


# ELIAS DAVIDSSON



## PALESTINIAN JEW AND 9/11 TRUTHER

Mary W Maxwell , PhD, LLB

ISBN: 979-8-89034-546-2

**Copyright** Mary Maxwell, 2023

**Keywords:**

Elias Davidsson, Nine Eleven, April Gallop, right to truth, Bataclan shootings, UNSC Resolution 1368, Larry Silverstein, Kevin Robert Ryan, Graeme MacQueen, anti-Semitism, Judy Wood, Nikola Tesla, Edward Ballinger, Bev Eckert

Author's email address: [MaxwellMaryLLB@gmail.com](mailto:MaxwellMaryLLB@gmail.com)

Author's websites: [www.ConstitutionAndTruth.com](http://www.ConstitutionAndTruth.com)

and [www.JaharCompletelyInnocent.com](http://www.JaharCompletelyInnocent.com)

*Cover design by Dee McLachlan*

*Photo from Palestine (1940s) at [travelfilmarchive](http://travelfilmarchive.com),  
remastered by Cherri Bonney*

To you

and you, and you, and you, and you, and you



(L) Instructions for playing Davidsson's "Yiddish Wedding"

(C) Elias Davidsson at Diplomatic Enclave interview

(R) Child playing Davidsson's Christmas Bells.

(All photos from YouTube.com)

## PREFACE

On April 7, 2022, the world lost a good friend. Elias Davidsson died unexpectedly at age 81 in Koln, Germany. Since at least 2006 he had been deeply immersed in the study of 'Nine-Eleven.' He wanted to educate us about that famous day.

In Iceland (population today still only 330,000), Elias was both a piano teacher and composer of avant-garde music. The odd spelling of the name Davidsson came about because Iceland required him to icelandicize his name.

To say he was scholarly is an understatement. Although not connected to Academia, Elias Davidsson was a researcher committed to accuracy and impartiality. He believed in human rights and was sure international law could give impetus to their fulfillment. Granted, he knew that contemporary politics laughed at that idea, but really you couldn't laugh Elias into silence. He knew right from wrong and that was that.

Elias was born in January 1941, with the surname Kahn. His parents had escaped Germany in the 1930s when threatened by the voluminous anti-Jewish legislation. There was not yet an Israel; Palestine was under a mandate of Britain as part of the 1919 Treaty of Versailles. Elias was born in Petah-Tikva in 1941, raised in Jerusalem, and later lived in Tel Aviv.

He stayed for a while in France, where he picked up that language. He spent a year in Germany in 1960, before moving to New York City where he worked for IBM as a systems analyst. In 1962 he moved to Iceland and stayed until 2008. He had a very happy marriage to a lady from Poland and they have one son, David.

Jerusalem is the center of the Abrahamic religions and Elias made a point of telling everybody of his having lived in peace together with Muslims, other Jews, and Christians. No doubt this made him oppose later hostilities. He railed at US sanctions against Iraq and abhorred the blaming of Muslims for 9/11.

Note: In this book I will occasionally "box" a key paragraph in case the reader has time only to skim.

## **A Brief Bibliography**

Davidsson published seven books and numerous articles, gave lectures, and made videos. His books in English include *Hijacking America's Mind on 9/11*; *The Betrayal of India*; *The London Transport Bombings*; and *America's Betrayal Confirmed* (which he nicknamed "ABC.")

His website, [juscogens.org](http://juscogens.org), contains not only his thoughts on law but the thoughts of others who wanted to post their work there. His family plans to keep that website going. It's published in four languages: German, English, French, Icelandic.

In the early Oughts, both I and Elias were frequent commenters at a list-serve, which would nowadays be called a chat group, at the website of the American Society of International Law, ASIL. I was not yet a card-carrying dissident, and there was nothing happening at ASIL about 9/11, and probably still isn't. The conversation was only about international law.

Other commenters at the ASIL list-serve were Prof Anthony d'Amato (famous for his line "It's a bird, it's a plane, it's jus cogens"), Prof Alfred Rubin, author of *Ethics and Authority in International Law*, and Charlie Gittings, the indomitable advocate for the Geneva Conventions. (I think Charlie's death at age 56 was due to you-know-what, as he always stated the law of war so clearly and "We can't have that.")

So, years later, when I saw Elias' name somewhere regarding 9/11, I could quickly appreciate his views. In 2014, I wrote to him asking if he would answer some written questions, as an interview, which I emailed to him (shown below). Minutes later -- I really mean minutes later -- the item came flying back to me, with no cover letter. I thought it was a rude refusal. Later, I looked at it more closely and saw that he had entered concise answers under each of my questions.

Undoubtedly his masterpiece is the 800-page investigation of the Mumbai hotel bombings, entitled *The Betrayal of India*. Elias was able to tear the official story apart by using mostly open-source material. He spent years on it, getting it right. Luckily he won an award for doing so. The article I wrote about the award was entitled "Davidsson Knocks 'em Dead in Islamabad." The speech he gave, accepting the award, is on a YouTube video, and is well worth an hour's watch. It's about truth.

