was then escorted into a police car. Anyone can see this on CNN's Youtube video, originally broadcast live as news. CNN man Gabe Ramirez is standing nearby and narrates to the audience that "It may be Suspect 1."

47. Tamerlan's relatives agree that the man pinned down on the sidewalk who yelled "Podstava" is Tamerlan, and the naked man getting into the car is also Tamerlan. It is obvious that the naked Tamerlan has no blood on him and is not making any gestures of pain. He is healthy. This raises the question of how he subsequently died in custody.

48. Five hours later, at 6am, residents of Boston got a robo call telling them to "shelter in place," that is, not to go out. The reason given was that a 19-year old terrorist (Jahar) was on the loose. This is theatre.



49. It made possible a rare scene for Americans: an army-like group rode down the residential streets and forced their way into any homes, even where the homeowner came to the door and assured law enforcement that all was well. Some people were made to stand in their front yard for hours, in Watertown.

50. Excitement was high in Boston, too. Trains and buses were cancelled for the day at the request of Massachusetts Governor Deval Patrick. A governor who was not in on the enterprise's psy-op plans would more likely have kept transportation normal.

51. The RICO enterprise in which the Defendants were engaging, on that occasion, has to do with controlling the public. The unconstitutional, warrantless raid on people's homes had the desired effect of giving citizens the impression that the United States Constitution is no longer able to shield them. After all, if a raid happened once it can happen again. And it must now be "acceptable."

52. Recently, on December 2, 2019, *The Boston Globe's* editor Kevin Cullen wrote an article headlined "After a few years of respite, Dzhokhar Tsarnaev is about to invade our consciousness again," referring to the upcoming Appeal. Of course, it is not Tsarnaev, but the *Globe*, that will be invading our consciousness again, telling us where we stand.

53. On December 3, 2019, an investment website, Stock Daily Dish, had the headline "Defending the world from terror: Fascinating pictures show anti-terror police in action around the world." The article said "Some of the photos document training exercises, while others show the reality of operations in Boston following the marathon bombing in 2013 and in Paris after the Charlie Hebdo massacre in 2015. ... [They] show the innovative tactics being used to combat new terror threats and the advanced weapons technologies being deployed." Perforce, we are expected to take this all as a given, as the new norm.

54. Governor Patrick's shelter-in-place order was lifted at 7pm, Friday, April 19, 2013. At that time, a resident of Watertown, the late David Henneberry, went outside for a smoke. Henneberry's house had been spared from the police raid earlier in the day. Henneberry

noticed that the cover on his drydocked boat was loose. So he got his ladder to climb up and look inside. He saw blood and a body. His wife called 911, which led to the discovery of Jahar in the boat. Probably the "going out for a smoke" was choreographed. Without it, the media would have no blood-stained boat wall confession saying "I bear witness that there is no God but Allah." (Were those blood marks ever tested for identity?)

55. A police helicopter used thermal imagery and saw that a person in the boat was still warm, but not moving. Jahar may have been unconscious from being drugged. He could hardly have climbed into the boat with no ladder. And was it his blood on the floor? The police then "went wild," shooting 228 bullets into the boat. This was technically not necessary. The enterprise's plan may have been for Jahar to die, like his brother.

56. In scripted terrorist events, or school shootout cases, it is the norm for the gunman to be shot dead by police, even though police need only taser someone to render them harmless. Alternatively, the mass shooter "turns the gun on himself." That is the desired ending, as it eliminates a man who may otherwise reveal how he actually got there.

57. When Jahar emerged from the boat, dazed and bloody, someone may have tried to slit his throat. CNN's Anderson Cooper interviewed Jeff Campbell of the MBTA Transit Police, whose members are in an SOG (Special Operations Group). Campbell said "I did see a throat injury. To me it looked more like a knife wound." No follow-up on that.

58. Jahar was then taken to Beth Israel Deaconess Hospital and operated on. In between surgeries he was interrogated by a team that

interrogates high-value suspects at Guantanamo Bay military camp. Interrogators did not record anything Jahar said, but they told the public that he said this or that, and that he asked "Where is my brother?" In 2019, photos of an alleged notebook he scribbled on, in the hospital, were publicized.

59. The team announced that they believed Jahar's alleged statement that he had no further accomplices in the outside world, so it would be safe for Boston to resume normal life. This is an unusual way for interrogators to behave. Why trust a mass killer?

60. The next day, Saturday, a district attorney came to the hospital to charge Jahar with one count of murder. When discharged from hospital, Jahar was sent to Fort Devens to await his trial. He waited two years. We don't know if they made life "difficult" for him.

61. On April 16, 2013, the FBI zoomed in on three of Jahar's friends, as though to make sure they did not have a chance to talk to the public in a way that would support Jahar's innocence. Certain FBI agents are trained to intimidate citizens, standardly threatening them with criminal charges however inappropriate. Rule of law be damned.

62. In the vicinity of Tsarnaev's dorm room at University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth, two students from overseas were arrested for lying to the FBI or throwing evidence away. One was Dias Kadaebayev, the other was Azamat Tazhayakov, friends of Jahar.

63. In July 2014, before Jahar had a trial, those two students were tried and found guilty, and sent to prison. Upon release, three years later, they were deported.

64. Jahar 's best friend, Stephen Silva, appears to have been set up on drug charges, and imprisoned. The authorities then gave Silva a chance to testify against Jahar in regard to ownership of a gun, and in exchange for that testimony Silva was sentenced in December 2015 to "time served."

65. Robel Phillipos, Jahar 's classmate from Cambridge Rindge and Latin, was accused of lying to the FBI -- by saying he was asleep when Dias and Azamat went into Jahar's room. An appearance in court by former Massachusetts Governor Michael Dukakis, as a character witness for Robel, did not prevent conviction.

66. Another friend, Khairullozhon Matanov, a taxi driver, remained free until 2016 but then was arrested, and has since been deported. He had stated that he dined with the two Tsarnaev brothers at Satwas Restaurant on the night of the Marathon, April 15, 2013, and that Tamerlan was bearded. A beard on Tamerlan challenges the validity of the video that shows the brothers walking single-file at the Marathon with Tamerlan shaven.

67. An aunt has suggested that the photo may date to 2012, not 2013, since Tamerlan, for religious reasons, had grown a beard in 2012 and never removed it. In other words, FBI's all-crucial video of the boys may be no proof that they even attended the Marathon.

68. Matanov's prison time was spent in Plymouth Prison where he was teargassed in his cell by the authorities. He says that before his arrest, the FBI engaged in stalking him on the Freeway, causing dangerous driving, and also that a helicopter was used to track him.

69. Thus, for purposes of RICO, we can discern a coordinated effort to make sure no one could speak on behalf of Tsarnaev when he came to trial in 2015. Then, after he was tried and convicted, Jahar became unavailable to anyone who may want to ask him some questions. The trial judge put him under SAMs, special administrative measures.

70. The Bureau of Prisons (BOP) is enforcing the SAMs on Jahar as though he were a terrorist who may somehow commit crimes from his prison cell. But there is no worthy evidence of Jahar's being a terrorist or of his preaching religion to anyone.

71. The inclusion of the director of the BOP in the list of RICO Defendants is meant to show how many factors have to be

monitored in a psy-op. All normal freedom of a client to choose his own attorneys has been denied to Tsarnaev as he cannot phone or write to any attorney except the ones appointed to him, the court-appointed Public Defenders.

