

Keep the Republic, Kill the Takeover

Mary W Maxwell, PhD, LLB

ISBN

Copyright: Mary Maxwell, 2021

Keywords:

citizen's arrest, militias, mutiny, the Material Witness Act, fear of fear, law maxims, cruelty, treason, genocide, IMF-icide, the riot act, mandatory vaccination, lockdown, law of self-defense, law of outlawry, *necessitas non habet legem*

Author's email address:

MaxwellMaryLLB@gmail.com

Author's YouTube channel:

Mary W Maxwell

Author's website:

Constitution and Truth.com

Front cover shows the distress flag

To

Bill Windsor, legal activist

Jon Rappoport, discoverer of the matrix

Rand Paul, US Senator

Zephyr Teachout, law professor

and Paul Craig Roberts, political economist

who work hard all day, every day, to save America

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	9
Foreword	13
Table of Authorities Cited in This Book	14
 Part One: Stop Going Like Lambs to the Slaughter	 17
1. Introduction	18
2. Face It: Cruelty Wins	23
3. Rockefeller Published Covid-19 Details in 2014	29
4. How To Disarm the Traitors	33
5. The Feeling of Fear for the Wrong Reason	36
6. Genocide, Menticide, and IMF-icide	41
<i>Recap of Part One</i>	46
 Part Two: Stay Lawful -- We've Got Workarounds	 47
7. Blackstone, Material Witness Act, Grand Juries	48
8. Citizen's Arrest Is Legal in 50 States	51
9. Truth Commissions, Amnesties, Pardons	54
10. The US Constitution -- Paradise Regained!	57
11. Civil Disobedience, Mutiny, and the Law of Outlawry	63
12. States' Rights, <i>Jacobson</i> , Sheriff's Posse	68
<i>Recap of Part Two</i>	74
 Part Three: Get Up on Your High Horse	 75
13. Who Really Did 9-11?	76
14. Bill Gates – Are Billionaires Constitutional?	80
15. Dr Day Foretold an Acceptance of Violence	87
16. Great News from 1917: Polio Is Curable	91
17. Do <i>You</i> Want Transhumanism?	96
18. Boy, Have We Been Deceived about Wars	99
<i>Recap of Part Three</i>	102

Part Four: Try To Help Your Police and DA's	103
19. Law of Emergencies and Hurricane Katrina	104
20. Militias, The Dick Act, and the National Guard	109
21. Mules and The January 6th Affair	115
22. Where Are the Good Members of the Professions?	121
23. Developing a Bioweapon Is a Federal Crime	126
24. Military Commissions, AUMF, Martial Law	130
<i>Recap of Part Four</i>	136
 Part Five: Be Alert to Hope	 137
25. Discussion of Parts One to Four of This Book	138
26. Cultural Contributions and Communism	144
27. Media and Mind Control	153
28. Who Runs the Show? Do You Permit Them?	157
29. Conspiracy Theory, Civilization, and the Lies of War	163
30. Lawsuits, Maxims, Enactments, Impeaching	169
 Absolutely Secret Confidential Letter to Students	 175
 Welcome to the Appendices	 176
 Appendix A. Brice Taylor, excerpt: <i>Thanks for the Memories</i>	 177
Appendix B. LBJ Tried to Start a Nuclear War	182
Appendix C. The Sandy Hook Massacre Was <i>Not</i> Real	187
Appendix D. Was the Paris Bataclan Attack "Terrorism"?	193
 Glossary of Legal Terms	 194
Bibliography, Fifty Books	196
Note for Parents and Home Schoolers	199
About the Author	200
Index	201

The Riot Act in History

The riot act -- an Act Preventing Riots and Tumultuous Assemblies -- was passed by the British Parliament in 1714. It allowed the police to read a proclamation to any group of 12 or more, ordering them to disburse within an hour or be charged with a felony for not disbursing. The Act was repealed in 1973.

Last week, in May 2022, a group of private leaders met in Davos, Switzerland, to plan your demise. In the group, looking jubilant, were Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, and George Soros.

They apparently don't know what's coming to them. We are going to hand them their demise pill, and save the human race.

Still, there is last-minute room for mercy. How about we give them one chance to wake up....

A PROPOSED RIOT ACT:

THOSE WHO HAVE GATHERED TOGETHER TO HARM HUMANITY, YOU MUST DISBURSE. NO MATTER FOR HOW MANY YEARS (A CENTURY?) YOU HAVE BEEN COLLABORATING WITH ONE ANOTHER TO FOMENT WARS, TO SPEND THE NATION INTO BANKRUPTCY,

TO PUT AN END TO THE FAMILY FARM, TO WEAPONIZE THE LAW, TO PERVERT THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE AND SCIENCE, TO MISEDUCATE CHILDREN AND GIVE THEM CHRONIC ILLNESSES, TO DEGRADE CULTURE, MAKING FAKERY A HIGH ART.

NO MATTER HOW LONG, IT ENDS TODAY.

YOU ARE HEREBY ENCOURAGED TO WALK AWAY FROM THESE PERNICIOUS ENDEAVORS. IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT, IN MANY CASES, YOU HAD NO SAY IN JOINING SUCH GROUPS IN THE FIRST PLACE. WALKING OUT ON THEM, TODAY, WILL MARK YOU AS WORTHY OF CLEMENCY FROM CITIZENS.

WE ARE ONE SOCIETY. YOU ARE NOT SEPARATE FROM US. YOUR SINS, THOUGH MOUNTAINOUS, WERE POSSIBLY THOUGHT UP BY A FEW MENTALLY ILL INDIVIDUALS AND PASSED TO YOU AS REALITY.

THEY ARE NOT REALITY. MAKING EARTHQUAKES AND FLOODS AND THE CALIFORNIA FIRES IS NOT REALITY EITHER. YOU WILL BE HAPPY WHEN YOU RETURN TO REAL REALITY. LET GOD WORRY ABOUT THE WEATHER, OK? YOU CAN JUST RELAX. IT'S NO LONGER YOUR JOB. LOOK AROUND AND TRY TO ADMIRE THE COMPATRIOTS YOU SEE. I PREDICT YOU'LL COME TO FEEL SAD THAT YOU HURT PRACTICALLY ALL OF THEM IN PURSUIT OF CRAZY GOALS.

DISBURSE NOW, WHILE YOU STILL CAN.

PREFACE

Dear Reader, I am a conspiracy theorist -- I theorize that "they" are conspiring to harm us in every way possible. I am also an experienced court watcher so I'm aware that for the last several years we have been unable to get help from the courts.

So it's up to us -- and that means you -- to dig in and be part of a historic revolution.

What citizen in his right mind would put up with such things as:

the suppression of free speech

the buying up of the majority of cropland in the US by one individual (Bill Gates)

the preventing, by Congress, of lawsuits by the vaccine-injured

the smug denial of the fact that whistleblowers get rubbed out

the use of implanted chips to record your social credit (i.e., your obedience) so as to "permit" you to spend your bank account

the dumbing down of children by schools, and all of us by TV

the "harvesting" of fake ballots in a presidential election

the carefully planned destruction of the family (What!)

the sex-trafficking of little kids (more than ten thousand of them))

the loss of expectation that police will protect the community

and many other outrages?

This is my third go at writing this book. In August 2020, reacting to the "plandemic," I published *Grass Court*, which recommended that you perform something akin to a moot court trial, on your front lawn. If the real courts won't adjudicate a case, we will have to do it ourselves.

One of my pals thought the title "grass court" referred to marijuana. Another assumed it was about a soft-surface tennis court. So I've chucked the title *Grass Court*, in favor of *Keep the Republic*. This Americanizes it but I hope it will be shared by folks anywhere.

In September 2021, I published a draft of *Keep the Republic*. Since then, new events have taken place, such as the self-coronation by the WHO (World Health Organization) as king, and Dinesh D'Souza's amazing *2,000 Mules* movie's revelation of the 2020 route to the White House.

This third try contains a new Part 5. I have still tried to make it suitable for homeschooling 12th-graders. I also replaced the bibliography with a list of 50 books about which I am making a video entitled *Fifty Books*. If you watch that video, my many years of study will be magically transferred to you, just as all of those works were magically transferred to me.

I lack organizational skills but others are good at it. Are you one of them? If so, get crackin'. Note: your group does not need to be huge. *A handful of good people suffices to give a sense of legitimacy*. Rest assured that help is not going to come from the top. None of the three branches of government will miraculously change. The hard slog has to be done by the people, as it always has.

If you wish to follow the scheme of this book, just glance at the headings in the Table of Contents. I try to fish out any LEGAL tricks that today's revolutionary can use. Our ancestors sure were sharp. It's hilarious that a few guys ("perfidious bastards" is my term at the moment) tried to put all of American history down the Memory Hole. Their very chutzpah should inspire us!

Mary W Maxwell Concord New Hampshire June 6, 2022



The Minuteman statue in Lexington, Massachusetts

In 1776, the Declaration of Independence said:

When a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design **to reduce them under absolute Despotism**, it is their right, **it is their duty, to throw off such Government.** ... [Emphasis added]

Article 10 of New Hampshire's Bill of Rights says:

Government being instituted ... **not for the private interest ... of any one man**, family, or class of men; therefore, whenever the ends of government are perverted, and public liberty manifestly endangered, the people may, and of right ought to reform the old, or establish a new government. **The doctrine of nonresistance against arbitrary power, and oppression, is absurd, slavish, and destructive of the good and happiness of mankind.** [Emphasis added]

-- So what are you waiting for?

FOREWORD BY DEE MCLACHLAN

My home for the last twenty years has been Melbourne. It's a beautiful city with parks, beaches, and diverse communities. It was voted the "most livable city" for seven years running. But it is "livable" no more. The Premier, Daniel Andrews, brought in the most draconian measures. Inter-state travel is forbidden. This politician is willing to "administer" a vaccine that had limited trials and absolutely no long-term safety data, yet he heavily restricts drugs that get excellent results overseas.

When I told a lady at the shop about my doubts, she ended the conversation more or less angrily, with "I'm going to trust mainstream medicine." But thousands of other doctors who have protested *are* mainstream medicine! Clearly, Australia has had a coup d'état. Coups are well-known in history but this one has odd features. I'm pretty sure a "Stasi" is being developed, so people will turn their friends in for thought crime. We don't have to do be demoralised; we needn't cave in.

The author of this book, Mary Maxwell, who lived in Australia for more than three decades, is sure she can get on top of it, at least in the United States. Her thing is "our law heritage." Mary has published over 700 articles at my alt-media website GumshoeNews.com, often on law. She constantly states her faith that the law provides a remedy for almost anything. But even Mary developed some skepticism when we discovered, together, that judges are actively abetting the child trafficking business (see her *Reunion: Judging the Family Court*).

Let's hope this book will energise us to defeat the mean actions of the few. Look, the numbers are entirely in our favor. There's no need for 7.8 billion folks to offer their arm for an injection by, say, a few mental cases who think God has ordained them to organise a global economy and redesign human nature. Please get active. Reach for your phone and make some calls -- before you get muted.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED IN THIS BOOK

United States Constitution

Article I, sec 8, the grants of powers to Congress	x
-- Clause 15 provide for Congress calling forth militia	x
-- Clause 18 the only branch that can make law	x
Article I, sec 9, writ of habeas corpus may be suspended	x
Article II, sec 2, president calling forth the militia	x
Article II, sec 3, laws to be faithfully executed	x
Article III, sec 3, treason punishable by death	x
Article IV guaranteeing each state a republic	x
Article IV oath of office for those elected or appointed	x
Amendment I the right to assemble and air grievances	x
Amendment II to keep and bear arms	x
Amendment IV secure in their persons and papers	x
Amendment VII the right to sue whoever harms you	x
Amendment XII how to count electoral votes if challenged	x
Amendment XIII slavery or servitude not to exist in US	x
Amendment XIV state law can't breach Constitution	x

US Supreme Court Rulings

1905 <i>Massachusetts v Jacobson</i> -- states can fine the non-vaxxed	x
1925 <i>Gitlow v New York</i> -- states can't outlaw assembly	x
1931 <i>Home Bldg v Blaisdell</i> -- emergency doesn't override	x
1942 <i>Ex Parte Quirin</i> -- can kill via commission in war	x
1942 <i>US v Korematsu</i> -- martial law of 1942 was not wrong	x
1949 <i>Terminello v Chicago</i> -- constitution is not a suicide pact	x
1970 <i>Massachusetts v Laird</i> -- did not answer state's war query	x
1982 <i>Nixon v Fitzgerald</i> -- sitting president is indictable	x
2004 <i>Hamdi v Rumsfeld</i> -- citizen can be enemy combatant	x
2008 <i>DC v Heller</i> -- personal self-defense, 2nd amendment	x
2010 <i>Citizens United v FEC</i> -- increased corporations' rights	x
2021 <i>Tsarnaev v United States</i> -- jury selection not unfair	x
2015 <i>Prinze v US</i> -- feds can't make state enforce fed law	x
[Australia Court: <i>Zecevic v DPP</i> --right to kill in self-defense]	x

Federal Statutes Referred to in This Book

Material Witness Act 1793	x
Insurrection Act 1807	x
False Claims Act (qui tam suits) 1863	x
Posse Comitatus Act 1875	x
The Dick Act 1902	x
Sherman Anti-trust Act 1890	x
Federal Communications Act 1934	x
Federal Declaratory Judgement Act 1934	x
The Smith-Mundt Act 1948	x
Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act 1970	x
National Emergencies Act 1976	x
National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act 1986	x
Homeland Security Act 2002	x
Detainee Treatment Act 2005	x
National Defense Authorization Act 2012	x

Crimes Codified in United States Code, Title 18

crime of bioweapon use: 18 USC 175	x
crime of treason: 18 USC 2381	x
crime of genocide: 18 USC 1091	x
crime of insurrection: 18 USC 2338	x
war crimes if committed by Americans: 18 USC 2441	x
crime of failing to report a felony: 18 USC 4	x
conspiring to deprive of civil rights: 18 USC 241	x
crime of slavery: 18 USC 1589	x

Items in Other Titles of the United States Code

national guard bureau: 10 USC 341	x
corporations assist defense production: 50 USC 4502	x
president warns before disbursing crowd: 10 USC 254	x
filing a claim of fraud against the US: 31 USC 3779	x
punishment for mutiny: 10 USC 894	x

PART ONE

STOP GOING LIKE LAMBS TO THE SLAUGHTER

Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will. Find out just what any people will quietly submit to and you have found out the exact measure of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them, and these will continue till they are resisted with either words or blows, or with both. The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they oppress.

-- Frederick Douglass



Frederick Douglass, 1817-1895

Chapter 1. Introduction



God said “Let there be light.” And there was light.

In recent years, someone seems to have decreed “Let there be darkness.” And since we weren’t paying close attention, and since most of us Americans had not experienced major evil before, we let there be darkness. That is to say, when we noticed strange things happening, we did not act. There are at least four reasons why we “let it go.”

First, we are let-it-goers, by nature. It always seems sensible – unless your house is on fire – to wait out the problem for a few more days or months.

Second, we assume it’ll be taken care of. “It’s not in my job description to deal with, say, medical ethics, but it is somebody’s solemn responsibility. I’m sure they’ll fix it.”

Third, we are too humble to throw our weight around. Unless we carry a title or fame, it would seem over-ambitious to grab the leadership of a movement. We don’t know how to start it.

Fourth, criticizing one’s country goes against the grain. Neighbors may call us unpatriotic or just “mental” if we step out of line with our words or behavior. Ho hum, best to be quiet.

This book is about *not letting it go*. This book is about seizing the moment, to act with all of one’s might and concentration to oppose what is happening. Many people are already acting.

Today the MSM (mainstream media) exert tremendous effort to not let the public know what's going on! At the same time, they manipulate our emotions. During the 2020 pandemic, media hyped the value of "public safety." We need to face this trickiness quite directly, as folks are easily persuaded to give up individual desires for safety "for the greater good."

Cicero, a senator of ancient Rome, wrote *Salus populi est lex suprema*. "The safety of the community is the highest law." Sounds OK, but I'll eat my hat if "safety" is what motivated the MSM to censor and de-platform critics of government.

This book deals with a situation that is prevailing today. That is, some people are looking to take over America, and all nations, and make a totalitarian World Government. The Covid disease is but an excuse for a power grab – and unfortunately most citizens fall for the ruse and obey the power grabbers.

If the World Government promoters win – and they have the weapons to do so summarily – the result will be a way of life that does not let each individual live his life as he pleases. Love will be replaced by hate, free work by slavery, and one's sense of belongingness to one's nation will be replaced by being a "number" in a world population of billions. Super yuck.

The bad guys have been designing this for a long time, while we sat back and let it happen. Every American is responsible for the decline of our country. Consider the famous Inside Job that transpired on 9-11 (i.e., September 11, 2001). We let wrongdoers go unpunished. Hey, even me who fought for truth? Yes, especially me and all truthers. We had the inspiration to act but let it go.

Outline of This Book

This book has five parts; each part has six short chapters. The five parts live up to their titles as follows:

Part One, *Stop Going Like Lambs to the Slaughter*, urges your immediate attention and action. Chapters will examine cruelty and fear, and discuss the plain fact that Covid pandemic details, including a Lockdown, were described in 2014 by Rockefeller Foundation.

This book will often use the term “top dogs” to designate the elites that have the power to foist their will on the world. Still, it is we who are really the top dogs, if we would just bother to curtail such nasty business. We will gaze at the domestic law against genocide, and I will promote a law against menticide (mind control).

Part Two, *Stay Lawful -- We've Got Workarounds*, gives a collection of such workarounds. American law, thanks to its British heritage, has thought of everything. Every possible contingency has been taken care of -- I kid you not -- in the event that top dogs get out of hand. Among the workarounds are Blackstonian law that makes it a crime to obstruct justice, citizen-led grand juries, and private prosecutions. Civil society can invent its own items such as a Truth Commission. In fact, there is a hotbed of activity today among civic-minded persons who oppose the plandemic.

Part Three, *Get Up on Your High Horse*, presents some things that require your righteous indignation. (Did I just hear you groan that indignation went out of style? See, you've been gotten at by the media.) Chapters in this part are on the 2020 stolen election, the hidden cure for diseases, and the ultimate lunacy, transhumanism.

Part Four, *You Can Help Our Police and District Attorneys*, is not entirely fanciful. They plainly do not know what's in the law. Chapters will lay out exactly what police are legitimately able to do in an emergency, whether militias or a posse can help, and what should happen during, say, a Hurricane Katrina.

Part Five, *Hope Abounds*, views Dinseh D'Souza's *Mules* movie as a citizen-law triumph, looks for a reawakening of professional ethics, and sorts out some facts of secret societies and world order. It also talks about censorship and conspiracy theories. Finally, Part Five gets into a new theme: happiness.

The appendices are important, too. Some contain dark things. You could start there if you have a taste for the maudlin.

Note: My doomsday stuff may be inaccurate. I hope it is!

The Rand Paul/Tony Fauci Confrontation

There are magic moments in our history and one of them occurred in July 2021. A senator caught a member of the bureaucracy red handed at the act of genocide. That is, Senator Rand Paul obtained information that Dr Anthony Fauci, in his capacity of Director of National Institutes of Health, had arranged for scientists to engage in Gain-of-Function research. (That means you can, in a laboratory, upgrade a virus's virulence and also make it easier for an animal disease to jump over to humans.)

Specifically, Fauci had funded that research in a lab in China. Even more so, he had done it against a directive from Congress. Rand pointed out that it is a felony to lie to Congress and carries a prison sentence of five years. He asked Fauci to recant his lie. Fauci must have been well trained in crisis management (the game of evading legality). He replied to Paul: "You don't know what you're talking about." YouTube has since deleted that exchange!

Did all other senators at that meeting join Rand Paul in banging their fists at Fauci? No, they did not. Apparently, they too have read in the paper that righteousness is out of style. But don't you worry. It is coming back into style.

The US Constitution bestows law-enforcement on the president, per Article II, section 3: "He shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed." But our so-called Department of Justice does not perform the needed prosecutions. The legislature (both federal and your state) can investigate any and all sins. After all, the Framers of the Constitution considered Congress to be "the people."

The Case of Larry Silverstein

I believe we even do wrong by the wrongdoers. No joke, I think one of the big mistakes made in the last 40 years or so has been to allow the Department of Justice ("the DoJ") -- which itself is wholly corrupt -- to diligently *protect* the biggest miscreants. Not only did we harm the citizenry, we harmed the miscreants. (See my article "Forgive me, David Rockefeller" at Gumshoe-News.com.) They too are members of our society and we should protect them from the kind of future they are designing!

It is totally absurd to say that 19 hijackers caused two skyscrapers to fall on 9-11. The attacks on the WTC (World Trade Center) and the Pentagon (so named for its 5-point shape, in keeping with some dopey occult ideas) were done under the watchful eye of Dick Cheney et al. Top brass military *had* to have been in on it.

But never mind the Twin Towers. Consider the third skyscraper that went down on 9-11: Building 7, owned by Larry Silverstein. It clearly fell by controlled demolition. (Why demolish it? Maybe something its offices needed to be gotten rid of?)

Larry exudes the self-confidence that goes with the mental state of a deluded person. He stated on PBS (Public Broadcasting Service) that he had ordered Building 7's demolition. I quote:

"I remember getting a call from the fire department commander telling me they were not sure they were going to be able to contain the fire ... and I said, 'Well, you know, we've had such terrible loss of life ... maybe the smartest thing to do is, is 'pull' it ... and they made that decision to 'pull' ... uh, and we watched the building collapse."

It fell at 5pm. The troubles had begun by 9am that day. It takes much longer than eight hours to arrange for a demolition, so it is not possible that the "Fire Department" swiftly arranged it. And to cite as the reason, that "we've had such terrible loss of life," is nuts -- no one was in any danger inside the empty Building 7.

Would he be quizzed later, by the DoJ? Of course not. And the Report of the 9-11 Commission doesn't even mention Building 7. Many Americans still think only two skyscrapers fell. Clearly, Mr Silverstein, age 90, must be called in for questioning now.

Act now while we still have a legal system in place. Once we lose it, there won't be any way to get it back, will there?

Please take seriously the title of PART ONE. No more walking like lambs to the slaughter, Folks. Those days have *gone out of style*.

Chapter 2. Face It: Cruelty Wins



Dr Jeff Bradstreet



thrown into a river for using GcMAF to treat autism?

It Wins. Let me call attention to the fact stated in the title of this chapter: Cruelty wins. Just think about it mathematically. You could have a thousand people stacked up on one side, condemning the practice of torture, and just one lonely psychopath on the other side meting it out. Physically it's an uneven match -- the thousand must win against the one. But no.

A psychopath has enormous clout -- he has ruthlessness. That means he (or she) is “without rue.” They do not feel remorse for what they did, nor do they have sympathy for the victims. They may even feel pleasure in knowing that people suffer, but most aren't sadistic -- they are just cold-hearted.

It is very important for us to grasp our impotence in the face of psychopathy. If a wife reports that she is suffering from a wicked husband, her psychiatrist may advise “Get a divorce.” But here we are, faced with many psychopathic (hidden) rulers, and we can't get a divorce. We can, however, kill them.

Note: I realize that is a shocking statement. In later chapters I'll make the case that US law is sufficiently clever and strong to enable us citizens to prevail over any monsters. We can use *lawful* workarounds when our appointed law-enforcers cop out.

Cruelties. I have come across outrageous things that the hidden rulers do. They urge us to acquire debt, they make us doubt our family and friends, they arrange for mass killings. They set

one ethnic group against each other, and one political party against the other. Recently, the alleged kidnappers of Governor Witmer have been exposed as working in an FBI sting (same as OKC bombing and the old 1993 WTC explosions). It's essential that we study the mathematical consequence of psychopathy. If we don't deal with this, we remain sitting ducks.

Can Love Conquer All? Not Exactly

Two friends of mine, who don't know each other, keep telling me that the solution is for everyone to spread some love and caring around in his/her social circle. This, they say, will rev up the energy of love all over the place and bring us a new world. Sorry. There is no way that energy accumulates like that. Maybe it does accumulate, but it doesn't conquer existing arrangements. In our present America, we have a horrible arrangement that the public is unaware of: taxpayer-funded mind control.

I knew nothing of it until 2005 when – being a nosey Parker – I attended a conference for survivors of SRA – discreet name for “satanic ritual abuse.” I met Carol Rutz there and read her book, *A Nation Betrayed*. That was my introduction to the fact that our military bases, since at least 1950, have hosted torturers, just as the Nazi camps hosted Dr Mengele. In fact, we hosted Dr Mengele himself! There is no excuse for denying this stuff. It's been *declassified* for decades that OSS-man Allen Dulles (later head of the CIA) smuggled Nazi scientists into the US under Operation Paperclip. (See Wendy Hoffman's books.)

There be at least two separate motivations for mind control. One is the “scientific” urge to learn how to do it. Techniques were developed, since Pavlov's “conditioning” of dogs in Russia in the 1890s. The latest is AI – artificial intelligence, which we are supposed to embrace as a step forward! Oh dear.

The other motive is related to a secret tradition of pedophilia. That word derives from Latin *pedo*, child and *philia*, love. Psychiatrists say it has more to do with power than pleasure. See studies by Joachim Hagopian and Fiona Barnett, and ITNJ.org.

Thanks to both the above factors, we now have, in many top positions, men and women who were tortured in childhood, with their family's cooperation. Some are political "leaders," but they are really puppets for those above them. Some are academics, ordered to turn out bad stuff. Isn't that astonishing?

Satanism I don't like to place all wickedness under the "Satan" rubric, as I think the real force behind it is the fact that the top dogs need urgently to protect their own hides. But many people are brought into it, or kept in it, by the religious pressure of the "Church of Satan." Col Michael Aquino of the US Army was very openly a Satanist who tortured kids left and right.

In 2018 in Australia, after the four-year-long hearings of the Royal Commission into Institutional Response to Child Sexual Abuse, the Prime Minister invited survivors to the hall of Parliament House where he issued a formal apology to them for the abuse they suffered. He said "including ritual abuse."

Autism. How did autism go from rare to common? My husband, a pediatrician, was baffled by that. I think the autism epidemic was planned. When cures were found, they got suppressed. We know that Dr Jeff Bradstreet had his office raided by the FDA the day before he died. His autistic son had just graduated from high school. You know Dad was not suicidal, not at all. But when he was found in a river in North Carolina, in 2015, police called it a suicide.

Severely autistic children often bang their head in the floor, to *alleviate* their pain. Think about it. Did it come from vaccines? Yes, it did. There again, every mention of that was met with ridicule. Please endeavor to believe it. (See my *Balm in Gilead*.) One mother in the UK, Joan Campbell, asked readers to send her their story in just a few sentences. She then published about a thousand of them at Following Vaccinations.com, but it is no longer available. Luckily I copied a few entries out for use in my book *Consider the Lilies*. Read this and weep:

From UK, Joan Campbell website Followingvaccinations.com:

***Sofia Backlund.** MMR vaccine Got VERY sick after vaccine and stayed sick for 7 mos, ear infections etc got 7 rounds of antibiotics Diagnosed Autism 1.5 years later. Vaccinated in Boynton Beach, FL. Today: after 4 years of biomedical intervention he is much better.

***Stacy Kurnosoff Baghdanov.** TX, 7 years old, with ASD diagnosis. Regressed severely after MMR vaccine, immediately got sick 1 hour after it with explosive diarrhea, very high fever, within weeks was not saying mommy anymore, no more smiling, didn't react to his name.

***Silvia Bagnasco.** My son, nearly five years old, was diagnosed PDD 2010. He was born absolutely normal and had grown up healthy until the MMR at 13 months. Spent 4 days in hospital vomiting and hypotonic. He is attending a specialized autism treatment center and on homeopathic detox. We are fortunately seeing some progress. Italy.

***Stacey Verdoorn Bahr.** Part of me wishes I had a story like many of the others, a story of regression, but my son, looking back, showed signs from birth. I strongly suspect the Hep B vaccine, but I don't have prior behavior to compare it to. Albert Lea, MN. All I can say to the type of reaction he had, he's autistic. Enough said, I guess!

***Cheryl Bailey.** Starting with DPT took his physical skills, MMR blew his guts to bits and left him with failure to thrive and seizure disorder rashes, hair fell out, vomiting, leaky gut, loss of remaining physical skills including speech, eye contact, even the ability to open and close his hands, feed himself. Now 18. It's been a long 16 years. Mississippi.

***Melissa Baker.** My son has been forever paused.

It is extremely, extremely wrong not to listen to these mothers.

An Internal Enemy Is Warring on Us. Someone is planning our destruction. I consider these Internet sources trustworthy:

The Corbett Report by James Corbett, a Canadian in Japan

The Dimming, at Geo-engineeringWatch by Dane Wigington

Charlotte Iserbyt, The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America

William Engdahl on agriculture. KathyDopp.info on Pfizer

And here is an item on cultural change in Russia that could as well be about the US: From Sergei Glazyev's book, *Genocide*:

“The rapid degradation of the population, especially youth, observed here cannot be attributed to some inherent flaws. There has been nothing like this in the thousand-year history of Russia. Even during the Civil War and collectivization ... there was not such an unrestrained demoralization of society. The main causes are the abrupt deterioration of conditions of life as a result of the ruling oligarchy's appropriation of the national wealth, its export abroad, as well as the artificial demoralization of the population and a great number of people's loss of clear life guidelines, confidence in the future, and understanding of the meaning of life.”

I have not touched upon the fact that youth have suffered from drugs ever since the “CIA” introduced LSD as a mind control experiment, used MK-Ultra torture on thousands of children, and in Iran/Contra provided the ghetto with cocaine, and got our soldiers hooked on heroin. We all read in school about the opium war that Britain waged in China in order to make the people unable to stand up to them. Is there any reason to think humanity then cleaned up its act?

Now look at what's coming. A Takeover is not pleasant. Australia, in the Pandemic, already used concentration camps:

A Bad Omen for Us. William Guy Carr's 1970 book, *Pawns in the Game*, includes this item reportedly published in 1936 at *Echo de Paris*, "Instructions to Take Over Government in Spain 1936." It is about what the Communists will do:

4. When the barracks have been taken over, those classed as enemies, including in particular **all commanders and officers, shall be rapidly eliminated**, and without hesitation. [!!]

5. Each member of the committees shall be provided with a list of individuals to be **murdered by himself** personally.

6. After the enemies have been disposed of, neutrals shall be subjected to severe tests. 9. The generals have two adjutants, and a secretary, who must be murdered in their own homes.

15. Violence shall not be used against the capitalists except if they resist.

24. The most intimate details concerning the lives and characters of all neutrals and sympathizers must be obtained and carefully recorded, **including the influence which love of their children may exercise over them...**

25. Our militia must be organized to work **away from their own localities** because experience has taught us that at the last moment, through sentimentalism, **men working among their families, and friends**, have failed to carry out our plan.

27. STARVATION is a means of reducing opposition quickly, "During the first week, and **until the [new] becomes normal**, the supply of food and drink to the bourgeois is prohibited."

28. Stock of foods in barracks, and in the hands of our enemies, which cannot be captured, must be rendered useless by mixing paraffin or other substances with them. [Emphasis added]

Chapter 3. Rockefeller Published Covid Details in 2014



(L) *David Rockefeller* (C) *Nelson Rockefeller* (R) *George Soros*

Back in 2014, the Rockefeller Foundation, the RF, had described the entire apparatus of what came to be in the 2020-21 pandemic. How did they know? Surely they made it happen. Don't be embarrassed to draw logical conclusions from circumstantial evidence. That's perfectly allowable in a court trial.

Rockefellers have never been shy about proposing policy or starting whole institutions. John D Rockefeller, Jr, son of the oilman, began the NAACP and World Council of Churches. His Dad, by 1907, put \$43 million into a private General Education Board, to influence schools. John D also changed the course of medicine. The website Rockefeller.edu boasts:

“After John D. Rockefeller Sr.’s grandson died from scarlet fever in January 1901, [he] formalized plans to establish the research center he had been discussing for three years with his adviser Frederick T. Gates [father of Bill Gates] At the time, infectious diseases such as tuberculosis, diphtheria, and typhoid were considered the greatest known threats to human health. In Europe, the Koch and Pasteur Institutes were applying laboratory science successfully The Rockefeller Institute became the first biomedical research center in the US.”

The Rockefeller Foundation's booklet has a chapter called *Scenarios*. One scenario is called “Lockstep.” It shows what would

happen in case of a pandemic. It was published in 2014 in the style of a historic novel — the pandemic in this “scenario” had supposedly occurred in 2012. (Had the RF put it in future tense, that might have raised eyebrows.) The first sentence of the Lockstep Scenario is “**a world of tighter top-down control and more authoritarian leadership.**” Hello?

Eight Things from RF’s 2012 Scenario [Bolding added]

1. Rockefeller Foundation describes this virus as having come from **wild geese** and as being “extremely virulent and deadly.” It hit the world in 2012 and was not like the 2009 H1N1 strain:

“Even the most pandemic-prepared nations were quickly overwhelmed when the virus streaked around the world, **infecting nearly 20% of the global population and killing 8 million.**”

2. Right away there was an effect on national economies. People couldn’t travel across borders and the flow of goods “screamed to a halt...breaking supply chains”: “Shops and **office buildings sat empty.**”

3. The virus struck everywhere simultaneously -- “disproportionate numbers died in Africa, SE Asia, and Central America, where the virus spread like wildfire in the absence of official containment protocols.” [This sounds like wishful thinking.]

4. As we [the RF projectors] later realized, the US had been too lenient by only *recommending* that people **not use air travel.** Compare this to China which had a happier outcome: “The Chinese government’s quick enforcement of mandatory quarantine for all citizens, as well **as its instant and near-hermetic sealing off of all borders, saved millions of lives....**”

5. “leaders around the world flexed their authority and imposed restrictions, from the **mandatory wearing of face masks to body-temperature checks at the entries to communal spaces like train stations and supermarkets.**”

6. Once the pandemic faded, “this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities **stuck and even intensified** ... leaders around the world took a firmer grip on power. At first, citizens **willingly gave up** some of their sovereignty **and their privacy**, to **more paternalistic states**.”

7. In the West, we saw: “**biometric IDs for all citizens, for example, and tighter regulation of key industries whose stability was deemed vital to national interests**.”

8. “Sporadic pushback **became increasingly organized** ... as disaffected youth and people who had seen their status and opportunities slip away -- largely in developing countries -- **incited civil unrest**.” [Fancy that – the youth incited it!] -- End of Rockefeller Foundation's 2014 booklet's material.

George Soros. Like the late David Rockefeller, George Soros (age 91) has wielded incredible power. He funds the Black Lives Matter riots and the new “fact-checking” (censorship) at Facebook. Soros helps the IMF, International Monetary Fund, openly set policy in poor nations. Belarus has lately been told it will not get IMF loans unless it does the full Covid-19 lockdown, thus ruining its economy. The president of Tanzania, John Magufuli, a very wise man, spoke against vax (vaccination) and soon found himself dead.

In Switzerland, **Klaus Schwab** came right out and said that he was authoring a “Great Reset” of the world economy, that would bring justice and equality. Gee, thanks, Klaus, that's big of you. Do you mean “equality” as in everybody being equally poor, sick, or dead?

We need solidarity against these “world leaders.” Why do we put up with their arrogant incursions? The title of this Part One is “Stop Going Like Lambs to the Slaughter.” Please keep in mind that their power depends on our agreeing to it. You don't have to be lamb-ish. A lamb can't help it, but we have brains and can decide the fate of our society. You can out-Schwab Schwab! The world wants you to do that.