About the Mumbai book, Adeela Naureen of Zimbabwe wrote:

"Elias Davidsson has rebutted the Indian narrative and proved with authenticity that the Indian version was totally concocted, based on deceit and outright lies, and that it was promulgated through a well thought out disinformation campaign ensconced in hyperbole."

Pleasing people was not Herr Davidsson's hobby. Getting the facts -- accurately -- was his goal. I often told him he was a top candidate for the Fusspot Award. After I read his book, *Hijacking America's Mind on 9/11*, which is largely about the phone calls made by persons on the 9/11 planes, such as Barbara Olsen, I suggested that he revise it to be more casual. "No way, Jose" — or words to that effect — was his reply.

Felicity Hingston, a schoolteacher in Sydney Australia, reviewed Elias's German book, *Der Gelbe Bus* -- *The Yellow Bus*. It's about a fake terrorist scene in Berlin in 2016, involving a rampaging truck at Christmas time. She wrote:

"Mr Davidsson has done an excellent expose of the Berlin 'event' and I can only hope that those 'silent to date' accept his invitation to contribute – be they facts, corrections or omissions – in order for the truth to emerge about all such 'events' AND to send the message to the global leaders-would-be-puppeteers that we are NOT THEIR PUPPETS."

### **The Importance of a Jewish-Palestinian Voice**

Name one other person of whom it can be said "X is a civic-minded German, a proud Jew, and a defender of all persecuted groups, especially Muslims." Herr Davidsson was all three. This is not to say he supported the government of Israel. And Zionism was not his cup of tea. But he was alert to the blaming of persons based on their Jewish identity.

This is important, as plenty of ink has been spilled concerning Israel's role in 9/11 and more generally in controlling America's foreign policy. While we cannot pinpoint who had the technology to destroy the Twin Towers, we can piece together the cover-up and the suppression of facts and thusly recognize many of the culprits. Ethnically, they are a mixed bag.

Personally, I can't stand it when somebody says "Israel runs America." I say America runs America, and I'm one of the responsible Americans who runs it, thank you very much. If the US did the 9/11 attack on itself (which is not a rarity, false-flag operations abound), then I am to blame for letting the matter proceed -- even today.



Gosh, Elias will be missed by his many friends. As far as I know, he was in good health. His death was reportedly due to difficulty breathing and it was chalked up to Covid. I can assure you that Elias was furious over the dishonesty of the pandemic and especially discouraged about the way children in Germany were absorbing “the new normal.”

I hereby request that folks take up Davidsson's suggestion to form local Truth Commissions. Why ever not?

Work should be done at high-school level, too. We are only beginning to see the extent to which a curriculum has been in place to give kids the wrong lowdown on truth's role in society. They are taught that any ends justify the means. For example, a seller has to misrepresent his products so people will be sure to buy it. A nation forms an alliance with another nation for mis-stated reasons. "Everything's up for grabs."

No, it isn't. Truth is a value that won't go away. In Psalms 85:11 we read the lovely promise: “Truth shall spring forth from the earth.”

And as for law, law is the standard human way of coping with what otherwise would be a free-for-all for evil. Trust me.

Young people, Elias is passing the torch to you. Please take it up!

Mary W Maxwell   Concord New Hampshire   April 16, 2023

## **ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS**

BPAT Building Performance Assessment Team  
DEW Directed Energy weapon (Tesla technology)  
ECHR European Court of Human Rights  
EMT Emergency Medical Technician  
ECHR European Court of Human Rights (Strasbourg)  
FAA Federal Aviation Administration  
FDNY Fire Department of New York City  
FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency  
FOIA Freedom of Information Act  
ICC International Criminal Court  
NATO North Atlantic Treaty Organization  
NEADS Northeast Air Defense Sector (of US military)  
NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology  
NORAD North American Aerospace Defense Command  
NTSB National Transportation Safety Board  
OEM Office of Emergency Management  
PNAC Project for a New American Century  
RGS Remote Ground Stations (to relay electronic messages to/from aircraft)  
UNCHR United Nations Committee on Human Rights  
UNSC United Nations Security Council  
VCF Victim Compensation Fund  
WTC-7 Building 7 of the World Trade Center in New York



*The Shanksville crash site, so to speak.*

*Photo: state.gov*

\* Homer Barron told the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette that he and his coworker, Jeff Phillips, drove to the “crash scene” and found there a smoky hole in the ground: “It didn't look like a plane crash as there was nothing that looked like a plane.”

\* Scott Spangler, a photographer with a local newspaper, said: “I didn't think I was in the right place. I was looking for a wing or a tail. There was nothing, just this pit.... I was looking for anything that said tail, wing, plane, metal. There was nothing.”

\* Jon Meyer, a reporter with WJAC-TV, said, “I was able to get right up to the edge of the crater.... All I saw was a crater filled with small, charred plane parts. Nothing that would even tell you that it was the plane.... There were no suitcases, no recognizable plane parts, no body parts.