72. Administrators of Plymouth prison must also have been alerted to prevent Matanov, SAMs-free, from contacting the press with allegations about Tamerlan's beardedness.

73. Although Plymouth Correctional Facility is county-run, the US Marshals were supervising Matanov. When asked for information about his treatment, the US Marshals office said it could not be discussed as it is a "security-related matter." How can that be?

74. The persons in the enterprise must be aware of how the human brain tends to shut down when matters related to a foreign enemy are presented. People will side with the

authorities of their own group, unquestioningly, rather than feel doubt about their leader. Rarely do Americans question the torture that their government practices today, such as at Gitmo, preferring to think there must be a real need for it.

75. Matanov, now deported, seems to have acquired a lot of money. There may have been a payout in exchange for his silence or an agreement not to sue for mistreatment.

76. The enterprise must make it possible for particular prisoners to get roughed up, or even killed. Matanov wrote: "I fell down, my hands are on my back with the cuffs.... They jump on me so badly one of them stick his finger to my right eye, it's swollen right now full of blood."

77. The enterprise also makes for a corrupt police system. At Plaintiff's lecture of January 23, 2018, at the Watertown Public Library, Watertown Police Sergeant John MacLellan, who was the supervisor on duty at the scene of Henneberry's boat, at 8pm on April 19, 2013, was asked why so many bullets were aimed at the

boat. He said they were not his cops doing the shooting; they were "outside agencies."

78. Sgt Maclellan also mentioned at that lecture that there was a female witness to the killing of Sean Collier who came forward to his office, via her father, but whose statement was not pursued because of the other pressures of the night. That contradicts all protocol and common sense.

79. Sgt MacLellan also said that he had tried unsuccessfully to identify "the other naked man," not Tamerlan, so he could apologize to him. One would think police could obtain the man's identity from either the CNN photographer or from the FBI whose agent was pictured next to him. Apparently MacLellan isn't allowed to interfere with the enterprise.

80. *National Geographic* is most likely a CIA proprietary (judging from its ability to enter foreign countries). It is named as a Defendant here on account of its role in creating a major piece of false evidence, a video called *Inside the Hunt for the Boston Bombers*.

81. A segment of that video, called *White Hat*, was used to persuade Bostonians that Jahar placed a bomb-filled backpack on the ground and then detonated it from his cell phone. Viewers were repeatedly shown this video on TV. But, as the credits of the film admit, this *National Geographic* video was all filmed in Phoenix Arizona, starring Alex Karavay as Dzhokhar Tsarnaev. It is a re-enactment, and not a truthful one.

82. The video gives the impression that the real FBI agents shown in *White Hat* are examining a real surveillance video taken on Boylston St. FBI man Richard DesLauriers narrates: "It was a video that shows a crowd that was watching the Marathon and we identified one individual in that crowd" (meaning Jahar, who was wearing a white baseball cap). But no, in *White Hat* they were watching the Arizona re-enactment.

83. Another real FBI man, Agent Jeffrey Sallett, says, "There is no magic bullet to get the identity of this man." But that was a lie. The Boston FBI office clearly knew, and later admitted that it knew, the Tsarnaev family. Sallett was the head of FBI in Chicago and is now chief of the FBI's Public Corruption and Civil Rights section.

84. Governor Patrick also appears in the *White Hat* segment stating "It was chilling ... to try to imagine what kind of person enables that kind of destruction of innocence." But Patrick was formerly a US Attorney; he would have dealt with many instances of destruction of innocence and not found them chilling. But this is part of theatre, directed at people's emotions to make them fall in, to believe a fiction about what was happening right there in their own Copley Square.

85. *National Geographic's* production and promoting of *White Hat* may constitute a crime of obstruction of justice. Every jury member would have seen it on TV in the lead-up to the 2015 trial. Everyone "understood" that Jahar had been caught on camera committing a crime (placing the backpack on the ground). But no, he hadn't, and he didn't.

86. Not mentioned in this RICO suit are the producers of the very deceitful movie *Patriot's Day*, but that was not released until after the 2015 trial of Jahar. Its function may be to reinforce in citizens minds the fact that there is "no doubt" as to what happened at the Marathon and after. "We all agree."

87. Also not mentioned in this RICO suit are the services of groups who spread disinformation, although the enterprise does depend on their existence. UK journalist Feliks Garcia said "US government spent \$500m [half a billion] on fake Al-Qaeda propaganda videos that track location of viewers."

88. Senator Rand Paul and Rep Tulsi Gabbard sponsored a bill named "The Stop Arming the Terrorists Act." They said their own country was funding the organization known as the Islamic State. Like the Boston bombing, that funding can be a false flag designed

to make Americans hate a foreign group (Muslims) so that the weapons industry can thrive.

89. One story about the Tsarnaevs is that they frequented terrorist websites such as "Inspire" which teaches folks how to make bombs. German scholar Elias Davidsson traced this type of propaganda to MEMRI, a Zionist group, and Jihadology run by Aaron Zelin.

90. A professional propagandist, Martin Wells, told *The Independent*, UK, that US Marines would take his CDs and drop them into houses they were raiding, to cast a terrorist reputation on the house's residents. So, when we hear that the FBI "found" *Inspire* magazine on the Tsarnaevs' computer, there's not much reason to believe it.

91. For an enterprise whose goal is to change everyone's minds about their world, by creating a new false reality, it must follow that the new reality can't coexist with the normal practice of reasoning and debate. Instead of arguing the points, the enterprise dishes out slogans and entertainment, and incessantly spotlights social conflict. Meanwhile, children are not longer taught, in school, to tackle a problem intellectually.

92. The whole structure of society would need to change to suit the enterprise. Producing false statistics regarding a scientific experiment, or denying that major events in history even happened, would start to become normal. There would be no standard for judging anyone's claims. Civilization can be revoked. Humans can be remade into machines. Alternatively, as Plaintiff requests, we can break up the enterprise.

93. At Jahar's trial in 2015, four of the Defendants played major roles: the Prosecutor -- US Attorney Carmen Ortiz, two Public Defenders -- William Fick and Miriam Conrad, and the FBI. The main malfeasance of the Prosecutor was to proffer false accusations. The main malfeasance of the Public Defenders was to go along with the Prosecutor rather than defend their client. In the opening statement for the defense, Defender Judy Clarke said "It was him."



And despite the US Supreme Court's 2018 ruling in *McCoy v Louisiana*, which ruled against public defenders proclaiming a clients' guilt to the court against his wishes, Jahar has not had an opportunity to put that ruling to his own use. Almost certainly he does not know that he now has a sure right to a new trial, as Mr McCoy got, in *McCoy v Louisiana*, since no one is able to write to Jahar about this. Albeit, Jahar may at this point have lost the mental capacity to choose an attorney who would actually help him.

94. The main malfeasance (actually criminality) of the FBI was to furnish dubious material evidence, and to round up Jahar's Tsarnaev's local friends and kill Tamerlan's friend Ibragim Todashev, preventing them from being defense witnesses. The FBI also took part in the crucial deceit of *National Geographic's White Hat* as mentioned in 82 above. And, the FBI bombarded the public with the video of the brothers walking single-file, with Jahar, "Suspect 2," carrying a grey backpack -- yet simultaneously proffered a black backpack as proof of Jahar's guilt. A true absurdity that went unnoticed by any lawyers.