Open Letter to Corrupt Australian Politicians, Bureaucrats and Media, by Phil Hingston, GumshoeNews, 4/17/21.

We now understand what you've been up to for many years. Maybe not everyone yet, but a sufficient number to thwart your *evil* plans. The number of *aware* citizens grows daily.

Alas, given the overwhelming weight of evidence playing out before us daily for the last year and a half since the COVID-19 fiasco was thrust upon us, subsequent to the Event 201 "planning session" in New York in late 2019, and the lockdowns, we now understand what you people really think of us. You will shortly be seeing exactly what We the People think of you.

The implementation of this deadly *bio-weapon* masquerading as a *safe and effective* vaccine was the last straw. We understand how you use false flags to push legislation. We know about 9/11 and Port Arthur and Australian gun control laws. We know about the bombings in Bali to muster consent for the Iraq and Afghanistan wars. We see your agenda towards your crazed "Great Reset" and your ridiculous One World Government.

We understand you think there are too many of us. What exactly do the rest of the "inconvenient" 7.5 billion souls do? Compliantly take your toxic, poisonous, gene-altering, mRNA bio-weapon and quietly expire? Don't think so!

We are seeing, in real-time, the mind-numbingly blatant election fraud in the US from the Arizona forensic audits. You believe you've got the cover you need to avoid punishment. You are sadly mistaken. Your greatest fear, that the public would awaken to your criminality, is happening in real-time. Ha.

At the risk of being labeled a "Conspiracy Theorist" (yawn)....

NOTHING CAN STOP WHAT IS COMING.

Chapter 4. Treason -- How To Disarm the Traitors



*(L) Janet Reno, US Atty Gen during Ruby Ridge siege and OKC bombing
(C) Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense during 9-11 and invasion of Iraq,
(R) miscellaneous doctors and nurses who inject dangerous substances*

Belatedly, I apologize to the readers who may be hearing only for the first time about shocking treachery of our compatriots. I have had years to get used to it. I am not panicked (yet) as I so clearly see the legal solution. The sinners should be identified as traitors, tried, and if convicted, sent to the gallows.

Based on Constitution Article 3, sec 3, Congress criminalized treason, and it's now codified at 18 USC 2381, as follows:

“Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort within the US or elsewhere, is guilty of treason.”

You may want to look at the relevant jurisprudence -- the swag of interpretations of that legislation, produced in American courts -- but you won't find much. Hardly anyone gets prosecuted for treason. As to why that is so, I think you can guess.

I propose we take up the cultural prerogative to enlarge the interpretation of the phrase “levies war against them.” (Note: the pronoun “them” is plural only because it refers back to the word United *states* – plural.) If the Framers of the Constitution

had been asked whether "levying war" could encompass attacking the United States with a disease, or with a scheme to immobilize people emotionally by a psy-op, or by misinformation, I assume they'd have said Yes.

Don't forget that 18 USC 2381 narrows the pool of candidates for the role of traitor to "[persons] owing allegiance to the United States." We are not talking about a foreign power sending us a disease or remotely manipulating American brains. We are talking about US citizens doing it *to their own*.

Moot Court and Grass Court

I recommend that law students rehearse a trial by choosing a past act of treason. Charge the suspect, set up a mock trial, and give him every inch of due process. Laypersons can perform 'grass court' trials on their lawn or hire a hall. Better pretend-prosecute only deceased persons, in order to avoid being sued for defamation. Note: Grand Juries can indict a living person, but the very fact that they are investigating So-and-So must be kept strictly secret.

The three photos above are a suggestion as to whom you could charge with treason: 1. Janet Reno, US Attorney General during the siege at Ruby Ridge (FBI shooting, unprovoked at innocent people, such as 14-year-old Sam Weaver), 2. Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense who lied about Saddam's weapons of mass destruction, thus causing an unjustified destruction of Iraq and death to US soldiers, 3. doctors and nurses who intentionally gave people a harmful vaccination.

Who knows -- if you provide a good defense, the person may be found NOT to have levied war against the people. The defendant may even gain a restoration of his/her reputation.

New Hampshire Went Creative before the Revolution

In the 2020 Republican primary in New Hampshire, I made bold to run for president against the incumbent, Donald Trump. This led me to a bicentennial book edited by William

Gardner called *Towns against Tyranny*. In 1976 he recorded small NH town's response to the American Revolution 1775-1783. The people were worried that some amongst them would support Mother England rather than the rebellious colonists.

The people thought of a way to flush out potential traitors. They would demand that all males above age 21 sign as to which side they would associate with. Congress had already recommended the disarming of "all persons disaffected to the cause of America." On April 2, 1776, the colony of New Hampshire drew up a paper which they called "The Association Test" - as in "With whom do you plan to associate?"

"We the subscribers, do hereby solemnly engage, and promise, that we will to the utmost of our Power, at the Risque of our Lives and Fortunes, with Arms, oppose the hostile Proceedings of the British Fleets and Armies against the United American Colonies."

Any man who refused to sign it was disarmed.

Whom Should We Disarm Today? There are statutes in many states that limit the right of dangerous persons to own firearms. It would certainly be reasonable today to *disarm any powerful persons (many of whom are in government)* who admit to any plan to overthrow our Constitution. Yes, we have the Second Amendment but as Justice Jackson said in *Terminiello v Chicago* (1949), "The Constitution is not a suicide pact."

I say we should use **an association test as a preemptive strike**. Ask all government personnel, Fortune 500 people, and Great Reset enthusiasts to "solemnly promise loyalty." As things stand now, those men plan to make us sign to *their* thing.

See Chapter 15 below, where Dr Richard Day, a henchman of Rockefeller, stated in 1969 that we will be asked to sign over to the new system. Non-signers will be killed be deprived of food, travel, etc, and may be killed. You are hereby warned!

Chapter 5. The Feeling of Fear for the Wrong Reason



Windows of Buckingham Place appear curtained to block 5G radiation

How many Americans are aware of the conspiracies? A few years after 9-11, Gore Vidal was interviewed on TV (if I remember correctly) and stated plainly that there was no way the US military could have failed to capture errant planes. I think that alone should have interested enough veterans to talk up that one aspect of the case, but it failed to start a groundswell.

When I found out, in February 2005, that the 9-11 event, three years and a half earlier, was a false flag, I was scared to death. That does not mean I wanted to run away from the subject – I wanted to get everybody involved. Naturally I was rebuffed, but I hear that the statistics did go up after a while. Within 10 years, a majority of New Yorkers doubted the official story, and they were angry about the polluted air from the explosions.

Now, during the Covid crisis, we know that large numbers of nurses and soldiers are refusing the vaccine, and there has been no shortage of scientific proof of the lying (albeit fast-erased), yet most Americans refuse to take the criticisms on board.

I am unable to explain it. I vote that the most likely reason is that something has been done to people's brains to make the whole population less attentive. Gradual cultural change has been accomplished by what is taught to kids. They're groomed to accept even outrageous things as not worth worrying about. But I imagine that the emotion of fear is a main factor. This chapter will investigate how we might overcome that problem.

George Crile, MD (1864-1943) on Fear

George Crile was a brilliant doctor. He is not to be confused with his grandson George Crile whose movie *Charlie Wilson's War*, revealed the mujahadeen story. Crile was fascinated by phylogeny, the tree of life with its many branches. He went to great lengths to collect animal species, in order compare traits.

Looking for fear, he found that it doesn't exist in skunks and snakes. Why? Skunks do not need it, as they have only one way of fighting off an attacker by sending out the famous stink. Snakes do not have fear, as they have no skeletal mechanism for running away. In short, Crile identifies the emotion of fear with the fight-or-flight response. It is only those animals that deal with an attacker *by use of muscular exertion* that have fear.

Humans flee or fight. They use their motor system for this. Ask: why does fear make you sweat, make you breathe heavy, and make your nostrils open and quicken your heart beat? Because it's all part of the motor action of running or hitting. The heart rate helps circulation, the nostrils and breathing allow more air flow to the lungs, the sweating is a way to lower the body temperature which is building up because of increased metabolism, i.e., the producing of energy for work.

Edward O Wilson (my lifetime mentor) has said that our human nature was "jerrybuilt in the Pleistocene." That is, when our species first came about, we had only the miscellaneous body features that worked well for that time. Our brains are suited to the old days, and no part of the brain was tailor-made for the things we do today. We have to put up with -- or consciously modify -- that inheritance.

George Crile, MD, says this, too. I shall now quote from a philosophical paper: *Phylogenetic Association in Relation to the Emotions* -- that he wrote in 1912 for the Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society. It is downloadable from JStor.

.

Human Fear Looks Like Animal Fear (George Crile)

“Man has not been presented with any new organs to meet the requirements of the present state of civilization. — he has the same organs possessed even by the lower animals.”

“Applying this conception to human beings of today, certain mysterious phenomena are at once elucidated. ... In fact the present status of civilization of man is now operated with the primary equipment of brutish organs. Perhaps the most striking difference is the greater control man has gained over primitive instinctive reactions. [I hope so.]

“Man has come down from his arboreal abode and assumed his new role of increased domination physical world but a moment ago. And now, though sitting at his desk, in command of machinery ... when he fears a business catastrophe it is in the terms of his physical battle in the struggle for existence.

“He cannot fear intellectually, or fear dispassionately, he fears with all of his organs, and the same organs are stimulated or inhibited, as if instead of its being a battle of credit or position or honor, it were a physical battle with teeth and claws.

“Whether the cause of acute fear is moral, financial, social or stage fright, the respirations are accelerated, perspiration is increased, there is a pallor, trembling, dry mouth. The phenomena are those of physical escape.

“There is not one group of phenomena for the acute fear of the president of a bank in a financial crash or another for a hitherto trusted official who suddenly faces the naked probability of the penitentiary [ah, dreams!], or one for a patient who unexpectedly finds he has a cancer and another for the hunter when he shoots his first big game.

“Nature has but one response — always physical.”

Buoyed by that, I would like to go further than FDR's comment that "the only thing we have to fear is fear itself" and say that "the only thing we have to fear is fear of fear." Think about it. As soon as you get into a state of trembling and breathing heavily, you get more scared from those symptoms. Then you might skip doing what is the sensible thing to do. We all hate the feeling of fear, it is a very unpleasant emotion.

You can be sure that the top dogs want us to be in a state of fear. It keeps us from attacking them – and that's their top priority, I believe. Over the years they have ordered the death of many whistleblowers and witnesses to their crimes. (I am one such witness, in regard to false flag operations, but still alive!)

Of course, it's never announced in the newspaper that a person died from whistle-blowing – he merely had a heart attack or was in a car crash, or suicided. But people do get the message, subconsciously. You'd have to be crazy NOT to be afraid of standing up to the top dogs.

Panic. Our CIA and military have done things in other countries specifically to make people go into a panic. When in panic, a person cannot think at all. And he becomes very willing to follow orders. (Panic may explain the devoted mask-wearing.)

The biological basis of panic has to do with a baby getting separated from the mother. The kid panics. The mother usually does, too! The word panic comes from Pan, a mythic creature who helped people when they got lost in the woods. Please help us, Pan!

This chapter says: try not to let the top dogs get away with using such a simple trick as *fear* to prevent us from deciding logically, and for making us flee when instead we should fight. Please renounce your *fear of fear*. And practice with a group some ways to react when the top dogs spring their latest "shock and awe" on us. Being ready for this would lessen our panic a lot.

Whistleblowers Who Died in Just One Decade, 2001-2010

Liz Birt, JD 1956-2005 *car crash*. Connected autism to vaccine.
Nicola Calipari 1953-2005 *shot*. Saw US war crimes in Iraq.
Iris Chang 1968-2004 *shot*. Historian of 1934 China/Japan.
Robin Cook 1946-2005 *heart attack*. UK's FM: *al Qaeda* hoax!
Rachel Corrie 1979-2003 *bulldozed*. Israel in the West Bank.
Anne Johnson Davis 1953-2010 *suicide*. Satanic ritual abuse.
Bev Eckert 1951-2009 *plane crash*. Widow of 9/11: inside job.
Carla Emery DeLong 1939-2005 *illness*. Protested hypnosis.
Robert Friedman d. 2008 *blood disease*. Exposed Red mafia.
Charlie Gittings 1953-2010 *cancer*. Published US war crimes.
James Hatfield 1958-2001 *overdose*. Bush's A.W.O.L. record.
Gerard Holmgren 1959-2010 *unknown*. Tracked a 9/11 plane.
Molly Ivins 1944-2007 *cancer*. Spoke her mind, in *NY Times*.
David Kelly 1944-2003 *wrist slashed*. Bioweapons of Saddam.
Leola McConnell d. 2007 *disappeared*. Dominatrix of VIPs.
John Murtha 1932-2010 *gall bladder*, in Bethesda. Army woes.
Deborah Palfrey 1956-2008 *hanged*. 'DC madam,' had a list.
Alyssa Peterson 1976-2003 *shot*. Reported US torture in Iraq.
Gunther Russbacher 1942-2005 *illness*. Waco, mind control.
Tim Russert 1950-2008 *heart attack*. Queried Cheney on TV.
Aaron Russo 1943-2007 *cancer*. Outed false income-tax law.
Edward Said 1935-2003 *cancer*. Palestine's historical record.
Margie Schoedinger 1960-2005 *shot*. Sued GW Bush for rape.
Sherman Skolnick 1930-2006 *unknown*. He jailed bad judges!
Jerry E. Smith 1950-2010 *cancer*. Books on HAARP, weather.
Antony Sutton 1925-2002 *unknown*. Saw Cold War fakery.
Stephanie Tubbs-Jones 1949-2008 *car crash*. House Ethics.
Gary Webb 1955-2004 *shot*. CIA imports drugs, Iran-Contra.
Paul Wellstone 1944-2002 *small plane crash*. Anti-war senator.
Ted Westhusing 1960-2005 *shot in Iraq*. Queried contractors.
David Wilhelm 1962-2005 *shot*. Customs official in Atlanta.
Tookie Williams 1953-2005 *execution*. CIA drugs to gangs.
Valerie Wolf 1948-2002 *cancer*. MK-ULTRA mind control.

As explained in my book *Fraud Upon the Court*, all these murders except Rachel Corrie's are "arguably" just coincidence.

Chapter 6. Genocide, Menticide, and IMF-icide



Sen Joe Biden, AG Bill Barr, Sen Patrick Leahy, 1991, Photo: lyndonlarouche.pub

18 USC 1091 “Genocide: (a) **Basic Offense** -- Whoever, whether in time of peace or in time of war and with the **specific intent to destroy**, in whole or in substantial part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group as such --

(1) kills members of that group; (2) causes serious bodily injury to members of that group; (3) causes the permanent impairment of the mental faculties of members of the group through drugs, torture, or similar techniques; (4) subjects the group to **conditions of life that are intended to cause the physical destruction** of the group in whole or in part...

(b) **Punishment for Basic Offense** (1) in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(1), where death results, **by death or imprisonment for life** and a fine of not more than \$1,000,000, or both; and (2) a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 or imprisonment for not more than 20 years, or both, in any other case.

(d) Attempt and Conspiracy -- Any person who attempts or conspires to commit an offense shall be punished **in** the same manner as a person who completes the offense. (e) Jurisdiction -- There is jurisdiction ... if the offense is committed in whole or in part within the United States; or (2) where the alleged offender is— (A) a national of the United States

Some persons with genocidal intent couch their plan as altruism. British adventurer Cecil Rhodes (1850-1902), at age 27, wrote in his “Confession of Faith”:

“I contend that we are the finest race in the world and that the more of the world we inhabit the better it is for the human race. Just fancy those parts that are at present inhabited by the most despicable specimens of human beings; what an alteration there would be if they were brought under Anglo-Saxon influence.... We know the size of the world, we know the total extent. Africa is still lying ready for us it is our duty to take it.”

Said he, in ‘moral’ tones. Fancy that: he was dutiful. Bill Gates, too, refers to his duty to get the whole species vaccinated. Can such persons be charged with crime? I think so. Indeed the way the vaccination pressure has been exerted on a population that had no education as to the nature of the vaccines seems to me to be genocidal. No matter how much it was pitched by Pharma, by government, or by the media, as being good for society, that now seems a lie.

Crimes Committed During Covid. There have been many genocides in history. Many wars of conquest were genocidal, the intent being to get rid of incumbents. I think the entire Covid-19 event was genocidal, but I won't press such a comprehensive claim here. (In Chapter 16, I argue that the 1955 polio epidemic was also planned.)

Here a sample of lesser wrongdoing during the pandemic:

1. People were told to wear masks, even though it has been known for a century that a cloth mask does not prevent the passage of microscopic entities.
2. People were told to get tested by a phony test -- the PCR. The inventor of this polymerase chain reaction, Nobel Prize winner Kary Mullis, said it could not be used diagnostically.

3. Shops selling products other than food were required to close, but major chain stores such as Target and Walmart, were allowed to sell any of their products since they also sold food.
4. The PCR test, and later the vax, were said to be free but the government was paying in bulk for them, via our taxes.
5. Government gave hospitals a ridiculously large bonus of \$39,000 for each patient they put on a ventilator. This incentive led to many folks being put on a ventilator, causing death.
6. Governments paid a bonus for death certificates to state Covid as the cause of death, despite the PCR being phony.
7. Ivermectin, which had worked well for years was suppressed.
8. Millions of folks had to accept vaccination or lose their job.
9. The manufacturers and media lied about the vax's safety, and later about the extent of adverse reactions and deaths from vax.
10. The CDC's power to mandate vaccination was all bluff.

Punishing Genocide -- This Law Does Not get Used

Per 18 USC 1091, if a US citizen kills a group *intentionally*, he or she could get the death penalty. No need for it to be in wartime.

How would the genocide law be brought down on the perpetrator? The law of genocide is to be handled domestically. So, one of the Department of Justice regions would have to alert its regional prosecutor to send the name of "X" and relevant material to a regional federal grand jury. If the grand jurors felt there was a case to answer, they would indict that person. He or she could then be arrested; hearings and trial would follow.

Chapter 11 will cover the law of outlawry and of self-defense. One does not have to sit there and take it if one's group is being

genocided! Everyone applauds the residents of the Warsaw ghetto in the 1940s -- they bravely stood up to the Nazis. Note the maxim *Necessitas non habet legem*: Necessity has no law.

Menticide. The word menticide was coined in the 1940s by Dutch psychiatrist Joust Merloo. I'd like it to be named a specific crime. It fits the genocide law section 3: "causes the permanent impairment of the mental faculties of members of the group through drugs, torture, or similar techniques..." The CIA has worked hard at achieving the means of controlling minds. (See my book *Deliverance*). While all this was taking place, the American public refused to believe the victims. Even today they can't believe the torture suffered by Targeted Individuals -- good citizens who have painful energy aimed at their head.

Many efforts need to be undertaken now. Charging the perpetrators with the crime is urgent. All psy-ops must end. Tavistock in London may be the force behind the capricious traumatizing of people through "shock and awe." Tavi studied shell shock in WWI soldiers and then took control of much of a new discipline: psychiatry. I presume the ultimate purpose is to learn how to keep people from bothering the top dogs.

Aldous Huxley, in his 1961 lectures: "There will be a **'method of making people love their servitude ... so that people will have their liberties taken away [and no] real desire to rebel.'**"

Tavistockian brainwashing works only if they can create a "controlled environment," in which the victim **sees only the alternatives** presented by his tormentors. In this new age of mass communication [they said] a series of short, universal shocks would destabilise a targeted population, plunging it into a form of 'shell shock,' a mass neurosis. **A more infantile pattern of thinking would develop....** [as in the pandemic?]

IMF-icide. Now consider the crime of yielding up one's nation's sovereignty to an international cartel such as the IMF.

Sergei Glazyev: *Genocide: Russia and the New World Order*

Percentage of GDP used by national budget in 1994 was: USA 34%, Japan 36%, Italy 52%, Great Britain 45%, Sweden 71%.

Reduction of state spending is fraught with the danger of the self-destruction of the State and the social sphere. Whereas in 1993, consolidated budget spending in Russia was 50.8% of GDP, it had fallen in the first quarter of 1998 to 25.4%, while GDP itself fell by one-third during this period.

It is not surprising, that the unveiling of this program failed to halt negative tendencies even on the financial markets, but rather heightened the panic among speculators, who took advantage of the three-week stabilization pause, created thanks to the credits extended by the IMF, to exit from the market. Everything else, including the package of anti-crisis legislation, was just a political show, the purpose of which was to put “psychological pressure” on creditors and disorient public opinion.

...If the State becomes unable to service its domestic debt, the Government and Central Bank have a broad arsenal of measures at their disposal, including restructuring the debt, devaluing the national currency, deferred payments ... the choice will be made by the IMF and other foreign creditors.

They [want] Russia's compulsory surrender of its national sovereignty in the areas of trade, land use, control over natural resources, etc.

IMF is clearly exceeding its competence, which extends chiefly to the domain of financial and budgetary matters, and is, in effect, playing the role of a colonial administration, prescribing arbitrary demands to a puppet government in the interests of international capital. By signing, Russia's government behaved like the hired mercenary of a colonial administration, blindly submitting to it and declining to defend national interests.

Recap of Part One: Stop Going Like Lambs to Slaughter

Chapter 1 preached urgent action. I said: “If the World Government promoters win – and they have the weapons to do so summarily – the result will be a way of life that does not let each individual live his life as he pleases.” I went for the jugular of Tony Fauci for Gain of Function research, and the jugular of Larry Silverstein for the controlled demolition of Building 7.

Chapter 2 identified the important fact that a cruel person has enormous power, just by removing himself from the pressure of moral rules that restrain the rest of us. He (when I say *he*, I often mean *she*) lacks rue, or remorse. I mentioned that cruelty to children is rampant -- *rampant* -- today. Partly it gets justified by religion, the oddly popular religion of satanism.

Chapter 3 blamed the Rockefeller Foundation for the Lock-down. One can see from a booklet the RF published in 2014 that all was a big game. It would cause people to wear masks, stay at home, get vaccinated, and so forth. Unbelievable cheek.

Chapter 4 lowered the boom on traitors. “Levying war’ against the domestic population includes war by disease or starvation. A way to disarm dangerous would-be traitors was devised in 1776: colonists required everyone to sign an “Association test” paper. Non-signers were to be disarmed. Calm and clever!

Chapter 5 used Dr Crile's 1912 theory that when we feel fear, we are feeling the various chemical effects of the animal's need to fight or flee. I opined that we should try to shrug off that emotion's power to render us fearful when it “doesn't pay.”

Chapter 6 stated the plain domestic law against genocide. The Covid contained many smaller crimes. Mind control was discussed as a crime of menticide. Tavistock is a major force in the teaching of psychiatry. I gave some enraging quotes, e.g., from Aldous Huxley. Were you enraged? Thank you. We also looked at death by the IMF, based on nations going bankrupt.

PART TWO

STAY LAWFUL -- WE'VE GOT WORKAROUNDS

Security is mostly a superstition. It does not exist in nature, nor do the children of men as a whole experience it. Avoiding danger is no safer in the long run than outright exposure. Life is either a daring adventure, or nothing.

-- Helen Keller



Deaf-blind Hellen Keller, Radcliffe class of 1904

Chapter 7. Blackstone, Material Witness, Grand Juries



Blackstone

and book

In Part One, I opined that we can kill certain miscreants. I was not actually “opining” -- I was stating the law! You'll get to know me; I am extremely conservative. For one thing, I am happy to conserve a law from 1215, namely the Magna Carta. We are lucky to be descendants of British law. The Brits had the good sense *to protect law itself* by making it a crime to obstruct law. Here it is, codified by Congress into 18 USC 1503:

“[an act that] corruptly or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, influences, obstructs, or impedes, or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede, the due administration of justice.”

Sir William Blackstone (1723-1780) made a big deal of this in Vol 4 of his 1769 best-seller, *Commentaries on the Laws of England*. Almost anything you can think of that's done in a courtroom that cheats the litigants (except them cheating each other) is a Blackstonian crime – perjury, bribing a judge, witness tampering, or helping a prisoner escape. As regards hiding evidence or destroying it, the ancient penalty is expressed as a law maxim: *Omnia praesumuntur, contra spoliatores* – Everything can be presumed against the one who destroyed the evidence. Yay!

Why put up with, say, the “Department of Justice” acting as though law is but a game to be played? Or why do we hear that an accused “got rid of” a potential witness? Per 18 USC 1513, merely *attempting* to kill a victim or informant is punishable by “imprisonment for not more than 30 years.” Such a person did

not just commit a murder, he obstructed justice, *which is worse*. Note: anything that begins with “18” in the United States Code is a crime, including Blackstonian stuff. Just google for it.

18 USC 4: “Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the US, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the US, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned ... or both.”

It’s a felony for you – yes, you – to fail to report a crime. (Just think how many felonies you have committed lately!) And for suppressing the crime of *treason*, you can get up to 7 years, per 18 USC 2382. You would be charged with misprision of treason (*misprision* rhymes with vision). Folks should be more attentive to Blackstone. Isn’t it time you made an appointment to see your attorney general or district attorney?

You shake the DA's hand and say “Mr or Ms So-and-So, I am arresting you for the felony of covering up crimes. You don’t have to say anything but anything you do say may be used in court against you.” (Then you are required to phone the police and have them take over the rest of the activity. See our next chapter, which describes the law of Citizen’s Arrest.)

The Material Witness Act of 1789. As soon as our first Congress met, it passed the Judicature Act to set up the US Supreme Court (Per Article I, sec 8, clause 18, Congress writes the law of the other branches), and the Material Witness Act. This Act allows government to hold a witness in detention without charging her with a crime -- she is thought to have information that could be “material” to the prosecution of another person. It offers a way to capture someone for whom the government has not yet prepared a tight case. There is a public impression that you can’t arrest a suspect unless you have proof on hand that she is likely guilty. Heck, that's not true. The DoJ hides behind that notion when it does not want to prosecute.

Citizen-Led Grand Juries. The correct procedure is to send the information about crime to a grand jury, and if they think the person “has a case to answer,” they issue a “true bill” or an indictment. The old witticism is “A good prosecutor can indict a ham sandwich.” Many a ham sandwich is at large today. It is established beyond doubt that the Department of Justice won’t prosecute ‘Insiders.’ (See Sidney Powell’s book *Licensed To Lie.*)

What can you do? You can gather a citizen-led grand jury. In American colonies, a panel of 23 citizens, including a foreman, was appointed for two years. They received information sent by other citizens about wrongdoing, and could write up an indictment. The attorney general of the state (or whatever such a person was called, under royal authority) would prosecute. Grand Jury members were also tasked with looking around for any trouble such as a sagging bridge. Pure community service.

In the 21st Century, Atlanta citizen Bill Windsor discovered, from personal experience, that courts were oppressive. He then drove around most of the 48 contiguous states interviewing fellow sufferers and made the YouTube series *Lawless America*. He recommends that you revive the citizen-led grand jury and that, if you are rebuffed, you seek an injunction against your state’s practice of illegally giving the attorney general full sway over grand jury proceedings. That trick occurred via a Procedural Rule. Windsor was then jailed for his efforts on the utterly untrue charge that he cyberstalked. Justice is wanted here!

Note: The fact that I graduated from law school may make me a bit confident. But I got hardly anything in this book from a classroom. I learned Obstruction of Justice from whistleblower Rodney Stich, an airline pilot who went berserk whenever he saw the FAA, Federal Aviation Authority, lying about plane crashes, or CIA planes bringing in drugs to drug Americans. (See Stich’s *Drugging America.*) I got information about the obstruction of justice in the Tsarnaev trial from citizens who bothered to attend the trial and tweet. Ah, “they” never should have let us have the Internet. It will be their Waterloo.

Chapter 8. Citizen's Arrest Is Legal in All 50 States



(L) *President Barack Obama* (R) *Professor Cherif Bassiouni*

In the old days (and in future days, I hope), it was understood that you call the cops for help when you see one person doing violence to another. In this chapter, you are a “cop.” You see bad things and want to stop them. What can you do that is legal? At the very least you can file a complaint-affidavit at the nearest police station or mail it to the police or the local court. You could even undertake a private prosecution, legally.

Citizen’s Arrest. You can, in all 50 states, perform a citizen’s arrest. It is based on the common law. The common law is a body of law made up of court rulings over the centuries, some of which also got enacted into statute by US legislatures (or by British Parliament before we broke off from Mother England).

I learned most of what I know about citizen's arrest from a 1977 book by DePaul University law professor Cherif Bassiouni, who died in 2017, age 79. There hasn’t been any expansion on his book *Citizen’s Arrest*, by himself or by other scholars. Be aware that the crime for which you are making a citizen’s arrest must be a felony, i.e., a crime with a prison sentence -- not just a lowly misdemeanor.

This is distinct from **the General Law of Self-Defense**. If someone is hurting you, or hurting another person, you can

take defensive action. Say he raises a baseball bat with clear intention to smash your head. You can do whatever is needed to prevent that, including killing him. It's a principle of law: we can't tell a man to refrain from protecting his own life. The High Court of Australia ruled in 1987 in *Zecevic v DPP*:

"The question to be asked in the end is simple. It is whether the accused believed upon reasonable grounds that it was necessary in self-defence to do what he did. If he had that belief and there were reasonable grounds for it, or if the jury is left in reasonable doubt about [it] he is entitled to an acquittal."

However, a different law applies to citizen's arrest. The verb *to arrest* means simply *to stop* -- as in "the antibiotics arrested the spread of the rash." In regard to normal police arrest, the thesaurus offers such synonyms as: "To collar, capture, bag, nail, nick, grab, retain, hook, bust, or pickle." The thesaurus also provides "to sequester" as a synonym for "to arrest."

I think an amateur doing a citizen's arrest should envision both *a stoppage* and *a sequestering*. If the person is only on the verge of doing the crime, you stop her from doing it. If you sequester her, it's your problem to deal with her until the authorities arrive. You dial 911 and then must keep her in your custody.

The whole exercise has to do with conforming to the law of the land. The criminal broke the law; she needs to be brought to book. The typical way is for our paid policepersons to observe activity in the community and actually capture someone *in flagrante delicto*, or else act on information received. All it often takes is for him to flash the badge, the person will cooperate.

The 50 states have varying details about the carrying out of a citizen's arrest. Professor Bassiouni recommended a uniform law, a model law that any of the states could voluntarily adopt. In his proposed model law, Bassiouni wants the arrestor to be required to tell the arrestee her proposed action and state the reasons. Example: "Joe Blow, I am arresting you, as a citizen's arrest, for shooting a man on April 28." Bassiouni also says it should be the responsibility of the citizen arrestor to "do the

Miranda's": "Mr Blow, You do not have to say anything but anything you do say may be used against you." Per Bassiouni:

"The arrestor may in effectuating the arrest use any reasonable amount of force necessary and warranted under the circumstance other than deadly force and force causing great bodily harm, but without prejudice to 'self-defence'."

"An arrestor must upon performing the arrest notify a peace officer and deliver the arrestee ... without delay."

I recommend that you organize the sequestering factor well in advance. When it comes to keeping your mitts off of prominent persons, I can only say Why pick the most controversial for arrest when there are so many eligible? Use a modicum of diplomacy, or this whole thing will scare the public away.

In his *proposed* law, Bassiouni suggested this discretion: "The following categories are immune from citizen's arrest: "A. law enforcement agents (local, state, federal) B. members of the judiciary C. members of the legislature." I agree, more or less.

Besides the *common law* of citizen's arrest, many states have enacted statutes -- typically for the benefit of store owners who hire security personnel to prevent shoplifting. In Massachusetts law MAGL231, Sec 94B, we see that if you hold your quarry in a reasonable way, the judge will not award damages to him.

I realize that it is unnatural today to imagine yourself having to arrest anyone. But think: it was not unnatural before 1820 -- that's the quite-recent date when cops came into being. See how we have lost the image of a community taking care of itself? Recall the "Leak in the Dike" poem about the boy who saved Holland? He took it as a natural duty to sacrifice himself.

To gain some experience, you could go pretend-arrest your mother -- being sure to tell her in advance what you are doing!

Chapter 9. Truth Commissions, Amnesties, Pardons



Chaucer's The Pardoner's Tale

There may be violent, militaristic means of reclaiming America, but Part Two here is an inventory of some “workarounds” – legally approved strategies that may be a way to turn hostility into cooperation that's cleverer than a staged battle. This chapter outlines three softies: pardons, amnesties, and TRC's.

TRCs – Truth and Reconciliation Commissions. In other books, I have recommended that citizens form a Truth Commission (with or without a Reconciliation aspect) to pursue matters that the government refuses to deal with. Features of a TC are:

- *The guilty party has somewhere to go to confess.
- *He is motivated to do so by the prospect that the TC may eventually acquire official status -- perhaps.
- *The gesture of coming forward spares him from a police arrest that could involve his being brutalized.
- *The TC investigates -- to verify his story and his sincerity.
- *The TC may be able to reward him for tattling on others.

The most famous example is the South African Truth and Reconciliation. In 1990 the people of South Africa voted to end apartheid. Until then there had been laws that treated the black population differently from the white. It allowed unbelievable legal cruelty to be done to any black person.

Now that all were equal, in 1990, how could the past brutality be addressed? The TRC invited, say, policemen, to come forward, admit atrocities, and show remorse. You could say this gave some “closure” to the previous way of life. Blacks could be assured by more than just pious words – the forgiven police had to make a commitment to “reconcile.” Today anyone can form a truth commission. The whole thing is based on voluntary participation and goodwill. You could go a step further by (admittedly) play-acting some confessions -- of John Doe and Jane Doe – just to start the ball rolling. Please try it, why not?

Amnesties. Thousands of people have committed the crimes alluded to in this book, with regard to the dreaded Takeover. A president or a governor has inherent power to lift their culpability by declaring an amnesty. Small amnesties come about to clean up a matter in a way that is best for both the miscreant and the aggrieved party. Your local library, aggrieved by loss of books, may declare an amnesty on fines. “Get the books onto our desk by next month and we will waive the fines.”

For an amnesty to be issued, no case needs to have been brought (which would require a *pardon*). For example, illegal aliens may be hiding in the population. The government may regularize their status by granting a one-time amnesty.

On December 8, 1863, when the Union was clearly winning the Civil War, President Lincoln declared a plan for Amnesty for the Confederates and for reconstruction of the South’s state governments. The forgiveness was available to those who took an oath of allegiance to the US. The amnesty's purpose may have been to avoid a court case on the right to secede!

Pardons. Days after his inauguration in 1977, President Carter wrote an EO pardoning more than 10,000 Vietnam-era draft dodgers. Possibly an incentive for the government was to head off a challenge on the matter of evading service in an unjustifiable war. The pardon power is expressly provided in the parchment: Article II, sec 2: “He shall have Power to Grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offences against the United States.” Governors 'inherently' have that power regarding state crime. Look it up in your state's constitution.

Immunity. Prosecutors can act for the nation's sake by promising immunity, or a light sentence, to persons that will testify against more high-value criminals. Granted, this has plenty of potential for misuse -- the DoJ often helps the “protected” under the guise of doing such deals. Your group can only offer *hope* to the confessor, that she'll get immunity down the line.

Kevin Annett's Tribunal for Crimes of Church and State
In Canada, Kevin Annett, a defrocked priest (defrocked for caring about people), has been running a tribunal for many years. He calls it International Tribunal for Crimes of Church and State. It concentrates on child-stealing. As citizens are finally learning about the extent of child trafficking – and about the undeniable participation in it by officials – they come to realize that a crime is a crime no matter who is committing it.