\* Rick King, a local assistant volunteer fire chief, who saw the crater at the crash site, said, “Never in my wildest dreams did I think half the plane was down there.” King sent his men into the woods to search for the plane's fuselage, but they kept coming back, telling him, “Rick. There's nothing.”

\* Faye Hahn, an emergency medical technician who arrived at the crash site, stated: “Several trees were burned badly and there were papers everywhere. We searched...I was told that there were 224 passengers, but later found out that there were actually forty. I was stunned. There was nothing there.”

-- From Elias Davidsson, *America's Betrayal Confirmed* (2020)

**INTERVIEW.** Elias Davidsson and Mary Maxwell, in 2014:

Mary Maxwell: I would say that the most vivid section of your 2013 book, "Hijacking America's Mind," is the portrait of "life on Mars" – I mean the stark emptiness of the ground at Shanksville, Pennsylvania, where the "Let's Roll" plane is said to have crashed. To any onlooker except the willfully blind, that scene has no plane wreckage in it whatsoever.

Elias Davidsson: ... Many observers were amazed at the sight, but only a few dared to question what they saw (or did not see). I find it revealing that the FBI did not allow any documentation of the alleged recovery of the plane from the pit and claimed after merely 12 days to have recuperated 95% of the plane.

Maxwell: When did you come to see the official story of 9/11 as not believable?

Davidsson: Gradually since 2002 but definitely around 2004.

Maxwell: Myself, I did not notice the problem until 2005. For years I have seen on your website, [juscogens.org](http://juscogens.org), an offer to pay \$10,000 to anyone who would prove the official case for 9/11. Have you had any nibbles?

Davidsson: No. I've removed the offer as no one responded.

Maxwell: What is the main idea of your new [2013] book?

Davidsson: To definitely **debunk the legend** that a group of Muslim/Arab fanatics perpetrated the **mass-murder of 9/11**.

Maxwell: Your book indicates that, in the trial of Zacarias Moussaoui, the FBI presented bogus passenger seating plans that include the seats of alleged hijackers. Offering false material to a US court would constitute perjury. Have you tried to challenge the FBI on this?

Davidsson: No, because I have no legal standing.

Maxwell: I see that the Wall Street Journal of May 16, 2013 gave an unusual acknowledgement of the “conspiracy view.” It said:

“During the 2006 Moussaoui Trial, the FBI (under oath) reduced the number of cell phone calls to two calls made from 5,000 feet, and presented evidence of only one (not two) “unconnected” call from Barbara Olson, lasting “0 seconds.” ... [A]lthough the FBI conducted a massive investigation into the calls, none of the telephone billing, nor any of the cell phone location data stored in standard phone company records has been publicly released.”

Davidsson: The Wall Street Journal may have been responding to my book, which had been published two weeks earlier, and to the work done by the 9/11 Consensus Panel.

Maxwell: On what matter did you write to Judge Brinkema?

Davidsson: I wrote Judge Leonie Brinkema on March 17, 2006 as a response to alleged contradictory directions she gave to the jury in the trial of Zacarias Moussaoui. She told them first “I assume every one of you is aware of what happened on September 11, 2001, and has watched or read extensive media coverage about

that day and has watched news reports or read about Al Qaeda” and then added, “Persons on trial must be judged not on the basis of what is in the news or popular media, but rather on the hard evidence presented in the courtroom during the trial.

I expressed to her my concern that “the failure by your Court to establish, according to standards of evidence required in criminal law, that the crime of 9/11 was committed by the nineteen alleged hijackers, may represent a gross miscarriage of justice” and urged her to reconsider her decisions. This remained, as we now know, a futile and perhaps naive undertaking on my part.

Maxwell: I personally don’t think it was either futile or naive. One has to start somewhere to put some balance and common sense back into this thing, and you are just the man to do it. Your exhaustive research into the phone calls from all the planes of 9/11 is meticulous. I am interested in this statement you made in *Hijacking America’s Mind*:

“When attempting to solve a criminal mystery, formal operations [can be] used to discover the unknown. These operations include deduction, induction, tests of logical coherence, tests of reliability and plausibility.... Where major pieces of evidence have been destroyed, the solution to a criminal mystery may not yield a precise answer but can provide an approximation....

Davidsson: You may see that I followed that up, on page 15, by quoting approvingly the conservative approach of Nafeez Ahmed in his book *"The War on Truth and the Anatomy of Terrorism"* (2005: xiii). He said: “Although I attempt to outline what seem to me the obvious deductions from the available facts, the actual

value of my work is in the facts themselves. The readers are...free...to draw their own overarching conclusions.”

Maxwell: I agree that it is the facts in your book that matter. They are stunning. Probably it helps that you are a foreigner to the US, and thus not worried about using logic in matters that Americans consider emotional. By the way, most Americans don't know that the 9/11 families got a gift of approximately one million dollars each. Did you know of it?

Davidsson: They got on the average \$2.1 million per family.

Maxwell: How does your book fit with international law?