95. The Prosecutor had to persuade the jury that Tsarnaev did all the things the story says he did, starting at the Finish Line of the Marathon. That is, he had to learn how to make a bomb, to obtain the ingredients, to plant the backpack at the site, to detonate the bomb by cell phone, to go to MIT campus and kill Officer Sean Collier, to steal money from Dun Meng's account at an ATM, to shoot at cops on Laurel St and throw an IED, to hide in a boat and write a confession on the boat wall, and to tell interrogators in the hospital that there were no more accomplices.

96. The Defenders could have, but didn't, punch many holes in the prosecution's story. Some easy targets were: 1. Tamerlan's carrying the January receipt for pressure cookers in his wallet until caught with it three months later, at the shootout, 2. Tamerlan being identifiable from his high school diploma that was found in his car, 3. the need for a second gun (for which they went to MIT to steal Collier's), 4. the fact that the eyewitness, Nathan Harman, never claimed to have seen Jahar attacking Collier, 6. the fact that Sgt

Henniger's knowledge of the FBI swarming MIT wasn't queried, 7. the brothers' need for a second car (which caused the carjacking), 6. the changes in Meng's story as to what the brothers said, 8. the lack of resemblance between the ATM thief and Jahar, 9. the lack of any dashcam photo of the Laurel St shootout, 10. one brother running over the other, 11. inconsistent stories about being dragged by the SUV, 12. the acceptance of unclear reports from doctors as to "Tamerlan's" condition at the hospital,

13. Officer St Onge failing to capture Jahar, 14. complete omission of evidence of the Podstava video, 15. lack of curiosity about the naked man, 16. the delay in discovering that the boat's wall had a confession on it, 17. the impossibility of writing a neat statement on a fiberglass wall with a pencil, 18. the ridiculous wording of pious sentiment by a non-religious teenager, 19. the oddity of the hospital interrogation ending in a statement that there were no other accomplices out there, 20. the acceptance of law enforcement's right to shoot 228 bullets at the boat which would likely kill the suspect, 21. no follow-up on Jeff Campbell's observation of a knife wound on Jahar's neck at the boat side.

97. The most stunning suppression of information that occurred at trial was the lack of attention to an affidavit sent to court by the brothers' aunt, Maret Tsarnaeva, a lawyer. Maret was present during one of the 13 visits that the Defenders made to the Russian Federation before the trial, and has sworn that Public Defender William Fick refused to look at the Podstava video she offered him. That video shows Tamerlan being arrested at Mt Auburn St at 1:05am, which negates the whole story of the boys being in a Laurel St shootout 25 minutes earlier, and corrects Sgt MacLellan's mistaken belief that it was Tamerlan who bled to death. Also, Maret's affidavit says that Mr Fick, at that meeting, got angry when she asked the Defenders to consider others who may have been the real Marathon bombers. This would have taken the heat off her bereaved family.

98. Paragraph 97 is proof to any American that the Marathon bombing incident is surreal. The entire Department of Justice has

become corrupted because some of its members are in on an enterprise that creates wars and seeks complete control of the people. "Justice" as a value is longer promoted. Due process is considered entirely dispensable. The members of the enterprise want us to move into an era in which the Bill of Rights means nothing and where no citizen can expect to get justice.

99. The acronym RICO stands for Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations. The Defendants must be held accountable for their participation in a radical change to our political system. And what better way to do so than to make them account for the particulars of the event known as the Marathon bombing.

100. What will posterity think? It is only a matter of time until everyone knows that the Boston case is a false flag. Similar bombings elsewhere, from London to Mumbai, have now been outed as false flags. Naturally it is difficult to prosecute powerful officers. But the American people have a means to sort things out without having to wait till all the players are dead. They have the law. The RICO Act of 1970 was originally intended as a way to circumvent the problems of arresting Mafia criminals; it has since expanded. It has been used in civil actions as a means of performing quasi-private prosecutions, to benefit the nation. RICO's concept of racketeering solves many puzzles of the Marathon case.

## **X. Possible Additional Defendants**

Other media outlets, other doctors, other law enforcement person, other US Attorneys and Public Defenders could possibly be added to the list of Defendants. The three Attorneys General who have kept Jahar Tsarnaev quiet under SAMs are Eric Holder, Loretta Lynch, and William Barr. They could be named as individual defendants.

## **XI. Prayer for Relief**

Plaintiff asks for injunctive relief, monetary damages, and declaratory relief thusly:

1. For the Appeals panel to be enjoined to stay the case against Tsarnaev, and for him to be freed from prison.
2. For the state Medical Examiner to be ordered to carry out an inquest into the deaths of Tamerlan Tsarnaev, Sean Collier, Martin Richard, and the man who bled to death in view of Police Sgt John MacLellan, on Laurel Street Watertown, in the wee hours of April 19, 2013.
3. For Gabe Ramirez of CNN to be ordered to explain the filming of naked Tamerlan climbing into the police car at 1:05am on April 19, 2013, and to furnish the identity of the other naked man who was filmed standing against a wall with an FBI man beside him.
4. For Deval Patrick, Richard DesLauriers, and Jeffrey Sallett to be ordered to explain the statements they made in the *National Geographic* film *White Hat*.
5. For Matt Isgur, expert of MIT's cameras, to be ordered to explain why he omitted the crucial five minutes in the video he compiled as evidence of Sean Collier's death.
6. For Carmen Ortiz to be ordered to explain why Sean Collier's car was destroyed.
7. For Richard Serino of Emergency Services to be ordered to state why his Power Point talk in 2008 suggested the Marathon Finish line as the place where a bombing may occur.
8. For William Fick, Judy Clarke, and their workers Jane and Olga, to be ordered to tell what they said to the parents of Tsarnaev on numerous trips to Russian Federation.
9. For MIT police Sgt Henniger to be ordered to say what he knew of the FBI swarming the MIT campus in the afternoon of April 18, 2019, and why he suppressed it.

10. For the Court, at its discretion, to seek prosecution of any person who may have committed crimes such as perjury, in connection with Jahar Tsarnaev's 2015 trial. And to seek indictments of any who committed the crimes at the Marathon Finish Line, or at the boat side, but with offers of amnesty for those who will swiftly report the truth.

11. For the Defendants to be ordered to pay \$22,000 dollars (trebled, as punitive damages) to Plaintiff for her financial losses connected to the Marathon case.

12. Declaratory relief under RICO could issue, say, from a judgment that *The Boston Globe* wrote up the Marathon bombing and its sequelae according to the enterprise's agenda, both to establish a myth about Islamic terrorism coming to Boston, and to persuade Americans that they no longer live in that City Upon a Hill where, as Massachusetts Governor-to-be John Winthrop said, aboard the *Arbella*, *en route* hither in 1630:

“Now the onely way to avoyde this shipwracke and to provide for our posterity is to followe the Counsell of Micah, to doe Justly, to love mercy, to walke humbly with our God, for this end, wee must be knitt together in this worke as one man, wee must entertaine each other in brotherly Affection, wee must be willing to abridge our selves of our superfluities,for the supply of others necessities.Soe shall wee keepe the unitie of the spirit in the bond of peace, the Lord will be our God and delight to dwell among us...soe that wee shall see much more of his wisdome power goodnes and truthe then formerly wee have been eacquainted with. Therefore lett us choose life, that wee, and our Seede, may live; by obeyeing his voyce, and cleaving to him, for hee is our life, and our prosperity.”

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Maxwell, PRO SE

177 Loudon Road, Apt 203, Concord NH 03301

## WELCOME TO THE CONSTITUTION

“I lift my lamp beside the golden door.”