Annett's underground tracks the child-killers; his ITCCS issues downloadable arrest warrants for them and asks anyone to make the arrest as soon as an opportunity arises. Annett claims that some high-ups on ITCCS's list have had to scurry for a hiding place when they heard the posse was a-comin' for them.

The matter of child stealing is at last getting folks to make the proper response, which is vigilantism of a good kind. (See my book, *Reunion: Judging the Family Court*.) It is sad to report that the best whistleblower in the UK, Wilfred Wong, is in prison for his efforts. A good Australian, Russell Pridgeon, MD, has had to wear an ankle monitor for having rescued two boys!

Chapter 10. The US Constitution -- Paradise Regained!



The question “When is violence beneficial?” is to be answered in this book by an examination of the *legitimate* uses of force against citizens. One way is by ordinary law enforcement. If someone is driving 180 miles per hour on your street, you want that behavior to be curtailed, as she is endangering your life.

We will look at martial law, militias, and the National Emergencies Act. It is important to know the fine-line differences between the proper use of law and bully behavior. If government bullies us, that is far worse than a private bully, as you can call the police when you are privately bullied, but how can you call the police when it is the police that is bullying you?

Many a crime is committed “under color of law.” Happily, Congress attempted to protect the citizen from bullying by authority, in 1966 civil right laws. It's codified at 18 USC 242. (*Codified* means it's written into one central record of law.)

Now for the Constitution itself as a source of beneficial violence. It stands as a protector of us all, as against persons who may have wanted to establish monarchy, feudalism, or any system in which you wouldn't have a chance. It made Big Daddy big -- and that's a good thing, at least up to a point.

My reason for calling this situation “Paradise” is that I have actually seen it work well (pre-1980). The amazing thing is that the source of authority was simply *a moral notion*. British philosopher John Locke and Scotsman David Hume, among others, “made the case” for a government controlled by folks.

When the Framers of the Constitution completed a draft in 1787, they had to circulate it to the inhabitants to ratify it. This led to intense debate in town halls. As a result, a Bill of Rights got added. Over time, judges added to that by often exquisite moral insights. You knew you could get satisfaction in a case.

My generation was taught, also, to revere the Constitution as being a metaphor for the nation, in the way that the flag is a symbol. Patriotic songs included the environment, e.g., “amber waves of grain.” All of this aims at the subconscious. The result is that we Americans thought we had everything -- and *law*.

Much of the cultural unity is being broken up now. I blame the media for emphasizing blue states versus red states. Nonsense! Partisanship is more bad than good. The good part is that it is a way to bring people into public policy. The bad thing is that people don't really get to make policy. Almost all policy is made by an elite, behind the scenes -- the “hidden government.” Let's now look at the content of the Constitution.

Whence the Constitution? The “Constitution for the United States,” as it is officially called, had the job of *constituting* the new government in 1787. The Revolutionary War had begun in 1775 and was won by 1783. A tentative form of union, of the 13 states, was made under The Articles of Confederation.

Before that, “Americans” were British, having first crossed the Atlantic in the 1600s under the auspices of commercial companies such as Massachusetts Bay Company. They displaced, by various means including brutality, the natives, the “Indians” -- so-named because the explorers were hoping to find India.

Tom Paine, an Englishman, a Quaker, met Ben Franklin in England who recommended he go to America. Paine's voice, through his pamphlet *Common Sense*, was of major importance in arousing civic virtue in the colonies. By 1787, a series of essays in New York newspapers, penned by, James Madison, Alexander Hamilton, and John Jay became the *Federalist Papers*.

The Constitution of 1787 has seven articles of which Articles I, II, and III lay out the three branches of government. Article IV is about the states, V shows how to amend the Constitution, and VI is miscellaneous. VII was merely for the signatures. The legislature, the First Branch, is the most powerful, as it can impeach members of the other two. The states in 1787 did not hand over all their powers. They gave Congress limited tasks.

Article I -- *First Branch* -- In section 8, eighteen neat clauses give the exhaustive list of federal legislative prerogatives. I'll paraphrase -- Congress can: collect taxes; borrow money; regulate commerce; legislate for immigration and bankruptcies; coin money and fix the standards of weights; secure the production of currency; establish Post offices; protect copyrights and inventions; create courts inferior to the Supreme Court; punish piracy; declare war; raise an army; provide a navy; make rules for the armed forces; call forth the militia; discipline the militia; legislate for the city of Washington DC; and (Clause 18): Congress can make any laws required by the other two branches. Can feds legislate on other topics, such as health? No way.

Article II -- *Second Branch* -- makes a president the commander in chief of the armed forces, allows him to appoint officers and judges (if senate approves), and to make treaties, and orders him to see that "the laws are faithfully executed." Can he make law via Executive Order? Impossible! The 18th of the 18 clauses in Article I, sec 8 says ... [Go on, look it up!]

Article III -- *Third Branch* -- "The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution. A Supreme Court has appellate jurisdiction over cases from states. The justices may hold office "under good behavior."

Article IV tells each state to honor the other's decisions, and not to divide its territory up unless Congress permits. It guarantees to every state a republican form of government. **Article V:** amending the constitution needs 75% states to OK.

Article **VI** says that members of both federal and state governments “shall be bound by an Oath or Affirmation to support this Constitution” – a point further affirmed in the Fourteenth Amendment, ratified in 1868. **Article VII** contains signatures.

The Bill of Rights. John Adams had already authored the Massachusetts Bill of Rights in 1780. The British had a Bill of Rights since 1689 and owned Magna Charta for eight centuries. Our nation’s Bill contains negative rights – “the government mustn’t do such-and such.” It is anti-tyranny, pro-individual. The Constitution has 27 amendments; the latest occurred in 1992. The first ten formed the Bill of Rights. The Bill had worldwide impact, with many nations copying it.

The **First Amendment** catered to the fact that some settlers had come from Europe to avoid religious persecution; it forbade a state religion. It also protected freedom of speech and of the press, and guaranteed the right to meet up in groups and to petition government for redress of grievances.

The **Second Amendment** will be covered later in this book, in that it acknowledges the necessity of a militia to protect the state. It was not intended as a gun law for personal self-defense, but court decisions have upheld that feature, too, as valid.

The **Third Amendment** says government mustn't force you to house a soldier or sailor in peacetime.

The **Fourth Amendment** is about privacy “You can’t touch me, Nurse Injector, and you can’t read my mail, Big Brother. And if you want to take an item from my home, show probable cause that I committed a crime and get a judge’s OK. Thanks.” The Fourth is a biggie for this book, thanks to Covid-19.

The **Fifth Amendment** can be used to *thwart* justice, when a guilty party refuses to “sing,” (i.e., he “takes the Fifth”) but that's a price we gladly pay for maintaining the ancient right to not be forced to incriminate oneself. You needn't even take the stand. The Fifth also protects against double jeopardy and says

the accused's case must first have been aired by a grand jury. And if government takes your property, it must compensate.

The **Sixth Amendment** is about due process. You can get free legal counsel if needed. You must be told of the accusations and have a chance to face your accusers. The court must help you subpoena evidence, and provide a trial by an impartial jury.

The **Seventh Amendment** helps you sue for damages.

The **Eighth Amendment** forbids cruel punishment.

The **Ninth Amendment** says you have other rights, too.

The **Tenth Amendment** says that any powers not given to the federal government belong to the states “or to the people.”

The **Thirteenth Amendment** says: “Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States.”

The Fourteenth Amendment clarified that *states* must not pass a law that would deprive a person of any of the rights in the Bill of Rights.

Balance of Power. An important influence on the Framers was French philosopher Baron de Montesquieu, who thought a republic should build checks and balances into government. Each branch should wage turf warfare to maintain its powers. Today, the legislative and judicial branches humbly concede to power grabs by the Executive. This needs to be corrected.

The US Supreme Court is considered the protector of the spirit of the Constitution. Louis Fisher's *Constitutional Dialogues* shows how the People also have stepped in to guard the parchment.

Note: To find rulings by SCOTUS, you can search “US Constitution annotated,” and specify the amendment, or any of the

seven Articles of the Constitution. That will bring up all relevant cases. (I click on ones marked “Cornell”; they are clearly stated.) Search now for the annotated Constitution and ask for “Article III, sec 3” on treason, just to get your feet wet.

Sunshine. The Constitution guarantees that citizens won't be left in the dark about what the government is doing. How so? Per Article I, sec 5: "Each House shall keep a Journal of its proceedings, and from time to time publish the same [*Congressional Record*] excepting such Parts, as may in their Judgement require Secrecy" and sec 6: "Representatives and Senators ... for every Speech or Debate in either House, shall not be questioned in any other place" (i.e., they cannot be sued for libel).

In 1976, Congress passed the Government in the Sunshine Act to make meetings of federal agencies open to the public. In 1966 it had passed the Freedom of Information Act, ordering government (with nine exceptions) to make its records "promptly available to any person" upon "any request which reasonably describes such records." The Act has a provision whereby a dissatisfied requester can sue, *pro se*, to get results.

The biggest element of sunshine in our lifetime has been the Internet. A citizen's right to air their views on any subject has been guaranteed since 1791, by the First Amendment.

A horrific thing occurred in 2021 -- Twitter canceled the account of a serving president. President Trump had made it his custom to talk to the populace via "tweets." At that moment we could suddenly see that business power had surpassed government power, wholesale.

Recall, however, that the Sherman Anti-trust Act of 1890, which is still in force and has never even been amended, says that anyone engaged in such a "combination" is hereby "declared to be illegal shall be deemed guilty of a felony." Ahem!

Chapter 11. Civil Disobedience, Mutiny, Law of Outlawry



Arrests

in Australia of people who go outside without a mask

The law of outlawry is a good workaround for us. It is legal. The behavior known as mutiny is illegal, you may be hanged, but so? As for civil disobedience, it's often treated historically as a form of virtue -- once the powerholder collapses.

Civil Disobedience According to the *Encyclopedia Britannica* [Emphasis added]:

“Civil disobedience is a symbolic or ritualistic violation of the law rather than a rejection of the system as a whole. The civil disobedient, **finding legitimate avenues** of change **blocked** or nonexistent, feels obligated by a higher, extralegal principle to break some specific law. It is because acts associated with civil disobedience are considered crimes, however, and known by actor and public alike to be punishable, that such acts serve as a protest. By submitting to punishment, the civil disobedient hopes to **set a moral example** that will provoke the majority or the government into effecting meaningful change.”

During the pandemic, many people are disobeying local laws by not wearing a mask. They could go to court and ask for an injunction against it. Federally, in US, they can ask a court for judgment, per the Federal Declaratory Judgement Act 1934. Or, per Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 57: The court may, *sua sponte*, if it serves a useful purpose, grant declaration of rights.

Emerson. In 1851, poet Ralph Waldo Emerson was an abolitionist. The Fugitive Slave Law required a runaway to be returned to his master. A part of the US Constitution (which was later obsoleted by Amendment 14) supported slavery:

Article IV, sec 2: “No person held to Service or Labour in one State, escaping into another shall be discharged from such service or labour but ... shall be delivered up on Claim of the Party to whom such Service or Labour may be due.”

A slave named Shadrach was ordered by the court in Boston to be returned. Some freed slaves rescued him from the courtroom and were later charged. Emerson wrote:

“An immoral law makes it a man’s duty to break it, at every hazard. For **virtue is the very self of every man**. It is therefore a principle of law that an ... immoral statute is void. For, as laws do not make right, and are simply declaratory of a right which already existed, it is not to be presumed that they can so stultify themselves as to command injustice.” [Emphasis added]

How do you like that? To repeat: an immoral statute is void.

Mutiny. When the citizen does civil disobedience, the punishment is simply the relevant criminal or civil penalty – a fine or imprisonment. But if a member of the armed forces disobeys his superior, he can be punished for insubordination as such. Mutiny by sailors is a hanging offense. Such a harsh proscription on revolt by a ship’s crew may have been made in the days when a captain was virtually at the mercy of his men, far from shore. The modern law is at Title 10 of the US Code:

(a) Any person subject to this chapter who—
(1) with intent to usurp or override lawful military authority, refuses, in concert with any other person, to obey orders or otherwise do his duty or creates any violence or disturbance is guilty of mutiny; (2) with intent to cause the overthrow or destruction of lawful civil authority, creates, in concert with any

other person, revolt, violence, or other disturbance against that authority is guilty of sedition;(3) fails to do his utmost to prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition being committed in his presence, or fails to take all reasonable means to inform ...

(b) A person who is found guilty of attempted mutiny, mutiny, sedition, or failure to suppress or report a mutiny or sedition shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct. -- 10 USC 894, Article 94.

One of the pandemic's dissident doctors, Rashid Buttar, OD, of North Carolina, who was an army surgeon, makes a plea to all officers to practice their oath "to defend the Constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic." He also mentioned that a buddy of his, named Raphael, told him that *he* had lit the fires of Kuwait's oil fields in March 1991, under orders.

That is an example of an unlawful order, and it should be disobeyed. Naturally, any soldier in battle is in a tricky situation. I would like to see Raphael, 31 years later, turn himself in for committing a war crime. Our War Crimes Act did not get enacted till later in 1991. Criminal laws are never retroactive but there is enough other law on which to convict him. At Raphael's trial, the chain of command could be explored. Wow.

US soldiers, and veterans get mistreated. During the Vietnam War, hundreds of officers got "fragged" by their underlings, a form of mutiny by any other name. If a soldier sees that his commander is endangering his life for no good reason he has the normal right of self-defense. Fragging happened when the leader took an action aimed only at earning a medal for himself.

You may say the soldier's line of recourse should have been Congress. True, but Congress was refusing to help and had not even gone the constitutional route of declaring that war in the first place (Article I sec 8, clause 11). LBJ drove war, via a false-flag, the "Gulf of Tonkin" story about our ship being attacked.

Some soldiers approached court, but SCOTUS washed its hands of the matter as it has done with every war since its 1952 ruling in *Youngstown*. Even when a *state* tried to get a court ruling in 1970 about the Vietnam draft, in *Massachusetts v Laird*, SCOTUS voted 6-3 not to hear the case. Even when 53 Congressmen tried to get a ruling in 1991, in *Dellums v Bush*, the judiciary wouldn't play. See? I make the claim that mutiny is justified when no branch of government will uphold the Constitution's assignment of the war power. How else can anyone stop a wrongful war? The citizenry is one of the five players in the parchment's design. It is your duty to play your part.

Let me broach a Workaround Rule: "When there is good law on the books, but officials won't apply it, when education has been deliberately distorted, and when a secret group with a lethal agenda has achieved a fantastic concentration of wealth, citizens have to use Workaround ways to rescue society."

The Law of Outlawry. This book often refers to law going unenforced, as the elites get protected -- law is applied to the weak while the strong get away with murder. Thus, we don't now have rule of law. Even the law profession says nothing about this state of affairs. Search "Google scholar" to see if legal academics have decried it. They don't. They seem to think the sophisticated intellectual response is to accept it as reality!

In 1854, a New South Wales chief justice ruled, in *R v Elliot*: "The Law of England **makes every man an officer to arrest a Traitor or Felon**, and all persons of Competent Age, **who are present where treason or felony** is committed or a dangerous wound is given, **are bound to apprehend the Offender on pain of being fined and imprisoned for their neglect**; they are also bound to raise the Hue and Cry, and pursue him; if the Offender flees and **cannot be otherwise apprehended, and is killed, it is in them Justifiable Homicide...**"

The ancient law of outlawry is a good workaround. It's in force as common law, except where a state specifically abolished it.

This law makes it your duty to give the outlaw no food, no haven. You are allowed to kill him. He is “civilly dead.” To qualify, he has to be “beyond the law.”

Consider the case of the now-deceased Jeffrey Epstein who ran a sex trafficking business whose purpose was perhaps to get top politicians into bed with underage girls. The men would be filmed, so as to be blackmailable later. Epstein’s criminality was known to Presidents Clinton and Bush. Epstein got a deal from the DoJ in 2008. He pleaded guilty to two state charges and got 13 months in a semi-jail. The FBI had identified 36 underage victims. If you were a victim, what would you think? I believe Epstein was an outlaw. Anyone can see he was protected. Why are we putting up with this?

That's still nothing compared to Terry Reed's accusation of William Barr. Reed was a pilot in Iran/Contra. He says, in his book *Compromised*, that the entire importation of drugs to America by the CIA was supervised by Barr and Oliver North. Barr was later the AG -- by definition beyond the law (say I).

A citizen-led grand jury ought to at least issue an indictment for any person who appears to have engaged in treason, genocide, or any crime where it is structurally predictable that the miscreant will be protected. We all “understand the situation.”

So far we are all being lousy Americans. None of the three types of individual initiatives mentioned – civil disobedience, mutiny, or use of the law of outlawry – is even on the table. Please put it on the table. A possible distraction that is being planned against your doing this, is a war with China or Russia.

War drums are beating. We have disgracefully destroyed several nations in the Middle East. General Wesley Clark said, almost 20 years ago, that we would destroy seven nations, including Libya and Syria, as well as Somalia, and we'll “finish off” with Iran. Don’t just sit back and let it all happen. Enter the fray!

Chapter 12. State's Rights, Jacobson, Sheriff's Posse



Inscription on the Liberty Bell in Philadelphia is from Leviticus 25:10 – “Proclaim liberty throughout the land” -- in regard to a jubilee year

We are looking at workarounds, by which I mean how to work around the barriers set up in recent decades by bad government in the United States. It won't do to say "We're licked." As has been shown in previous chapters, our legal legacy does provide us with specific ways to achieve our goals "no matter what."

The present chapter is a reminder that when we started out as 13 states, we sent delegates to Philadelphia to create a nation. The resulting Constitution did not come to us top-down. It was bottom-up. The states did not yield their sovereignty, except in specific grants of power to Congress or to the executive.

The states definitely did not give away their power over health matters, which is part of the state's "police power." (The nonsense of US having a "surgeon general" came in as part of a hospital for seamen.) Ask: Can a president decide – as Trump merrily said he decided -- to vaccinate all Americans? No. He does not have legal authority. Anyway, the Bill of Rights would suffice to prevent mandatory vax. The Fourth Amendment has the final word in it: you are to be secure in your person.

What of Bill Gates saying he will jab every one of the 7.8 billion earthlings? Who the heck is Bill Gates? He has zero authority.

Oh. What if Congress does pass a law next week, saying every person has to be vaccinated? That would be an unconstitutional law. How do you know? You check the Constitution. The Constitution has superiority. All laws must bow to it.

The 1905 Case of *Jacobson v Massachusetts*. Many Americans, even legal scholars, think there was a US Supreme Court precedent that OK'd forcible vaccination. No, it did not.

That case is *Jacobson v Massachusetts*, but its ruling does not say an unwilling person can be forcibly jabbed. It said that Henning Jacobson was liable for the \$5.00 fine for refusing vaccination.

The case, in 1905, turned on whether the state had the right to pass a law mandating a smallpox vaccination for its citizens. All states have “police power” as one of their prerogatives. As small-r republicans, we do not want the feds to claim this power (which the Homeland Security Act has wrongly done!)

Since it is a state matter, it was up to Massachusetts legislators to decide if the smallpox was to be fought with vax. They said Yes, if local health boards so decided; the state can police it. SCOTUS found the Massachusetts law to be constitutional:

“[Mr Jacobson’s] other eleven propositions all relate to alleged injurious or dangerous effects of vaccination. The defendant ‘offered to prove and show [by] competent’ evidence these so-called facts. Each of them, in its nature, is such that it cannot be stated as a truth, otherwise than as a matter of opinion.

“The only ‘competent evidence’ that could be presented to the court to prove these propositions was the testimony of experts, giving their opinions. [Nevertheless, the judge] would have been obliged to consider the evidence in connection with facts of common knowledge, which the court will always regard in passing upon the constitutionality of a statute.”

Thousands of vaccinees have died from the Pfizer shot. In 1905 it was 'common knowledge' that smallpox vax was OK. But its efficacy was the subject of a UK Royal Commission in

1898. Studying the testimony to that Commission, Alfred Russel Wallace found that its final report did not accurately reflect the material collected. Ah, there was a good caveat in Jacobson:

“the police power of a state, whether exercised directly by the legislature, or by a local body acting under its authority, may be exerted in such circumstances, or by regulations so arbitrary and oppressive in particular cases, as to justify the interference of the courts to prevent wrong and oppression.” [Yay!]

Oh, what if Covid-19 is so bad that the people would *want* jabs to be mandated? Fine. Let them organize a constitutional amendment, as laid out in Article V of the Constitution, to add to Article I, section 8, a health power for Congress.

The Nullability of It All. I know what you are thinking. You’re thinking that Congress just churns out unconstitutional legislation such as the Patriot Act and gets away with it. True, but whose fault is that? Yours and mine.

. Back in 1798, people were on the ball. Congress passed some Alien and Sedition Acts, *ultra vires* – that is, beyond power. Kentucky and Virginia threatened to nullify them, as is the right approach. Virginia (egged on by James Madison) lashed out:

“[Our state] Assembly doth also express its deep regret, that a spirit has in sundry instances, been manifested by the federal government, to enlarge its powers by forced constructions of the constitutional charter...; and that implications have appeared of a design to expound certain general phrases ... so as to consolidate the states by degrees, into one sovereignty, the obvious tendency and inevitable consequence of which would be, to transform the present republican system of the United States into an absolute, or at best a mixed monarchy.”

On July 18, 2020, the *Washington Post* reported that Oregon’s attorney general, Ellen Rosenblum, filed a suit against the De-

partment of Homeland Security and the US Marshals in connection with violence perpetrated on the citizens of her state. She asked for a restraining order. (That's a bit different from a legislature nullifying bad law, as in the Virginia Resolution.)

The violence was started when law enforcement personnel were carrying out President Trump's order to protect historic monuments (which were part of "racial tensions"). *WaPo* said:

"John Does 1-10" are also named as defendants because 'they have made it impossible for them to be individually identified by carrying out law enforcement actions without wearing any identifying information, even so much as the agency that employs them,' the complaint says.

"Rosenblum said her department was also seeking a temporary restraining order to prevent federal authorities from unlawfully detaining Oregon residents. That comes after Mark Pettibone, 29, was detained by several men in green military fatigues and generic 'police' patches on their clothing as he walked home from a protest."

See? All it takes is for office holders, such as Oregon Attorney General, to do their job. Hats off to you, Ms Rosenblum.

The mechanism known as *judicial review* could have been invoked. Any citizen badly affected by any law can take a case to court to check its constitutionality. Sadly, the lower courts almost always side with the government, but an appeal court may rule that the law is unconstitutional.

In May 2022, Massachusetts Child Protective Service said they will vaccinate any child, age 12 or over, who is in temporary foster care, without the biological parent's permission. The kid is in no position to stave off the powerful and the state bureaucracy is clearly not acting out of real protection as kids are not in danger of getting Covid.

Constitutional Sheriffs and the Posse. Retired Sheriff Richard Mack runs an organization meant to help law enforcers support us against wrongful federal orders. He gets mauled by the press, calling him pro-violence. I attended a conference of Constitutional Sheriffs and Peace Officers, in Texas, run by radio host Sam Bushman, and found them all to be as anti-violence as I am. Not that I rule it out: *neccesitas non habet legem*.

In 1997 Mack won a SCOTUS ruling to affirm that states are not obliged to carry out federal programs: *Printz v US*. That's a help but more clarity is needed as to the sheriffs' role. Mack says a sheriff is directly elected in a county and he can *interpose* between the people and a wrong official action -- such as mask mandates. CSPOA also helps with voter integrity.

The Posse Comitatus Act of 1878, codified at 18 USC 1385, is meant to prevent law enforcement by military (though Congress allows it in connection with drugs). The word *posse* means a group of men helping the sheriff, an ancient position.

Police departments have existed only since 1820 when Robert Peele established the "bobbies" in London. It is a normal, biological thing to gather one's forces against harm. I have just heard that some parents were handcuffed and pepper-sprayed at the Uvalde shooing when they tried to attack the gunman. I applaud the parents who tried to save their kids.

In America we have not faced government violence as much as hidden violence (for which many insiders are recruited.) I am aware of unbelievably awful things that go on and which no attorney general will even acknowledge. There is no reason to expect this problem to fade away.

In my opinion, one way to stave off the Takeover by World Government, is "devolution" -- devolve power to the states.

This was my attempt to constitutionalize the vax question:

Maxwell v Secretary of Defense (Mandatory Vax).
United States Court of Appeal, First Circuit
No. 20 cv 01193 PB. Dated June 20, 2021

Mary Maxwell, Plaintiff and Appellant

v

Lloyd J Austin, US Secretary of Defense,
Robert J Fulton, Acting Administrator of FEMA,
Xavier Becerra, US Secretary of Health and Human Services,
Defendants and Appellees

On appeal from the US District Court, District of New Hampshire, Filed Pro Se by Mary Maxwell, 175 Loudon Rd, Apt 6, Concord NH 03301. Email: MaxwellMaryLLB @gmail.com

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Appellant sought, on December 5, 2020, an injunction against mandatory vaccination for Covid. She gave as her reasons a desire to have her Fourth Amendment rights protected (i.e., against invasion of her body), and a desire to have the Third Branch weigh in generally by stating that mandatory vaccination is unconstitutional. This, she thinks, would help restore the Constitution at a time when many Americans are saying “Government is now controlled by private individuals and entities – for example Bill Gates, Pfizer Pharmaceutical, or the International Monetary Fund -- for their own purposes.”

The District Court, on February 1, 2021 dismissed the case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, saying that the Plaintiff did not state an injury that is imminent and irreversible, and not hypothetical, and that none of the three Defendants have to date ordered anyone to be vaccinated. Plaintiff's choice of defendants was based on the US President's stating on May 15, 2020 that his Operation Warp Speed would involve the military in the supply and distribution of the vaccine when it got FDA approval (which it did, on an Emergency Use Authorization basis, in December 12, 2020) and the President's putting FEMA in charge of the overall response to Covid. DHHS is the department that oversees the CDC which delivers the recommendations for vaccination.

[It continues for another 17 pages; anyone can file Pro Se for \$400, no lawyer]

Recap of Part Two: Stay Lawful, Use Workarounds

Chapter 7: Read and memorize what Blackstone offered us. Take strength from the Magna Carta. Capitalize on the ease of using Material Witness Act. Start a Citizen-led Grand Jury.

Chapter 8: Share Bassiouni's proposed model Citizen's Arrest law. Prepare a very nice holding pen ready for citizen-arrestees. Be aware of your inherent right to act in self-defense. You can and should act in self-defense on behalf of the weak.

Chapter 9: Form a Truth and Reconciliation Commission. Or at least form a truth commission about a specific problem. Negotiate amnesties (then recommend them to authorities). Consider appropriate future Pardons for our overlords.

Chapter 10: Celebrate the parchment. What would we do without it? It is groovy, man. It is the soul of the nation. It tells us to be rebels when unauthorized power starts to rear its head. Why isn't everyone protecting us from a genocidal vaccine, by deploying the Fourth Amendment?

Chapter 11: Have a conscience-based chat about civil disobedience. Identify an outlaw by the mere fact of his impunity. Work for cultural acceptance of "No man is above the law." A soldier may have to be insubordinate in extreme cases.

Chapter 12: Each of the 50 states is sovereign except in matters for which they handed power to the feds in Philadelphia 1787. The Jacobson case reaffirmed the health power of the state. A state can declare a federal statute nullable, as Virginia did in 1798. Sheriff Richard Mack insists on sheriff's power to interpose for citizens, against federal *ultra vires* (beyond power) acts.

PART THREE

GET UP ON YOUR HIGH HORSE

The Gatlin boys just laughed when he walked into
the bar room
One of them got up and met him halfway cross the floor.

When Tommy turned around, they said, “Hey look! Old Yellow's leaving”.
But you could've heard a pin drop when Tommy stopped and
locked the door.

-- *The Coward of the County*

Lyrics by

Roger Dale Bowling



and Billy Edd Wheeler



Chapter 13. Who Really Did Nine-Eleven?



Andrew Card informs Pres Bush about second plane, Photos: nbcnews.com

In his 2013 book *Another Nineteen*, Kevin Ryan names 19 insider suspects in the "Arab" attacks on the World Trade Center twin towers in Manhattan. The book has 63 pages of footnotes. The cover page says "This book is dedicated to future generations."

Each of Ryan's "19 legitimate suspects" could be arrested immediately under the Material Witness Act. That is not the same as charging them with a crime. The Material Witness Act has been on the books in the US since 1793. It can be used when someone is a flight risk. These 19 men possess vital information. In this chapter, I present 5 of Ryan's 19: Duane Andrews, Peter Janson, Brian Jenkins, Barry McDaniel and Wirt Walker. None are Arab.

Wirt Walker, a Bush cousin, was referred to the FBI by the SEC, Securities and Exchange Commission as a possible insider trader. On September 6, 2001, he and his wife purchased 56,000 shares of Stratesec, which was the security contractor for Dulles Airport, United Airlines, and the WTC complex. The shares doubled in value the next week. The Walkers were cleared of any wrongdoing because they were assumed to have "no ties to terrorism."

I hadn't realized -- had you? -- that any names had been named by the SEC. I thought the famous put-option thing was, like so much else (the videotapes of the Pentagon hit?), under wraps -- permanent, illegal, outrageous wraps. The "official" report of the 911 Commission does not mention the put options, but then it also neglects any mention of the third skyscraper that fell that day.

Let's go alphabetical. **Duane Andrews** is the Chief Operations Officer (the "COO") of SAIC. You might pronounce it "Say Ik." It does just about everything worthy of its name: Science Applications International Corporation. Who created the national database that tracks terrorists? Say Ik.

Who supplied airports with screening equipment? (I always wondered about that.) Say Ik. Who helped create the official account of what happened at the WTC? Go on, say it. _____

When Duane Andrews (I will call him Duane) was commissioned in the US Air Force in 1967, he thought he was going to be doing bacteriological warfare, the kind that is being practiced against us in the US even as we speak, but instead went to Vietnam for war.

Ever wonder what kind of person is a Congressional staffer? Are they just secretaries you can get by calling a temp agency? Apparently not. Duane was a staffer during the Reagan years (1981-89), working for a particular House committee — the Intelligence Committee, natch. Thus he got to see (or suppress as the case may be) many a CIA secret, "congressionally."

He then became Assistant Defense Secretary. And are you old enough to remember who was Reagan's Secretary of Defense? (Think Nicaragua, Angola, Grenada) Why, it was Dick Cheney. On 9-11, as Vice President, he appeared to be the man in charge.

Next up, for going to jail as a material witness, is **Peter Janson** of AMEC. That's a British company that guards Aramco in Saudi. AMEC's subsidiary company, "AMEC Construction," was in charge of renovating the Pentagon. They decided to steel-reinforce, against a potential hit, the outer wall. The author of the book at hand, Kevin Ryan, knows full well that the hit was not "potential." That is, the builders foresaw 9-11. Yes, true.

Thanks to his employment with AMEC, Peter was thus in control of that part of the building for many months leading up to 9-11. Indeed, Ryan says Peter Janson was in the correct room 12 minutes before the hit, "fixing the air conditioner."

Now for **Brian Jenkins** of RAND. I personally think RAND is in charge of dispensing AIDS and other diseases. RAND used to be part of the US government but it has been privatized.

By the age of 23 Jenkins was in the US Special Forces in Guatemala, which in 1965, was death-squad territory. In 1971, he wrote a paper for RAND on how to ‘Vietnamize’ the Indochinese war. By the 1980s he was an architect of the Contra war in Nicaragua, which was aimed at civilians and infrastructure. Imagine it.

As of 1986, Brian was advising the Secretary of State on terrorism! And who was that Secretary? I’ll give a hint. He is the one who said in his memoirs that he didn’t chase after the *Achille Lauro* cruise ship from which Ralph Klinghoffer was thrown overboard for being a Jew, as it was somewhere in the Mediterranean and Sec’y of Defense Cap Weinberger couldn’t find it. The *Achille Lauro* Did Not Have GPS?

Oops, I just looked up RAND’s website. The heading is “A Passion for Changing the World” -- I’ll say. The first “policy focus” RAND lists is “Children and Families.” May God help us.

In 2000, Brian became an advisor to the Hart-Rudman Commission on Homeland Security, of which Lynne Cheney was a member. So was Lee Hamilton. I suggest he was warming up for his hard slog on the 9-11 Commission.

The fourth of our five selectees, from Ryan’s book *Another Nineteen*, is **Barry McDaniel**. He works for Stratesec (which I pronounce like “Straight Security”). Barry was Deputy Director for Readiness at the US Army Material Command. Then he became vice-president at BDM International, a subsidiary of the Carlyle Group. Salem Bin Laden (Osama’s bro) is a member of Carlyle.

Barry McDaniel said, when he left the army in 1988, that an important task of his had been the Southwest Asian Petroleum Distribution Project. Kevin Ryan assumes it has to do with pipelines in Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan, and speculates that it may have included arming the Mujahadin with “material” from US.

Stratesec has such clients as United Airlines and the Los Alamos Laboratories. For our purposes, it ran the security at the twin towers and Dulles Airport. Thus, Barry McDaniel had a ringside seat. Ryan says that a security company gets access to all the records. So whatever is going on at, say, Dulles, Stratesec knows of it.

Finally, to the put-option suspect Wirt Walker. His company is KuwAm. I pass over the fact that he is Bush's cousin and I pass over the fact that he is also related to Judge Walker who nixed the appeal of April Gallop in *Gallop v Cheney*, and I pass over the a fact that he seems to have digs near Oklahoma City.

The reason I pass over all that, and more, is to get quickly to the full spelling of KuwAm. It is: Kuwait America. And thereby hangs a tale. Ryan's book emphasizes the big doings that go on between some of our military and the kingdom of Kuwait.

Basically the Kuwaitis own the corporation that owns Stratesec. So if there was foreign control on the famous day, it was that of Kuwait. Many people have said that security at the WTC was run by Israelis. Ryan thinks otherwise. Please give the book a look.

I have not scratched the surface here. You'd be amazed at how real is Ryan's 'deep state' -- an old boy network in which you can move around from a directorship to a colonelship in the blink of an eye. The term 'revolving door' hardly does justice.

Citizens must take positive action, posthaste. Please, America, do that. Go on the attack against these terrorists. There is plenty of anti-terrorism legislation. Section 18 USC 2332f (1) is as follows:

"Whoever unlawfully delivers, places, discharges, or detonates an explosive or other lethal device in, into, or against a place of public use, a state or government facility, a public transportation system, or an infrastructure facility— (A) with the intent to cause death or serious bodily injury [may be punished by death]."
--

In Boston, Jahar Tsarnaev was accused of that very crime, was wrongfully convicted, and is scheduled to be executed.

Chapter 14. Bill Gates – Are Billionaires Constitutional?



(L) *George Washington is first to sign the Constitution in 1787. Illustration from Suffern High School, NY* (R) *Bill Gates, born 1955*

Let's get down to the ultimate question of law: what is the source of law's authority? Historically, all human societies have created law. *Ubi societas, ibi jus* -- where there is society, there is law. The source of that is the individual's human nature -- we have the means of personal constraint and a sense of justice.

I think two things are going on in our brains when we obey law. First is the childhood-based desire to get the parent's approval. Second is a sense of justice, seen in children as young as 3, as to a fair distribution of burdens and benefits. Proper law caters to that second instinct. Over the centuries, the justice ideal itself guides its further cultural development.