Davidsson: The mass-murder of 9/11 was a “crime against humanity” under customary international law. This places a legal obligation on the US to search for and prosecute the perpetrators. The crime falls also under the doctrine of universal jurisdiction, providing third states with the potential (or even duty) to arrest any person suspected of having participated in the crime. This obligation of states is also a corollary of the right to the truth of victims' families. The right to the truth is one of the remedies to which victims of the violation of the right to life are entitled.

Maxwell: Your book condemns the “entire academic class” for shutting down its brain in regard to 9/11. What should academe do now?

Davidsson: It does not need to know anything. It needs to think rationally. Then it will seek knowledge, as required.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface .....	5
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	10
Quotes by Witnesses at Shanksville .....	11
Interview .....	12
Student Guide.....	18
Foreword by Dee McLachlan.....	19
Words of Praise for Elias Davidsson.....	21

### Part ONE -- Criticism of the 9/11 Event

1. The "Terrorism" Case is Closed. Period.	Jul. 24, 2020... 23
2. Quick Summary of Elias's 2020 Book	<u>Jan. 7, 2021</u> ... 33
3. Sleuthing: Was Jarrah Killed pre-9/11?	<u>May 17, 2019</u> ...43
4. Comparing Several 9/11 Books	July 18, 2021...48
5. Eventually Haneef Got an Apology	July 24, 2020... 55

### Part TWO -- Elias Davidsson Hunted False Flags

6. France -- The Bataclan Concert Shootings	<u>Dec.16, 2015</u> ... 62
7. Australia -- Hostages and 'Commonalities'	<u>Jan. 24, 2017</u> ... 65
8. Germany -- Truck Rampage in Berlin	Mar. 19, 2018...70
9. India -- The Mumbai Hotel Bombings	Apr. 19, 2018...76
10. Britain -- Who Bombed London's Tube?	Apr. 5, 2023... 83

### Part THREE -- How Can Law Help Us Think?

11. A Human Right to Truth, Hooray!	<u>April 4, 2023</u> .... 91
12. Covid and the Ghost of Eichmann	<u>Oct. 18, 2020</u> ...101
13. Sanctioning April Gallop's Judge	Sept. 23, 2019...107
14. UN Security Council Resolution 1368	<u>July 17, 2020</u> ...117
15. Punishing Israel's War Crimes	<u>Sept. 17, 2019</u> ...123



## Part FOUR -- Elias Wrote Satire, Too

16. Generous One-Star Amazon Review	<u>Sept. 25, 2019</u> ...134
17. German Ice Cream Police during Covid	<u>June 15, 2021</u> ...142
18. Did Larry Silverstein Fake His Guilt?	<u>Apr. 19, 2019</u> ...147
19. Homo Caninus May Be Our Future	<u>June 14, 2020</u> ..157
20. Chancellor Merkel, Please Do Good	<u>Oct. 20, 2018</u> ...162

## Part FIVE -- Articles on Related Topics

21. Kevin R. Ryan's Other 19 Suspects	Aug. 6, 2017... 166
22. On Resistance to Evil by Force	Oct. 23, 2022.. 172
23. Judy Wood's Dustification Makes Sense	Mar. 25, 2023.. 179
24. The Cruelty of Anti-Semitism	Mar. 16, 2023..187
25. The Judiciary Thwarts All Truthers	Apr. 6, 2023...194

*Note: Dates shown are the date the article was published at Gumsboe News. Underlining of date indicates that Elias Davidson was the author of the article.*

About the Author .....	199
------------------------	-----

Bibliography.....	200
-------------------	-----

Epilogue: The Great Purge .....	201
---------------------------------	-----

Index.....	204
------------	-----

## **STUDENTS!**

After reading this book, Year-12 students should be able to speak to their friends or their class on these topics:

1. How does truth affect us? What are the main roles of honesty? What happens to a society that accepts lies?
2. What is the official story of 9/11? To what extent were the facts proven by the government?
3. What is the argument of those who say the 9/11 story is false?
4. Name some similarities among these alleged terrorist events: the Tube bombings in London in 2005, the hotel bombings in India in 2008, a truck rampage in Berlin in 2015.
5. Do people have a right to truth? Who would enforce it?
6. How did the UN Security Council vote, on September 12, 2001, regarding an attack on Afghanistan?
7. Have Americans ever revolted against their king?
8. Do the media have a right to propagandize us and/ or mislead us? If so, why?
9. What are your thoughts on humanity's future?
10. Give some make-believe advice to a young person who is eager to get involved in relieving society's stresses.

## FOREWORD

by Dee McLachlan

What a treat it is for me to see Elias Davidsson's Table of Contents consisting of 25 articles from my website, Gumshoe News. Ten years ago, I founded this news site in hopes of competing with mainstream media. We have not said *Auf Wiedersehen* to truth, indeed it is making a comeback.

Dealing with Elias was a pleasure, as he was always formal, but with humor, and always a gentleman, expressing his gratitude for having a forum for his English writing. The Gumshoe website frequently digs into the 9/11 case, and other false-flag operations, including "Je suis Charlie," in which a pair of Muslim brothers became the scapegoats, killed by French police.