*photo: Mirror.co.uk*

## **Appendix K.** THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND AMENDMENTS

[I added clause numbers within Article I, sec 8. “Obs” means an obsoleted item was deleted. “See Am 14” means go to amendments, find 14 and insert it. If an item is underlined, that means it was discussed in this Grass Court book. -- MM]

Preamble. We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

### **Article I.**

Section. 1. All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

Section. 2. The House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States, sand the Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature. No Person shall be a Representative who shall not have attained to the age of twenty five Years, and been seven Years a Citizen of the United States, and who shall not, when elected, be an Inhabitant of that State in which he shall be chosen. Representatives *and direct Taxes* [See Am 16] shall be apportioned among the several States ... [See Am 14] The actual Enumeration shall be made within three Years after the first Meeting of the Congress of the United States, and within every subsequent Term of ten Years, in such Manner as they shall by Law direct. The Number of Representatives shall not exceed one for every thirty Thousand, but each State shall have at Least one Representative .... [obs] When vacancies happen in the Representation from any State, the Executive Authority thereof shall issue writs to fill such Vacancies. The

House of Representatives shall chuse their Speaker and other Officers; and shall have the sole Power of impeachment.

Section. 3. The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, ... [See Am 17] for six Years; and each Senator shall have one Vote. Immediately after they shall be assembled in Consequence of the first Election, they shall be divided as equally as may be into three Classes. The Seats of the Senators of the first Class shall be vacated at the Expiration of the second Year, of the second Class at the Expiration of the fourth Year, and of the third Class at the Expiration of the sixth Year, so that one third may be chosen every second Year; ...[See Am 17] No Person shall be a Senator who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty Years, and been nine Years a Citizen of the United States, and who shall not, when elected, be an Inhabitant of that State for which he shall be chosen.

The Vice President of the United States shall be President of the Senate but shall have no Vote, unless they be equally divided. The Senate shall chuse their other Officers, and also a President pro tempore, in the Absence of the Vice President, or when he shall exercise the Office of President of the United States. The Senate shall have the sole Power to try all Impeachments. When sitting for that Purpose, they shall be on Oath or Affirmation. When the President of the United States is tried the Chief Justice shall preside: And no Person shall be convicted without the Concurrence of two thirds of the Members present. Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States: but the Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law.

Section. 4. The Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of



chusing Senators. The Congress shall assemble at least once in every Year, and such Meeting shall be [See Am 20] unless they shall by Law appoint a different Day.

Section. 5. Each House shall be the Judge of the Elections, Returns and Qualifications of its own Members, and a Majority of each shall constitute a Quorum to do Business; but a smaller Number may adjourn from day to day, and may be authorized to compel the Attendance of absent Members, in such Manner, and under such Penalties as each House may provide. Each House may determine the Rules of its Proceedings, punish its Members for disorderly Behaviour, and, with the Concurrence of two thirds, expel a Member. Each House shall keep a Journal of its Proceedings, and from time to time publish the same, excepting such Parts as may in their Judgment require Secrecy; and the Yeas and Nays of the Members of either House on any question shall, at the Desire of one fifth of those Present, be entered on the Journal. Neither House, during the Session of Congress, shall, without the Consent of the other, adjourn for more than three days, nor to any other Place than that in which the two Houses shall be sitting.

Section. 6. The Senators and Representatives shall receive a Compensation for their Services, to be ascertained by Law, and paid out of the Treasury of the United States. They shall in all Cases, except Treason, Felony and Breach of the Peace, be privileged from Arrest during their Attendance at the Session of their respective Houses, and in going to and returning from the same; and for any Speech or Debate in either House, they shall not be questioned in any other Place. No Senator or Representative shall, during the Time for which he was elected, be appointed to any civil Office under the Authority of the United States, which shall have been created, or the Emoluments whereof shall have been increased during such time; and no Person holding any Office under the United States, shall be a Member of either House during his Continuance in Office.

Section. 7. All Bills for raising Revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives; but the Senate may propose or concur

with amendments as on other Bills. Every Bill which shall have passed the House of Representatives and the Senate, shall, before it become a law, be presented to the President of the United States: If he approve he shall sign it, but if not he shall return it, with his Objections to that House in which it shall have originated, who shall enter the Objections at large on their Journal, and proceed to reconsider it. If after such Reconsideration two thirds of that House shall agree to pass the Bill, it shall be sent, together with the Objections, to the other House, by which it shall likewise be reconsidered, and if approved by two thirds of that House, it shall become a Law. But in all such Cases the Votes of both Houses shall be determined by Yeas and Nays, and the Names of the Persons voting for and against the Bill shall be entered on the Journal of each House respectively. If any Bill shall not be returned by the President within ten Days (Sundays excepted) after it shall have been presented to him, the Same shall be a Law, in like Manner as if he had signed it, unless the Congress by their Adjournment prevent its Return, in which Case it shall not be a Law. Every Order, Resolution, or Vote to which the Concurrence of the Senate and House of Representatives may be necessary (except on a question of Adjournment) shall be presented to the President of the United States; and before the Same shall take Effect, shall be approved by him, or being disapproved by him, shall be repassed by two thirds of the Senate and House of Representatives, according to the Rules and Limitations prescribed in the Case of a Bill.

Section. 8. The Congress shall have Power [1] To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States; [2] To borrow Money on the credit of the United States; [3] To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes; [4] To establish an uniform Rule of Naturalization, and uniform Laws on the subject of Bankruptcies throughout the United States; [5] To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and

of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures; [6] To provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States; [7] To establish Post Offices and post Roads; [8] To promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries; [9] To constitute Tribunals inferior to the supreme Court; [10] To define and punish Piracies and Felonies committed on the high Seas, and Offences against the Law of Nations;

[11] To declare War, grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning Captures on Land and Water; [12] To raise and support Armies, but no Appropriation of Money to that Use shall be for a longer Term than two Years; [13] To provide and maintain a Navy; [14] To make Rules for the Government and Regulation of the land and naval Forces; [15] To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions; [16] To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States, reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress; [17] To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of Particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards and other needful Buildings;— And [18] To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

Section. 9. The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in Cases or Rebellion or Invasion the

public Safety may require it. No Bill of Attainder or ex post facto Law shall be passed. ... [See Am 16] No Tax or Duty shall be laid on Articles exported from any State. No Preference shall be given by any Regulation of Commerce or Revenue to the Ports of one State over those of another: nor shall Vessels bound to, or from, one State, be obliged to enter, clear or pay Duties in another. No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law; and a regular Statement and Account of the Receipts and Expenditures of all public Money shall be published from time to time. No Title of Nobility shall be granted by the United States: And no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under them, shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince or foreign State.

Section. 10. No State shall enter into any Treaty, Alliance, or Confederation; grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal; coin Money; emit Bills of Credit; make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts; pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility. No State shall, without the Consent of the Congress, lay any Imposts or Duties on Imports or Exports, except what may be absolutely necessary for executing it's inspection Laws: and the net Produce of all Duties and Imposts, laid by any State on Imports or Exports, shall be for the Use of the Treasury of the United States; and all such Laws shall be subject to the Revision and Controul of the Congress. No State shall, without the Consent of Congress, lay any Duty of Tonnage, keep Troops, or Ships of War in time of Peace, enter into any Agreement or Compact with another State, or with a foreign Power, or engage in War, unless actually invaded, or in such imminent Danger as will not admit of delay.