Still, there is that first type of law-abidingness, the obedience model, in which the desire for approval of the parent, or of the boss, or of peers, makes us willing to act against our immediate self-interest. The feeling of guilt is crucial here. It is often emotionally easier to soothe guilt-worries by obeying *blindly*!

The great achievement of British law was to disrespect blind obedience, in the 1215 Magna Carta, where constraints were put upon authority. King John was made to bow down, in that document. He agreed that if four barons were unhappy with his behavior, they could round up 25 barons who then had the right to "distress" the king, as follows:

“If we [the king], or in our absence abroad the chief justice, make no redress within forty days, reckoning from the day on which the offence was declared to us or to him, the four barons shall refer the matter to the rest of the twenty-five barons, who may distrain upon and assail us in every way possible, with the support of the whole community of the land, by seizing our castles, lands, possessions, or anything else saving only our own person and those of the queen and our children, until they have secured such redress as they have determined upon.”

Yikes! How clever! A further achievement of society’s brain can be found in Thomas Jefferson’s “Bill for the More General Diffusion of Knowledge.” Jefferson wrote:

“Experience hath shewn, that even under the best forms, those entrusted with power have, in time, and by slow operations, perverted it into tyranny; and it is believed that the most effectual means of preventing this would be, to illuminate, as far as practicable, the minds of the people...that they may be enabled to know ambition under all its shapes, and prompt to exert their natural powers to defeat its purposes” [Yay!]

The Framers of the Constitution Didn’t See Billionaires

Can you restrain Amazon? I claim, in this book, that the US Constitution is able to solve the problems of power. The Framers in 1787 foresaw many of them, but they had no way of knowing that in future some Americans would be able to: alter the weather, achieve mind control over the majority of the population, surveille everyone’s personal activity electronically, chase people with drones, or own the patents on seeds!

Such would have prompted some additional remarks in the parchment, no doubt. However, the Framers were very aware of the accumulation of power in one or more persons. Eleven years before the Constitution was signed, the Declaration of Independence of July 4, 1776 had “kicked out” King George III on the grounds that he was tyrannical (nothing approaching mandatory vax, though). Society asserted its dignity and set

boundaries for what its descendants' rulers could do: "it is their right, **it is their duty, to throw off such Government. ...**"

Well, here we are, those descendants, but we have virtually given away the shop. I'll start by pointing to the incursions of one man, Bill Gates, but he pales in comparison to some others whose names and faces we don't even know.

Bill Gates of Microsoft, GAVI, and God Knows What

Gates' name was already known to the general public before the Covid pandemic, as he was a spectacular geek and entrepreneur owning the software company Microsoft. His name was also known in the Third World as head of GAVI, a charity that seeks to get people vaccinated against many diseases.

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is the largest foundation, with about a half *trillion* dollars in assets. I attended a speech in 2010 at the University of Adelaide at which the Foundation's man demonstrated a light-up map on which he could show us instantly where tomatoes were being grown anywhere. He boasted that they know the yield of every patch of land in the world. I am guessing this is done by satellite.

Gates has become the top owner of arable land in the US and is inventing synthetic meat. He is a major shareholder in Monsanto, a company that makes harmful pesticides and GMO food (genetically modified organisms), now merged with Bayer.

Bill himself comes across as silly. He does not look leaderly, yet when he emerged as pandemic spokesman, we took as granted that he had power to call the shots. The fact that he is a principal donor to the WHO, World Health Organization, should have been viewed as the very definition of conflict-of-interest: Gates has stated that he gets *a 20 to 1* return for his vax sales!

Think also of the 2020 Stimulus law that gave relief to workers. Oh really? It also bailed out corporations and handed billions to Pfizer et al to help them 'compete' for a speedy vaccine.

Bill Gates' Twitter Account Backfires

There have been many complaints about Bill Gates from the Third World. The following tweets appeared on Gates' own twitter, and were deleted in real time:

Why don't you stop trying to practice med without a license.
The whole world is uniting against you and your masters.
35k people revolting in this section. That's not a tiny army.
We take back our rightful awareness of beautiful earth.
We ALL know about Event 201 that you funded in 2019.
There will be no "new world order". You're going down, Bill.
Take your vax, your satanic mates and NWO and go to hell.
We the people are NOT going to allow you or your weasel Dr
Fauci to mandate this vaccine!! We know your agenda!!
You are trash, bill. Why are you not in jail yet?
Bill Gates won't even vaccinate his own kids. He has caused
deaths in India and Africa. He needs to be [redacted].
The great awakening is happening. Mother earth has stirred
the sleeping. Truth is being revealed for all with eyes to see.
Divide and conquer is your goal, but we will prevail and tri-
umph against the world that is your bubble.
Just a rich guy reaching out to help the world.
Thanks to these comments I feel HOPE that as humanity we
just might get through the dark times ahead. So thank you all.
Thanks for your vax in India paralyzing almost 500k girls.

(The top of Gates' Twitter site says: "Sharing things I've learned through my Foundation and other interests. -- Seattle, Washington")

What Does the Constitution Say about Gates's Rules?

A lawyer can get up there and defend Bill Gates' right to run his businesses as he pleases on the grounds that America supports free enterprise. Profit-making is not a sin. True, but there are other values involved in the pandemic situation. In my lawsuit against mandatory vax (see *Maxwell v Secretary of Defense*). I argue that the Fourth Amendment has priority. The rule against government invading my body is tops.

Had I been a state legislator, needing to determine whether to *genuinely* protect society from a contagious disease, I may have caved in on the Fourth Amendment. But how would I, as a legislator, know which medical reports are trustworthy?

Billionaires Are Unconstitutional. Any person that could so hold back information from the public – and cause tens of millions of people to step forward to take a dangerous vaccine – should be seen as unconstitutional. He or she is exerting a governmental type of authority without legitimacy. In 1890, when the railroad trust, the sugar trust, the oil trust, and so forth were gaining huge control, Congress passed Sherman Anti-trust Act:

15 USC 1: Every contract, combination in the form of trust or otherwise, or conspiracy, in restraint of trade ... among the several States, or with foreign nations, is declared to be illegal. Every person who **shall make any contract or engage in any combination or conspiracy hereby declared to be illegal shall be deemed guilty of a felony**, and, on conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine not exceeding \$100,000,000 if a corporation, or, if any other person, \$1,000,000, or by imprisonment not exceeding 10 years, or by both said punishments, in the **discretion** of the court. [Emphasis added]

On July 23, 2021, F. William Engdahl wrote at neo-journal.org: The firm, BlackRock Inc., the world's largest asset manager.... Since 1988 the company has put itself in a position to de facto control the Federal Reserve, most Wall Street mega-banks, including Goldman Sachs, the Davos World Economic Forum

Great Reset, the Biden Administration and, if left unchecked, the economic future of our world. Engdahl writes:

“In the key policy post as Deputy Treasury Secretary we find Nigerian-born Adewale 'Wally' Adeyemo. From 2017 to 2019 he was a senior adviser and Chief of Staff to BlackRock CEO Larry Fink. Adeyemo's personal ties to Obama are strong.

“Michael Pyle, Senior Economic Adviser to Vice President Kamala Harris, was Global Chief Investment Strategist at BlackRock (with strategy for investing \$9 *trillion* of funds). [This] suggests that the role of BlackRock in Washington is far larger than we are being told.”

Engdahl, a very reliable critic (see his *Seeds of Destruction*), says Biden is micromanaged by handlers to maintain a scripted “image” of a President while policy is made behind the scenes. I ask: If that ain't a constitutional crisis, what is?

Zephyr Teachout and Chickenization

In a Ted talk, law professor Zephyr Teachout offers the concept of the chickenization of the US economy. The farming of chickens is now so centralized that the farmer is trapped into breeding, feeding, and marketing his chooks according to outside bosses' wishes.

Now don't go away, thinking it is all too big for folks to deal with (granted, it's overwhelming). Zephyr Teachout wants to *remove monopolies*. Oh, how? Well, didn't I just tell you how -- it's as clear as a bell in the Sherman Act of 1890. Pity our leaders have a policy of not enforcing Sherman.

Teachout, in her 2020 book, *Break 'em Up*, has no doubt that the law can come into play here. She observes the significant issue of corporate donations to Parties, usually to both sides: “Party leaders might want a major donation from Comcast for the convention, but at least they would have to justify their position to members.... They'd have to explain in public why they

voted.... Ten years ago, one case changed the balance of power. In *Citizens United v Federal Election Commission*, [SCOTUS] tore off all limits on corporate political spending.” (p 114)

It's not passing a politician some cash. The monopolies now *run* the parties! Teachout says that, back in 2010, super-PACs tended to be cheerleaders for existing candidates. By 2014 they shifted to getting the candidates to parrot the corporate message, using polling research. But in a third stage, as of 2020, corporations and billionaires have started to create their own political parties.

Americans for Prosperity (beware names!) “pursues its own platform in domestic and foreign and environmental policy, and pays a deep bench of organizers to go door to door and engage with voters.” Wow. I didn't know that, though I notice that emails I get from the Republican Party don't sound at all republican!

Zephyr says: “AFP is an organization paid for and controlled by the Koch family.” Engdahl says Biden appointed Brian Deese Director of the National Economic Council. He had been at BlackRock as Global Head of Sustainable Investing. Under Obama, Deese “replaced John Podesta, and played a key role negotiating the Global Warming Paris Accords.”

Is George Soros Constitutional?


The Framers of the Constitution did not insert limitations on any person's wealth. But when you are a billionaire you can upset the apple cart. You can tempt everyone to break laws. *Radix malorum est cupiditas* -- money is the root of all evil. George Soros is credited with 'Arab Spring' revolutions against governments in the Middle East. We know the CIA was behind overthrow of governments such as in 1953 in Iran or 1973 in Chile.

Why not here? Dinesh D'Souza says George Soros helped rig the 2020 presidential election. "Mules" (couriers) were paid ten bucks for each for delivering dishonest ballots. Ah, power!

Chapter 15. Dr Day Foretold an Acceptance of Violence



Dr Day



Dee McLachlan

Dr Richard Day worked for Planned Parenthood. In 1969 he gave an after-dinner speech to medical students and faculty. In it he foretold approximately 100 things that would happen in society, and which did then happen. Although he had told the audience not to take notes, Dr Lawrence Dunegan took notes. I'll paraphrase Dunegan now, but stick close to his wording:

Scientific reports would be falsified as needed. Religion would be changed, in the direction of a universal religion. This would call for the collapse of the Catholic Church (“and the clergy will help us”). Everyone would be busy with less time or opportunity to really look about and see what was going on. **Violence would become more prevalent and seeing dead people on the street** would no longer cause panic.

The old-fashioned ways, that make for strong families, must go. For example: **Fewer people would get married.** Girls would be expected to act like boys, such as by having sports teams. **The age of puberty would be driven down.** Men would travel to work in other cities – this would help break marriages up. The elderly would be given hints that they are no longer able to keep up and should take a Demise Pill. **Home ownership would become a thing of the past and ultimately you would be assigned a place to live.** Strangers may live with you, thus you would not be certain whom to trust. [That's one that hasn't been sprung on us -- but just you wait!]

Control Over the Individual. Many things will come about to ensure control from the top. For example: The screen of your TV will monitor what you do at home. **Everyone must carry an ID and soon this will be in the form of a chip under the skin.** Or a dentist may put it in a filling without your being aware. [Recall, this is from 1969!]

All sales will be by credit. If you don't obey government, your credit card gets cancelled. Anyone who tries to help you will be committing a crime. No one will be permitted to grow their own food. **"We" can control the weather** and cause a drought or make the land so muddy you can't harvest a crop.

New diseases will appear and be untreatable for a long time. **National identity will decline;** you will be a world citizen. Anti-trust laws will change -- only big business can survive. There will only be a single banking system. [Accurate, eh?]

Inflation is infinite – keep adding zeros. **Uncooperative individuals will disappear.** Doctors will give lethal injections; hospitals will act as jails. Psychiatric services would be greatly expanded. The government would enter the gambling business [lotteries]. News about drug abuse would also tend to reduce the American **complacency** that the world is a safe place, and a nice place. [All of this is Dunegan talking for Dr Day.]

Buildings and bridges would be made so that they would collapse, there'd be more accidents involving aeroplanes and railroads and automobiles. All of this to contribute to the **feeling of insecurity,** that nothing was safe. [*That's a goal?*]

Violence would be made more graphic. This was intended to desensitize people to violence. There might need to be a time when people would **witness real violence and be a part of it.** So there would be more realistic violence in entertainment, which would make it easier for people to adjust. Nothing is permanent. Streets would be re-routed and renamed. Areas you had not seen in a while would become unfamiliar.

After talking about the central control of banking, Dr Day casually mentioned the following: “**When the new system takes over, people will be expected to sign allegiance to it**, indicating that they don’t have any reservations or holding back to the old system. There just won’t be any room for people who won’t go along.” [Recall NH disarming non-signers? p 33]

We would bring in the ‘New International Political System.’ If there were too many people in the right places who resisted this, there might be a need to use one or two or possibly more **nuclear weapons**. By the time two of those went off, even the most reluctant, would yield. Terrorism would be used widely in Europe and in other parts of the world. At that time (1969), it was thought terrorism would not be necessary in the United States. It could become necessary in the United States.
-- End of Dunegan’s notes, as selected by Mary Maxwell

Dee McLachlan, editor of Melbourne’s Gumshoe News, wrote an article in 2017, picking out some of Day’s predictions that look especially revealing, in the context of the Covid pandemic:

Dee McLachlan on Dr Day’s Predictions

Dr Day said that **travel** was a privilege. “Travel would be easier, less expensive, **for a while...** “...travel then would become very restricted. People would need **permission to travel** and they would need a good reason to travel... and everyone would need ID. This would at first be an **ID card you would carry on your person and you must show** when you are asked for it.”

This sounds extraordinary. But I was brought up in South Africa, where travel restrictions, like this, were imposed on the African populations. Truly appalling. Don’t believe that it can’t happen again. And if you don’t comply, and fully submit to the city protocols, the fines imposed will cripple you financially.

In Melbourne at this moment, a GP, Dr John Piesse, is facing prosecution for the “crime” of supporting parental vaccination

choice — and writing medical exemption forms for families whose children are at risk of serious reactions. [This was 2017]

Per Day, chips or implants will initially be sold as a medical “saving” device — then used for banking and tax purposes [again, this was 2017]. If we are giving Hep-B shots to newborns, it's not a big step for the authorities to say, “as part of the Hep B shot, we are planting a chip, a few millimeters in size — to monitor your baby’s health and keep her safe.”

DiscloseTV wrote about the *National Medical Device Registry* and the “Class II Device That Is Implantable”: “This bill offers guidance for FDA staff. It is not just a tracking device, but this microchip would have the ability to control the food and money of the people on a grand scale. It has even been indicated that it **would be possible to kill using this implant** if people didn’t obey orders.” -- End of Dee McLachlan excerpts

Let’s Call a Spade a Spade

When you have a spade in hand, you should not refer to it as a hoe or a shovel, as that may lead to misusing it. Call it a spade.

Our powerful government is performing many violent deeds. The fact that we have constitutional restraints on federal power is *of no help* if we constantly let officials do as they please. The maxim: *Impunitas semper ad deteriora invitat* means “Letting them get away with it always invites worse.” Isn’t that always true? My proposed solution is: put a stop to the lawlessness of officials. If you want Rule of Law, a precious thing, you must acknowledge its deterioration and act against it. (Yes, *you*.)

Note: I embrace the term “conspiracy theorist.” I have a PhD in political science, which is the science that smirks at rhetoric spewed out by politicians. Words they create, such as “home-land security,” are an absolute insult to our intelligence.

Please become a conspiracy theorist and brag about it. Cite Dr Day as *proof* that these plans to wreck society are 53 years old.

Chapter 16. Great News from 1917: Polio Is Curable



Ed Rosenow



Crofton's research

When writing my 2013 book on the suppression of good cancer cures (*Consider the Lilies*), I noted the repetitiveness of the mistreatment of the discoverers -- they were smeared, sacked, or even killed. It happens today, too. And why did Hydroxychloroquine, that had long been used by doctors and veterinarians, get withdrawn? Why does suppression of cures take place?

Per my understanding of the real power structure of society, good health is not allowed. The powerful like to keep us chasing doctor's appointments and worrying about cancer and other illnesses -- in addition to worrying about debt.

Introduction to the 'Debate' on Pleomorphism. William Crofton, MD, in *The True Nature of Viruses* (1936), claimed that dog distemper is caused by *bacteria*, specifically a bacillus (that is, a rod-shaped bacterium). But The Powers That Be insisted that distemper is caused by a *virus* -- and thus can't be cured.

A virus can't be cultured in the lab, as bacteria can, to yield an antigen that makes a patient's immune system fight infection. You'll be surprised to hear the way in which viruses first got categorized as virus, versus bacteria: it had to do merely with *size*. If the item were small enough to pass through a ceramic filter (and therefore be called 'filterable'), it was called a virus.

Some 'dissident' researchers found that a virus isn't always a virus. It seems to be part of a life cycle of certain microbes; at times it morphs into a bacillus (or even, perhaps, a fungus).

This theory is called pleo-morphism – ‘many shapes or forms.’ Note: the US military takes pleomorphism for granted in its bioweapon research but, since 1950, medical journals forbid any mention of it. It is a huge secret. What does that tell you?

Polio. The original expert on pleomorphism was Edward Rosenow, MD, who published plenty. Roughly he said “If you want to cure polio (a virus), first get its other form, a bacillus, and culture it.” He did cure polio, in 1917. See this headline:

REMEDY FOR INFANTILE PARALYSIS [Polio]; Dr. Edward C. Rosenow of the Mayo Clinic Tried His Serum on Children with Brilliant Results During Epidemic in Davenport. By Mary B Mullett, November 18, 1917. *The New York Times Magazine*, Page SM3. “EVERY father and mother in the country will feel a throb of relief and of hope over what has just happened at Davenport, Iowa. An epidemic of infantile paralysis in that city has ended with a banquet and an ovation.”

Rosenow also cured MS and schizophrenial! The late Alan Cantwell, MD, routinely found bacilli in cancer. He published this in journals from 1968 to 1990, but later could get ink only in the dissident press! In general, folks are *unwilling to believe* that medicine might be withheld for an evil purpose: to keep them sick. Fortunately, the Covid episode has brought much of this into the light of day. It is time we outgrew our childish beliefs.

The 1918 Flu Pandemic. The bacillus of flu had been isolated by German bacteriologist Richard Pfeiffer in 1891. From it, Dr William Crofton of Ireland was able to prepare an inoculation. As regards 61 students at University College dormitory in Dublin in 1918, he had the following success: Of the 35 who were not inoculated, 100% caught the flu. Of the 26 who were inoculated, only 3.8% got the flu. Just think, he may have been able to prevent the millions of deaths that did in fact occur in Europe in 1918. Crofton considers the Pfeiffer bacillus to be found also in measles, mumps, and chicken pox:

“If an antigen is rushed through when the first spots appear in chicken-pox ... no further spots appear. Likewise in *mumps*, if a culture is made from material at the opening of the parotid duct one obtains a swarming growth (on blood-agar) of bacilli. A one-million dose of this, followed by others at suitable intervals, rapidly aborts the disease. My younger boy developed the typical swelling -- a culture was made; next morning there was sufficient growth to make an antigen. A one-million dose was given. Next morning the swelling had almost disappeared....”

Distemper -- Dog Breeders Conquered It. Distemper, an illness of dogs, is sometimes fatal. It involves loss of appetite, discharge from eyes and nose, vomiting, and possible paralysis from demyelination of nerve tissue. For over a hundred years, there has been an excellent cure – but The Powers That Be won’t let it be used. In 1900, Monckton Copeman published that distemper was caused by a bacillus. By 1910, Newell Ferry also isolated it and called it *Bacillus bronchisepticus*. In 1911, JP McGowan wrote it up in Volume xv of the *Journal of Pathology*.

Dr Crofton quotes from FB Carrell in *The Kennel*, April 1911:

“A friend told me of Dr. Copeman’s vaccine. He had, two months before, inoculated two Smooth Collie pups. [He then exposed them to] a kennel of Griffons all affected with distemper. Some of the mucus from the eyes and noses of the Griffons was inserted into the nostrils of the inoculated Collie pups. One Collie was not affected at all.

The temperature of the other Collie rose to 105° F., appetite capricious and puppy rather irritable. After a week he became perfectly healthy. Both these puppies were afterwards exhibited all over England. [Carrell adds]: Where the [vaccinated] puppies have been kept by myself, and, of course, frequently exhibited, they have, I think, in every case eventually taken the disease, but always so slightly that a week or ten days sees them right again.”

That's *immunization*. Can the bacillus also be curative? Crofton:

"If grown on blood agar the microbe is quite easy to isolate, very much easier, for instance, than the influenza bacillus, which will not grow on ordinary agar. If isolated early and the dog inoculated with a quarter to one million, followed by a small series if necessary, the disease will be aborted and even the most extreme cases can be recovered in many instances...."

Long story short, pets as well as humans may have suffered unnecessarily for the entire twentieth century. This is **malice**.

Texas Veterinarian, Dr Bob Rogers, Complains of Fraud

Back in 2002, Bob Rogers, DVM, sent a complaint to the Texas Attorney General about violations of Rule 573.26 Rules of Professional conduct for vets which says they must conduct their practice with honesty and fair dealing with clients. He said:

"Recommending, administering and charging for Canine Corona vaccinations in adult dogs is fraud by misrepresentation, fraud by silence, theft by deception and undue influence, given the literature that states 'Dogs over 8 weeks are not susceptible to canine corona virus.' Disease produced by canine corona virus has never been demonstrated in adult dogs. Addition of an unnecessary antigen to the vaccine protocol reduces immunity to other diseases and increases the risk of adverse reaction."

Think of the wasted tax money spent on Covid pandemic! You could file a lawsuit about that under the False Claims Act. You can get up to 25% of the damages! You play the role of a 'relator' by filing a "qui tam" suit. This means you are acting for the nation. *Qui tam de rege* means "on behalf of the king."

Per 31 USC 3729, a case can be made against a party that: "knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval." Think of how the useless PCR test was ordered for whole swathes of the population, in order to generate fantastic income for Pharma.

The Tomato Virus, in John Ott, Light and Health (1973):

“The tomato virus usually appears following long periods of cloudy weather and low sunlight intensity [hint, hint] I brought some virus-ridden tomatoes from the glass greenhouse into my plastic greenhouse. With just a few days of sunlight in my greenhouse, and a light foliar feeding of the leaves, the tomato plants quickly came to life, started new healthy growth and began producing normal tomatoes. “[Why has] no consideration has been given to the possibility of a virus originating within the living cells of the plant itself ... [rather than having to be] introduced from... outside. [See Erik Enby's theory.]

“The metabolism, or life itself, that goes on within a living cell is the utilization of the nutritional factors present by the energy of light. It seems quite possible that a **chemical substance of a poisonous nature could result as a by-product** from an incomplete or unbalanced metabolism within the cells of a leaf.

“... If so, then this chemical by-product would fit all the various descriptions of a virus. If injected into the cells of other leaves, it might throw the metabolism of these cells off balance so that they would in turn produce more of the same chemical substance of a poisonous nature. ... It could fit all descriptions of a virus and still originate within the affected plant itself.

“By now, a new theory was boiling within me and I determined to attack the virus problem through photography -- to take microscopic time-lapse pictures of the streaming of the protoplasm within the cell of a leaf as stimulated by direct unfiltered sunlight, [and] various types of artificial light. It would show precisely the effect of different sources of light and variations of temperature on the photochemistry. It would then be possible to study the effect [of light] on the germination of spores, mitosis, and other growth processes.”

[You can see Ott's time-lapse photography on YouTube]

Chapter 17. Do You Want Transhumanism?



Professor James Giordano, Photo: clinicalbioethics.georgetown.edu

This chapter introduces some plans being made to alter you, via neuroscience. During the Covid-19 pandemic, we heard a lot about the capability of government to surveille us by data collection. This includes collecting information as to what is going on in our bodies, such as temperature, without our even knowing it. But is it possible to pick up data from one's mind? I don't know. Scientists in the field of Artificial Intelligence claim that one of their goals is to unite minds with computers. They also hope to bring the members of the human race together in a way that will make one lose one's free will.

Could that just proceed by itself? No, some "scientists" would have to stand outside it to direct it. Who could that scientist be? Does he have more of a right than I have, to decide how my brain will perform? Is policy making going on that we don't even know about? How should policy be made in a Republic?

Consider the neuroscientist James Giordano. He is enthusiastic about all sorts of drastic changes that can allegedly be made to our species. Will these changes be made without your say-so? We live in a Republic that has rules as to who has a right to affect another person. We wrote it in a Bill of Rights. If Giordano, or anyone, wants us to change it, no problem. He can make his pitch to the citizenry who can amend the Constitution. We can swap our governance type to tyranny, as long as the folks in 3/4ths of the states are happy with that!

In 1972, Zbigniew Brzezinski wrote the book *Between Two Ages: America's Role in the Technetronic Era*. In it he takes for granted that humanity won't remain as we now know it. Brzezinski subsequently became President Carter's National Security Advisor and helped David Rockefeller create the Trilateral Commission to make Japan a partner in world order.

Brzezinski did not want any restraints on that "temptation to manipulate" our brains. Psychological warfare is a big part of the US military's arsenal. This includes ordinary propaganda and more subtle psy-ops -- psychological operations *on us*. Note: In 1948 Congress passed the Smith-Mundt Act to allow the State Department to disseminate propaganda abroad, but not at home. The 2012 National Defense Authorization Act, removed that restriction and thus "legalized lying." See Appendix below, on the difficult verifying the Sandy Hook event.

An early published admission of US involvement in brain interference appeared over a decade ago in the form of a compensation payment made to US embassy employees in Moscow who suffered from "the Russian woodpecker." Recently, investment broker Catherine Austin Fitts has said that she became a TI (targeted individual, receiving electronic hits) when she started to annoy the Department of Justice.

"I foresee a time when we have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behavior and intellectual functioning of all the people through environment and biochemical manipulation of the brain."

James Giordano is professor of "bioethics" at Georgetown, a Jesuit university. He also teaches at the US Naval War College (two salaries?) and consults with the Pentagon on DARPA -- Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (three salaries?). Do you want human nature to be fiddled with? Do you think robotizing us is OK? Have you agreed to let DARPA make decisions about a redesigning of human nature?

Insect Allies? Nanotechnology is moving along at a fast pace. Consider the ability of scientists to use beetles to deliver *biological material* to crops. This is from *Newsweek*, October 4, 2018:

“The Insect Allies program was announced by DARPA in 2016. It is a research project that aims to protect the US agricultural food supply by delivering protective genes to plants via insects.... Scientists believe **loading the bugs up with viruses** ... could be one way of ensuring food security in the event of a major threat. [What!]

“In an editorial published in the journal *Science*, a group of researchers led by Richard Guy Reeves, from the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology in Germany, says Insect Allies **isn’t exactly what it says it is**. Instead, they claim DARPA is potentially developing insects as a means of delivering a ‘new class of biological weapon’.” [Emphasis added]

Is the United States opposed to using food supply as a weapon? No, in June 2020 **we set fire to wheat crops in Syria**. Shame on us. Note: this war crime was not reported by the press, so most Americans do not know that they are responsible for it. Hunger is on the menu for us, too. In 1978 we had a gasoline shortage, thanks to an issue with OPEC, Organization of Petroleum-Exporting Countries. That meant you could not travel; hence *delivery trucks* couldn’t ply the road. This may happen to us now. Supermarkets will empty out.

Please stop denying the possibility by saying this is way beyond what the top dogs would do. They have done it many times. It is a normal way for them to behave. They have no conscience.

I can recommend an oddly-titled book: *The Joys of Psychopathy: Our Rebirth in the Wake of Their Destruction of Our World*. The author, Greg Caton, lives in exile in Ecuador, having been jailed in US for curing skin cancer.

Chapter 18. Boy, Have We Been Deceived about Wars



The traveling memorial wall with names of the soldiers who died in the Vietnam conflict. Photo: TheCounty.me

This chapter recounts two revelations made by John Judge. One is that the Pentagon planned in advance for the deaths of 57,000 US soldiers -- the very number that did in fact die. The other is that someone changed the nuclear code books on November 22, 1963, at least hours before JFK was assassinated.

In 1996, John Judge wrote to investigators into the assassination of JFK in Dallas in 1963. He said that while he was working at Wright Patterson AFB in Dayton, Ohio, in 1973, several disaffected pilots spoke to him. They had been with the Strategic Air Command the day JFK was killed. These pilots were in the air, as part of a three-shift, 24-hour readiness pattern. They picked up “radio chatter” that the President had been shot.

Assuming that an alert of some kind would be ordered, or that instructions would be given, one pilot and his co-pilots used a simultaneous key procedure to open the locker containing decrypting code books for use in such emergency situations. **They were surprised to find the code book missing from the locker. They spoke to other pilots on the same shift once they landed at SAC HQ,** and other reported the same situation, no code books aboard. John Judge wrote:

“My understanding from them is that these code books were changed on a regular basis, and carefully handled by a chain of command that went from the Pentagon down to the plane. The person who **developed the SAC procedures and implemented the program was Gen. Curtis LeMay, then Secretary of the Air Force and part of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.** I found it curious that, with the President just assassinated, and with a prime suspect identified later that day as a “pro-Castro” activist, that there would not have been some sort of alert. If the Joint Chiefs had no idea about who had killed the President, or if they had reason to suspect the Soviet Union was involved, would there not have been reason to go to a readiness alert?”

John Judge read out his letter on a YouTube video. Although the story of the codebook's disappearance on November 22, 1963 may be of great interest, it pales in comparison to John's main point. This insight came to him accidentally from his mother. To me it says the deaths of American in “the Nam” were a genocide planned and committed by the higher-ups.

Judge's mother, Marjorie C. Judge, worked at the Pentagon with a security clearance 5 five levels higher than Top Security. She had been in the Pentagon since 1943, as a manpower analyst. This meant she needed to project how many men should be drafted based on how many enlistments, retirements, and so forth would occur in the next few years.

“My mother had to project these yearly figures accurate to within 100 people, in years when hundreds of thousands of people were being drafted. She had to come up with that level of accuracy five years in advance. I remember her fretting each year as the real figures came in, but she was always on target.”

Vietnam. Now here is the shocker. After his mother retired in 1973, John asked her about plans for the Vietnam war: “She told me that in the spring of 1963 she was told to project a phased withdrawal of troops, with all out by the end of 1964.

That means that Kennedy did not intend to go along with whoever it is in the US that makes decisions about war." John says:

"I then asked her when they told her they would escalate in Vietnam. "Late November of 1963," she said. 'I couldn't believe the figures, I took them back to the Joint Chiefs office, and I said, 'These can't be right.' And they said, 'Those are the figures, and you'll use them.' This was, effectively, the first civilian protest to the Vietnam war. This was November 25, 1963, with Kennedy barely in the grave.

"The NSAM's that are under debate were signed by LBJ over that weekend. This was a complete reversal of policy, and it shocked my mother. The figures they gave her were for a full-scale war. **'A ten-year war, with fifty-seven thousand dead,'** she told me. Exactly the opposite of what Kennedy was planning. This was the war that LBJ carried out. This policy originated, at least in part, at special meetings of the Kennedy cabinet members in Honolulu that same weekend. All records concerning that meeting should be sought.

I [MM] find Vietnam veterans to be a very responsible group of activists. They can deal now with John and Marjorie Judge's incredible revelation. Our treatment of soldiers is murderous, such as exposing them to Agent Orange in Vietnam and (depleted uranium. Maybe some sadist gets a kick out of doing this. Plus, there is satanism which turns all morality in its head.

Viera Scheibner and others are outraged at what is done to soldiers in the 1990-1991 Gulf War "The compounds given to personnel made the recipients very ill, some 6000 personnel died from them and they incapacitated tens of thousands more. [Thirty years later the soldiers have not got satisfactory apology or admission.] A TV show on the Gulf War Syndrome interviewed a number of [Aussie] veterans who testified that they were forcibly vaccinated with up to 23 vaccines within 2 weeks and were held down by several men when they just 'inquired' what sort of vaccines are in those injections."

Recap of Part Three: Get Up on Your High Horse

Ch 13. Kevin Robert Ryan produced a deeply-researched book, *Another Nineteen: Investigating Legitimate 9/11 Suspects*. Wirt Walker, a cousin of the incumbent president, George W Bush, bought telltale shares in a relevant company, days before 9-11. Brian Jenkins works for a RAND, played a part in Vietnam and Nicaragua, and is the author of *Countering Al-Qaeda*. Such persons could have and probably did affect the 9-11 event.

Ch 14. Bill Gates is not in his right mind. I am sure he is programmed. His wealth makes it look like his decisions are financially motivated but more likely he is a stooge for the powerful.

Ch 15. In 1969, Richard Day, MD rattled off the plans that were being made for us mid-20th century: restrictions on travel, the need to carry a passport laden with all your private information, and a requirement that you sign allegiance to the new system. What? Don't forget: *Impunitas semper deteriora invitat*.

Ch 16. Dr Rosenow found a cure for polio in 1917. The elite does not want us to be healthy. The cure for cancer is still hid. Vaccinations, even for pets, are unwarrantedly demanded by the state. In the 1955 polio scare, the BBC silenced critics of vaccination. Today, the BBC silences Dr Vernon Coleman.

Ch 17. Would you like to be one-eighth pig, or perhaps 20% sparrow? The transhumanists laboratory is doing extraordinary things, having never consulted you or me or an interested person (which is to say, every human being).

Ch 18. Most wars have been wrongly explained to us. Best to notice this before the next war. I have always thought the protests against Vietnam war were “guided” (including by release of the Pentagon Papers). This is buttressed by Marjorie Judge's horrible insider report that American casualties were “scheduled.” They are also over-vaccinated, as Viera Scheibner pointed out. How about we deal with this today, for veterans?

PART FOUR

TRY TO HELP YOUR POLICE AND DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

“If only it were all so simple! If only there were evil people somewhere insidiously committing evil deeds, and it were necessary only to separate them from the rest of us and destroy them. But the line dividing good and evil cuts through the heart of every human being. And who is willing to destroy a piece of his own heart?”

— Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, *The Gulag Archipelago*



*Solzhenitsyn raised his three sons in Vermont, 1976-1994.
(Photo: NobelPrize.org)*

Chapter 19. Law of Emergencies and Hurricane Katrina



Dixie and David Gonzales of New Orleans witnessed explosion of levees during Katrina; the media were not interested, Photo: YouTube.com

Here in Part Four there will be some rather technical information as to what are the rights and restrictions of government.

In the United States, we try to arrange for a good deal for everyone, but at the same time we need to be aware that human nature is selfish – each person is looking out for him/herself. Competition is allowable. We do have some innate altruism, but survival tells us to act primarily in our own interest.

Three circumstances in our society lead to an approval of violence. The first is **punishment** – it's OK to whip someone if that is the only way to make him obey the rules of society. The second is **war** – in fact it's mandatory to take part in violence against an attacking army. You don't need to "decide" when to be violent in those two contexts (punishment and war); it's neatly laid out in the law itself. Our ancestors set it up for us.

The third circumstance of violence is **competition**. At a friendly level we say that competition is great, whether in sports or business. Perhaps also in election campaigns. That belief was formulated in regard to horizontal competition where the players are equal. But as soon as a *group* (a "combination") gets enough strength, it can automatically takeover and put an end to competition. It does so violently and no one admits it.