The foremost false flag in Australia was a staged massacre in 1996, about which Mary Maxwell and I have co-authored a book, "Port Arthur: Enough Is Enough." A 2017 car rampage in Melbourne has its counterpart in the truck rampage in Berlin, about which Elias wrote "Der Gelbe Bus." I'm grateful to Gumshoe contributor Felicity Hingston for reviewing that German-language book, as reprinted in Part 2 below.

I grew up in South Africa. From childhood until 1990, when the apartheid regime ended, I had to cope with suppression of truth. When you know that any thoughts you have about making justice happen will be censored, you start to censor yourself. Elias Davidsson defied censorship -- he was OK with "thought crime." Towards the end, he was getting prickly

about the new tyranny in Germany, as you will see from his article on "the ice cream police during Covid."

In early 2022, we asked Elias if he would update his 2015 item on the Bataclan shootings in Paris. He replied that not one hour could be spared for this task, but said he would very soon be presenting his major Bataclan findings. He did not live to do that.

Thank you for your life work, Teacher. We will miss you. Still, we know your efforts have paved the way for others to stand up for what is right. Your defense of human rights law was unique. Palestinians, as well as 9/11 investigators, are in your debt.

*Vale.*

***Blurbs from the cover of America's Betrayal Confirmed:***

"All too few journalists have the skills, not to mention the courage, to probe into the darker sides of the military-industrial complex. This book represents such efforts. They should be commended and encouraged.

-- Ögmundur Jónasson, *former Minister of Interior, Iceland*

\*

Elias Davidsson is a proven expert on covert state terrorism, including the Christmas market terror attack in Berlin in 2005.

-- Dr Ludwig Watzal, *journalist and author, Germany*

\*

Based on my own research on the collapse of WTC-7, I wholeheartedly support Mr Davidsson's scholarly efforts to elucidate the facts on the crime of 9/11 that grievously affected domestic and international politics in the 21st century." -- Dr Daniel Ganser, *Swiss author of "NATO's Secret Armies: Operation Gladio"*

\*

This book is a must read to understand 9/11 and how it was used to extend American power across the world. -- Dr Zafarul-Islam Kahn, *Chairman, Delhi Minorities Commission, India*

\*

Guided by the quest for truth and justice, Davidsson provides one of the best forensic overviews of the "crime of the century" available. Concise, accessible and meticulously evidenced.

-- Dr David Hughes, *senior lecturer in International Relations, University of Lincoln, UK*

\*

[From the Foreword of Elias's 2020 book] 'The attacks were planned to have a catalytic effect on the public mind.

-- Dr Andreas von Bülow, *former secretary of state in the German Federal Ministry of Defense*

## **PART ONE**

### **CRITICISM OF THE 9/11 EVENT**

## 1. The "Terrorism" Case Is Closed. Period.



*Bush's cousin Andrew Card tells him about the planes. Photo: CNBC*

*by Mary W Maxwell*

This book makes three statements: 1. The 9/11 case is now closed; the "terrorist" story is an utter fraud. 2. The only way to deal with this matter is to stick to law and its principles of truth and justice. 3. We can't afford to neglect sorting 9/11 out, as the road of fantasy we have been living on since 2001 is leading to far worse things -- so let's take action!

I couldn't put all that into the title of my book! So, instead, I used the name "Elias Davidsson" as the title. He is a veritable metaphor for all three statements.

The five Parts of this book do not need to be read in the order given. If you haven't much background in conspiracy theory, you may wish to jump right into "Part Two: Elias Davidsson Hunted False Flags." I challenge you to read it and NOT conclude, as Elias concluded, that terrorist events were faked in France, Australia, Germany, India, and the UK.

Or go read Part Three: The Law, and I dare you to NOT come away with an appreciation for the power of law -- as well as

recognition of how the law has been perverted in the interest of power, to the point of making major mockery of it.

**The WTC.** On September 11, 2001, the Twin Towers in New York City were attacked. Over two thousand people died instantly and subsequently many more died from breathing the air around "Ground Zero." Even those numbers were small compared to the hundreds of thousands of people in the Middle East who then died from American attacks on them.

The war against Afghanistan, allegedly related to Osama bin Laden, began 26 days later, on October 7, 2001. The invasion of Iraq began on March 19, 2003. Tens of millions of people around the world protested the invasion of Iraq. Today, billions of people dislike America for its aggression and deceit.

There was never a criminal charge brought against Osama bin Laden and no proof offered that he or any other Muslims had been the attackers of New York's WTC (World Trade Center)!

We now know that the destruction of the twin towers was done by extremely sophisticated technology with plenty of planning. Thus, high tech people must be said to be the "terrorists." So there's no point ranting that Arab Muslims caused the 9/11 deaths of New Yorkers -- they "didn't have the brains for it."