## **Article II**

Section. 1. The executive Power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America. He shall hold his Office during the

Term of four Years, and, together with the Vice President, chosen for the same Term, be elected, as follows: Each State shall appoint, in such Manner as the Legislature thereof may direct, a Number of Electors, equal to the whole Number of Senators and Representatives to which the State may be entitled in the Congress: but no Senator or Representative, or Person holding an Office of Trust or Profit under the United States, shall be appointed an Elector..... [See Am 12] The Congress may determine the Time of chusing the Electors, and the Day on which they shall give their Votes; which Day shall be the same throughout the United States. No Person except a natural born Citizen ... [obs] shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States. ... [See Am 25] The President shall, at stated Times, receive for his Services, a Compensation, which shall neither be encreased nor diminished during the Period for which he shall have been elected, and he shall not receive within that Period any other Emolument from the United States, or any of them. Before he enter on the Execution of his Office, he shall take the following Oath or Affirmation:—“I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States.”

Section. 2. The President shall be Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy of the United States, and of the Militia of the several States, when called into the actual Service of the United States; he may require the Opinion, in writing, of the principal Officer in each of the executive Departments, upon any Subject relating to the Duties of their respective Offices, and he shall have Power to Grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offences against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. He shall have Power, by and with the Advice and Con-

sent of the Senate, to make Treaties, provided two thirds of the Senators present concur; and he shall nominate, and by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, shall appoint Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls, Judges of the Supreme Court, and all other Officers of the United States, whose Appointments are not here-in otherwise provided for, and which shall be established by Law: but the Congress may by Law vest the Appointment of such inferior Officers, as they think proper, in the President alone, in the Courts of Law, or in the Heads of Departments. The President shall have Power to fill up all Vacancies that may happen during the Recess of the Senate, by granting Commissions which shall expire at the End of their next Session.

Section. 3. He shall from time to time give to the Congress Information on the State of the Union, and recommend to their Consideration such Measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient; he may, on extraordinary Occasions, convene both Houses, or either of them, and in Case of Disagreement between them, with Respect to the Time of Adjournment, he may adjourn them to such Time as he shall think proper; he shall receive Ambassadors and other public Ministers; he shall take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed, and shall Commission all the Officers of the United States.

Section. 4. The President, Vice President and all Civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors.

### **Article III**

Section. 1. The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behaviour, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services, a Compensation, which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office.

Section. 2. The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority;—to all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public ministers and Consuls;— to all Cases of admiralty and maritime Jurisdiction;—to Controversies to which the United States shall be a Party;—to Controversies between two or more States... [See Am 11]—between Citizens of different States;— between Citizens of the same State claiming Lands under Grants of different States, ...[See Am 11] In all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls, and those in which a State shall be Party, the supreme Court shall have original Jurisdiction. In all the other Cases before mentioned, the supreme Court shall have appellate Jurisdiction, both as to Law and Fact, with such Exceptions, and under such Regulations as the Congress shall make. The Trial of all Crimes, except in Cases of Impeachment, shall be by Jury; and such Trial shall be held in the State where the said Crimes shall have been committed; but when not committed within any State, the Trial shall be at such Place or Places as the Congress may by Law have directed.

Section. 3. Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort. No Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court. The Congress shall have Power to declare the Punishment of Treason, but no Attainder of Treason shall work Corruption of Blood, or Forfeiture except during the Life of the Person attainted.

#### **Article IV.**

Section. 1. Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and judicial Proceedings of every other State. And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the Manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved, and the Effect thereof.

Section. 2. The Citizens of each State shall be entitled to all Privileges and Immunities of Citizens in the several States. A Person charged in any State with Treason, Felony, or other Crime, who shall flee from Justice, and be found in another State, shall on Demand of the executive Authority of the State from which he fled, be delivered up, to be removed to the State having Jurisdiction of the Crime. [See Am 13]

Section. 3. New States may be admitted by the Congress into this Union; but no new State shall be formed or erected within the Jurisdiction of any other State; nor any State be formed by the Junction of two or more States, or Parts of States, without the Consent of the Legislatures of the States concerned as well as of the Congress. The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be so construed as to Prejudice any Claims of the United States, or of any particular State.

Section. 4. The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion; and on Application of the Legislature, or of the Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened) against domestic Violence.

## **Article V.**

The Congress, whenever two thirds of both Houses shall deem it necessary, shall propose Amendments to this Constitution, or, on the Application of the Legislatures of two thirds of the several States, shall call a Convention for proposing Amendments, which, in either Case, shall be valid to all Intents and Purposes, as Part of this Constitution, when ratified by the Legislatures of three fourths of the several States, or by Conventions in three fourths thereof, as the one or the other Mode of Ratification may be proposed by the Congress; Provided that [obs] no State, without its Consent, shall be deprived of its equal Suffrage in the Senate.



## **Article VI.**

All Debts contracted and Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation. This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any state to the Contrary notwithstanding. The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office or public Trust under the United States.

## **Article VII.**

The Ratification of the Conventions of nine States, shall be sufficient for the Establishment of this Constitution between the States so ratifying the same. Done in Convention by the Unanimous Consent of the States present on the Seventeenth Day of September in the Year of Our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Eighty seven and of the Independence of the United States of America the Twelfth.

In Witness thereof We have hereunto subscribed our names

G. Washington-Presidt. and deputy from Virginia; New Hampshire: John Langdon, Nicholas Gilman; Massachusetts: Nathaniel Gorham, Rufus King; Connecticut: Wm: Saml. Johnson, Roger Sherman; New York: Alexander Hamilton; New Jersey: Wil: Livingston, David Brearly, Wm. Paterson, Jona: Dayton; Pennsylvania: B. Franklin, Thomas Mifflin, Robt. Morris, Geo. Clymer, Thos FitzSimons, Jared Ingersoll, James Wilson, Gouv Morris; Delaware: Geo: Read, Gunning Bedford

jun, John Dickinson, Richard Bassett, Jaco: Broom; Maryland: James McHenry, Dan of St Thos. Jenifer, Danl Carroll; Virginia: John Blair--, James Madison Jr.; North Carolina: Wm. Blount, Richd. Dobbs Spaight, Hu Williamson; South Carolina: J. Rutledge, Charles Cotesworth Pinckney, Charles Pinckney, Pierce Butler; Georgia: William Few, Abr Baldwin

[Amendments 1-10]

## THE BILL OF RIGHTS

I. Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

II. A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed. III. No soldier shall, in time of peace be quartered in any house, without the consent of the owner, nor in time of war, but in a manner to be prescribed by law.

IV. The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

V. No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

VI. In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

VII. In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise reexamined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

VIII. Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

IX. The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

X. The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people. [Ratified by eleven states as of 1789]

#### **Amendments: 11 to 27**

*[Note: To save space I insert Symbol □ to replace the recurring phrase "Congress shall have the power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation."]*

XI (1795) The Judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State.