Part Four's Mission. I've argued that we need to stick by our well-developed law, such as the criminalization of terrible practices like genocide or fraud. We've got an elaborate set-up to catch any wrongdoers. As noted, the catching of wrongdoers is on the wane; the authorities have "married" the bad guys.

I listed workarounds to that problem, even rather violent ones such as citizen's arrest and declaring an outlaw killable. I was careful to recommend only that which is "on the books" and not gone so far as to say "Do anything you must do."

(*Note:* That, too, has legal backing in the law maxim *Necessitas non habet legem* – "necessity has no law." Still, I can't urge anyone to use it, as we citizens are plainly outgunned. The bad guys will knock us down in a minute – perhaps even from a satellite.)

In Part Four we are looking at what the president can legally do in emergencies, in martial law, or by military commission.

Emergencies and the Power of the President

Each of the 50 states has a governor with very extensive powers. You would have to look at your state's constitution to see exactly where the line is drawn as to her power to make a decision without legislature's approval. But in the federal government, it can be said unequivocally that a president cannot make law, ever, on any subject. He can't use EO's to make law.

Article I, sec 8 lays out the powers of Congress, in 18 precise clauses. Here's the famous 18th, known as the "necessary and proper clause":

"The Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers [i.e., the first 17] and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States or in any Department or Officer thereof."

So, if “this Constitution” has vested a power in the other two branches – the Executive and Judiciary – Congress still must translate that into a specific law. The US Supreme Court in 1791 could not get down to business; it had to await enactment of the Judicature Act in 1793. Are you with me?

The National Emergencies Act, 1976, and Previous Acts

Congress passed a law that gives the president the power to act in emergencies – The National Emergencies Act. It is codified at 50 USC 1601 *et seq.* I think at least one part of that statute is unconstitutional, namely it allows the president to act without the Legislature being able to butt in for 6 months. It should be able to butt in at any time. (Of course it can do so by new law!).

Anyway, in spite of the powers granted to the president in the 1976 Act, what he orders is *still subject to the Constitution*.

The first emergency law that Congress made was the Flood Control Act 1934, after an overflow of the Missouri River. The Army Corps of Engineers was allowed to deal with it.

In 1950 we got the Defense Production Act which President Trump recently invoked to speed delivery of ventilators. Codified at 50 USC 4502, it supports both defense and industry. In 1974, we got the Disaster Relief Act, also called the Stafford Act, that sends federal money to a state that requests it.

It could well be that all of those laws were inserted gradually so that we would have enough "law" on the books to support a Takeover by some international group in a weather emergency or a health emergency. While the US has had many genuine legislators, it must have had many who were dancing to the tune of an outside boss. The Council on Foreign Relations has since 1920, worked toward the goal of One World Government.

What has the highest court in the land said about emergencies? In *Home Building and Loan Ass'n v Blaisdell* (1931) SCOTUS said:

“Emergency does not create power. Emergency does not increase granted power [wow] The Constitution was adopted in a period of grave emergency. Its grants of power ... were not altered by emergency.”

Luckily, “*Blaisdell*” is still the binding precedent. I repeat: Most people run when they hear talk of emergency (even on a calm day, years later). We automatically want to throw an urgent problem into the hands of our leader, reminiscent of Caveman days. But constitutionally, “emergency does not create power.”

How Will the Takeover Happen?

It seems to me, and millions of others, that the Covid pandemic is a front for something else, a power grab. Government and mainstream media not only didn’t host any debates by medical experts, they censored, ridiculed, and persecuted such doctors.

I presume that the social-distancing nonsense, and the meaningless testing, were steps toward getting the infrastructure set up for a sort of martial law. The Takeover has been going on for over a century. George Orwell’s novel, published in 1949, has come true. So have Dr Day’s 1969 predictions.

I don’t claim to know how the Takeover will occur. A good guess is that the 5G towers are aimed at our brains. Or maybe China and the US will rustle up a war and cities will be bombed with white phosphate (as we did to the poor souls of Fallujah). Consider these amazing words from the Protocols of Zion:

“To keep the governments in Europe in check . . . we shall respond with the guns of America or China or Japan. When we at last definitely come into our kingdom [it will be] by the aid of **coups d’état prepared everywhere for one and the same day.... With this purpose we shall **slay without mercy** all who take up arms to oppose our coming into our kingdom.” [Emphasis added]**

Emergency Law Based on Nine-Eleven. On September 14, 2001, President Bush signed Proclamation number 7463 to say that a state of emergency exists after the terrorist attacks on the WTC and the Pentagon:

"I hereby declare that the national emergency has existed since September 11, 2001, and, pursuant to the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*)...."

There can be no doubt that the reaction of the American population was to let the government do anything it pleased after the 9-11 "attacks," including making war for 20 years on Afghanistan, a nation that had played part in 9-11. (The outside world is not deceived; America's reputation died.)

We also allowed Congress to pass bills such as the Patriot Act and the Homeland Security Act, which are still not repealed, as they of course should be, being outrageously unconstitutional.

Katrina. In 2005, the levees broke in New Orleans, allowing the tide to come in, and a hurricane did immense damage. This led to an Emergency whose managing gave away its man-made nature, I believe. Congress later did an inquiry that was as much a whitewash as was the 9-11 Report.

People were escorted forcibly from their homes in Ward 9, the poor part of New Orleans, which is now gentrified. Soldiers showed up from nowhere and commanded obedience. I say they "showed up from nowhere," as there was massive confusion. Governor Kathleen Blanco stalwartly refused to let President Bush "federalize" the Louisiana National Guard. Yet the feds may have sent contractors in, wearing a generic uniform. The "soldiers" prevented many people from escaping to safety.

Note: Matthew S. Belser has written a 2007 article on martial law with respect to Hurricane Katrina that contains many useful points: "MARTIAL LAW AFTER THE STORM": 35 *S.U. Law Rev.* Belser gives a full rundown of constitutional finagling.

Chapter 20. Militia, the Dick Act, the National Guard



Charles Dick, US Representative from Ohio, and the bill he sponsored in 1902 that changed state militias into the “National Guard”

This chapter fits into Part Four's mission "Help your police and DA's," by sorting out the kind of violence that is legitimate. This chapter is about *militias*.

As usual, I find it helpful to treat the US Constitution as the first statement on a legal topic, and will do so here. But there is a problem caused by the fact that folks use the word *militia* to mean two different things. So I'll divide this chapter into “Part First” where we talk governmentese about militias, and “Part Second” for more popular manifestations of militias.

PART FIRST -- The public Militias

Even Part First needs to be divided chronologically, as the 20th century re-worked (in a tricky way, I ween) the original set-up. Here is the downlow from the parchment, with my bolding:

Article I, section 8, Clause 15: **“The Congress shall have Power ... To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions.”**

Article II, Section 3: **the president: “shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed.”** (A marvelous sacred duty!)

Article II, Sec 2: “The President shall be Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy of the United States, and **of the Militia of the several States, when called into the actual Service** of the United States.” (The phrase “of the Militia of the several states” means, in today’s set-up, the National Guard.)

Note: the phrase “when called into actual Service of the United States” refers back to Article I, section 8, Clause 15, as above.

Bill of Rights, Amendment II: “**A well-regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state**, the right of the People to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed.”

How about the States’ Article, Article IV? In the Constitution’s fourth article, about states, we find only one reference to a warlike situation. – Article IV, Section 4:

“The United States shall **guarantee to every State** in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them **against Invasion**; and on Application of the [state’s] Legislature, or of the [state’s] Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened) against domestic Violence.”

That is known in the trade as “the Guarantee clause.” I find it utterly fascinating, and honorable. It must have taken some imagining of the future for the Framers to come up with it.

State Militia. A militia in 1787 was whatever the state constitution of each state said it was. Roughly, it was a Posse Comitatus -- typically, a band of citizens, age 16 to 60, who would obey the governor if something urgent came up.

In regard to the 2013 Marathon bombing, which was a false-flag operation, I advised Governor Charlie Baker to use his powers to defeat *an invasion by the FBI*. I quoted Article VII from Chapter II of the original **Massachusetts Constitution** of 1780. Per an amendment, its current wording is:

“Article VII. The general court [that’s the name of Massachusetts legislature] shall provide by law for the recruitment, equipment, organization, training and discipline of the military and naval forces. **The governor** shall be the commander-in-chief thereof, and shall have **power to assemble the whole or any part** of them for training ... **and to employ them for the suppression of rebellion, the repelling of invasion, and the enforcement of the laws....**”

“National” Guard. Today in the United States there are approximately a million soldiers, of which half are regular army, another 200,000 are Reserve forces (people who hold other work but can be called up if needed), and almost 300,000 “National Guard.” Being in the Guard is a full-time job, and most of one’s time is spent in the home state. Back in 1902 there were not yet any Reserves, as such, or National Guard, as such. The first army had been brought into being before we had the 1787 US Constitution. (After breaking from Britain, in 1776, via the Declaration of Independence and revolutionary war, Americans had temporary “Articles of Confederation.”)

Note: From 1788 to 1902, the US had made war on Britain, in the War of 1812, on Mexico in the Mexican War of 1849, on domestic Indian tribes, and on Spain in the Spanish-American war of 1898. The troops consisted of a standing army plus the states sending some of their militiamen to help out.

The Dick Act. In 1902, Rep Charles Dick of Ohio introduced a bill for a Militia Act “to supersede the archaic Militia Laws of 1792.” A booklet was circulated, with words by the members of an “Interstate National Guard Association” making favorable comments on the new plan. Most likely they did not see the consequences of having us go from an entity that was “**Interstate**” and was only an “**association**,” to a centralized entity, the National Guard. Note: It was not Charles Dick but Elihu Root who was behind the “reforms” -- perhaps with an eye to World War I (1914-1918) -- and beyond.

Insurrection. In 1807, Congress passed the Insurrection Act. Today the crime of insurrection is found at 18 USC 238:

Whoever incites, ... or engages in any rebellion or insurrection against the authority of the US shall be fined ... or imprisoned.

Hmm. But we have a right to assemble. The president must give a warning. This is codified at 10 USC 254:

“Whenever the President considers it necessary to use the militia or the armed forces under this chapter, he shall, by proclamation, immediately order the insurgents to disperse and retire peaceably to their abodes within a limited time.”

Two famous uses of federal *troops* to assist with civil rights were Eisenhower’s action in 1954 to enforce school desegregation in Kansas, and in 1965 by LBJ for the Selma marches, at which the use of dogs by state police stunned Americans.

The National Guard Bureau Is Surely Unconstitutional

As of the 1990s, the Pentagon has an office called “National Guard Bureau.” What? The Constitution does *not* provide for any federalizing of the state’s militias. This NGB came into existence -- by subterfuge, I’d say -- in relation to NATO’s “Partnership for Peace” Program. It pairs foreign countries with individual US states. Examples: Bulgaria is partnered with Tennessee; Michigan with Latvia. (See next page for your state.) Foreign troops are here on our soil and people don’t know it!

10 USC 341: (a) The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, is authorized to establish a program of activities ... to support the security cooperation objectives of the United States, between members of the National Guard of a State or territory and ...: (A) The military forces of a foreign country.... (B) [foreign] security forces...

That’s gibberish language. The NGB thing is shocking.

National Guard Bureau, State Partnerships for Peace

Here is something to worry about. The "Partnership for Peace" program began 30 years ago, but the American people are unaware of it. Each of the 50 states has a partner, usually a third world country. Per a government website mil.wa.gov, it:

“evolved from a 1991 U.S. European Command decision to set up the Joint Contact Team Program in the Baltic Region with Reserve component Soldiers and Airmen. A subsequent National Guard Bureau proposal paired U.S. states with three nations emerging from the former Soviet Bloc and the SPP was born ... facilitating cooperation across all aspects of international civil-military affairs. encouraging people-to-people ties.”

The purpose may be to have soldiers here who would not feel constrained if told to crack down on the population. In the Katrina crisis, Blackwater mercenaries seized people's guns.

These were partnerships as of 2015. Find your state:

AL/Romania. AK/Mongolia. AZ/Kazakhstan. CA/Ukraine. CO/Jordan. CT/Uruguay. DE/Trinidad. FL/Venezuela. GA/Georgia. HI/Indonesia. ID/Cambodia. IL/Poland. IN/Colombia. IO/Kosovo. KS/Armenia. KY/Ecuador. LA/Bolivia. ME/Montenegro. MD/Estonia. MA/Paraguay. MI/Latvia. MN/Croatia. MS/Belize. MO/Panama. MT/Kyrgyzstan. NB/Czech Republic. NV/Turkmenistan.

NH/El Salvador. NJ/Albania. NM/Costa Rica. NY/South Africa. NC/Moldova. ND/Ghana. OH/Hungary. OK/Azerbaijan. OR/Bangladesh. PA/Lithuania. RI/Bahamas. SC/Slovakia. SD/Surinam. TN/Bulgaria. TX/Chile. UT/Morocco. VT/Senegal. VA/Tajikistan. WA/Thailand. WV/Peru. WI/Nicaragua. WY/Tunisia.

PART SECOND -- The Private Militias

But can you have a *private* Militia? Yes, you can. You can start one today in your suburb. To repeat the Second Amendment: **“A well-regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state,** the right of the People to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed.” The term “well-regulated” in those days meant “working properly,” rather than “tightly bossed.” But there is a limitation on clothing for militiamen:

10 USC 771: Except as otherwise provided by law, no person except a member of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps, as the case may be, may wear... (2) a uniform any part of which is similar to a distinctive part of the uniform of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps.

The “right of the People to keep and bear Arms” is construed by most Americans to mean “you can’t take my gun away,” but much more poignantly it means that *citizens are responsible* for the defense of their free state. In October 2005, I asked Gov Charlie Baker to defend our freedom against some illegal FBI doings. (See my YouTube video “Massachusetts Governor, please arrest the FBI.”) But we know he wouldn’t dream of it.

People have long forgotten the flavor of this country’s early days, where it was patriotic to look upon “government” as a potential enemy. It is unfortunate that the aspect of the Second Amendment which gets the most chat is *personal* self-defense. See the ruling in *District of Columbia v Heller* (2008), in which the US Supreme Court, 5-4, defended the personal-use concept, though not at the expense of the militia concept.

Consider, also, what was said in Chapter 12 about the role of a sheriff who is answerable to voters who voted for him in county elections.

Chapter 21. Stolen Election, Mules, the January 6th Affair



(L) Sidney Powell, Photo: [theguardian.org](https://www.theguardian.org) (C) Mike Lindell, Photo: [poynter.org](https://www.poynter.org) (R) Dinesh D'Souza (jailed for over-donating to a candidate)

Some Texas citizens, led by Catherine Engelbrecht, formed a voter-integrity group called "True The Vote." They were able to use high technology to determine that the federal election of November 3, 2020, was rigged. Dinesh D'Souza and Salem Media made a movie about this called "2000 Mules."

Many of us already knew the vote was rigged, thanks to testimony given by poll workers and others. We saw their testimony on YouTube, but soon it was taken down. This alone is more than enough indication of malfeasance. The censorship of media will be discussed in Chapter 27 below.

The True The Vote investigation had to do with mail-in ballots. I will describe it very briefly. Covid was abroad and that was an excuse for some states to issue rules (usually not put to the legislatures) allegedly to help people vote if they were unwilling or unable to enter a polling booth. But it gave a chance for a great many blank forms to be sent out without a check on the voter's current residence or qualifications.

Significantly this happened most in Democrat areas. Here was also a new set-up: various non-profits or charities were the venue for incoming ballots. D'Souza says such charities are run by George Soros and Warren Buffet. He says mules (couriers)

gathered the ballots there and dropped them into an authorized Drop-box which had surveillance cameras.

Using cell-phone tracking, it could be seen that some persons -- more than 2,000 of them in the five controversial states -- were visiting both the non-profits and the Drop-boxes. Not once or twice but typically ten times. The couriers wore surgical gloves, as we can see from the surveillance cameras. All very cloak and dagger -- many visits being after midnight.

I'll now switch away from the Mules movie, as it's also very easy for votes to be tampered with electronically at the polling stations. A lawyer, Sidney Powell, author of the book *Licensed To Lie*, about the DoJ (Department of Justice), received information that software companies, such as Dominion, had programmed voting machines to "weigh" votes or alter them.

Powell was sued for a billion dollars for defaming Dominion. The media made her look like an idiot and a cheat. Dominion did, however, drop the suit after that damage was done. The Powers That Be haven't yet killed Sidney, but I believe they killed the Collier brothers, authors of the 1992 book *Votescam*.

Jim Collier found out in the 1970s that when TV stations announce the inners on election night, they are obtaining the figures not from the states but from a private consortium of media folk, the NES -- News Election Service. It was made up of the three networks -- ABC, CBS, and NBC plus the two press leaders, AP and UPI. Later, CNN and Fox News were added. Since 2002 it has been called the News Election Pool.

Collier went to all possible takers with his findings of fraud committed by the League of Women Voters who helped put the figures together. No takers. He then "called the police". I mean he wrote to the attorney general. (William Barr, oops -- who later was AG for Trump and said "2020 was honest.") Came the reply:

"Your recent letter addressed to Attorney General William Barr and enclosing the manuscript of a document entitled "Votescam" prepared by Kenneth and James Collier has been referred to the Criminal Division. [We are] familiar with the Collier brothers and their claims that computerized voting equipment used throughout the United States to tabulate votes has been fraudulently manipulated as part of a national conspiracy to corrupt the outcome of elections. The information that we have received from these two complainants has failed to demonstrate any support for their thesis. [It does not] warrant a criminal investigation by the Department of Justice.... [Signed] John C. Keeney"

Jim Collier Fights. Jim Collier, a true hero, did not take such nonsense lying down. He wrote, on May 20, 1992, to Assistant Attorney General Robert Mueller (who was later the FBI Director, starting shortly before September 11, 2001 and ending just after the 2013 Boston Marathon bombing).

"Dear Robert Mueller, Your letter is signed by John C. Keeney and not by you. ... I find that significant. You see, John C. Keeney is a name I know well. John C. Keeney's name runs the length of our investigation into vote fraud. It is John C. Keeney's name that appears on all documents protecting perpetrators. ... Keeney knows this story very well. He knows that Donsanto refused to see the Votescam videotape we shot in Miami, in which the League of Women Voters were punching holes in vote cards....

"John C. Keeney knows that the Printomatic device is used extensively to rig elections.... He allows that to happen. He knows that a network vote counting cartel can change the computer vote count in 60% of this nation without detection. His letter states that the information he has 'failed to demonstrate any support' for our thesis. Well, Mr. Mueller... A score of private companies ... infiltrate American cities without public knowledge and count the vote on their private machines.

"The people who run these companies could be paid to rig any election local ... state, or federal, and John C. Keeney is protecting their identity.... Sincerely, James M. Collier"

This moves me to quote from Attorney General Eric Holder's speech at the memorial service for John C Keeney in 2012, as retrieved from the website Justice.gov:

"Over the course of nearly seven decades ... Mr. Keeney dedicated himself to the service of others, and to enriching and strengthening this country. He was well known for his goodness and kindness. He was widely admired for his integrity and many talents. [He helped] to solve complex problems, and confront the most demanding ... challenges.

"As every person in this room knows – Mr. Keeney didn't just set a fine example. **He set the standard. He was a model of professionalism – and effective leadership. He helped shape,** not only the work of the Criminal Division, but also **the course of this entire Department...."** [Emphasis added]

The January 6, 2021 Affair

As to who started the invasion of the Capitol building on January 6, 2021, I deduce it was not President Trump. I am no Trump supporter, but it was easy to see -- even before we got the Mules data -- that some forces were determined to insert Joe Biden into the White House, by whatever means.

The defacing of the building was obviously a stunt for show, as it was quite possible to walk up the stairs. On video we saw Capitol police open the doors for the crowd and make no sign of treating them as intruders. As a Republican, I get a few emails daily asking me to be part of the team but I certainly did not see any email asking us to participate in a January 6 assault. No normal Republican would have put up with such a thing.

Thanks to the alternative press, we learned in 2022 that the many people who were arrested were kept in prison for more than six months, in bad conditions, of course -- and then

offered a plea bargain -- "Sign that you plead guilty and we sentence you to time served." You would want to go home, wouldn't you, to your job and your family? So most signed, but this meant the media could say "He admitted guilt."

As for blaming the president, I too would be aghast if he, or any official, "stormed the Capitol." But he did not do that. Yet the House of Reps impeached him.



In January 2021, 'House Manager' Adam Schiff and other Congresspersons solemnly carry the Articles of Impeachment of President Trump from the House to the Senate (Photo: Jose Luis Magana, Pool, AP)

ARTICLE I: INCITEMENT OF INSURRECTION

...Donald John Trump engaged in high Crimes and Misdemeanors by inciting violence against the Government of the United States, in that: On January 6, 2021... the House of Representatives, and the Senate met at the United States Capitol for a Joint Session of Congress to count the votes of the Electoral College. In the months preceding the Joint Session, President Trump repeatedly issued false statements asserting that the Presidential election results were the product of widespread fraud and should not be accepted by the American people or certified by State or Federal officials. Shortly before the Joint Session commenced, President Trump, addressed a crowd at the Ellipse in Washington, DC. There, he reiterated false claims that "we won this election, and we won it by a landslide". He

also willfully made statements that, in context, encouraged—and foreseeably resulted in—lawless action at the Capitol, such as: “if you don’t fight like hell you’re not going to have a country anymore”. ...

Wherefore, Donald John Trump ... has acted in a manner grossly incompatible with self-governance and the rule of law. [Note: The Senate did not convict, so the process failed.]

Oddly, no one (but myself) has stated that the fracas worked in favor of Biden. The electoral votes were to be opened and counted on January 6 around one o'clock, pm. States had certified them and this was the last chance to challenge it, per the 12th Amendment. The day before, 139 Representatives said they would do that. The states were called alphabetically. Alabama and Alaska passed OK. Then Arizona got challenged. At that moment, on January 6, 2021, the invaders arrived.

The 535 legislators were told to go back to their offices. They resumed their joint session at 8pm but by now were probably traumatized (unless they were in on the Invasion?) and the rest of the states in the alphabet went through without any fuss.

Because the Constitution did provide that opportunity for the election to be questioned, and Congress dropped the ball, I say that Biden's accession to the White House is legal. Some have claimed that any work Biden has done as president is null. No, that's why we have a good constitution -- so there is never a gap in authority. If need be, Biden can be removed from office now by legal means. Use of military force would harm the Constitution, our most valuable heritage and protector.

Update: On June 6, 2022, the DoJ announced that Michael Myers has pleaded guilty to fraudulently stuffing ballot boxes for specific Democratic candidates in the 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 Pennsylvania elections. Yet the MSM has not reported this to us. Does that tell you all you need to know?

Chapter 22: Good Members of Professions Can Speak



Three ethical professionals: (L) a physician, Rand Paul, Photo: Inside Edition (C) a journalist, Hanna Herland Photo: theherlandreport.com (R) a teacher, the late John Gatto, Photo: grauerschool.com.

After release of the 2000 *Mules* movie, there is discussion of how to correct the immediate problem, such as by getting rid of electronic voting, or guarding the chain of custody of the ballots. But much more needs to be happen, to get us out of the fix we are in. This article will focus on the professions.

In 2004, at the age of 91, Jane Jacobs published *Dark Age Ahead*. She pointed out that if society collapses, many of our skills will be lost. It's not enough for them to be described in books, much teaching occurs by mentorship and observation. Jacobs was aghast, 30 years ago, at the decline in the ethics of professions, including the publication of fraudulent science. She urged us all to restore professional ethics. I agree 100%.

Professions' Guilty Reaction to the Mules Movie

Within each profession, demand for loyalty tends to be very strong. It's simply understood that you don't engage in public criticism of a colleague, much less question the good intentions of the profession itself. Whistleblowers are ostracized. But let's pretend we have a breast-beater standing by, from each of the following ten professions: police, academics, physicians, clergy, lawyers, scientists, city planners, journalists, judges, and school-teachers. Each will tell his story:

Police. People love me because I look big and strong in my uniform. Everyone knows I'll rescue a damsel in distress. Um. Maybe not, though. We get told to go to the homes of kids whose mom takes good care of them but where a judge wants the kids to go to the dad who sexually abuses them. I think we cops should all call in sick when this happens. Some of my buddies say we should go to the judges' homes and take them away instead. I guess if I did that I would feel really, really big. I think the problem, for most of us cops, is that we are trained at the Academy to refrain from arresting any criminal who waves a VIP card at us. Yet everyone is supposedly under the law. I have a Taser and I can dream, can't I?

Academics. The tenure system stinks. After 7 years of being a low-paid assistant professor you might get a full-professorship with tenure if they've unequivocally discerned your obsequiousness. Wait -- if no faculty member is speaking out about horrendous things, how will the young find out how to do it? Where is society supposed to get its intellectual geniuses? Who is guarding the tradition of higher learning? Why are the library books by David Hume and Tom Paine and Socrates gathering dust? As for my own manuscripts, the university press's editor would not even open the envelope -- I wasted the postage sending it. Oh well, I will start a YouTube channel and lecture to whomever. I will get it translated into Chinese, too. Thousands of years of academics' work, since the Library of Alexandria, shouldn't be discarded.

Physicians. As a member of the medical profession, I was shocked to see that only 5% or less of our members stood up against the wholly illegal and unethical behavior of such entities as the CDC and FDA during the pandemic. I am mortified that some doctors "sack" from their practice those families that decline to have their children vaccinated for fear of autism. While reading Mary Maxwell's book *Consider the Lilies*, I cringed at how many cancer cures are available but we are forbidden to use. I think the problem is that the Invaders bribed our state legislatures to make the AMA's preferred treatment the "best

practices," to which we must kowtow or lose our license. Hey, we can put a stop to this nonsense.

Clergy. I used to like the song *What a Friend I Have in Jesus*. It's very touching. "Have you trials and temptations? Is there trouble anywhere? We should never be discouraged. Take it to the Lord in prayer." Hmm. Is there trouble anywhere? Reportedly we are about to be starved to death. Should I tell my congregation to take that to the Lord in prayer? Pardon me, Lord, but what's Your track record on helping the starving, other than multiplying the loaves and fishes? I bet You'd prefer these lyrics: "What to do when your neighbors are starving, Or if it's water that we lack? God in Heaven will be delighted, if you take your own initiative back." By the way, when a parishioner comes to me looking for ideas nowadays, I whip out Revelation 13:7 "Then the beast was permitted to wage war against the saints." Personally, I'm declaring war against the beast. Take up a weapon and join me.

Lawyers. I guess you know why there are lawyer jokes such as "What's black and brown and looks good on a lawyer? A Doberman pinscher." Or "The divorce was easy. His lawyer got the house and my lawyer got the condo and the two cars." We deserve jokes way worse than that. We basically have twisted the law 180 degrees. See the trial of Jahar Tsarnaev, per Mary Maxwell's book *Boston's Marathon Bombing*. The accused (now anticipating the fry pan) was a pothead, age 19, not a terrorist. The Public Defender told the jury "It was him." Did she cross-examine the flagrantly perjurious witnesses? Nope. I will lobby for Public Defenders to get paid only when they win the case. I'll curtail the SAMs, Special Administrative Measures, that have kept Jahar incommunicado for nine years. Can't people see through those tricks? By the way the ABA is as crim as you can get.

Scientists. You know what? When my Dad was a scientist, around 1960, if you got caught fudging data, you were disgraced for the rest of your life. People would cross the street

to avoid having to walk past you. Comedians made jokes about your Pinocchio-type proboscis. It was well established that science was a search for truth by observing the facts of life. But now that I am a practicing laboratory scientist, the boss tells us to fudge away! A particular outcome is desired, and the ends justify the means. Huh? I want to de-federalize all funding for research. The feds dish out grants for the outcomes they want and prevent new insights from arising. Dad also said in his day scientific findings were open, not "proprietary." We need to get back to that; it's crazy not to.

City Councilors. Why did I bother to run for local office? It was quite an effort to win a seat, but I hoped it would bring me power. I see things in my district that could be improved, be it a playground or garbage pick-up. There are only six of us on the council, but wouldn't you know it, three of them, possibly four, are stooges. They campaigned as "your dear neighbor" but it was the Powers That Be who recruited them. I mean developers. They sit there and vote for denser zoning if it suits the companies that grease their palms. They sell off our district's assets, such as the library. I have pounded my fist on the desk during meetings, but my opponents look completely unmoved, like zombies. My wife says they're hypnotized. I will insist that each candidate for office swear under penalty of perjury whom he works for. We should do that for police and judges too; they fraternize as Freemasons. No bloke can serve two masters, right?

Journalists. Hey, ever wonder why the TV newscaster on each channel says the same thing? They get instructions and cannot deviate other than by wearing a different color dress or tie. Quel deviation! They're ordered to greet each other the same way. "Good morning, James. What can you tell us from the stock market today?" I'd barf if they make me do that, but I'm a print journalist so no need to greet anyone. Still if I write something colorful, they edit it out. My talent means nothing. The public never gets to benefit from my History knowledge. They don't even know I'm a justice warrior, unless they can

read between the lines. Face it, I'm a colossal failure. I must chuck it in. Maybe I could join a truth group. I hear Rumble has made a pledge about truth, and they are at least broadcasting "2000 Mules". My other option is suicide.

Judges. I was very gratified to read the book by Sherman Skolnick one of the first law activists to make use of the TV time that is mandated for citizen broadcasting. (Yes and it's free!) Sherman ran the "Committee To Clean Up the Courts." Being self-taught in law, he had a better sense of law compared to what law students learn in law school. What you learn there is how to cross your t's and dot your i's. Perhaps you'd be given some lectures in Equity, but using Equity will never be allowed by the firm that employs you. See? Anyway, Skolnick recounted the tale of a good judge who phoned him in tears, to tell how he was being ostracized by other judges for doing the right thing. I'd like there to be a Skolnick we could spill our beans to. Mary Maxwell hints about it in her books *Prosecution for Treason* and *Fraud Upon the Court*.

Schoolteachers. Persons under 50 years of age today do not even know of the great respect that each schoolteacher could muster. Or that many female teachers stayed unmarried because their devotion to pupils was maternity enough. I'm 82. I recall that it was around 1990 that I started to feel distressed while driving to the local high school each morning, and that was even before we started to have police patrols in the hall. Unfortunately, I didn't find Charlotte Iserbyt's book *The Dumbing Down of America* till after I retired. It was painful to hear that we teachers got manipulated into teaching math wrongly, and to train kids NOT to think. In recent years the teachers' unions have been the bullies of teachers, and at PTA meetings parents get bullied! They say the feds will only finance states that use "national standards" for teaching. This lunacy has got to stop. I say, move to Fiji with your kids. Or just homeschool them.

Thank you, ten professionals. Now please do something about it.

Chapter 23. Developing a Bioweapon Is a Federal Crime



Dr Walter Orenstein of the CDC (Center for Disease Control) gets an-honorary degree from Wake Forest University, Photo: wfu.edu

Please read through this chapter even if bioweaponry is not your cup of tea. Part Four's theme is that **enforcing the law is going to depend on us**. That includes the law against genocide and laws that criminalize possession or use of bioweapons. For a law that was last updated in 1990, see 18 USC 175:

“(a) Whoever knowingly develops, produces, stockpiles, transfers, acquires, retains, or possesses any biological agent, toxin, or delivery system for use as a weapon, or **knowingly assists a foreign state or any organization** to do so, or attempts, threatens, or conspires to do the same, shall be fined under this title or **imprisoned for life** or any term of years, or both (b) Whoever knowingly **possesses** any biological agent, toxin, [etc.] that ... is not reasonably justified by ... peaceful purpose, shall be fined ... imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both.”
[Emphasis added]

Camp Detrick, Maryland, was officially set up in 1943 as the US's research location for *bioweapons*. A bioweapon is any method of hitting an enemy by making him get sick or by harming the food supply. I'll pass over the *chemical* weapons that aim at biological processes, such as or defoliating trees, which is what we did with Agent Orange during the Vietnam War. In 1969 President Nixon had declared that we will no longer make

offensive bioweapons, yet the US military has admitted having weaponized anthrax, botulism, brucellosis, and Venezuelan equine encephalitis. In 2012, I downloaded from the Internet an article entitled **“Biological Warfare Cutaneous Manifestations.”** It was written by military men at af.mil but stated that it was not official: Thomas W. McGovern, MD, MAJ, MC, and George Christopher, LTC, USAF. They wrote:

“Panic would result as medical capabilities are quickly overwhelmed BW attacks would most likely occur late at night or early in the morning At these times, atmospheric temperature inversions would allow **an agent cloud to travel at low altitude to cover its target.** More unusual methods of dispersion could include releasing agents in their natural **arthropod vectors.** Person-to-person transmission of several agents ... could perpetuate an epidemic....” [Tularemia] starts with sudden onset of fever, chills....”

Covid-19 disease was possibly brought into existence in a laboratory rather than being a spontaneous creature of nature. 2008 Nobel Prize winner Luc Montagnier says the 2020 coronavirus contains bits that require high technology to produce.

Any such theory should have been aired widely, and debunked if appropriate. Instead, on March 26, 2020, Dr Francis Collins at the National Institutes of Health, reacted to Montagnier’s idea informally. Collins wrote at directorsblog.nih.gov:

“Some folks are making outrageous claims that the new coronavirus causing the pandemic was engineered in a lab and deliberately released....”

A Nobel Prize winner is not “some folks.” And it’s wrong to call a claim of bioweaponry “outrageous.” What if an enemy had produced Covid-19 to harm us, what a discovery that would be! And if engineering of the virus was done for domestic mischief, that would easily rise to a charge of treason.

Why Isn't the Problem of Bioweaponry Acted Upon?

Of course, there's no reason why regular government prosecutors and impartial judges can't do the job. Indeed, they did it in the past. But they don't do it now, so we must act. There is no reason why a medical journal can't invite responses to Luc Montagnier's claim. Journals worked that way in the past, in fact it was science's bread-and-butter, and was often thrilling.

The radical changes in practice of medicine, journalism, law, and academia need to be addressed head-on, but there is not room in this book. So let's just concentrate on the fact that there is a federal US law against bioweapons. When a law exists, it should be enforced. (Or repealed for some reason.)

Note: the FBI determined that anthrax powder menacingly mailed to Senators Daschle and Leahy in September 2001 was made by a "rogue agent" at Ft Detrick. The rogue could be charged with possession of a bioweapon, and maybe with obstructing government -- Congress closed down that week due to danger, which meant no debate about the Patriot Act would take place (ahem, ahem, cough, cough).

Autism, Orenstein, and the Simpsonwood Conference

Dr Richard Day said in 1969 "There will be new diseases that will be untreatable for a long time." Undoubtedly autism is one such disease. A few autism cases were reported in 1943 at Johns Hopkins Hospital. Suddenly, in the late 1980s, the rate went up and soon one boy in 66 was diagnosed with it. My late husband, a pediatrician, was puzzled, as he knew that a disease cannot emerge ubiquitously. The public still does not know that doctors who found a cure were harassed (such as Rashid Buttar) or killed (such as Jeff Bradstreet). An extreme scandal.

There is immense evidence pointing to the measles, mumps, rubella shot, the MMR, as the culprit. You have only to read how the excellent Dr Andrew Wakefield was treated by the UK licensing board, and the medical journal the *Lancet*, and the media, to know that something sinister was taking place.