Did nineteen men commandeer four jumbo jets and suicide themselves as jihadi pilots? That is the official story, but if you read The 9/11 Commission Report (available at [9-11 commission.gov](http://9-11.commission.gov)), you will be amazed at the lack of evidence produced.

Note: Even if the entire story of the amateur pilots hitting the towers were true, that still couldn't account for the collapse of those buildings. Over 3,000 architects and engineers hurried to sign a statement protesting the government's claim that fire from the jet fuel could make those buildings fall. They insist that fire can't melt steel to collapse a skyscraper. And furthermore, the WTC was built to sustain a hit by a plane.



Elias Davidsson (1941-2022) has produced a book entitled *America's Betrayal Confirmed*. Elias spent years reading official documents and contacting key people. I knew him personally, and to say he was honest would be an understatement. He utterly could not stand dishonesty. He was also very thorough, being unwilling to draw conclusions unless he had the needed proofs in hand. As you'll see below, you are on safe ground with him. Personality-wise he was non-political.

Chapter 2 provides a summary of each of the 17 chapters of *America's Betrayal Confirmed*. Throughout my book here, about half of the wordage is by me, the rest consists mainly of material Davidsson wrote, either in his books or in articles he published at Gumshoe News in Australia.

The book at hand is not much concerned with 'hijackers,' or even with the tragedy of September 11, 2001. The real tragedy is occurring today. Please listen. If Arabs in the cockpits did not cause the World Trade Center to fall, what matters is to arrest the criminals who actually did do it. Do I hear you say "Let bygones be bygones"? Hmm. They aren't very by-gone. New Nine-elevens are happening all the time.

**Law.** Part Three of this book is the real, real Elias Davidsson. He wanted to make us look at law and see all that it offers by way of protection against the minority of persons who engage in evil. Personally, I'm very skeptical of international law or of anything that emanates from United Nations headquarters. But even I can see the value of concentrating on legal principles, as are expressed in the *jus-cogens* parts of international law.

Those are similar to what Americans value as the Bill of Rights. Only a piece of paper, you say? Yes, I agree, but the citizens who put the Bill together in 1788 (by debate and ratification) knew what they were doing. They were making a list of the bad things that were *likely* to be done by government unless people bothered to call attention to them. And threaten government.

In Part Three's Chapter 11, Davidson goes so far as to identify a "right to truth" and a right to transparency. Quoting him:

"The fact that a modern state possesses vast powers, including a monopoly on the use of force ... requires the existence of effective safeguards against potential abuse of state power. Accountability, of which the transparency of official conduct is an essential feature [is our safeguard] against arbitrary rule."

I say arbitrary rule is getting normalized today. We don't want that! Who will save us? One of the two political Parties? A military coup? How very unAmerican! We should save ourselves. The only feasible way to do so is by using law.

In Chapter 17, Elias describes an almost unbelievable way in which a fellow German was treated by police for eating an ice cream cone "wrongly" during Covid. And in Chapter 12, he mocks the way bureaucrats today claim they are only following orders, by quoting from the Eichmann trial of 1960, as recorded by Hannah Arendt in her book subtitled "A Report on the Banality of Evil."

For example, on Arendt's page 50, Eichmann says to the judge: "I don't know, everything was as if under an evil spell -- whatever I desired and wanted and planned to do, fate prevented it somehow." Elias' comment to that, in September 2020, was: "Such self-pity is typical of powerful men and women who find themselves in the dock."

And on Arendt's page 99 she quotes Eichmann in the dock: "In actual fact, the situation was just as simple as it was hopeless: the overwhelming majority of the German people believed in Hitler .... Against this solid majority, there stood an indeterminate number of isolated individuals who were completely aware of the national and of the moral catastrophe; they might occasionally know and trust one another, there were friendships among them and an exchange of opinions, but no plan or intention of revolt."

Davidsson commented: "Only an indeterminate number of isolated individuals are 'completely aware of the national and the moral catastrophe' that is being brought upon the world."

Elias and myself, being among that indeterminate number, were always cooking up a plan to use law. My way has nothing to do with the UN. In several books, most recently *"Keep the Republic, Kill the Takeover,"* I have listed nine legal mechanisms available to Americans for governing themselves. Put briefly:

- **citizen's arrest**, where a felony has been committed or is about to be committed
- the medieval **law of outlawry**, which allows or even requires you to capture criminals whom the law can't reach
- use of the **Material Witness Act** to hold a person who has information that we need
- the ability of citizens to **form a grand jury** to investigate and, if appropriate, indict any criminal
- the **duty** of cops and soldiers to **disobey illegal orders**
- the ordinary **law of self-defense**. If someone is about to kill you or seriously injure you or a person close to you
- your right to start a **truth commission** or committee
- your state's right to **nullify** an unconstitutional law
- your right to file a lawsuit, including a civil **RICO** suit.

Obstructing justice is itself a felony. Also, see the little-used Sherman Anti-trust Act that criminalizes "combinations."