XII (1804) The Electors shall meet in their respective states and vote by ballot for President and Vice-President, one of whom, at least, shall not be an inhabitant of the same state with themselves; they shall name in their ballots the person voted for as President, and in distinct ballots the person voted for as Vice-President, and they shall make distinct lists of all persons voted for as President, and of all persons voted for as Vice-President, and

of the number of votes for each, which lists they shall sign and certify, and transmit sealed to the seat of the government of the United States, directed to the President of the Senate; -- the President of the Senate shall, in the presence of the Senate and House of Representatives, open all the certificates and the votes shall then be counted; --

The person having the greatest number of votes for President, shall be the President, if such number be a majority of the whole number of Electors appointed; and if no person have such majority, then from the persons having the highest numbers not exceeding three on the list of those voted for as President, the House of Representatives shall choose immediately, by ballot, the President. But in choosing the President, the votes shall be taken by states, the representation from each state having one vote; a quorum for this purpose shall consist of a member or members from two-thirds of the states, and a majority of all the states shall be necessary to a choice. *And if the House of Representatives shall not choose a President whenever the right of choice shall devolve upon them, before the fourth day of March next following, then the Vice-President shall act as President, as in case of the death or other constitutional disability of the President. [Italicized part superseded by XX, 3]* The person having the greatest number of votes as Vice-President, shall be the Vice-President, if such number be a majority of the whole number of Electors appointed, and if no person have a majority, then from the two highest numbers on the list, the Senate shall choose the Vice President; a quorum for the purpose shall consist of two-thirds of the whole number of Senators, and a majority of the whole number shall be necessary to a choice. But no person constitutionally ineligible to the office of President shall be eligible to that of Vice-President.

XIII (1865) Section 1. □ Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction. Section 2. □

XIV

(1868) Section 1. □ All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or

property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

Section 2. Representatives shall be apportioned among the several States according to their respective numbers, counting the whole number of persons in each State, excluding Indians not taxed. But when the right to vote at any election for the choice of electors for President and Vice-President of the United States, Representatives in Congress, the Executive and Judicial officers of a State, or the members of the Legislature thereof, is denied to any of the male inhabitants of such State, being twenty-one years of age, and citizens of the United States, or in any way abridged, except for participation in rebellion, or other crime, the basis of representation therein shall be reduced in the proportion which the number of such male citizens shall bear to the whole number of male citizens twenty-one years of age in such State.

Section 3. □ No person shall be a Senator or Representative in Congress, or elector of President and Vice-President, or hold any office, civil or military, under the United States, or under any State, who, having previously taken an oath, as a member of Congress, or as an officer of the United States, or as a member of any State legislature, or as an executive or judicial officer of any State, to support the Constitution of the United States, shall have engaged in insurrection or rebellion against the same, or given aid or comfort to the enemies thereof. But Congress may by a vote of two-thirds of each House, remove such disability.

Section 4. □ The validity of the public debt of the United States, authorized by law, including debts incurred for payment of pensions and bounties for services in suppressing insurrection or rebellion, shall not be questioned. But neither the United States nor any State shall assume or pay any debt or obligation incurred in aid of insurrection or rebellion against the United States, or any claim for the loss or emancipation of any slave; but all such debts, obligations and claims shall be held illegal and void. Section 5. □ The Congress shall have the power to enforce, by appropriate legislation, the provisions of this article.

XV (1870) Section 1. The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude. Section 2. □

XVI (1913) The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration.

XVII (1913) The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, elected by the people thereof, for six years; and each Senator shall have one vote. The electors in each State shall have the qualifications requisite for electors of the most numerous branch of the State legislatures. When vacancies happen in the representation of any State in the Senate, the executive authority of such State shall issue writs of election to fill such vacancies: Provided, That the legislature of any State may empower the executive thereof to make temporary appointments until the people fill the vacancies by election as the legislature may direct. This amendment shall not be so construed as to affect the election or term of any Senator chosen before it becomes valid as part of the Constitution.

XVIII (1919) Section 1. □ After one year from the ratification of this article the manufacture, sale, or transportation of intoxicating liquors within, the importation thereof into, or the exportation thereof from the United States and all territory subject to the jurisdiction thereof for beverage purposes is hereby prohibited. Section 2. □ The Congress and the several States shall have concurrent power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation. Section 3. □ This article shall be inoperative unless it shall have been ratified as an amendment to the Constitution by the legislatures of the several States, as provided in the Constitution, within seven years from the date of the submission hereof to the States by the Congress.

XIX (1920).The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of sex. □ .

XX (1933) Section 1. □ The terms of the President and the Vice President shall end at noon on the 20th day of January, and the terms of Senators and Representatives at noon on the 3d day of January, of the years in which such terms would have ended if this article had not been ratified; and the terms of their successors shall then begin.

Section 2. □ The Congress shall assemble at least once in every year, and such meeting shall begin at noon on the 3d day of January, unless they shall by law appoint a different day. Section 3. □ If, at the time fixed for the beginning of the term of the President, the President elect shall have died, the Vice President elect shall become President. If a President shall not have been chosen before the time fixed for the beginning of his term, or if the President elect shall have failed to qualify, then the Vice President elect shall act as President until a President shall have qualified; and the Congress may by law provide for the case wherein neither a President elect nor a Vice President shall have qualified, declaring who shall then act as President, or the manner in which one who is to act shall be selected, and such person shall act accordingly until a President or Vice President shall have qualified.

Section 4. □ The Congress may by law provide for the case of the death of any of the persons from whom the House of Representatives may choose a President whenever the right of choice shall have devolved upon them, and for the case of the death of any of the persons from whom the Senate may choose a Vice President whenever the right of choice shall have devolved upon them. Section 5. □ Sections 1 and 2 shall take effect on the 15th day of October following the ratification of this article.

Section 6. □ This article shall be inoperative unless it shall have been ratified as an amendment to the Constitution by the legislatures of three-fourths of the several States within seven years from the date of its submission.

XXI (1933) Section 1. □ The eighteenth article of amendment to the Constitution of the United States is hereby repealed. Section 2. □ The transportation or importation into any State, Territory, or Possession of the United States for delivery or use therein of intoxicating liquors, in violation of the laws thereof, is hereby prohibited.

Section 3. This article shall be inoperative unless it shall have been ratified as an amendment to the Constitution by conventions in the several States, as provided in the Constitution, within seven years from the date of the submission hereof to the States by the Congress.

XXII (1951) Section 1. No person shall be elected to the office of the President more than twice, and no person who has held the office of President, or acted as President, for more than two years of a term to which some other person was elected President shall be elected to the office of President more than once. But this Article shall not apply to any person holding the office of President when

this Article was proposed by Congress, and shall not prevent any person who may be holding the office of President, or acting as President, during the term within which this Article becomes operative from holding the office of President or acting as President during the remainder of such term. Section 2. This article shall be inoperative unless it shall have been ratified as an amendment to the Constitution by the legislatures of three-fourths of the several States within seven years from the date of its submission to the States by the Congress.

XXIII (1961) Section 1. □ The District constituting the seat of Government of the United States shall appoint in such manner as Congress may direct. A number of electors of President and Vice President equal to the whole number of Senators and Representatives in Congress to which the District would be entitled if it were a State, but in no event more than the least populous State; they shall be in addition to those appointed by the States, but they shall be considered, for the purposes of the election of President and Vice President, to be electors appointed by a State; and they shall meet in the District and perform such duties as provided by the twelfth article of amendment. Sec. 2 □

XXIV (1964) Section 1. □ The right of citizens of the United States to vote in any primary or other election for President or Vice President, for electors for President or Vice President, or for Senator or Representative in Congress, shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any State by reason of failure to pay poll tax or other tax. Section 2. □

XXV (1967) Section 1. In case of the removal of the President from office or of his death or resignation, the Vice President shall become President. Section 2. □ Whenever there is a vacancy in the office of the Vice President, the President shall nominate a Vice President who shall take office upon confirmation by a majority vote of both Houses of Congress. Section 3. □ Whenever the President transmits to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives his written declaration that he is unable to discharge the powers and duties of his office, and until he transmits to them a written declaration to the contrary, such powers and duties shall be discharged by the Vice President as Acting President.