My 2013 Open Letter to the Privy Council discusses Ornstein:

To the Lords of the Judicial Committee of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth's Most Honourable Privy Council,

I respectfully ask for your attention to an urgent, very urgent, matter. The matter arose last month when Mrs Justice Theis of the Family Division of the High Court ruled, surprisingly, that two sisters, age 11 and 15, must be given the MMR vaccination (measles, mumps, and rubella) against their mother's wishes. The urgency to which I refer is not based on any danger to those two girls. They are past the age at which MMR has allegedly caused developmental problems. I refer, rather, to the way we seem to be bounding down the slippery slope to a point where law and reasoning simply disappear....

Salon.com's critical report of the Simpsonwood conference, held in the US by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 2000 **quoted someone at Simpsonwood saying: "It's what Walt wants."** The word "it" there refers to a certain **interpretation of the results of research into thimerosal.** "Walt" refers to CDC official Walter A Orenstein, MD.

In other words, it was said that researchers were asked to find that thimerosal does not cause autism. One would be curious about anyone wanting a finding that such-and-such a substance doesn't cause autism. What if the substance did cause autism - - should we deduce that someone wants to withhold this knowledge in order that more children would receive the substance and come down with autism?

The new ruling about the 11 and 15-year-old sisters is sad. (Was the case set up to engender feelings of powerlessness? It's pretty odd that a father would go to court to demand that his daughters be vaccinated.) The courts are being used. These outrages must stop. Law is precious and law has got to have at least something to do with truth and reality. [Emphasis added]

Chapter 24. Military Commissions, AUMF, Martial Law



(L) *Gitmo, where the US does God knows what, Photo: military times.com* (R) *Jose Padilla subjected to sensory deprivation, even when on a trip to the dentist. He sued John Yoo but lost. Photo: NY Times*

Is martial constitutional? Yes. Article I, sec 9, says:

“The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when **in cases of Rebellion or Invasion** the public Safety may require it.” [Emphasis added]

The point of our beloved habeas corpus is that you can’t be thrown into jail for anything but suspected crime. So, you *can* be thrown into jail when that writ is revoked. See? It's this section in the Constitution that legitimates the use of martial law. The word *martial* means military, so it is rule by commanders. They will tell you what to do and you are legally bound to obey.

Military commanders won’t get a warrant from a court and thus the Fourth Amendment, like habeas corpus, will be effectively suspended. In 1942, FDR wrote: “I further authorize and direct the Secretary of War and the said military commanders **to take such other steps** as they may deem advisable....” [Scary!]

In 1942, 100,000 Japanese-Americans, most of them US citizens, were removed from their homes and interned in camps. (And their property, including businesses, was seized!) FDR acted as if he thought such persons were a threat to the US during World War II. He wrote Executive Order 9066 (with

Congress's agreement) to make it a crime for Japanese Americans to go outdoors in the three west coast states. One man, Fred Korematsu ventured out.

Korematsu, having been convicted in 1942 of a crime for disobeying martial law (he went outside after curfew), went to court in 1984 to get a retroactive acquittal. Hidden papers had revealed that the State Department knew the Japanese Americans were no threat, so his house arrest had been unjustified. He petitioned for a Writ of Error Coram Nobis. This is a writ that must be sent back to the original court for a new look.

Korematsu won in 1984, but only on the basis of the Brady rule -- that the prosecutor had not supplied the exculpatory evidence that was on file, of the Japanese people's harmlessness. Notice the words used by the judge, Marilyn Patel, who gave Korematsu his wish. The conclusion of her judgement says:

“Thus the Supreme Court’s [1942] **decision stands for the law of this case** and whatever precedential value it may have.”

I take that to mean that Patel did not create any jurisprudence that would find martial law itself to be wrong; Korematsu's victory was personal to him. *Note:* The Writ of Error Corm Nobis is a beautiful tribute to our British legacy of belief in justice. Courts must not be defrauded (not even by the judge himself). The ancient writs were grandfathered in by Congress. At the Philadelphia Convention in 1787, one of the delegates, George Mason, insisted that the writ of habeas corpus be expressly included in our Constitution or else he wouldn't sign.

The Rumor about Dominion Software and Gina HaspellIt is now understood that the 2020 presidential election was stolen; there is much evidence that Trump, not Biden, garnered the needed 270 Electoral votes. The states with controversial counts include Arizona, Georgia, Pennsylvania, and Michigan. Part of the problem was attributed to software. For instance, the software allowed weighted voting. Thus, a vote coming in for one of the candidates might be give only 75% of its worth.

A story circulated that the CIA office in Frankfurt, Germany was involved, and that the US Army 305th battalion had gone in and grabbed from that office the crucial proof of cheating via Dominion software. General Mike Flynn may have been the instigator. Supposedly, the Army captured CIA Director Gina Haspel there and personally intimidated her to cooperate. How can we know if this story is true or false? It's plausible, but I cannot offer any proof. As President Trump still held office for another fortnight, he should have acted presidential and ordered the arrest of anyone who had thrown the election.

Rumor said that Gina Haspel was to be taken to Gitmo for a military trial, or something like that. I want to cover the subject of military commissions, in order to exhaust the list of things that can be done legally when our nation is in trouble.

Military Commissions. Two questions: can the president of the US can legally instruct the military to US grab citizens, render them overseas to “black sites” and interrogate them as enemy combatants? And, if tried by a “military tribunal,” can a civilian be executed?

Four laws and three court cases are relevant. The laws are: the AUMF of 2001, the Military Commissions Act of 2009, the NDAA (National Defense Authorization Act) of 2012, and the criminal law of treason. The court cases are: Hamdi of 2004, Hamdan of 2006, and Ex parte Quirin of 1942.

Jurisdiction: Who has the authority to “bring to justice” persons who can be shown to have betrayed the nation? Indeed who has jurisdiction, to arrest any American who played a part on September 11, 2001 in attacking the WTC or the Pentagon?

Punishing the 9-11 Miscreants. On September 14, 2001, a mere three days after Americans were stunned by the destruction of the World Trade Center, President Bush asked for, and got, a joint resolution from Congress, enacted as follows:

“That the President is authorized to use all necessary and appropriate force against those nations, organizations, or persons he determines planned, authorized, committed, or aided the terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001, or harbored such organizations or persons, in order to prevent any future acts of international terrorism against the United States by such nations, organizations or persons.”

That is known as the “AUMF” – the Authorization for the Use of Military Force. It has a sunset clause but every year – 19 times so far — Congress has renewed it. Ahem, but Congress is notably lax in enforcing Article, sec 8 of the United States Constitution that gives the legislature exclusive authority to declare war. In plain English, the AUMF authorizes a *presidential* move against any person “he determines” to be a Nine-Elevener, including a US citizen, with no further due process needed. He can “use force.” (In actuality, though, the DoJ, Department of Justice, is more likely to employ the normal judicial system to try such persons if they are Americans.)

Enemy Combatants. Normally you would think that a US citizen cannot be removed from the country to be interrogated (or tortured) at a black site. **But a civilian can be dealt with as an “enemy combatant”** if he is fighting against the US (per Hamdi; see below). What's to prevent his being yanked abroad?

Note: we are not talking about court-martialing under the UCMJ – the United Code of Military Justice. That is a domestic law in the US that applies only to members of the US military, not civilians. *The UCMJ* came about via Congress' power in Article I, section 8, clause 14 “To make rules for the Government and Regulation of the land and naval Forces.”

Hamdi v Rumsfeld, 2004. Hamdi was a US citizen captured in Afghanistan and accused of aiding the enemy. SCOTUS ruled that a US citizen *can* be designated an enemy combatant. Under the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005, the decision as to

whether he is a combatant can be made by an Executive Branch's Combat Status Review Tribunal – a CSRT. Hamdi agreed to be freed at the expense of forfeiting his US citizenship. Another US citizen, Jose Padilla, was included in Hamdi's case; his mistreatment is an extreme disgrace to the Constitution.

Hamdan v Rumsfeld, 2006. A man with a similar name, Hamdan, was not a US citizen. Nevertheless, he sought access to American courts from his location at Gitmo. The Supreme Court decided to give detainees at least some rights. One of the court's decisions was that a president cannot set up military commissions without legislation for it. Congress the obligingly enacted the Military Commissions Act, the **MCA** in 2006.

The NDAA. Per Article I, sec 9, federal expenses must be appropriated by Congress. Each Congress passes a new NDAA, National Defense Authorization Act. The 2012 Act, signed by President Obama, provides for *indefinite detention* of enemy combatants, whether or not caught on the battlefield.

Ex Parte Quirin, 1942. In 1942, the US president, FDR, used a military tribunal to convict six German saboteurs. That is to say, the decision of a justice matter, normally held in a court, was taken by the executive branch. The saboteurs were enemies of the US, as Germany and the US were at war. On the battlefield, the president could order them killed. But did he have the right to “tribunalize” the captives in the US? The US Supreme Court in *Ex Parte Quirin*, 1942, held:

“there is a class of unlawful belligerents not entitled to [POW] privilege... And by Article 15 of the Articles of War Congress has made provision for their trial and punishment by military commission, according to ‘the law of war’.”

Note: *Quirin* has never been specifically overruled. FDR on his own initiative ran a tribunal that tried and indeed executed six German saboteurs. No US citizens were involved, however.

Treason. The Constitution's Article III, section 3 gave the legislature the right to declare the punishment for treason, Congress came up with the death penalty for some cases:

“Whoever, **owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort** within the United States or elsewhere, is guilty of treason and **shall suffer death**, or shall be imprisoned not less than five years and fined under this title but not less than \$10,000; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United States.” -- 18 USC 2381 [Emphasis added]

This law is almost never used, no matter how obvious the treachery of some Americans may be. Note: The domestic War Crimes Act similarly goes begging. See its easy-to-qualify-for provisions at 18 USC 2441. Presumably there is a blockage in the DOJ, in the Attorney General's office that makes it “impossible” to prosecute war crimes, genocide, and treason!

The War Between the States. A relevant historical “precedent” is one from the Civil War. President Lincoln dealt with the Confederates, who were attacking the nation, as “rebels.” On April 27, 1861, he suppressed the right of habeas corpus for rebels allowing them to be held indefinitely without charge.

Oops, on what grounds can a president declare martial law? The answer is *he* cannot declare martial law. It's up to Congress to do that. Says who? Says the fact that it appears in Article I and thus we can deduce that it is a power of the legislature

Long story short: the AUMF covers presidential use of force against “persons he determines planned, authorized, committed, or aided the terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001” (Ahem, ahem). The question of whether this includes US citizens was answered by the Hamdi ruling that a citizen can be an enemy combatant. (Ahem.)

Recap of Part Four: Help Teach Your Police and DA's

Chapter 19. There is a never-yet-judicially reviewed (by which I mean constitutionally *challenged*) National Emergencies Act of 1976. Congress shouldn't have agreed to butt out for 6 months. The 50 states are sovereign.

Chapter 20. Private militias are OK -- the Second Amendment guarantees it. (*Heller* ruling also says it works for personal self-defense.) National Guard Partners for Peace? No. A state could conceivably do it (but states don't run US foreign policy). A centralized, federalized use of the National Guard is forbidden.

Chapter 21. The practice of ballot-harvesting mules, as discovered by Engelbrecht's TrueTheVote group, was made into a movie by D'Souza. As discovered in the 1980s by Jim Collier, a group of the main TV networks formed News Election Service and had all candidates' votes counted in advance. The January 6 invasion of the Capitol benefited the Democrats, as it dislocated the plan to challenge electoral votes.

Chapter 22. Jane Jacobs noted the loss of professional ethics. A fictitious member each of ten professions told their story: police, academics, physicians, clergy, lawyers, scientists, city planners, journalists, judges, and schoolteachers. The indication was that individuals are displeased with their profession.

Chapter 23. A CDC conference at Simpsonwood to establish that thimiserol did not cause autism? Now there's the real violence. Any cop needing overtime, please attend.

Chapter 24. In *Hamdi*, SCOTUS ruled that a US citizen (if found by detainee review to be an Enemy Combatant) can be tried by a Military Commission. President Bush changed Padilla's indictment. As for martial law, the president can declare it, but Congress's permission is required per Article I, section 9. Despite what seemed like an anti-martial law ruling for the internment of Korematsu, the precedent of *Ex parte Quirin* stands. Under martial law, the military will tell you what to do.

PART FIVE: HOPE IS ABOUNDING -- BE ALERT FOR IT

Now therefore, while the youthful hue
Sits on thy skin like morning dew,
And while thy willing soul transpires
At every pore with instant fires,
... Let us roll all our strength and all
Our sweetness up into one ball,
And tear our pleasures with rough strife
Through the iron gates of life:
Thus, though we cannot make our sun
Stand still, yet we will make him run.

-- Andrew Marvell, *To His Coy Mistress*



Andrew Marvell, 1621-1678

Chapter 25: Discussing Parts One to Four of This Book



(L) Naomi Wolf of Outspoken, Photo: Observer New Review (R) Dead child on the streets of Kharkiv, Ukraine, during the Holodomor, 1933, Photo by Alexander Wienerberge, Diocesan Archive of Vienna

It's time now to take stock of what's been said in this book so far, and also to look for signs of hope. Admittedly the picture is not rosy.

Part One urged readers to "Stop Going Like Lambs to the Slaughter." I claimed that the Covid pandemic is easily proven to have been a "plandemic," judging merely by the 2014 booklet by the Rockefeller Foundation. which described it. Much more has come to light. Patents for the relevant vaccine were filed years ago. Michael Yeadon, a former CEO of Pfizer un the UK, found out that Pfizer and Moderna were colluding in the making of bad batches of their vaccines. Additionally, journalist Naomi Wolf stated on May 29, 2022, at lewrockwell.com:

"Pfizer (and thus the FDA) knew that the Moderna vaccine had 100 mcg of MRNA, lipid nanoparticles and spike protein, which was more than three times the 30 mcg of the adult Pfizer dose; the company's internal documents show a higher rate of adverse events with the 100 mcg dose, so they stopped experimenting with that amount but no one told all of the millions of Americans who all got the first and second 100 Moderna dose.

"Pfizer skewed the trial subjects so that almost 3/4 were female — a gender that is less prone to cardiac damage. Pfizer *lost* the records of what became of hundreds of their trial subjects. In the internal trials, there were over 42,000 adverse events, more than 1200 people died. Four, *died on the day they were injected*."

We are talking about major malice, and yet if we try to bring it to the public's attention we are rebuffed. As Naomi Wolf writes:

"Of course, people who have tried to raise any of these issues have been deplatformed, scolded by the President, called insane, and roundly punished. Athletes and college students and teenagers are collapsing on football and soccer fields [but] doctors wring their hands and express mystification."

In my younger days, you could have felt safe in the belief that the authorities were on guard to help you. What's more, you could count on major newspapers, such as *The Boston Globe*, to jump eagerly into the task of exposing the wrongdoers. So, what a vast change has occurred! Most of us now feel completely helpless -- and nervous.

In **Part Two**, I inventoried every peaceful, legal method I could think of whereby citizens can fight back. I urged "Stay Lawful, We've Got Workarounds." Luckily, these date from a time when it was considered normal to identify corruption as causing a blockage to justice. One could try these means:

- **citizen's arrest** for felonies that have been or are about to be committed (you must then ask police to take custody),
- **law of outlawry** for persons who are beyond the law (it is open season to kill them),
- the ability to **hold a person who has information** we need, per the Material Witness Act,
- the ability of citizens to **form a grand jury** to investigate and, if appropriate, indict any criminal,
- the **duty** of a cops and soldiers to **disobey illegal orders**
- the ordinary **law of self-defense**. If someone is about to kill

you or seriously injure you or a person close to you, you may use force, including lethal force, against them. --
your right to start a **truth commission** or committee,
-- your state's right to **nullify** an unconstitutional law,
-- **an association test** like colonists used to flush out traitors,
-- and your right to file a lawsuit, including a civil **RICO** suit.

There's also the maxim *Necessitas non habet legem* -- necessity knows no law. Surely there have been many times in history when law had to be flouted. Martin Luther's Protestant Reformation was one; he bravely opposed the corrupt practices of the Roman Church. Ms Rosa Parks besat herself on a bus in defiance of unjust Jim Crow laws of racial segregation.

Also, the UN General Assembly stood up against the USSR's frequent vetoing of Security Council plans; they boldly wrote a "Uniting for Peace Resolution." The French delegate said "My delegation felt ... that the draft resolution does not infringe upon the Security Council's competence, responsibilities or powers. **The Council should fulfill its role; if it does so it will be adequate... If, however, for some reason, it does not fulfill its role, the United Nations will not thereby be paralyzed.**" [Emphasis added]

Of the workarounds the most useful I think, is the citizen-led grand jury. There is no question of the people's duty to get an indictment of a criminal. Technically that job got taken away from "the people" but constitutionally it belongs to them. I can see that we would have to set up such a GJ without regard to a particular accused -- that would put the cart before the horse. As I have said, you can practise a moot court trial also, but not try an identified person. (GJ's operate secretly.)

Part Three, "Get Up on Your High Horse," laid it on even thicker than Part One in terms of exposing some outrages. The main case is 9-11, of which the official narrative is unsupportable. As for the planning of 57,000 American deaths in Vietnam, few have heard John Judges revelations. Even veterans

who know of it can't get ink. (Note: this was a genocide, for which there is good domestic law!)

I also went over my notes of polio's cure and the lack of a need for any dog to suffer distemper. I am well-schooled in the amazing suppression of cures, even for cancer. You can take that to the bank. See my *Consider the Lilies* book.

Part Three's shocker for those who never heard of Dr Day are the predictions he made in 1969. No fortune-teller, he; the plans were real and he got them from his boss, Rockefeller. Look at how similar will be the fate of the United States in 2022 compared to that of Ukraine 90 years ago in 1932.

From the *Encyclopedia Britannica's* article on the Holodomor:

"Rebellions worried Stalin because they were unfolding in provinces which had, a decade earlier, fought against the Red Army during the Russian Civil War. He was also concerned by anger and resistance to the state agricultural policy within the Ukrainian Communist Party. "If we don't make an effort now to improve the situation in Ukraine," he wrote to his colleague in August 1932, "we may lose Ukraine." [Hmm]

That autumn the Politburo, the elite leadership of the Soviet Communist Party, took a series of decisions that widened and deepened the famine in the Ukrainian countryside. Farms, villages, and whole towns in Ukraine were placed on blacklists and prevented from receiving food. Peasants were forbidden to leave the Ukrainian republic in search of food. ... The crisis reached its peak in the winter of 1932–33, when organized groups of police and communist apparatchiks ransacked the homes of peasants and took everything edible, from crops to personal food supplies to pets.

Between 1931 and 1934 at least 5 million people perished of hunger all across the U.S.S.R. Police archives contain multiple

descriptions of instances of cannibalism as well as lawlessness, theft, and lynching....The famine was accompanied by a broader assault on Ukrainian identity. While peasants were dying by the millions, agents of the Soviet secret police were targeting the Ukrainian political establishment and intelligentsia. The famine provided cover for a campaign of repression and persecution that was carried out against Ukrainian culture and Ukrainian religious leaders. Western journalists in Moscow were instructed not to write about it.

Thus, once again, **Part Four** tried to put this into a context by inspecting the US Constitution to see what legal rights a president has for using force, and what right the community has to organize a militia. Part Four was called "Try To Help Your Police and District Attorneys." (Recall, also the topic of Constitutional sheriffs and posse's, from Part One.)

Common sense tells us that if a president is up to no good, he won't feel bound by the niceties of the law. However, we mustn't act as if there is no law. That would kill us! I laid out the executive's prerogatives in relation to martial law, the calling up of the militia known as the state's national guard, and the use of a military commission to convict a US citizen if a detainee's board has found him to be an enemy combatant.

Part Four also discussed the law of Emergencies. That was a law that Congress foolishly passed in 1976. Its political use is that it sends federal money to a governors that claim a disaster. Its sinister use is that it "appears," as in the case of Covid, to inform the public that they must obey any decree from on high. Likewise we saw that during Hurricane Katrina, the residents of Ward 9 New Orleans simply got pushed around.

Part Four's "help your police and DA" theme included both the story of the 2020 election being stolen from Trump by the use of mules (paid for by charities), and the judicial approach to the January 6th "storming of the Capitol. After one month

so far of the *2000 Mules* movie, the authorities have by no means embraced the issue.

Nor has there been a decent non-partisan investigation into what happened on January 6, 2021. I'd like to know who planned it and to what extent did the police need to be briefed to stand down. Incidentally I'd like to hear from each of the 139 Representatives who planned to challenge certain states' electoral ballots. Did someone scare them out of it?

Finally, we also covered the use of the law against possession of a bioweapon, in this case with reference to my belief that the epidemic of autism was deliberately caused. Recall Dr Day said new diseases would emerge. How did he know? Recall he said a chip would be used to identify you. (He also said words would be changed in old literature including the Bible; this is now happening.) How did he know? The plan occurred early.

Judiciary. As for cracking down on persons with bioweapons, we again come to the barriers set up by the judicial system. The DoJ won't indict the bad guys -- they enjoy protection. If a case does make it to court. such as from a private lawsuit brought by a citizen, it will be dismissed in a technicality. The average person has no idea that these barriers exist.

The major barrier is 'standing' -- the courts say the individual citizen cannot act for all. How, then can a case get heard against government -- the two political branches won't bring it. As Louis Fisher points out in *Constitutional Dialogues*, SCOTUS can escape adjudicating by using the tactic of mootness. When Captain Nathan Smith sued to find out his duty to disobey an illegal law, the court waited till he was out of the Navy and then dismissed the case on mootness. Another tactic is ripeness.

When Rep Dellums and 52 other Congressman brought suit to oppose the coming 1991 war against Iraq, a district court dismissed it on ripeness, saying that there was still a chance to negotiate peace at Geneva. Dear Reader, are you angry?

Chapter 26: Cultural Contributions and Communism



God creates Eve; later she tempts Adam. Engraving 1765 by Scotin.

do something about them. Today (June 6, '22) Jon Rappoport published an article about Dads who work hard. I quote him:

"In all the coverage of the [Canadian Freedom] convoy, no one seemed to mention the fact that these truckers actually work for a living, relentlessly doing something hard every day. They aren't college students living off of loans, classes in which the professors instruct them on the latest woke causes.

"We're on the cusp of a New Age; robot machines taking over from human hands and brains. We'll gain automatic status as citizens provided for by the State. As the World Economic Forum proclaims, we'll own nothing and we'll be happy. There's the rub. Fathers who support their families actually own something. Something worth preserving. The government contract contains a clause which reads: "We give you survival, if and only if you behave."

"Let's have a thousand good fathers standing at the 50-yard line during the half-time show at the Super Bowl. No music. No bands. Just 80,000 fans in the stands cheering."

Philip Allott's *Eutopia*

Surely the happiest book on the market today is *Eutopia* by law professor and diplomat, Philip Allott. The subtitle is: *New Philosophy and New Law for a Troubled World*. Allott has been holed up in his room at Trinity College Cambridge for yonks (Australian for “many years”), sifting through the treasures of civilization to find how we can better our situation.

Start with the last three sentences in the book. Allott uses an odd numbering system which I will quote. This is at 12.100:

“Long-suffering human beings will laugh in the face of false fatalism. They will smile at the prospect of a new kind of human being, living in a new kind of human society ... with a new hope of human happiness. We have waited long enough.”

Now back to 7.62: “The world of human knowledge is a struggle between a human will to know and a human will to believe. [Today] both sides of that are out of control. We use knowledge to do whatever can be done, whatever its value in terms of human well-being. We believe anything that can be believed even if it threatens our capacity to survive and flourish as a species. We need to [fix this] now -- now before it is too late.” [Please absorb the last 5 words. It's almost too late!]

Next, at 3.71ff, Allott discusses good and evil:

The animal brain wills and acts “with a view to surviving and flourishing, individually and, in the case of social animals, collectively. That which serves that purpose is a good thing. That which harms that purpose is a bad thing”. However:

“(1) The response of religions and mythologies... in their complexity, not to say unreality, has made matters worse, so that an evolutionary instinct has been overwhelmed by the hopelessly confusing products of collective thinking. (2) The limitless di-

versity of the answers given, even within single systems of religion...has produced inter-sectarian conflict on this most important of human problems.”

7.26ff addresses moral and social accountability of science:

“The science world is a closed world dominated by three high values: success, utility and public benefit. [Those] are internal values within the scientific world...distinct from human beings in general. ... Science has overwhelmed human systems of moral and social and political accountability. Science does whatever it can... and there seems to be no way of stopping it.

... Some way must be found to subject the functional hegemony of science to human and social high values.... [It] must be another ... urgent focus for human self-examination....

Science benefits greatly from the support of industry and commerce and government. [Those] determine the choice of projects they support...They are intimately involved in grant-giving in] the science taking place in other institutions. There can now be very little pure disinterested scientific enquiry....

The products of science [may] contribute all the forms of evil that the ingenious [human] can invent, ranging from instruments of war to instruments of ultimate moral degradation.... Existing systems of moral and political accountability are hopelessly inadequate to judge and to regulate and to prevent the inhuman uses of the products of science.”

[Hold on!] At 736: “The new self-empowering of the human species over recent centuries should have been, and should now be, a new way to choose a better human future and to make a better human future.” A blurb on the back cover accurately says this book helps “overcome fatalism and inertia grounded in mistaken ideas of the limits of human power.”

Check worldcat.org to see which library near you has the book!

Don't Forget the Natural Gifts! An economy includes love and charity. Here is a biblical paean to “feminism” - - the old-fashioned kind. This is straight from *Proverbs* 31:10-31:

Who shall find a valiant woman? far and from the uttermost coasts is the price of her. The heart of her husband trusteth in her, and he shall have no need of spoils. She will render him good, and not evil, all the days of her life.

She hath sought wool and flax, and hath wrought by the counsel of her hands. She is like the merchant's ship, she bringeth her bread from afar. And she hath risen in the night, and given a prey to her household, and victuals to her maidens. She hath considered a field, and bought it: with the fruit of her hands she hath planted a vineyard.

She hath girded her loins with strength, and hath strengthened her arm. She hath tasted and seen that her traffic is good: her lamp shall not be put out in the night. She hath put out her hand to strong things, and her fingers have taken hold of the spindle.

She hath opened her hand to the needy. She shall not fear for her house in the cold of snow: for all her domestics are clothed with double garments. She hath made for herself clothing of tapestry: fine linen, and purple is her covering.

Her husband is honourable in the gates, when he sitteth among the senators of the land. She made fine linen, and sold it, and delivered a girdle to the Chanaanite. Strength and beauty are her clothing, and she shall laugh in the latter day. She hath opened her mouth to wisdom, and the law of clemency is on her tongue.

She hath looked well to the paths of her house, and hath not eaten her bread idle. Her children rose up, and called her blessed: her husband praised her. Many daughters have gathered riches: thou hast surpassed them all.

Favour is deceitful, and beauty is vain: the woman that feareth the Lord, she shall be praised.

What's with the New Communist Fashion in America? If you have not heard of the new leftism in our nation, you may be in for a surprise. You probably heard of the death of George Floyd, by way of police brutality, in 2020 and how it gave rise to Black Lives Matter. BLM then went on a rampage in some cities; the violent participants haven't "faced justice."

Anyone who is in a minority is good and everyone else is a racist. At the end of his wish that Good Dads would be celebrated at a Superbowl game, Jon Rappoport added:

"My God, we can't celebrate THAT. Oh no. It would be counter-productive. Of course, the word "productive" itself has been redefined. It now means a free lunch. The government contract contains a clause which reads: "We give you survival, if and only if you behave."

Ah, there's the connection! I think I've got it! "Somebody up there" (i.e., the cabal) wants us to go leftie and be fooled, as many were in the 20th century, into thinking that communism is on the side of the "folks." Indeed communism claims to be *against* the cabal. Remember the Marxist lingo about ownership of the means of production? That bad old bourgeoisie?

All right, let's check the record. Have you heard of McCarthyism? Senator Joe McCarthy of Wisconsin made every effort in the Senate to denounce the takeover of the State Dept by commies. Many were interested in what he had to say. It turns out he was right. But with the usual skill at smearing and ridiculing, his detractors successfully painted him paranoid and dishonest.

There are two stories to be told here. On is the academic one, which is having its resurgence in elite colleges at the moment. It portrays socialism as love-and-share (which I believe it is capable of being; humans are quite able to strive for equality). The other story is the revolutionary-conquest one. We think of it as having occurred in Russia in 1917, but historian Nesta Webster is sure it was present in 1789 at the French Revolution.

All the Communist Revolutions Were Managed

I offer the thesis that the push for One World Government is connected to communism. Naturally that sounds crazy as the US is the big pusher of globalism and it is business-oriented. But the big businesses are pushing for global monopolies, especially one banking system. The connection, apparently, is that globalism will put paid to any nation's sovereignty and this will give people nothing to lean on. It is an anti-society goal.

Recall that the 1789 French Revolution boasted "equality, liberty, fraternity," yet Robespierre established The Terror as if this were a perfectly acceptable way of ruling. By 1796 Abbe Barruel had written his *Memoirs of Jacobinism*. He traced the origin of the Revolution to Adam Weishaupt's illuminism of 1770 and back to secret societies of France and Germany.

Concerning an anti-Christ conspiracy, Barruel wrote:

"In the desires of a terrible and formidable sect, you have only reached the first stages of the plans it has formed for that general Revolution which is to OVERTHROW ALL THRONES, ALL ALTARS, ANNIHILATE ALL PROPERTY, EFFACE ALL LAW, and end by DISSOLVING ALL SOCIETY."

I wonder if today's globalists may not even realize what it is all about. It could easily be that one man (or a few) developed psychopathic plans and others were brought in on some pretext. Here is a quote from David Rockefeller's *Memoirs* of 2002:

"Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure, one world if you will. If that is the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it."

Even Rocky may have been deluded. What really could be gained for his club if human society collapsed?

To prove the point of the US "going Commie," I offer this:

A speech by Senator Jesse Helms on December 15, 1987
[Emphasis added by MM]:

This campaign against the American people, against traditional American culture and values, is systematic psychological warfare. It is orchestrated by a vast array of interests comprising not only the **Eastern establishment** but also **the radical left**. Among this group we find the Department of State, and of Commerce, the money center banks and multinational corporations, **the media**, the educational establishment, the entertainment industry, and the **large tax-exempt foundations**.

Mr. President, a careful examination of what is happening behind the scenes reveals that **all of these interests are working in concert with the masters of the Kremlin** in order to create what some refer to as a New World Order. Private organizations such as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Trilateral Commission, the Dartmouth Conference, the Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies, the Atlantic Institute, and the Bilderberger Group serve to disseminate and to coordinate the plans for this so-called New World Order **in powerful business, financial, academic, and official circles**. . . .

The psychological campaign that I am describing, as I have said, is the work of groups within the Eastern establishment, that amorphous amalgam of wealth and social connections whose power resides in its control over our financial system and over a large portion of our industrial sector. The principal instrument of this control over the American economy and money is the Federal Reserve System.

The policies of the Industrial sectors, primarily the multinational corporations, **are influenced by the money center banks** through debt financing and through the large blocks of stock controlled by the trust departments of the center banks.

Anyone familiar with American history, and particularly American economic history, **cannot fail to notice the control over the Dept of State and the Central Intelligence Agency which Wall Street seems to exercise....** The influence of establishment insiders over our foreign policy has become a fact of life in our time. This pervasive influence runs contrary to the real long- term national security of our Nation. It is an influence which, if unchecked, could ultimately subvert our constitutional order.

The viewpoint of the establishment today is called globalism. Not so long ago, this viewpoint was called the "one-world" view by its critics. The phrase is no longer fashionable among sophisticates; yet, the phrase "one-world" is still apt because **nothing has changed in the minds** and actions of those promoting policies **consistent with its fundamental tenets.**

Mr. President, in the globalist point of view, nation-states and national boundaries do not count for anything. Political philosophies and political principles seem to become simply relative. Indeed, even constitutions are irrelevant to the exercise of power. **Liberty and tyranny** are viewed as neither necessarily good nor evil, and certainly not a component of policy.

In this point of view, the activities of international financial and industrial forces should be oriented to bringing this one-world design – with **a convergence of the Soviet and American systems as its centerpiece** -- into being. . . . All that matters to this club is the maximization of profits resulting from the practice of what can be described as finance capitalism, which rests upon the twin pillars of debt and monopoly, the road to economic concentration and political slavery.

Note: There is now much to support the claim that the US and USSR acted in cahoots, even during the so-called Cold War. See Anthony Sutton's books such as *National Suicide* (1974). As for the US helping Communism to arrive in China in the 1940s see Anthony Kubek, *How the Far East Was Lost* (1963).

Get Ready for Our Communist Future. The possibility of the US becoming communist is no joke. We are well on our way there now. The pandemic got us used to being Soviet-like. We will imitate China's social-credit score. Your behavior will be rated and if you get a poor score your bankcard will turn off.

People will not be able to travel freely. Relatives may be punished if they help you, as was true in many nations pre-1991.

I have just copied these jokes from John Clare's website:

Q. What is a Soviet musical duet? A. It's a musical quartet after a trip abroad.

Q. "What is the most *permanent* feature of our socialist economy? A. *Temporary* shortages."

Q. Will people have money when communism is built? A. Some will, some won't.

Q. What will be the results of the next elections? A. Nobody can tell. Somebody has stolen yesterday the exact results of the next elections from the office of the Central Committee.

A frightened man came to the KGB "My talking parrot disappeared." "This is not our case. Go to the criminal police." "Excuse me. Of course I know that I have to go to them. I am here just to tell you officially that I disagree with that parrot."

Soviet advisor offered his help. The mummy was delivered to the Soviet embassy. In two hours the Soviet advisor appeared and said, "His name was Amenkhotep 23 rd." "How did you find out?" "He confessed," the advisor said.

But the real NON-joke is what Solzhenitsyn reported about regrets felt by men in the gulag. I paraphrase: "How we burned in the camps" when we thought of ways we could have, and should have, stopped them before they got total control. How we men could have acted together, even if only with farm tools, to prevent them kidnapping us from home. How we could have slashed the tires of their trucks. Oh, if only, if only....

Chapter 27: Media and Mind Control



Rupert Murdoch, born in Australia 1931, owns Wall Street Journal

The previous chapter discussed world government's proposed takeover of nations. Some negative tasks for the takeover are the destruction of religions, kingdoms, and the family. But there are positive tasks too, notably filling people's minds with deceitful information, and distracting them with fun.

Recall from Chapter 21 on the Mules that the big US TV networks, plus CNN and AP acted together via the News Election Service, since 1970s, to tally up the votes (wink wink). It must be that they are a body, not a group of 6 competitors.

The focus of media is called "block and flood." They block you from even hearing about many important goings on, and they flood you with whatever idea they want to train you in. I am referring to the MSM, mainstream media, but the big guys have got substantial control over the independent media, too.

On the next page, Dee McLachlan, editor of Gumshoe News in Australia, shows how things could be different if the man at the top, the indefatigable Rupert Murdoch, "reformed."

Of course, he won't reform, and things are accelerating in terms of shameless lies being told. The swatting of mosquitos -- us dissidents -- is now admitted to. Censorship is bragged about in dear old First Amendment land -- Big Tech deletes

and de-platforms per "community standards" and President Biden gives us a Misinformation Governance Board.