Now let's get back to Elias's coverage of the 9/11 event:

## Proving Todd Beamer and Barbara Olsen Calls False

Using Elias's material from an earlier book, *Hijacking America's Mind on 9/11*, I shall show how he used the transcripts of the trial of Zacharias Moussaoui, to refute the media's claims about phone calls made from the planes on 9/11. He thinks they were made from the ground, by persons duly role-playing in a "drill."

The FBI presented documentation about the phone calls made from the four planes on 9/11. Two startling finds were clearly presented by Davidsson in his 2013 book, yet no one has taken up the matter in the ensuing ten years. (I think this is because we do not know what to do with it.)

One is that the cell phone of Todd Beamer continued to make calls long after Flight AA93 was ditched in Shanksville PA. Beamer is the man who allegedly led other male passengers to tackle the hijackers. A movie entitled "Let's Roll" has helped to impress this legend into American minds. If Todd was making calls after the crash, he must not have crashed. Agree?

The other finding from the Moussaoui trial is that Barbara Olsen's cell phone made not two calls in the relevant time period, but only one, and "it lasted for zero seconds." She was the wife of the serving US Solicitor General Ted Olsen at the time. In his new book ("ABC"), Elias mentions that Barbara had previously been Counsel for the House Committee on Oversight, which oversees the CIA. Quite a job! The media had told us only that Barbara was a newscaster for CNN.

Note: It was Mrs Olsen's mention of boxcutters that set the pace for media reports on how the hijackers were able to board the planes "unarmed." How easily we believe cute little details!

**The True Location of Two of the Planes.** Further startling information is found in the testimony given to the 9/11 Commission by Edward Ballinger of United Airlines, who was dispatcher of all 16 UA flights going from the East Coast to West

Coast. On the morning of September 11, 2011, he heard that trouble might be occurring. So he sent a message to all pilots.

Ballinger explains the method. He transmits to the planes and a bell rings in the cockpit to inform the pilot that there is a message. Ballinger gets a receipt showing that his messages did arrive. The receipt identifies which RGS -- remote ground station -- transmitted it to the cockpit. There are hundreds of RGS in the US. He could see from the receipt that the RGS in Champaign Illinois sent the message to the UA flight 93 -- the one in which Todd Beamer said (or didn't say) "Let's roll."

On Page 144 of Davidsson's 2020 book, he says: "Michael J Winter, an official of United Airlines, was asked by the FBI on 28 January 2002 to explain ACARS" (i.e., the way these messages travel). Page 145: "In an interview Michael Winter confirmed that ACARS messages were transmitted to flight UA93 as above." The word 'above' refers to the log of Ballinger's work. It showed that the RGS nearest to Champaign, which is also near Chicago, got the message at 10:10am, 20 minutes after the "crash" and 500 miles from Shanksville.

Furthermore, NEADS commander Col Robert Marr told the 9/11 Commission Staff in 2003 that "his focus was on UA93 which was circling over Chicago." Elias's endnote for that reads: "MFR 03012970. 27 October 2003. Interview with Robert Marr, #562." The letters MFR means Memorandum for the Record, used in the 9/11 Commission Report. Did I tell you Elias is fussy? The book's 926 endnotes are clear and complete.

Elias adds: "I am indebted to blogger Woody Box ('United tracked a different flight 93 than the FAA') 23 September 2009 for this incredible discovery."

Regarding UA175 that supposedly went to New York, "The signal received from the aircraft by the PIT ground station [indicates that the aircraft] was located in the vicinity of Pittsburgh

at 9:23. Hence it did not crash on the South Tower of the WTC." Elias's endnote for this: "ACARS confirmed -- 9/11 aircraft airborne long after crash." He points out that this fact has long been posted to Pilots for 9/11 Truth website.

Page 151 of *America's Betrayal Confirmed*: "Ballinger ...was forced to retire from United Airlines on 31 October 2001 and put on total disability by a psychiatrist of the Social Security Administration."

Note: After the crash, Ballinger did alert another UA pilot, on Flight 23, to go back to the gate. Here is how media handled it:

"Ballinger was told six men initially wouldn't get off the plane. Later, when they did, they disappeared into the crowd, never to return. Later, authorities checked their luggage and found copies of the Qu'ran and al-Qaida instruction sheets."

## **The Way 9/11 Was Investigated**

The London Tube bombings of 2005, which killed 52 people, were not investigated. As will be discussed in Chapter 10, the legal profession allowed this to happen. Granted there was an inquest, but it did not act like an inquest; all relevant information was hidden or was lied about. Isn't that awful?

In the Boston Marathon case, an actual criminal trial took place but the defense and the prosecution were on the same side, namely the side that was determined to frame the patsy, Jahar Tsarnaev. That did not get corrected even when Jahar's Death Row appeal was looked at by the US Supreme Court.