Section 4. □ Whenever the Vice President and a majority of either the principal officers of the executive departments or of such other body as Congress may by law provide, transmit to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives their written declaration that the President is unable to discharge the powers and duties of his office, the Vice President shall immediately assume the powers and duties of the office as Acting President.

Thereafter, when the President transmits to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives his written declaration that no inability exists, he shall resume the powers and duties of his office unless the Vice President and a majority of either the principal officers of the executive department or of such other body as Congress may by law provide, transmit within four days to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives their written declaration that the President is unable to discharge the powers and duties of his office. Thereupon Congress shall decide the issue, assembling within forty-eight hours for that purpose if not in session.

If the Congress, within twenty-one days after receipt of the latter written declaration, or, if Congress is not in session, within twenty-one days after Congress is required to assemble, determines by two-thirds vote of both Houses that the President is unable to discharge the powers and duties of his office, the Vice President shall continue to discharge the same as Acting President; otherwise, the President shall resume the powers and duties of his office.

XXVI (1971) Section 1. The right of citizens of the United States, who are eighteen years of age or older, to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of age. Section 2. □

XXVII (1992) No law, varying the compensation for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take effect, until an election of representatives shall have intervened.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Allott, Philip. *Eutopia*. 2016
- Attwood, Shaun. *Hard Time*. 2011
- Bastiat, Frederic. *The Law*. 1850
- Billings, Frank. *Focal Infection*. 1916
- Blazer, H and Elana Freeland, eds. *If I Were King*. 2017
- Broxmeyer, Lawrence. *Parkinson's: Another Look*. 2002
- Burr, Harold Saxton. *Blueprint for Immortality*. 1974
- Chaitkin, Anton. *Treason in America*. 1984
- Colby, Gerald. *Thy [Nelson Rockefeller] Will Be Done*. 1976
- Crile, George. *The Phenomena of Life*. 1936
- Crofton, WM. *The True Nature of Viruses*. 1936
- Dible, JH, ed. *Recent Advances in Bacteriology*. 1929
- Firstenberg, Arthur. *The Invisible Rainbow (radiation)*. 2017
- Hersh, Burton. *The Old Boys*. 1992
- Hoffman, Wendy. *Enslaved Queen*. 2014
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell. *The Common Law*. 1881
- Jacobs, Jane. *Dark Age Ahead*. 2004
- Johnson, Chalmers. *The Sorrows of Empire*. 2006
- Klein, Edward. *Guilty As Sin (Hillary Clinton)*. 2016
- Lessig, Lawrence. *Free Culture*. 2004
- McGowan, Daniel. *Understanding the F-Word*. 2001
- Macgregor, J and G Docherty. *Prolonging the Agony*. 2017
- Maxwell, Mary W. *Deliverance (about Pizzagate)*. 2013
- Mueller, Tom. *Crisis of Conscience (whistleblowers)*, 2019
- Nelson, Philp. *Remember the Liberty!* 2017
- Solzhenitsyn, A. *A Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich*. 1972
- Ryan, Kevin Robert. *Another Nineteen Suspects, 9-11*. 2013
- Scheibner, Viera. *Behavioral Problems in Childhood*. 2000
- Schweitzer, P, Chuck Dixon, B Smith. *Clinton Cash*. 2016
- Springmeier, Fritz. *Bloodlines of the Illuminati (3 vols)*. 2019
- Teachout, Zephyr. *Corruption in America*. 2014
- Tompkins, Peter and Chris Bird. *Secrets of the Soil*. 1989
- Valentine, Douglas. *The CIA As Organized Crime*. 2017
- Weaver, Randy. *The Federal Siege at Ruby Ridge*. 1998
- Wilson, EO. *Sociobiology: The New Synthesis*. 1975.

## INDEX

- accountability 73
- “Act To Reduce Citizen Power” 106
- Adams, John 31, 41, 76
- Agent Orange 66
- AIDS 97-98, 99
- Alien and Sedition Act 32
- Allott, Philip 3, 156
- amendments 23, 31
- Andrews, Dessie 150
- anger management 138
- Annett, Kevin 136
- anthrax 22, 105, 119, 187
- Articles of Confederation 29
- artificial intelligence 22
- assault 64
- Attwood, Shaun 40, 136, 186
- Australian ABC, the 88
- authorities 16
- autism 36-37, 57-98
- Ballinger, Ed 179
- Balmis Expedition 93, 94
- Bassiouni, Cherif 67
- BBC as vax promoter 88
- beetles to crops 24
- Belser, Matthew 103
- Bernays, Edward 55
- Bhakdi, Sucharit 89, 178
- Bill of Rights 23, 29, 35, 39  
106, 211
- billionaires 149
- biometric ID 48
- bioweapon 20, 65, 98, 118-  
125, 149
- Blackstone, William 41
- Bollinger, Ty 96
- Boston bombing 111, 192
- Broxmeyer, Lawrence 85
- Brzezinski, Zbigniew 25
- Bugliosi, Vincent 65
- Burr v US* 66
- Bush George W 103
- Buttar, Rashid 82, 121, 145,  
186
- Campbell, Joan 37
- Cantwell, Alan 85, 98
- Carr, William Guy 43
- cashless society 12
- CDC 48, 118, 150, 154
- cell wall deficiency 85
- chip 19, 55, 57, 96, 108, 110,  
117
- chronic apprehension 141
- CIA black sites 80
- citizen’s arrest 41, 67-73
- Citizens United v FEC* 38
- civil disobedience 123
- classic aversion training 138
- Clinton Foundation 83
- club 21
- common law 36, 149
- Congress 23, 34, 36, 74, 102,  
105, 115, 146, 216
- conspiracy to treason 67, 151

conquerors 45  
 Constitution of the US 28-32,  
 214-233  
 Copeman, Monckton 87  
 corporation law 78  
 corruption 19, 72  
 Coulter, Harrison 96  
 cover-up of crime 63, 91, 161  
 Creighton, Charles 94  
 Crofton, William 84  
 Cunningham, Tim 87  
 DARPA 23, 25, 83  
 Davidsson, Elias 178  
 Davis, Kenneth 141  
 Day, Richard 107-111, 121,  
 death by embarrassment 55  
 Declaration of Independence 115  
 declaratory judgment 143  
 Denmark 24, 96  
 demise pill 108  
 disaster relief 105  
 disgorgement 68  
 dog distemper 86-87  
 DOJ 72, 73, 146, 149  
 Dole, Lionel 88  
 Domingue, Gerald 85  
 DPT 37, 96  
 dual standard of morality 93  
 due process 32, 97  
 Dunegan, Lawrence 107  
 Eisenhower, Dwight 54, 116  
 electoral college 182-186  
 emergency 22, 64, 104-106  
 Emerson, Ralph W 26, 143  
 emotion 16, 52, 56, 58, 60,  
 66, 137-140, 174  
 Engels, Randy 107  
 Epstein, Jeffrey 72, 146  
 Equity 28, 63, 66, 223  
 Event 201 in 2019 79  
 executive orders 105, 59  
 fact finding by jury 64  
 failure to report crime 40  
 family, loss of 137  
 Fauci, Anthony 51, 79, 91, 98,  
 150  
 FDA 22, 84, 110, 150, 155  
 FBI 73, 146  
 Federal Communications  
 Commission 64  
 FDR 58, 102  
*Federalist Papers* 27  
 FEMA 105  
 Fifth Amendment 38  
 Fisher, Barbara Loe 96  
 Fitts, Catherine Austin 25,  
 93-98  
 fear 137-141  
*Florence v Freeholders* 40  
*Fletcher v Peck* 74  
 flu pandemic 1918 86, 89  
 food supply 26, 118, 137  
 Ft Detrick 98, 118, 121, 125  
*forum conveniens* 41  
 Fotheringham, Trish 126, 140,  
 171  
 Fourteenth Amendment 97  
 Fourth Amendment 102  
 fragging 145