Just One Email. Mr Murdoch, you could change the world with a single email. You could forward a brief to all your editors, CEOs and managers . One paragraph is all that is required:

"I instruct you all herewith to encourage your staff and journalists to provide articles and programs that investigate all of the evidence and facts surrounding past and future terror events, wars, banking and politics. **Disclose the real news, without fear or favour.**"

You personally might very well lose some friends – but you will earn the respect of billions. With honest and open reporting, you would unleash the truth and reality we live in. The world will instantaneously become different! There would be inner reflection from governments all across the globe. It might assist the failing financial banking system.

It could save *Homo sapiens* from self-annihilation. You alone, with one email, could save humanity from the tyranny and totalitarianism that awaits the 99.9% of us.

Your popularity will rise a thousand-fold. **Mr Murdoch, you are only one click away from greatness.**

Sir, reach out and click that key

-- Dee McLachlan

A July 14, 2021 item from *The Hill*, a Congress-watcher (!):

"Anti-vaxxers gain power on right, triggering new fears"
by Reid Wilson.

Public health experts are growing increasingly concerned about a rise in **anti-vaccination rhetoric** among elected officials and right-wing media as a new wave of coronavirus infections begins to wash over Americans **who have yet to get vaccinated.**

In some cases, Republican governors and legislators are now repeating far-right **talking points** questioning the safety of coronavirus vaccines, in spite of the overwhelming scientific evidence that the vaccines ... are some of the **safest and most effective** ever created. (!!)

The Biden administration has pushed back forcefully against Republican governors in states such as Missouri and S Carolina who have complained that a **door-to-door vaccination effort** smacks of government overreach. [Emphasis added]

Media can be charged with fraud. In his 1965 book about the polio shot, *The Blood Poisoners*, Lionel Dole in the UK said: “**The radio advertising of commercial vaccines, especially with public money, is the greatest enemy we have to fight.** This dishonest propaganda is incessant...” Dole noted:

“The TV can sometimes fool the public by showing pictures or documents to ‘prove’ things that no one would dare to utter. ... this occurred in a BBC TV extravaganza, 'Matters of Medicine', designed to boost the terrors of poliomyelitis.”

Dole asked, 56 years ago, “Is there any reason why the BBC should not be charged with fraud if they encourage the use of commercial vaccines by misrepresenting the facts of history?”

What is the legal recourse in US? We have the FCC -- Federal Communications Commission. It lists, on its website, that it is concerned with: “revising media regulations so that new technologies flourish alongside diversity and localism.” Oh? Isn't Congress our exclusive lawmaker. Ah, but it delegates *regulation-making* to FCC. The delegating is way too generous.

I doubt if the FCC approach will help discipline the media. We are talking here about huge crime being committed. Arrests and prosecutions are immediately needed. Note: the crime of manslaughter is an easier crime to prosecute than murder. The wrongdoer need only have contributed indirectly to the deaths.

Very Advanced Mind Control? I am ignorant of the details of AI -- artificial intelligence. I don't know if machines can read your mind (probably not). I also don't know if the 5G towers are part of the deal, though I think they are -- they exist out in the fields as well as near folks whose work involves computers.


Anyway, there is no need for you to buy into this more woo-woo stuff. Mind control has been operating very successfully in simpler ways. It has been suggested that the purpose of the mask-wearing was not to keep germs from transmitting (since they can go through a mask anyway) but to condition folks to obeying. And a famous method of getting the public to believe a lie is simply to repeat it a lot, and have famous people say it.

Another method that is crucial is to remove from the population any strong opponents and any strong families. It also pays to demoralize people by stress. The upcoming inflation will cause poverty which will destroy self-confidence. Smearing is also effective -- the Anti-Defamation League is good at this. It can be done cleverly as when BBC quoted John McNaughton: "It would be wrong to write off all conspiracy theorists as 'swivel -eyed loons,' with 'poor personal hygiene and halitosis,' he told a Cambridge University Festival of Ideas debate."

Mass Formation. The best explanation I have seen for American's amazing acceptance of new regulations is given by Dutch psychologist Mattias Detmer First, the conditions of life are altered so that each person feels isolated, not in community. Then, a frightening event is made to occur (e.g., Covid). Then a hero appears with a remedy. The brain concentrates on the remedy, diminishing other brain activity, as in hypnosis. I think this could explain why people turn on neighbors who don't cooperate -- they can't fathom the criticism. Note: Reuters has fact-checked against *mass formation* -- always a good sign.

Oh, and don't forget, on his deathbed, aerospace genius Wernher von Braun (1912-1977) warned his secretary that a future plan for controlling us is to place holograms in the sky.

Chapter 28. Who Runs the Show? Do You Permit Them?



(L) UK's former prime minister John Major (b 1943), a Knight of the Garter; (C) Klaus Schwab, head of World Economic Forum (R) Arthur Sulzberger, publisher of the New York Times, photo: Wikipedia

How is the world run? Is it good? Since we are modern, we hardly realize how difficult life was in the past. Have you ever been a hauler of water and a hewer of wood? No? Me neither. Heat and water get sent to me by the municipality. Have you longed for the chance to read a book? Just go to the library. Family life and friendships are great; work can be fulfilling.

This book's concern is with those who want to subject the majority of mankind to their wishes -- including a wish to "dispose of" the rest. *Not joking*. People are in denial about this. My best guess about the behind-the-scenes people is that their work was cut out for them by their ancestors; today, the descendants are stuck with a big need for secrecy and must work ridiculously hard to avoid challenges from below. I would like to show them some ways out of their dilemma.

One way would be for them to swallow the fact that they have bit off way more than they can chew, as surely they have. Another way for the top dogs to abandon their wild dreams is to consider that the habitat they are producing is not one they will enjoy living in. We've all wrecked Nature. Many decisions as to how to wreck it were made by mega-corporations whose managers are judged by their ability to increase the bottom line. No real patriarch or matriarch would think that way.

They must also fear breakouts from within the loyal circle. Since the secrecy game is built on deceitfulness, how can they trust anyone? As individuals, they must surely be competitive - heirs to the throne have been known to kill a brother or two.

They have lost one of life's best gifts -- love. And covert agents lose out on receiving public honor, or credit for their labors! That said, I will now try to identify some influential groups who operate to run our lives without admitting that they do that.

Council on Foreign Relations -- the CFR

In 1890, a British group met at Oxford under the chairmanship of Lord Milner, calling themselves The Roundtable. From their plans came the RIIA -- Royal Institute for International Affairs. From that group came, in 1921, the United States branch of it, the CFR. They proceeded to infiltrate the State Department.

You may be surprised to know that a main goal of the CFR is to **have the US surrender its sovereignty** as a nation and become subject to a World Government. What? Yes. But why would they do that? I think it bespeaks the UK influence. Or, more broadly, the CFR (very overlapping with "the Eastern establishment") wants there to be an empire which has no competitors. They sometimes express this as global business.

Wait a minute. Is "business" enough to inspire the tremendous effort that the CFR has invested into controlling our foreign policy? I doubt it. It must be more. Ambitious men (i.e., members of the male species) like to connect with high status men.

At the high level, led by David Rockefeller (1915-2017), there were related organizations: The Atlantic Council (to weave Western Europe and Canada into the new unity) and the Trilateral Commission (to include Japan).

Atlantic Council members: William Casey, Douglas Dillon, Henry Kissinger, Jay Lemnitzer, Paul Nitze, Donald Rumsfeld, Jacob Javits, John McCloy, Nelson Rockefeller, Dean Rusk.

“The Jews”? the Church? Satanism? Royalty?

It drives me crazy when my fellow conspiracy theorists think they have hit upon the great solution to Whodunnit by reading a book such as *Synagogue of Satan*. I have read that book and it does indeed record many facts about usurious bankers and secret agreements over the centuries. How that has anything to do with “the Jews” being responsible, overall, beats me. Were *particular* Jews responsible? Sure -- as were many others.

Someone is pushing satanism and witchcraft in popular culture today. Did the ancient Hebrew tribe invent Satan? The Bible does identify a Satan. I take the Garden of Eden story to be metaphorical. *Possibly* there was a secret society in ancient Persia, that made it to our times by an underground group that could have included the church as part of the Roman Empire.

The medieval Knights Templar were a powerful secret society. There are other groups that have occult rituals and practices of child sacrifice, such as the Rosicrucians and Jewish Sabbatians. The Hellfire club in London, to which Benjamin Franklin openly belonged, is still in business, as are the Theosophists.

The 13 Illuminati Families

Fritz Springmeier, b 1965, has turned out, in my opinion, the most believable book about Whodunnit, in 3 volumes, called *Bloodlines of the Illuminati*. The word *illuminati* sprang up in the Enlightenment. Springmeier says thirteen families mutually agree to be boss of the world, according to their own wishes:

Astor, Bundy, Collins, DuPont, Freeman, Kennedy, Li, and Onassis. Rockefeller, Rothschild, Russell, Van Duyn, Mervonvongian. As National Security Advisor, McGeorge Bundy decided the Vietnam war; Li is a powerhouse in China; DuPont, made highly pollutant chemicals (see *The Nylon Curtain* by Colby and Dennett). In the 1800s DuPont controlled the manufacture of *all* army powder. Perhaps today also? Walt Disney is a side branch of Illuminati -- he had our affection as kids.

What about Skull & Bones?

In the 2004 presidential election, voters could choose between Republican Bush and Democrat Kerry -- both Skull and Bones. That is a fraternity at Yale that picks 15 juniors each year and initiates them in a place called The Tomb. I wonder if any boy (or girl, since 1991) ever says "Nah, thanks, I'll pass." Charlotte Iserbyt lent her Dad's copy of the secret membership list to Anthony Sutton who photocopied it in fear of his life.

Freemasonry and Its Influence on Police and Judges

A reliable book by Eustace Mullins, *The Rape of Justice*, describes how American judges who belong to the Freemasons are bound by a sort of brotherhood to adjudicate cases, including criminal trials, in favor of a party whom they recognize as being a member of the Masons. (What if both sides are Masons?) Note: a prominent Mason, **Lord Burton, in Scotland blamed his group for the 1996 massacre of children at Dunblane.**

I had a eureka moment when reading how good men are invited into Freemasonry with the story that it will bring them closer to God. But after promotions given to ambitious ones, a change occurs. Nesta Webster's *Cause of World Unrest*, p 32:

"When at length the candidate is admitted into the 30th grade, and after going through terrifying ordeals to test his obedience and secrecy...he learns that it is no longer Hiram whose death calls for vengeance.... Thus is the mask thrown aside and the hidden designs of the Red Masonic orders made clear. The objects to be annihilated are... the Church and the State. Brother, what you are engaged in requires the performance of a *duty*, will exact *sacrifice* ... and this Order means to deal with the affairs of nations and be one more a Power in the world."

I had the same eureka in Michael Hoffman's *Judaism's Strange Gods*. He says the Pharisees turned good and bad upside down. Wow -- if you can do that, you can put your natural morals into service of evil. What clever! Until someone corrects me I am going to think this is how it works. Plus a few psychopaths.

The Protocols [Key Excerpts for Our Worries Today]

Who will ever suspect then that all these peoples were stage-managed by us according to a political plan which no one has so much as guessed at in the course of many centuries?"

De facto we have already wiped out every kind of rule except for our own.... Nowadays, if any States raise a protest against us it is only proforma, and by our direction.

Capital must be free to establish a monopoly of industry and trade This freedom [as in 'a free hand'] will give political force to those engaged in industry, and that will help to oppress the people.

The intensification of armaments, the increase of police forces – are all essential for the completion of the aforementioned plans. All organs of the press are bound together by professional secrecy We shall erase from the memory of men all facts of previous centuries....

In [Masonic] lodges we shall find our principal intelligence office and means of influence.... Among the members of these lodges will be almost all the agents of international and national police since their service to us is irreplaceable. [They keep from view] our activities and provide pretexts for discontents. [Think about it.]

We multiply to such an extent national failings, habits, passions, conditions of civil life, that it will be impossible for anyone to know where he is in the resulting chaos...

To keep the governments in Europe in check . . . we shall respond with the guns of America or China or Japan.

When we at last definitely come into our kingdom [it will be] by the aid of coups d'état prepared everywhere for one and the same day.... With this purpose we shall slay without mercy all who take up arms to oppose our coming into our kingdom. [My reply to that is: Oh yeah?]

The *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*. The above is only a tiny sample. Some say it is a forged document, but the contents are real -- the predictions have amazingly come true. Probably the real authors would not have dared put into writing the phrase "it will help to oppress the people." On the other hand, as with many brash claims by government, maybe this awful talk send a "needed" message -- **to make people give up hope.**

Two items in the above excerpt stand out. One is "De facto we have already wiped out every kind of rule except for our own." I know that is true today, but was it also true in 1906? Gosh.

The other is "We shall erase from the memory of men all facts of previous centuries...." Again, we can see them doing it today, as when videos disappear, but if the Elders were partially successful in 1906, how much pre-1906 history did they wipe?

It's a pity that the anti-Semite label gets thrown aggressively at people just for discussing the Protocols. It would be better to talk openly and find that it is another entity, not Jews, running this show. I believe it is a very mixed group, and certainly not one with a religious or ethnic unity. Consider Henry Kissinger and Rupert Murdoch, both Jewish. Are they driven by a biblical urge? I can't see it. And from whence cometh Tony Fauci?

Another fascinating point from the Protocols is the claim that "we will use the guns of America, China, or Japan." I wonder how surprised a leader of China or Japan would be to see the other one being treated as equal servant. In 1934, Japan killed many Chinese. Incidentally, I suspect China of having created the 2011 tsunami in Japan as payback for Nanking. Also, many Japanese businessmen complained at having to take the PCR Covid test *anally*, on arrival at China's airports. Payback?

If the Elders do proclaim themselves Jews, they are clearly a Fifth Column in the Jewish community in nations such as the US. The larger Jewish group should stand up against this very harmful Fifth Column. Shouldn't they? It will only help them.

Chapter 29: Conspiracy Theory and the Lies of War



L-R: Sen Joe Biden, Bill Barr, Sen Pat Leahy, in 1991. lyndonlarouche.pub

Let's divide conspiracy theories into three types. First there are theories as to who did such-and-such a deed. "Who fired on Ft Sumpter, to start the Civil War?" Second there are questions about big unknown factors: "How is it that life arose on our planet?" (That can be tackled by science but also by speculation and myth.) Third, there seem to be many conspiracy theories now that exist just for their entertainment value.

Only about the first kind enters this book as this book is trying to accuse wrongdoers of conspiring to harm our republic. Here are claims I already made, showing number of chapter:

2. Autism can be traced to vaccines; Jeff Bradstreet's murder has something to do with FDA's raid on his medical discoveries.
3. The pandemic was caused by those who are on record predicting it, such as the Rockefeller Foundation and the WEF.
6. Genocide is deliberately planned, such as by removal of food. CIA committed menticide via MK-Ultra. The IMF issues orders for financial austerity that it knows will result in deaths. 13. 9-11 was an inside job. 14. Bill Gates's GAVI program caused

many deaths in the Third World. **15.** Dr Richard Day and his boss Rockefeller knew bad things before they happened, thus I deduce they caused them. **16.** Rosenow found a cure for polio in 1917 but it was subsequently suppressed. **17.** I believe John Judge's two stories: that military persons emptied the code-books the morning before JFK died, and that the Pentagon set out to have 57,000 Americans die in Vietnam.

- 19.** Various emergencies laws were passed, starting in 1934, with an eye to assisting a takeover. Katrina furnished an example. (I think New Orleans levies were exploded deliberately, 2005. **20.** I see the National Guard partnerships plan as sinister. **21.** I agree with 'TrueTheVote' that the 2020 election was stolen. I also see the Jan 6 affair as having been planned against Trump.

The appendices contain three conspiracy theories that I endorse. **Ap A** says Brice Taylor's son was brain-controlled from babyhood by Kissinger and she was owned by Bob Hope. **Ap B** says LBJ set out to nuke Cairo but was thwarted by the crew of the USS Liberty. **Ap C** says that the massacre of schoolchildren at Sandy Hook was a figment of DHS's imagination.

I don't believe something just because it is called conspiratorial. For 8 years I opposed those who said Sandy Hook was "made up." So far I have not conceded that the moon landing was faked. I am alone in holding that the Rodney King beating in 1992 was faked; the purpose being to stage a race riot in LA.

Not mentioned in this book is my certainty that the Tsarnaev brothers did not bomb the Boston Marathon, and that FBI did. I have mentioned my certainty that some judges in child-custody cases are part of the racket of sex-trafficking of children.

I believe anything Dane Wigington or Elana Freeland say about geo-engineering as they clearly go about the research honestly. I believe much of Joachim Hagopian's and Fiona Barnett's reports of the prevalence of so-called satanism in pedophilia. I have no doubt that the McMartin preschool case was genuine.

Making Us Wait for Decades

Think about this: a declassified CIA memo shows that in 1964 the CIA invented the term "conspiracy theory" as one that the media should use in order to insultingly label people who questioned the Warren Report about "Dallas." It's almost 60 years since JFK died; CIA was afraid it would be caught red-handed. Few know that Congress' 1992 study found a likely conspiracy.

Look how long they imprisoned Sirhan Sirhan for the death of Robert Kennedy though they knew he was innocent. He is now out after 52 years. Look how they knew James Earl Ray did not kill MLK but imprisoned him until they killed him in jail. He was exonerated by a jury in the *King v Jowers* case. Two men were exonerated for the 1965 death of Malcolm X -- They will no doubt sue for compensation -- from the taxpayers' coffers!

We have waited 20 years so far for a criminal investigation of 9-11. Why wait another 20? Note: To read transcripts of court cases of famous killers is to see that the authorities '*knew*':

I have studied some sufficiently to state that, to my satisfaction, these men, did not commit the deed: David Berkowitz (son of Sam), Albert DeSalvo (Boston Strangler), Timothy McVeigh (OKC bombing), John Allen Muhammad (Washington sniper), Brian Nichols (James Earl Ray (MLK), Wayne Williams (Atlanta child murders). Probably Unabomber. Makes you wonder if any spree killer or serial killer ever did what he is accused of.

Now please see this item about bioweapons in Australia. Is there any reason this man's wife had to suffer, other than to protect persons guilty of hiding something criminal?

John Curnow's Story from *GumshoeNews.com* April 5, 2020: Dr John Curnow graduated from Sydney University in Veterinary Science in 1960. [His] wife Barbara contracted Lyme Disease and died in 2012, according to Dr Curnow: "Following eight years of hell trying to get her treated."

Mary: Good morning, John, please tell me how you came to be involved in the study of Lyme disease. **John:** My job, as a veterinarian, was for the NSW Agricultural Department, testing the health of pigs to certify if they could go to market.

Mary: Fiona Barnett, whose brother has Lyme, told us that your wife died after 8 years of suffering from Lyme disease.

John: Yes, she got it from a tick. She died at age 70; I am an old man of 81. I want it to be known that there are many Australians like my wife, thousands of them, who have the disease but cannot get treatment in this country. **Mary:** Why is that?

John: The ELISA test is the only test used and it doesn't work. Patients who submit to the test will not come up positive, even if we can tell from the symptoms that they have the disease.

Mary: Are there better tests overseas? **John:** Yes, but doctors in Australia are not allowed to use them. In fact it is worse than that. Most doctors will not give a referral for the test. I complained about this to the HCC and was told that no doctor has to make a referral if he does not wish to.

Mary: My research into autism showed me that Lyme disease has much in common with other “mysterious” illness such as chronic fatigue syndrome. Judy Mikovits wrote a book called *Plague*, co-authored by Kent Heckenlively, a lawyer. She is a whistle blower who found herself in jail circa 2015 for “talking out of school.” (The charge was “theft of notebooks.”) **John:**

I myself feel under threat of arrest by Australian Federal Police, just for broadcasting this information. In part it's because I whistleblaw the likelihood that Milson Island is a bioweapons place. I was not allowed to inspect cattle there. That made me suspicious. **Mary:** I'm in New Hampshire which has Lyme disease. Ticks may have come from Plum Island, a nearby bio-weapons lab. **John:** It is possible. My belief is that birds are the vectors. We can see that the path of the ticks is along the same route as the migration of some bird species. My complaint is about humans getting the disease and being unable to get help.

Civilization. I am aware that we have instincts to do all the bad things we have been discussing. So should we say that their way of life is as valid and as human as the more ideal way?

While researching this book, I was startled to come across an idea in from John Robison's 1798 book, *Proofs of a Conspiracy*. He had defected from the Masons in Edinburgh. Robison sees two ways of life and calls the better way the cultivated virtuous way -- it is civilization. It is always subject to corruption, and can fall apart. I quote his 1798 *Proofs* book:

"I do not mean ... that the Mason Lodges were the sole corrupters of the public mind in France. No -- in all nations that have made much progress in cultivation, **there is a great tendency to corruption**, and it requires all the vigilance and exertions of magistrates, and of moral instructors, to **prevent the spreading of licentious principles**. They arise naturally of themselves, as weeds in a rich soil; and, like weeds, they are pernicious, only because they are, where they should not be, in a cultivated field.

"Virtue is the cultivation of the human soul, not the mere possession of good dispositions; all men have these. But virtue supposes exertion; so must man be prompted to that exertion which is necessary on the part of every individual for the very existence of a great society.... The rich are inattentive to the rights of inferiors whom they despise, and, despising, oppress.

"The more closely we examine the principles and practice of the Illuminati, the more clearly do we perceive that their immediate aim is to get the possession of riches, power, and influence, **and, to accomplish this, to abolish Christianity**. And then universal **profligacy will procure them the adherence** of all the wicked, and enable them to overturn all governments of Europe."

Robison implied that the use of force is justified against these "weeds." They are coming to ruin us; we must stop them. He was in France during the Terror. We don't need to wait for a Terror to attend to our weeds, do we?

The Lies of War. "Truth is the first casualty of war," they say, but the lying begins far in advance to set the people up. The most sentimental and patriotic day in the US calendar is Memorial Day when we thank soldiers for defending us. Oh really? A look behind the propaganda of any war reveals that decisions made at the top are seldom for "defense."

It is outside the scope of this book to open a discussion of any particular war. Revisionist history of the Civil War, the Spanish-American War, and the two world wars has put forth many a reinterpretation. See, for example, *Hidden History* (2014) by Gerry Docherty and James MacGregor which shows the way Lords Escher and Grey bamboozled the British parliament into fighting in "the Great War."

Now that we know how nations are "pawns in the game" of the Top Men, we should examine every war, even if only to say "Cui bono?" -- whose advantage will this war serve? At this moment in 2022, two wars are under discussion: a US war with Russia over Ukraine, and a Chinese war with the West over Taiwan. Iran has been under threat by the US and Israel for a long time.

The US also hits its enemies by economic sanctions. At the moment Iran, Venezuela, and other countries are having to cope with sanctions. In the UN Participation Act of 1949, Congress gave the president permission to order sanctions, per the UNSC, despite the fact that the war-making power belongs to the legislature, which is to say, to the people.

A false-flag operation may take place as part of the set-up of a war. James Perloff has made a 2-hour film of his lecture on false flags. It is a must see. I suggest that any situation that has the flavor of a false-flag, such as atrocity stories, should act as an alert.

I would also say that as soon as the major media have started to form a united front in selling an upcoming war, beware.

Part Five has the title Be Alert for Hope. It is really a biggie biggie for hope, **that we are no longer suckers for war.**

Chapter 30: Lawsuits, Maxims, Enactments, Impeaching



*Socrates, made to drink hemlock for stirring up thoughts in 399 BC.
Jacques-Louis David, The Death of Socrates, 1787 (such a year!)*

I believe we Americans have a duty to strut our stuff. Many folks in other countries are hoping we will “defend the Constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic” -- as it says in the military oath. It helps every nation if we rebuff globalism.

Luckily, humans have an instinct to work in a pack. Temple Grandin tells the story of her friend's Collie dog: The Collie was very timid. When she had to go past a fence, behind which were angry barking dogs, she pretended not to see them. One day, the friend and another lady walked four dogs, including the Collie, past that fence. This 'pack' united to tease and scare the fenced dogs. The Collie, sensing the protection of her pack, was the *most* vociferous. She kept throwing herself against the fence, even after her pals had lost interest. (I think Temple Grandin is a great American hero herself.)

Lawsuits. I have personally lost faith in the judiciary. Among very wrong decisions by the US Supreme Court are *Citizens United*, which gave corporations the power to influence elections, Albert Florence case which OK'd strip searches for all. Still as Louis Fisher says in *Reconsidering Judicial Finality*, the people have the last word in constitutional interpretation. You can approach the court with your ideas, as the following litigants did during the early phase of the Covid pandemic:

Many Creative Ways To Invoke the Law, During Covid

Creativity has been awakened like mad during the pandemic. Here are some samples:

1. Peggy Hall merely threatened to sue for fraud, stating that Orange County had **unlawfully prolonged the health emergency to collect federal and state emergency funds.**
2. David E Martin, in a video, lists his efforts to **get Anthony Fauci prosecuted for violation of the Sherman Anti-trust Act.** This would require action by the DoJ, so he has sent his ideas to several of the DoJ's US Attorneys.
2. Dr Dessie Andrews, with a PhD in constitutional law, has filed a lawsuit with the US Court in her state, Texas, claiming that the **lockdown causes her to miss her trip to the movies once a week, and this harms** her mental health.
3. Michigan's Rep Paul Mitchell filed federal lawsuit against the state governor, Gretchen Whitmer, objecting to her renewing her executive order for lockdown without going to legislature.
4. High Court of New Zealand has ruled that the **stay-at-home order was illegal during the week before Parliament passed** the relevant law! (This is really on point!)
5. The American Association of Physicians has filed suit against the ban on Hydroxychloroquine. Paul Craig Roberts has said that the ban shows a racket being run by "CDC, NIH, WHO, and FDA in cahoots with **Big Pharma which does not want the information out that a cheap cure for Covid exists.**"
7. Oregon's AG filed for an injunction against contractors manhandling that state's folks on the street as they dress generically (in green) and **don't wear badges.** *Note:* In 2018, when I quizzed a Watertown cop supervisor as to who shot 228 bullets at a boat that Jahar Tsarnaev lay in, he said "Outside agencies."

It is also advisable for you to make these maxims your own:

Law Maxims. *General Principles of Law* (selected from the *Law Dictionary*, 1888 -- Wesley Gilmer's 1986 revision of Cox 1986)

The niceties of the law are not the law. *Apices juris non sunt jura.*

It is the duty of a good judge to enlarge his jurisdiction, to amplify the remedies of the law. *Boni judicis est ampliare jurisdictionem.*

He who has authority to do the more important ought not to be prohibited from doing that which is less important. *Cui licet quod majus non debet quod minus est non licere.*

Let justice be done, though the heavens should fall. *Fiat justitia, ruat coelum.*

We should judge by the laws, not precedents. *Judicandum est legibus, non exemplis.*

False in one thing, false in all. *Falsus in uno, falsus in omnibus.*

The law will always furnish a remedy. *Lex semper dabit remedium.*

An evil custom should be abolished. *Malus usus est abolendus.*

Wretched is the slavery where the law is changeable or uncertain. *Misera est servitus ubi jus est vagum aut incertum.*

Odious and dishonest things are not to be presumed in law. *Odiosa et in honesta non sunt in lege praesumenda.*

The reason of the law is the life of the law. *Ratio legis est anima legis.*

Where there are many counselors there is safety. *Salus ubi multi consilarii.*

Everything can be presumed against the person who destroys the evidence. *Omnia praesumuntur, contra spoliatorem.* [Wow]

Enactments. Our federal legislature hides behind some cases of unconstitutionality by pretending they don't exist, or by insinuating that it is some other branch's responsibility to fix. The Founders intended each branch to be jealous of its own turf. If I were a Congressperson, here are some laws I would propose:

First, I would remove us from the World Health Organization (what a joke!).

Second, I'd remove us from the elite's private military known as NATO -- membership to which violates the Constitution.

Third, I would get the feds out of education immediately and, more gradually, return health care to the states.

Fourth, I would amend the law that makes the FCC, Federal Communications Commission, accountable to no one.

Fifth, I would repeal the 1986 NCVI -- National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act. It outrageously offends the Seventh Amendment by preventing parents from going to a real court to seek damages. They have, instead, to fight in a fake court.

Sixth, I would amend the UCMJ to allow a soldier not to take a vaccination. As it is, they get very over-vaccinated.

Seventh, I would reinstate the Smith-Mundt Act which got repealed in the 2012 NDAA. We need it as it declares that the US government must not propagandize its own people.

Eighth, I would enact a law to criminalize menticide, prospectively, such as the type of mind control that took place in the MK-Ultra program where the CIA deleted and altered minds.

Ninth, I would deal with the dismantling of the National Guard Bureau and its secretive Partners for Peace program that causes foreign troops to be here on US soil as potential police.

Tenth, I would see what's delaying the audit of the Fed.

Eleventh, I would establish a time limit in which the DoJ must act to enforce the Sherman Anti-trust Act -- or else.

Twelfth, I would abolish the tax-haven routine.

Thirteenth, I would eliminate the tax exemption for foundations larger than a certain amount.

Fourteenth I would refer to the DoJ for prosecution all who oversee torture at Gitmo and at CIA black sites in Europe.

Fifteenth I would sunset (or creatively adapt) the AUMF.

Sixteenth, I would indirectly prevent members of secret societies from appointment as judges or officers by requiring an Association test like that of colonial New Hampshire. Sure, all Americans can have any private association they want, and not have to reveal it. But if they seek office, they must let people know their loyalties. (Today they are required to reveal bias, by declaring their wealth holdings.)

Some **court issues** can be relieved by legislation. The problem of trying Julian Assange can be solved by changing the law to allow such a defendant to state in court his reasons for doing what he did -- Julian released, on Wikileaks, essential data about our war crimes in Iraq. It is ridiculous to keep the current legal provision that gag him re his own defense. A huge barrier that could use Congress's wrecking ball is the way the judiciary has decided not to listen to lawsuits when the citizen is said to lack standing. And it would be fun to see a turf war ensue.

Impeaching. In regard to the task of impeachment of officers and judges, Article I, sec 2 of the Constitution put this into the hands of the House. Sec 3 gives the Senate sole prerogative to try the person if two-thirds of the members present concur. I would impeach any official who has committed treason.

Conclusion

This book's title is *Keep the Republic, Kill the Takeover*. I have not said much about killing the Takeover. But I can now express in four words the best way to kill the Takeover: *by keeping the republic*. Once the republic is gone -- and we are close to it -- there will be no means by which to get it back.

Note: Calling for a constitutional convention is not a wise solution. We already have a near-perfect constitution. (Beware those who might call for a convention and use it to completely upend the dear parchment.)

Fighting off our internal enemies may require violence -- as it always has in the past. This book shows you myriad ways to fight without using violence, and there must be plenty of other such 'weapons' that your humble author does not know about.

I stand for lawfulness and for forgiveness and for recognition that we are all to blame. We need to wake up and be 'a people' once again. The past shows that it is humanly possible to unite.

Please do your part.

Absolutely Confidential Letter to Students, Eyes Only

Dear Students, Did you actually tackle the whole book? Wow, I'm honored. Sorry if it made you feel sad, especially if the "government crime" stuff is news to you -- I couldn't think how else to deliver the material, so I just spewed it out.

Is it true that Gen X and Millennials have been taught to feel contempt for the elderly? If so, that was another trick played on you. The oldies have naturally accumulated a lot of experience and wisdom; maybe someone doesn't want you to partake of it.

Thanks for listening to me. I'd like to listen to you, too. Are you feeling empowered? Did you grab any practical ideas for taking action? Did you make up some new ones? Do you sense urgency?

Are you concerned you'll look like a jerk if you cry wolf? Hmm, looking like a jerk is nothing compared to the pain we'll feel if we put our feet up and wait for someone else to do the job. In their book on societal collapse, P Seigne and R Stevens say that in historic crises, key helpers tend to emerge. I hope you are a key helper.

Here is my friend Philip Allott, quoted in Chapter 26. He's near 90 years old and has worked tirelessly for the future of humanity. He knows *you are very important*. We need you! From his *Eutopia*, p 297:

"There is a human species. There are human societies. And then there is me. I. The only being that I know. The being without which there would be no philosophy, no law, no society. The revolution that will make the new society begins and ends in me. I am a unique being in the whole universe.

"There never was and never will be another me, a being that makes its own being through the power of its mind. It is my mind that makes the natural universe into the natural universe that we know. My mind gives thanks to the natural universe for giving me the brain whose beautiful neurones allow me to be a human being conscious of being a self-creating, world-making human being."

WELCOME TO THE APPENDICES

Appendix A. From Brice Taylor's *Thanks for the Memories*.
'The Torturing of a Little Boy at NASA. 177

Appendix B. Reviewing Philip Nelson's *Remember the Liberty!*
How LBJ schemed to start a nuclear war in 1967. 182

Appendix C. Based on Mary Maxwell's book, *Unreality*.
The Sandy Hook massacre did not happen. Period. 187

Appendix D. Elias Davidsson's "Was the 2015 Paris Bataclan
Attack "Terrorism?" at juscogens.org. 193

*Glossary of Legal Terms 194, Bibliography 196, Note to Parents and
Homeschoolers 199, About the Author 200, Index 201.*

Appendix A. NASA's Torture of a Little Boy. From the 1999 book *Thanks for the Memories*, by Brice Taylor, aka Sue Ford.

Craig and I used the “scientific method,” the same method we used in the past to insure that the sex of our third child was a boy. And he was. Daniel Robert Ford was born on March 15, 1982 at Los Robles Hospital in California. I was 31 years old.

I have little conscious memory of Danny as a baby. When he was visiting me in the summer of 1996, he looked at me emotionlessly and said, “Mom, I don’t remember anything about my childhood.” He just stated the fact. What was very apparent to me was that my teenage son [age 14] had no emotion attached to this statement or even any means to think this thought through.

War Games at Jordan Ranch to Terrorize Kelly and Me

When Kelly was around three years old, we were told to walk down to the “end of the road,” which I knew as Bob Hope’s Jordan Ranch. Once inside the fence we were injected with drugs in the back of a limo and were told to start walking out onto the ranch. Somehow, all of a sudden, my little daughter was gone. **There was a whole group of men in army fatigues who I later found out were playing war games.**

But in the drugged state of mind they put me in, I had no way to know this was just a game. Bob had a walkie-talkie that he used to radio to the men in army fatigues. He told them what to do and say to us. Drugs made it impossible to think clearly.

They told me Kelly’s life depended on me finding her quickly. A helicopter flying overhead landed nearby and I finally found Kelly, naked and huddling near a small scrub bush. She was very dirty and had cried so much that her little eyes were swollen nearly shut. Her face was covered with dirt and tears all mixed together.

This is the type of activity these men needed to use to guarantee that, under national security, a mother and her baby daughter would never remember the perverted experiences for which they were be-

ing used by Kissinger, Bob Hope, and others. After I had my children, they always inflicted trauma on me and one or more of my kids (always with my daughter Kelly) before an assigned rendezvous took place. Those experiences were terrifying and horrific, so that my controllers felt very certain I would never gain access to memory of the experiences.

This time, we were in Catalina and my husband told the kids that we were going to look at a new hotel complex on the island. When we arrived, three men in suits told us to go into a room where there was a single row of chairs lined up against the wall. Passively and robotically, we complied. Kevin our oldest son, 9, was first in the line-up, then Craig, me, and Kelly, 7, and Danny, age 3.

One of the suited men took a razor blade or something similar and started with Kevin and slowly and deliberately ran it over the top of his legs, then onto Craig's, then mine, Kelly's and little Danny's. All of us were bleeding and traumatized, in a daze, physically frozen, staring straight ahead. I was terrified and panicked, but sat there, helpless to do anything to protect my children, as a result of years of abuse and mind control programming.

One suited man informed us, "This is just the beginning," and they took Danny and threw him up against the wall. With the air knocked out of him and in obvious pain, Danny crumpled over and crouched up into a small ball, **already, at three years old, knowing better than to cry out.** If showed a reaction or retaliation, they would hurt another one of the kids or me even more.

Bob Hope's Escapades

We owned a large family camper. At times we went up into the High Sierras, to visit Mammoth, Sequoia, Tahoe, Yosemite. We also took the children to Six Flags and, of course, Disneyland. Bob Hope showed up in many of these locations. Sometimes Bob would snap his fingers in front of my face or jingle his keys in front of my eyes to get me to respond. He often liked to meet for sex or information exchange in mountain cabins. ...

What actually occurred often was **a rendezvous with Bob or some leader** they needed to get information to. Sometimes **Reagan** was

brought out on a little ocean excursion and ended up navigating right to us. I was then transported aboard with him for sex and messages. Sometimes I stayed all night with him. **He said he was very upset with Henry Kissinger for taking so many matters into his own hands.** He said he knew a few hours with me would help him snap out of the mood he was in.

NASA



When Danny was an infant, we went for programming together.

He was a year old when his inner-space mind files were created in order for him to have a wide range of access points, without the necessity of as much trauma as was necessary back in the days when mine were created. Time had shown our controllers that **trauma itself was one cause for the breakdown in slaves.**

I was there with Danny when he was 3 or 4 years old. It seemed like a school field trip. Danny had on a light blue T-shirt. We were sitting with other mothers and children, in the front row of a circular auditorium. Men in NASA suits, **who were dressed like astronauts were all around** and one of them came over, lifted Danny up and put him into a chair. "Like the real astronauts sit in!" the man explained. This chair had equipment all around.

Danny smiled so sweetly across the auditorium at me like he was so proud and so happy to be chosen to sit in the big astronaut chair. There was such anticipated excitement and innocence in his joyful smile. Soon the man instructed him to lean back so his head was properly aligned to fit into a silver band and when Danny was in the proper alignment, **I watched the NASA official clamp**

the back of the silver band to fit snugly around his little forehead. Danny looked up at the NASA official, eyes wide with innocence, as the man said “Hold on for the ride of your life!”

They brought in some sort of glasses (virtual reality?) for Danny’s eyes and told him to look into the viewer. Then to my horror, the man standing next to Danny gave a cue to another man and I watched in agony as Danny’s little body jolted. They must have been giving him electroshock. After a time, his little body went limp and he was unconscious. I was dying inside, but **against all maternal protective instinct**, I maintained my composure.

Pretty soon the NASA official waved a smelling salt or something in a cotton ball in front of Danny’s face. He came to abruptly and they released him from the equipment and then from the chair, sweating profusely around his forehead and under his nose.

[Danny had] a look of utter shame that spread over his entire face and down his little body. He could barely walk over to me and when I stood to help him, the NASA man said, “He’s a big boy, he can do this on his own.” Soon we were escorted out, put on a shuttle back to the airport and were flown home.

Danny’s mind files were filled with data early on and expanded after he was three years old. I drove him to Point Mugu or we were intercepted at the intersection of Kanan and Agoura Road, and got in the car with these men. **They usually drove a dark colored sedan with tinted windows.**

Henry Kissinger filled Danny with **high-level information, intended to span many years** and to be delivered whenever necessary at future dates to large crowds of people. Danny had his- torical files put in, as did I.

At Born Learners Preschool at three to four years of age, Danny started special computer classes that kept him at school long hours, sometimes into the evening. When I asked him if he wanted to stop he always said he loved it, as did his best friend Justin.

I believe **programmers do more of the training via computer screen now, often using virtual reality.**

After computer class, I took the two of them to Monarch's Gymnastics. I thought I would not be able to bear the pain and grief when I began remembering scenarios of the ways in which I'd been programmed to be a part of my children's preschool mind control experiences.

On occasion, I helped out in the class. I remembered standing with Danny's teachers who were instructing the children in a game; they all had to take their clothes off, step onto a colored circle and then take turns doing sexual acts to the child next to them. The games were centered around colors, music, body movement and hand signals. **Hand signals** were taught to the children this way and put to music for reinforcement.

During my deprogramming process, I was horrified when I remembered that I continually read him the story of Danny and the Dinosaur. As I re-read the story years later, I found a phrase in the book, which states, "there's no place to run, no place to hide." I was used to keep my own son's programming locked tightly in place, reinforcing the programs that were used to keep the parts of his mind that were compartmentalized for our controller's use, **separate from his conscious awareness**.

Henry Kissinger has been Danny's main man. He was the one calling the shots and organized the **creation of Danny's mind files for NASA/military use**.

Danny was, scheduled for a major position within NASA one day, following in the footsteps of his **grandfather Ford** and **Uncle Lyle Curran**. They have him scheduled to become a scientist. I overheard Henry talking to someone about it.

Note: Brice Taylor has not been heard from in years. I sent her a cheque which she thanked me for, but never cashed. Brice, if you are reading this, please know what a difference your phenomenal effort made. Thank you for making us find out what is really happening.

Appendix B. Totally New View of the USS Liberty Incident.

By Mary W Maxwell, GumshoeNews.com, September 9, 2019



The USS Liberty being escorted to Malta after 24 men died saving the world from 1967 nuclear war

The reason the Liberty incident is so hot – believe me it could hardly be hotter – is that we can now see exactly how the US came to the decision to sink the SS *Liberty*. Just wait till Americans, who may be angry at Israel, get a load of what really happened. I say “we” did it.

Philip Nelson, in his excellent book *Remember the Liberty*, does tell the facts and I am using that book’s material here. However, Nelson downplays the significance of something called “Frontlet615” — which I will play up to the max.

The Six-Day war in 1967 ran from June 5th to 10th. Israel’s Air Force wiped out Egypt’s 300 fighter planes on the ground in one fell swoop on the first day. The war resulted in Israel gaining much territory at the expense of Jordan, Egypt, and Syria.

America's participation can be deduced from the US planning of the Liberty incident. For the moment, please take my word for the following facts; they are borne out by evidence, especially the one crucial piece of evidence that we were never meant to see.

Fact 1. The US planned from at least one year prior (i.e., 1966, or possibly even 1965) to create a Middle East situation in which it could nuke Egypt. As the American public would not be willing to endorse such aggression, Egypt had to be seen to have attacked us first. Hence a re-run of the 1964 Gulf of Tonkin false-flag where President Lyndon Johnson said the Viet Cong had assaulted our ship.

Fact 2. To make this happen, we (that is, the US) had to set up an actual attack on an American ship by the supposed enemy, Egypt. That means, in my guess, that we had to be sure a war was underway. Thus I think we planned the whole war. In any case we definitely set up the presence of an unarmed American ship, the *Liberty* (a spy ship, hence unarmed) to take the hit.

Fact 3. It was planned that a submarine would travel, discreetly alongside (technically, under) the *Liberty*. Probably this escort for the *Liberty* was the submarine *USS Amberjack*.

Fact 4. We planned that the war would begin on June 15th, but various parties who were to get busy provoking Israel – Syria, Jordan, and Egypt – got into action sooner. (I wonder if they, too, were instructed by whoever the Master Planner is.) A problem thus developed whereby the war had to begin much sooner, on 5 June 1967, as the action was escalating out of control.

Fact 5. The *Liberty* had been near Africa's Ivory Coast, but got an order on May 23 to go at top speed to Rota Spain. It arrived on May 31. From there it was told to go to the desired position in the Mediterranean. It arrived on June 8th. That morning, some of the crew were on deck sunbathing after their long trip. They saw Israeli planes pass over them several times, and were happy knowing that no harm would come to them.

Fact 6. Later that day, at 2pm, the attack began. The *Liberty* tried to call for help but found that its message-sending was jammed. Other American vessels in the area later reported that they too lost their communication. Surely not a coincidence.

Fact 7. Nonetheless, word reached Admiral Geis, on the aircraft carrier *USS Saratoga*, and he dispatched fighter jets to deal with the attack. They went aloft but then Geis got a call from "the White House" to recall the planes. He recalled them. All the air-men were later sworn to secrecy, as were the *Liberty*'s sailors.

Fact 8. The attackers by plane did their worst and then the torpedo motorboats came along to finish things off. By a fluke it

happened that the boat did not sink “as desired.” The US Navy men did their best to keep it afloat. (I accept their claim that extraordinary heroism was involved.)

Fact 9. Then the motorboats came back, and a helicopter threatened the sailors. The Israeli crew murdered anyone in sight. The attack ended by 4pm, June 8, 1967 (possibly because Soviet ships may have noticed). All up, there were 34 fatalities and 174 men injured, out of a crew of 294 American sailors.

Fact 10. LBJ had to call off the planned nuclear bombing of Cairo.

The Inquiry. Over the years, many bits and pieces of the puzzle came together making it possible for us to know the above. After all, there is no official record of America having done any such planning of a war, or of having recalled her rescue planes!

A one-week-long inquiry, under Admiral John McCain (the senator’s father) was thrown together almost as soon as the damaged Liberty ship arrived in Malta. The Inquiry took evidence from witnesses, but key testimony was suppressed from the published report. The final story was such that it would be nicely synchronous with Israel’s allegation of having mis-identified the ship.

Document Dated April 10, 1967. But, Dear Reader, we have not yet come to the *piece de resistance*. Recall [from Part 1] that James Ennes was a Liberty crewman who wrote a book, entitled *Assault on the Liberty*, in 1980. And recall that the BBC allowed Peter Houman to broadcast his documentary, *Dead in the Water*, in 2000.

In between, Ennes had made a discovery in the LBJ presidential library in Austin. He shared it with Houman for the documentary. It was a piece of paper that had inadvertently been made available to researchers, but surely should have been classified.

It was the Minutes of a Meeting held on April 10, 1967. The persons in the meeting were members of “the 303 Committee,” an entity for which there is no official acknowledgement. I consider this very interesting albeit it has no significance on its face.

As reported by Philip Nelson in his 2017 book *Remember the Liberty*, the document indicated: “That a ‘303’ Committee had discussed secret plans for sending the *USS Liberty*, **and an accompanying submarine**, into the Mediterranean Sea, into an area that would then become a war zone... On [the document] someone had written ‘Submarine within UAR waters.’” -- UAR was a name President Gamal Abdel Nasser (1918-1970) had given to his country Egypt: the United Arab Republic. [Emphasis added]

Moreover, says Nelson: “The document indicates that the committee had been briefed, two months before the attack, by Brig. Gen. USAF Ralph D. Steakley on the then-current status of a larger plan, ‘Frontlet615.’” Steakley died in 2009. He was also involved the Pueblo incident in North Korea in 1968 and I now wonder if that hostage-taking was also planned.

Note: I have long since taken on board Robert Dreyfuss’ claim in his 1980 book *Hostage to Khomeini*, that the 1979 Teheran crisis in which 52 US hostages were taken (and kept for a year) was done with US approval -- or even at US or British instigation.

Now to the biggie: Frontlet615 and Operation Cyanide. Not the discovery that Israeli ship attacked the Liberty, nor the fact that that America’s participation was intended and that the ship was scheduled to be sunk -- **but that committee “303” existed** and devised the operation known as “Frontlet615.”

The 615 apparently means June 15 which is when the incident was to have occurred, though it got moved up, as we saw, to a week earlier, June 8, 1967, as provocations had heated up too fast.

The actual plan to use nuclear bombs to defeat Nasser, at the time a respected and popular Arab leader, was called Operation Cyanide. I suppose it should shock me that the US was planning a nuclear war, except I know from rumors that such an option is on the cards at all times.

Let us recall, once again, Dr Richard Day’s revelation at a medical conference in 1969 (reported by Dr Lawrence Dunegan in 1988):

“Out of all of this was to come the New International Governing Body, probably to come through the U.N. and with a World Court, but not necessarily through those structures. It could be brought about in other ways. Acceptance of the U.N. at that time was seen as not wide. **People would be more and more used to the idea of relinquishing some national sovereignty.**

We would bring in the ‘New International Political System. “If there were too many people in the right places who resisted this, there might be a need to use one or two nuclear weapons.” This may be needed to convince people that, “We mean business.” That was followed by Day’s statement that, “By the time one or two of those went off then everybody, even the most reluctant, would yield.” “This negotiated peace would be very convincing,” as in a framework or in a context that the whole thing was rehearsed but nobody would know it” – today commonplace!

And there is also the allegation in the 2002 book by ex-CIA man Al Martin, *The Conspirators*, that Col Oliver North, who worked in the White House Basement with General Alexander Haig, had Operation Sledgehammer at the ready. It would use nukes to kill off 70 million Americans. (You’d better re-read that.)

‘My’ Discovery. I want to state again that I am amazed by the discovery of Frontlet615. I mean I’m amazed that it was found, all polished up pretty and sitting in a library. I have used quote marks around the *my* in My Discovery to clarify that I did not do the work – Stennes, Houman, Nelson, and others did. Also, I mean by “My,” that I personally have discovered to the satisfaction of my own theory, that a war that appears in such-and-such a location may have been organized by a far-away third party. Are any two neighboring nations today fighting over the use of their shared river? Little do they realize that someone in the High Globalist Heavens may have stirred up the whole river issue. Why? So war could “break out.”

Anyway, kudos to the men on the Liberty (many under the age of 21) who, by both luck and determination, kept the ship afloat, thus preventing nuclear war. Thank you, valiant hearties!!!!

Appendix C. The Sandy Hook School Shooting Was Not Real. Period. By Mary W Maxwell, rumor mill news, February 13, 2022.



Photo by Newtown Bee photographer Shannon Hicks, widely shared by AP on the day. It is known as 'the iconic photo' from the massacre.

I want to straighten things out for the folks of Connecticut, by spewing out the truth about Sandy Hook (at least I am 99% sure it's the truth). Listen to this bombshell from the late Robert Steele.

“I managed a false flag event for the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) in my capacity as a Clandestine Operations Officer stationed overseas. I have personal experience with ‘legalized lying’ whereby ostensible orders ‘from the highest authorities’ **mandate lying to the Court** and lying to the media and the public, in support of national security objectives. **Individuals ordered to lie are offered both full immunity and severe penalties if they fail to lie as ordered.**” [Emphasis added]

Holy wow. Lying had been given the blessing of law, as it were. How did the CIA get the power to change our laws? Who do they think they are?

What Y'all Were Told by Mainstream Media in 2012. Here is the official Sandy Hook narrative. A 20-year-old boy named Adam Lanza, for no known reason, shot his mother dead in her bed on Friday morning December 14, 2012, and then went to a school in Newtown Connecticut, the Sandy Hook Elementary School, for no

known reason and shot dead 20 children and 6 adults. And then killed himself, for no reason.

That's the whole story. There are no complications or side-stories. Since the "gunman" died on the spot, there was no arrest or trial. There is no camera footage of him at the scene, no DNA, no nothing. No living witness saw him do it. The narrative is everything.

And who am I to be such a smarty-pants to know what really took place that day? From the day it happened, in 2012, to mid-2021 (almost nine years) I was not a smarty-pants. I was a dumbie-pants. We saw the funeral processions on television, didn't we?

Around September 2021, I luckily found online a pdf, "Sandy Hook Truth" by Robert Steele, the ex-CIA man mentioned above. He had won our trust in Australia by respectfully interviewing Rachel Vaughan and Fiona Barnett, in his job as coordinator for the private truth commission ITNJ. Alas, Steele has recently died, age 69.

At this point, let me show you the countervailing evidence of the Adam Lanza story. As you may know, drills are frequently carried out by Homeland Security. This is not a secret; it's on their website. An "active shooter drill" was admittedly carried out at Carmel School, a 45-minute ride away, at the same time as the alleged Sandy Hook shooting, December 14, 2012. (Think about that!)

The Iconic Photo and the Watcher's Photo. It's acknowledged that a drill had taken place at Sandy Hook a few weeks earlier (volunteers are asked to participate in the drills -- we had a drill in Sydney where the government openly advertised for 700 volunteer actors). I think such a drill at Sandy Hook provided opportunity for a sensational photo. Shannon Hicks, a photographer from the *Newtown Bee*, snapped a picture of kids crying in the school parking lot, being assisted by a policewoman, Rachael van Ness.

I claim (with James Tracy, Jim Fetzer, and others) the so-called iconic photo is not an evacuation photo. See the photo below in which the policewoman, Rachael Van Ness, touches the arm of a girl in a pale jersey, who is *not in the iconic photo*. In this photo, we can see other kids who aren't crying and don't look like they are in a conga line. I say she is positioning the kids for a photo shot.

I hate working from pictures, as I can't know who may have fiddled with them. But in this case the *Newton Bee* photographer Ms Hicks *does say* that the iconic picture is her work.



'pale jersey'

Ms Hicks's "iconic" photo was sent around the world by Associated Press on the very morning of December 14, 2012. It is still considered material evidence that something happened. (Kids crying.) But, by the grace of God, another person, who was watching the photo-taking, captured the wider scene on his/her cell phone. (To repeat: that scene probably occurred during a drill, weeks earlier. On the real day, there were no crying kids.)

Eventually, James Fetzer, an emeritus professor of philosophy, published that watcher's photo on his blog. He also printed it in a 2015 book that he and Mike Palecek edited, entitled "Nobody Died at Sandy Hook: It Was a FEMA Drill To Promote Gun Control." (That book is currently banned by Amazon).

In the watcher's photo, some adults, probably parents, are standing by in a calm manner. That combination of the "iconic" photo and the "watcher's photo" is proof that the crying kids in a school parking lot were not running away from a gunman.

The Cop's Sworn Testimony. As for the lady cop, she was asked to make a sworn deposition, for the official investigation by the state of Connecticut. I say her statements are a give-away of the fraud:

"The undersigned, investigator, having been duly sworn, deposes [abridged]: While on the scene this detective [me] recalls the

officer exiting the building carrying a small girl, possibly a kindergartener on his hip, and holding the hand of another child as well. This detective was not advised as to where the children have been found or why it was no adult faculty or staff member.... This detective was advised if they appeared to be the last, and brought the children down the hill to the firehouse. At one point while in parking lot this detective observed TSC Macisco number 906 to begin recording the registration plates of the vehicles in the parking lot.

"This detective documented several children's as well as their parents names via ID The children were holding onto each others shoulders from behind walking in the single file line as directed. This detective observed many of the children were crying in front, in addition to being cold, and attempted to be encouraging while leading them to the back of the parking lot. **"... to keep any of the parents present from pulling their children from the line ran back across the lot and received the next group of children most of whom exited in the same manner and appeared to exhibit the same mix of emotions...."**

"This detective remained with children from those grades who were not physically able to run, **always walking behind the last child in the group** in the effort to ensure that if additional shots were aimed at the children (as the theory that there could be another shooter in the wood line or in the building was still circulating) this detective would have the opportunity to gather and shield the stragglers. [End of van Ness quote] [Emphasis added]

Note: I got that text by using screen shots of separate paragraphs of the affidavit. The paragraphs may not have been in the order shown here. And I've omitted a lot. -- MM

Two Absurdities. The first absurdity is that a cop would want "to keep any of the parents present from pulling their children from the line." Surely the opposite is so, she would be glad to get parental help (and on whose crazy authority could she prevent parents from helping their offspring anyway?)

The second absurdity is that the cop would be "always walking behind the last child in the group." Who, then, would lead the line? A traumatized first-grader? That's not possible. I'm guessing Ms Van

Ness was told to write it as part of the "drill" fandangle. And why do we have so many drills? James Perloff, an expert on false-flags, has suggested that if a drill is run at the same time as a planned killing, and things fall apart midstream, the drill can take over as a way of explaining any misbehavior. (Geez, is that why God gave us beautiful brains -- so we could become so 'clever'?)

The Court Cases. As mentioned, there have been court cases, at least five, about the Sandy Hook event. First, a professor of media, James Tracy, at Florida Atlantic University, sued for unfair dismissal from his job after he blogged about his Sandy Hook skepticism. Despite the First Amendment, he lost the case, as the employer said he was instead fired for other reasons. (What a joke.)

Second, there have been suits against James Fetzer and others for defaming the families, especially the Pozner family. Significantly, at the lower court, Fetzer was not given a chance to show evidence from two expert witnesses that the Pozner child's death certificate had been doctored. Pozner was awarded \$450,000 in damages.

Third, 9 of the 26 families of persons allegedly killed by Adam Lanza sued Remington Arms, manufacturer of the Bushmaster gun. That case is *Soto et al v Bushmaster*. It settled for \$73 million. An illuminating sidelight to that case is that James Fetzer sent a Motion to Intervene in it. This was rejected not only by the Soto plaintiffs, but by the gunmaker defendants. Say what? I submit a possible explanation: the biggest customer of the large gun industry is, of course, the US government, so Bushmaster (sic Remington) had to go along with the Sandy Hook story to please Uncle Sam.

Fourth, a local man, William Brandon Shanley, got angry enough to sue the *New York Times*, AP, Shannon Hicks of the *Newtown Bee*, and other media for publishing the untrue iconic photo. The case was dismissed for lack of standing. Shanley was also arrested in Connecticut for disturbing the peace, but those charges were later dropped. Shanley died, unexplainedly, after his lawsuit -- I've asked Connecticut coroner James Gill to look into this, as it is his job, by law.

Another investigator, Wolfgang Halbig, was arrested at his home in Florida, during the wee hours, for having allegedly harassed Leonard

Pozner, a Sandy Hook dad, but that charge was later dropped. Both arrestees, Shanley and Halbig, said they were roughly handled by the arresting police. Presumably this is to scare truthers, such as present company, from speaking out.

How To Find the Truth. Don't be discouraged. Courts are not being helpful, but there are thousands of residents of Connecticut who know the truth and they can speak out now. Lawsuits can be filed by any of the surviving children for being made to lie. Note: Sandy Hook is especially valuable for analyzing *other* shootouts, as it demonstrates the huge resources that can be put into a hoax, and thus presumably into lying about killings done by "officials."

Update: This is major. A group called World Peace through Education has submitted an amicus curiae brief to SCOTUS in *Pozner v Fetzer*. It claims that a New World Order Movement is acting against us. It quotes President Bush's 1990 State of the Union speech: "A new world order—can emerge: a new era—freer from the threat of terror, stronger in the pursuit of justice, and more secure in the quest for peace. An era in which the nations of the world, East and West, North and South, can prosper and live in harmony."

The brief points to poor investigation of: Las Vegas—Rt 91 music festival; Orlando—Pulse Night-club; Virginia Tech; Sandy Hook; First Baptist Church—Sutherland, TX; Luby's Cafeteria, Killeen, TX; Walmart—El Paseo, TX; McDonald's—San Diego, CA; Robb Elementary School, Uvalde, TX; University of Texas—Tower shootings; Marjorie Stoneman Douglas HS, Parkland, FL; Fort Hood, Killeen, TX; Inland Regional Center, San Bernardino, CA; Post Office Shooting, Edmund, OK; Columbine HS; Binghamton NY; Wilkes-Barre, PA; Wah Mee, Seattle, WA; Aurora theater; Thousand Oaks; Washington, D.C. Navy Yard; VA Beach Shooting; Pittsburgh Synagogue; Easter Sunday Massacre, Hamilton, OH; Santa Fe school shooting, Santa Fe, TX; Geneva County Shootings, AL; Tops Friendly Markets, Buffalo, NY; King Soopers Supermarket, Boulder, CO; and Palm Sunday Massacre, Brooklyn, NY.

Counsel for Amicus, William Sumner Scott, says: "It is the responsibility of this Court to establish rules and procedures to prevent the U.S. and any state government from making false claims."

Appendix D. Was the 2015 Paris Bataclan Attack 'Terrorism'?

By Elias Davidsson, author of *Betrayal of India*, at juscogens.org,

In 2015, the attackers came by car. What became of that car? When did police and special forces arrive to the Paris Bataclan? Why did it take more than two hours* to assault the attackers at the Bataclan? What did the police do in these two hours? Did any independent person witness the police's assault?

Why did they insist that 3 attackers blew themselves up and one was shot dead, if the next day this figure changes? Who witnessed the circumstances in which the attackers died? Why has the situation been described as "hostage taking"? Why did the attackers fail to kill their "hostages"? Did the attackers speak French without accent, as claimed by witnesses? Who from the police negotiated with attackers, as mentioned by witnesses, and about what did they negotiate?

Who issued bomb threats earlier in the day? Who was shooting from the window [to] the outside, as experienced by *Le Monde* journalists? Why were weapons left in the car in Montreuil? **Will the police release the CCTVs from the attacks that it is currently examining?** From where did the attackers obtain weapons, explosives and cars? **How could the police immediately identify the type of explosives used?**

What did the Procureur de Paris mean when he said that five terrorists had been "neutralized"? Were they killed? What was the origin of the IS communiqués? From where were they sent? How is it possible to authenticate these communiqués?

(Mary Maxwell adds: In regard to Boston, there are claims that a mercenary group, Craft International, was a major player on Marathon Day. You can see many videos on YouTube that show several men in Craft uniform (khaki pants, black baseball cap with logo) standing around the Finish Line after the bombing. I am unable to check them out. Jahar's defenders should at least have subpoena'd Craft to ask if the guilty black backpack features the Craft logo. -- Yes, it does.)

*The Port Arthur scenario at Seascope also had a police standdown.

A Glossary of Legal Terms

Accessory after the fact – prevents the crime being subjected to justice by hiding the event or lying about it.

Affidavit – You provide knowledge under penalty of perjury

Authority – Someone, not just anyone, who can impose law.

Adjudication – Applying of the law to a particular dispute.

Balance of Probabilities – A lesser standard for judgment than “beyond reasonable doubt.” Usable in civil trials.

Conveying False Information – Both a tort and a crime (18 USC 35) – the action is to be brought in the name of the US.

Common Law, the – A collection of cases over centuries that judges can use as a basis for adjudicating.

Court of Equity – You can ask a regular court to apply principles of equity rather than strict law, to achieve justice.

Custom – A basis of law; how people have traditionally seen a practice to be right or wrong.

Due Process – The process that is due, i.e., owed, to a citizen.

Extrinsic Fraud -- Fraud occurring outside the case, but influenced it, such as something that prevented the accused from being heard.

Inns of Court – Medieval associations of barristers that had disciplinary control over them — today, Bar Associations.

Jurisdiction – You must choose correct court by its subject matter.

Jurisprudence – Accumulation of the wisdom of judges via cases, or interpretation by jurists, such as academics.

Justice – Fairness, balance. You can seek justice against unfairness by applying to the court as a plaintiff, thus asking society to come to your aid against a bad person. You must report crime to police.

Master of the Rolls – Medieval keeper of written material for the court (on rolls of parchment). Today, the clerk of court.

Maxims of Law – Well-established observations, such as “He who flees judgement confesses his guilt” or practical principles, such as “A judicial writ does not fail through defect of form.”

No Respector of Persons – The law does not look at your status in society. (Of course that means it *shouldn't* do so.)

Openness – Normally anything that takes place in court is public. However, there may be reason to seal the records for a time, e.g., for national security or to protect a child's privacy.

Precedent – What has been decided in the past will remain good law until overturned by a new precedent.

Private law – Most lawsuits are “private law” even though conducted by a public court, as the matter in dispute is between two citizens, not between a citizens and society. The main types of private law are contract law and tort law.

Punishment – Specific punishment for a crime must be stated in the law, e.g., imprisonment, hard labor, fines, community service, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains (not, e.g., “sexual humiliation”).

Remedy – A judge must find a remedy that will satisfy the parties and society. Maxim: *Boni judicis est ampliare jurisdictionem*. “It is the duty of a good judge to amplify the remedies.”

Restraining order -- Court can make an order to forbid a person to go to a certain area, can postpone a house sale, etc. Note: the courts never close. They provide a phone number that you can use in an emergency.

Settlement -- The two parties voluntarily produce the remedy.

BIBLIOGRAPHY of Forty-Nine or Fifty Books

What can be done? I've set out seven piles, of seven books each, that may help you find your way.



1. Explaining personal agency:

Philp Allott, **Eutopia**. Elgar Publishing, 2017.

John Gatto, **Dumbing Us Down**. New Society Publishers, 2005.

Christiane Munkholm, **Atlas Decrypted**. Woolsthorpe, 2021.

Klaus Schwab and Thierry Malleret, **The Great Reset**. Forum Books, 2020.

Edward O Wilson, **The Origins of Creativity**. Norton, 2017.

Anthony Kubek, **How the Far East Was Lost**. Regnery, 1963.

Mary W Maxwell, **Fraud Upon the Court**. Trine Day, 2015.

2. A very few can make decisions that affect all:

Gerard Colby and Charlotte Dennett, **Thy Will Be Done**. Harper Collins, 1995

Fritz Springmeier, **Bloodlines of the Illuminati**. iLLamanti Research Group, 2019.

Lionel Tiger, **Men in Groups**. Nelson, 1969.

Rosalie Gordon, **Nine Men against America**. Western Islands, 1958.

Emily Sweeney, **Boston Organized Crime**. Arcadia, 2012.

Dan Briody, **The Halliburton Agenda**. Wiley 2004.

Mary Maxwell, **Reunion: Judging the Family Court**. Lulu, 2019.

3. Behavior is deeply guided by our biology:

- Temple Grandin and Catherine Johnson, **Animals in Translation**. Harcourt, 2005.
- Neil Shubin, **Your Inner Fish**. Random House, 2009.
- Lionel Tiger and Robin Fox, **The Imperial Animal**. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1971.
- Carveth Read, **Man and His Superstitions**. Cambridge University Press, 1925.
- George Orwell, **Animal Farm**. Harcourt, 1946.
- Walter Ong, SJ. **Orality and Literacy**
- Mary W Maxwell, **Deliverance**. Lulu.com, 2019.

4. Wars get started in various ways:

- Anton Chaitkin, **Treason in America**. Franklin House, 1984.
- Ludwig von Mises, **Omnipotent Government**. Libertarian Press, 1985.
- Carroll Quigley, **Evolution of Civilizations**. Macmillan, 1971.
- Douglas Valentine, **The CIA As Organized Crime**. Clarity, 2017.
- Nesta Webster, **Cause of World Unrest**. Morning Post, 1920.
- John McManus, **Changing Commands**. John Birch Society, 1995.
- Mary Maxwell, **Morality among Nations**. State Uni of NY, 1990.

5. Outrageous activities today:

- Elana Freeland, **Under an Ionized Sky**. Feral House, 2018.
- Kevin Robert Ryan, **Another Nineteen**. Microbloom, 2013.
- Terry Reed and J Cummings, **Compromised**. Clandestine, 1995.
- Karen Wetmore, **Surviving Evil**. Manitou communications, 2014.
- Chalmers Johnson, **The Sorrows of Empire**. Henry Holt, 2004.
- Joachim Hagopian, **Pedophilia and Empire**. 2020.
- Mary W Maxwell, **Consider the Lilies**. Trine Day, 2013.


6. Conspiracy theory, as such:

- Arthur Thompson, **Shadows of the Deep State**. W Islands, 2018.
- Lance deHaven-Smith, **Conspiracy Theory in America**. University of Texas Press, 2013.

Paul Kengor, **The Devil and Karl Marx**. Tan Books, 2020.
 James Fetzer and Mike Palecek, eds, **The Parkland Puzzle**. Moon
 Rock Books, 2018.
 Steven A Ross, **And Nothing Happened...** 2008.
 James Perloff, **Truth Is a Lonely Warrior**. Refuge Books, 2013.
 Mary W Maxwell, **Boston's Marathon Bombing**. Lulu 2021.

7. Books that recommend solutions:

Tom Mueller, **Crisis of Conscience**. Riverhead Books, 2019.
 Zephyr Teachout, **Break 'em Up**. All Points Books, 2020.
 Ben Greenstein, **The Fragile Male**. Carol Publishing, 2013.
 Louis Fisher, **Constitutional Dialogues**. Princeton University
 Press, 1988.
 Lyndon LaRouche, **The Power of Reason**. Executive Intelligence
 Review, 1987.
 Judith Shklar, **The Faces of Injustice**. Yale University Press,
 1990.
 Mary W Maxwell, **Prosecution for Treason**. Trine Day, 2011.



Harken to a fiftieth book, the most important by far:
 Edward O Wilson, **Half-Earth**. Norton, 2016:
 HOW TO STOP DESTROYING PRECIOUS ECOSYSTEMS

A Note to Homeschoolers and Parents:

Dear 12th graders, after digesting the material in this book, you should be able to hold forth easily on these topics:

1. What is the theme of the US Constitution?
2. What are grand juries supposed to do?
3. How does government control television?
4. Is transhumanism OK?
5. Can you sue someone who harms the nation?
6. Are grassroots militias legal?
7. In what way was the 2020 pandemic planned?
8. In Magna Carta, what did the king agree to?
9. What's the law for making a citizen's arrest?
10. Why doesn't the DOJ prosecute treason?
11. How strong is the Fourth Amendment?
12. What did Dr Richard Day predict in 1969?
13. Do we treat our soldiers and veterans well?
14. When was the cure for polio discovered?
15. What are “insect allies”? Do you approve?
16. Who gets to design American culture?
17. How did doctors react to the autism epidemic?
18. Would amnesties for the elite help society?
20. Why is it essential for schools to teach History?
21. How does the emotion of fear work?
22. How can you protect a whistleblower?
23. How is the US's immigration policy arrived at?
24. Why was the Smith-Mundt Act repealed?
25. What is the role of truth in human life?
26. Can martial law be declared in US? By whom?
27. What is civil disobedience? Is it lawful?
28. Why is punishment for sailors' mutiny so harsh?
29. What are common ways for rigging an election?
30. Please argue *against* any opinion aired in this book.

About the Author

Mary Maxwell was born Mary Whalen in Irish-Catholic Boston. Stamped out by the cookie cutter that was the parochial schools, she has never regretted it. Mary graduated from Emmanuel College and then somehow obtained a Masters in Liberal Arts at Johns Hopkins. Met George Maxwell in London and went to Australia to enjoy 20 years with him. Before his death in 2000, George enrolled her in law school, a wise and loving move. She already had a PhD in Politics from the University of Adelaide.

Mary puts those degrees to use in the two standard ways: running for office, and filing *pro se* lawsuits against government! In November 2019, she filed a RICO suit, *Maxwell v FBI* and registered as a candidate for the Republican primary of 2020 in New Hampshire. The incumbent president, Donald Trump, came first, an ex-governor of Massachusetts, William Weld came second, and Mary came third. Not close, though.

Thinking about the 1996 Port Arthur massacre in Australia, Maxwell got interested in "truth" which is to say "conspiracy theory." In 2015, she and her pal Dee McLachlan wrote a book on Port Arthur. After that, she discovered the trick of attending hearings at coronial inquests and Royal Commissions, and can tell you that what the media reports of those proceedings is far from what she heard with her little old ears. Her best two books are *Consider the Lilies* on cancer cures, and *Prosecution for Treason*.

The book at hand is Mary's first attempt to reach the young -- although, under the pseudonym Fortunata Fifi, she has produced a book for the age-14 set, entitled *Teen Etiquette with Feeling*. At the Adelaide Fringe Festival, Maxwell wrote 5 plays including a moot court trial, hence her inventing the term 'Grass Court.' She has also advocated for Mums of stolen children; yes, child trafficking goes on, worldwide, and is a true horror and effrontery to God.

Index

.