fraud 51  
 FRCP Rule 57 143  
 free will 22  
 fugitive slave law 143  
 Galati, Rocco 149  
 Gates, Bill 20, 21, 33, 56, 58,  
     78-83, 177  
 Garwood, Bobby 161  
 geoengineering 83  
 Giordano, James 22, 83  
 glossary of legal terms 61  
 grand jury 43, 62, 82  
 Grandin, Temple 137-138  
 Grass Court 16, 58-64, 147  
 guardianship 58  
 Gulf War illness 99  
 Hall, Peggy 151  
 Harvard Law student 68  
 Hepatitis B 37, 93, 110-111  
 Hollander, Fred 182  
 hue and cry 73  
 Humphries, Suzanne 94  
 Hurricane Katrina 103, 111  
 Hutcheson, Francis 74  
 hydroxychloroquine 84, 154  
 immunity, legal 66, 73  
 indictment 43, 62, 82  
 infliction of distress 65  
 Inspector General 23  
 insults from Africa 79  
 intention to kill 50  
 intimate details of lives 44  
*Jacobson v Massachusetts* 34, 97  
 Japanese-Americans 103  
 Jenner, Edward 94  
 Jensen-Stevenson, M 161  
 Judicature Act 41  
 judicial notice 97  
 judicial review 33  
 Judge, John 158  
 Kelo, Susette 37  
 Kennedy, Robert F, Jr 131  
 Kissinger, Henry 165-168  
*Kelo v New London* 37  
 King Philip's war 45  
 Korematsu, Fred 103  
 law as weapon 15  
 law, history of 14  
 leprosy in Molokai 94  
 Lincoln, Abe 75  
 list of laws 148  
 lockdown 50, 53, 58, 101,  
     150, 176  
 Machiavelli 147  
 Maready, Forrest 94  
 martial law 101-103  
 Martin, David E 149  
 Massachusetts law of citizen  
     arrest 72  
 Material Witness Act 43  
 Mattman, Lida 85  
 maxims 42, 64, 99, 111  
*Maxwell v FBI* 192-214  
*Maxwell v Trump* 33  
 McBean, Eleanor 91-92  
 McLachlan, Dee 10, 107,  
     110, 136  
 media 17, 19, 29, 53, 73  
 Mehta Kalpana, lawsuit 80  
 Melbourne, Australia 144

Merloo, Joost 130  
 Mikovits, Judy 87, 98, 123  
 militias 13, 30, 112-116  
 misprision 42, 91  
 Mitchell, Paul 150  
 MMR 37, 96  
 Muslim ban 105  
 model law, citizen arrest 69  
 Moderna 82  
 Montagnier, Luc 119, 121  
 Munchhausen by proxy  
 mutiny 74, 144-145  
 nanotechnology 24, 95  
 NCVI vaccine injury 35  
 NDAA 25, 116  
 New Hampshire Constitu-  
 tion 9, 33, 73  
 national guard bureau 115  
 new normal 17  
 Nine-Eleven 141, 179  
 Nixon, Richard 68, 118  
 Noblett, Randy 171  
 nullability 34  
 oath of office 145, 221  
 officeholder liability 67, 95  
 obstruction of justice 41-53  
 Offit, Paul 96  
 Olson, Barbara 179  
*omnia praesumuntur* 40  
 Orenstein, Walt 118, 121  
 Orwell, George 137  
 Ott, John 120  
 outlawry, law of 146, 162  
 pain truck 47, 111  
 Paine, Tom 29  
 panic 68, 107, 119, 139, 141,  
 151, 155, 165  
 Panksepp Jaak 137, 139, 141  
 Parks, Rosa 144  
 Patel, Marilyn 103  
 Perez, Matt 23  
 perjury 42  
 Perloff, James 181  
 Pfeiffer, Richard 86  
 plea bargain 72  
 pleomorphism 84  
 police power, the 34  
 police invitation 155  
 polio cure 85  
 Popper, Pamela 96, 97, 99, 155  
 Powell, Sidney 74  
 POW's 161  
 preamble 33, 36, 215  
 Prep Act 2005 95  
 privacy 20, 28, 32, 50 56  
 propaganda 25, 55, 88, 96  
 protected persons 43, 62, 74,  
 101, 136, 146, 147, 156  
 protest in Berlin 176  
 psy-op 26, 154  
 punishment for treason 53  
 Quick, Nichole 150  
*R v Elliot* 73, 146  
 radiation sickness 20, 65  
 Rappoport, Jon 61, 94, 129  
 rebellion 104, 114, 229  
 restraining order 32, 56  
 Rhodes, Cecil 82  
 RICO 14, 75, 150, 157  
 Rife, Royal 187

Rockefeller Found'n 48, 155  
 Roberts, Paul Craig 150  
 rollout of 5G 65-67  
 Rosenblum, Ellen 34  
 Rosenow, Edward 85  
 Russian woodpecker 25  
 Ryan, Kevin Robert 181  
 Scheibner, Viera 93, 96, 99  
 Schwab, Klaus 58  
 secret society 51-52  
 self-defense 68  
 sequester 71  
 Seventh Amendment 35  
 Sherman Anti-trust Act 90,  
   91, 149, 154  
 shock-and-awe 140  
 Shure, Fran 161  
 SIDS 98  
 Skouras, Spiro 56  
 Simpsonwood meeting 98  
 smallpox in Africa, Brazil 99  
 smallpox vaccination  
 Smith-Mundt Act 26  
 Smuzness Wolf 97  
 Soros, George 48, 50  
 Sotomayor, Sonia 38  
 space denial weapon 45  
 special prosecutor 14  
 standing 33  
 stimulus payment 56  
 Strecker, Robert 97  
 substitutes and agents 73  
 Syrian wheat crop 21  
 takeover, the 45-47, 60, 106,  
   135, 152, 153, 157  
 targeted individual 25, 93, 95  
 Taylor, Brice 164-170  
 Teachout, Zephyr 21, 75  
 top-down control 46  
 torts 35, 60  
 travel 49  
 treason 42, 51-55, 73, 75, 108,  
   120, 146, 150-151  
 Trump, Donald 20, 104, 106  
 UCMJ 99  
 ultra vires 34, 37, 97  
 United States Constitution  
   214. See also constitution.  
 Universal Declaration of  
   Human Rights 29  
*USS Liberty* 55  
 vaccination 76-83, 93-99  
 Verdier, Paul 132  
 vigilantism 151  
 violence 101-116  
 Virginia Resolution 34  
 von Braun, Werner 139  
 war 141, 145, 158, 161  
 Warp Speed 20, 21, 140  
 Western Australia 153  
 whistle blower 125, 130,  
   138, 234  
 white-anting 37-40  
 Whitmer, Gov Gretchen 150  
 WHO 78, 150  
 workaround 145  
 world government 20, 45, 60  
 Wright, Pearce 98  
 Wallace, Alfred Russel 34  
 Yemen starvation 93