Nine-eleven had a few different types of investigations. First there was a small one, then Congress arranged for the 9/11 Commission. Its official name was The National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States; it was set up in late 2002 by Congress. Its Director, Philip Zelikow, had huge conflicts of interest. Davidsson states, on Page 44:

Zelikow, and his colleagues Ash Carter and John Deutsch, in a paper, said catastrophic terrorism is the “new danger” facing America; and spelled out implications and consequences, "as if they already had it all planned." Elias quotes the 1998 paper:

"Such an act of catastrophic terrorism would be a watershed event in American history. It could **involve loss of life and property unprecedented in peacetime** and undermine America's fundamental sense of security....

"Like Pearl Harbor, this event would divide our past and future into a before and after. The **United States might respond with draconian measures**, scaling back civil liberties, allowing **wider surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects**, and use of deadly force. More violence could follow, either further terrorist attacks or U.S. counterattacks."

FEMA, too, investigated 9/11. In my opinion, prior to 2002, FEMA was unconstitutional. President Carter breathed it into the air in 1979 without statutory foundation. In any case, a private group, the American Institute of Steel Construction contacted FEMA. Elias says on Page 174 that, with others, they:

"formed a special task force to investigate the structural collapses of the World Trade Center buildings. Similarly, a group of engineers from the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) formed a Disaster Response Team within hours [!]

"On the following day, 12 September 2001, FEMA and its contractor, Greenhorne and O'Mara, Inc. established a Building Performance Assessment Team (BPAT) to conduct a formal analysis of what they termed the “progressive collapses” of the buildings, a designation that predetermined the results of their investigation. The BPAT's investigation obtained \$600,000 from FEMA and \$500,000 from ASCE."

In 2002, that was taken over by National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST. Elias states on page 178:

"The draft summary report of the NIST investigation into the disappearance of the Twin Towers was released in 2005. Dr. Hratch Semerjian, Acting Director of NIST, characterized NIST's investigation as 'thorough, open, independent.'

"This was a triple mischaracterization, for NIST ... is not in any conceivable way 'independent' from political interference. NIST's investigation was not 'open' either: The agency withheld all source materials and documents used to arrive at its conclusions. [Is this not proof of government criminality?]

Dear Reader, maybe you don't know the fact that the towers disappeared -- were pulverised or dustified -- into a huge plume. No furniture was found, no filing cabinet, no clocks, no people. Some structural steel was found but it was quickly disposed of, illegally, this being a crime scene. Please go to Chapter 23 below to be persuaded about "Dustification." Per Elias:

"NIST's investigation (a) ignored all testimonies that would have contradicted its collapse theory; and (b) limited its investigation to 'events leading to the collapse,' leaving the 'collapse' itself outside the scope of its investigation."

**Plankism.** My idea of punishment for a handful of men who were so arrogant as to think it was OK for them to kill the people in the towers, and thus launch legislation that wrecks the dignity of the rest of us? I would take them to the top of a high building and make them *walk the plank* built out a window. No hurry to push them off, let them take as long as they like.

It's in the Constitution, by the way. Yes, the dear parchment says, "If they knock down a building, making people jump 90 stories, they, too, will have to jump." OK, OK, a little poetic license there, but Article III, section 3 of the US Constitution does say "The Congress shall have Power to declare the Punishment of Treason" and Congress did legislate death as the punishment, codifying it at 18 USC 2381. What do you think?



## 2. A Quick Summary of *America's Betrayal Confirmed*



*Available as Kindle or pdf from Amazon*

This chapter merely states what you will find in the 17 chapters of Elias Davidsson's book *America's Betrayal Confirmed*. (2020). He wrote the following conclusions to each chapter. I have lightly abridged, edited, and added the bolding.

Chapter 1 does not have a Conclusion.

**Chapter 2:** Students of international affairs will already at this point realize that the main facts of 9/11 were imposed politically, i.e., before any investigation; that the U.S. government attacked Afghanistan without any legal justification; that the U.S. government has not accused Osama bin Laden over 9/11; that it failed to determine the identities of the alleged hijackers; and that U.S. allies have closed their eyes to these facts. This **justifies a thorough citizens' investigation** of the mass murder committed on 9/11.

**Chapter 3:** The FBI **confiscated** immediately after the attacks of 9/11 all available documentation regarding the boarding of the aircraft that were allegedly hijacked. Dozens of witnesses from the airlines and the respective airports were interviewed by the FBI on the very day of the attacks and thereafter. All

existing evidence regarding the boarding of the four 9/11 flights must therefore be in the hands of the U.S. authorities.

**A government innocent of mass murder would be expected not only to seek the truth about the crime,** but to show particular zeal in doing so.... On the basis of evidence provided in this chapter, the following conclusions impose themselves:

Due to the lack of concrete and verifiable evidence that the 19 alleged hijackers boarded the four aircraft, **it is unconscionable and slanderous to accuse these individuals of participation** in the mass-murder.

By consistently refusing to confirm through authenticated documents that the 19 alleged hijackers had boarded the four aircraft, the **U.S. government manifests its bad faith** and justifies the suspicion that it is **covering up crucial facts regarding the mass murder.**

By ignoring the numerous and **glaring contradictions** regarding the identities of the alleged hijackers, the 9/11 Commission manifested its intent to support unsubstantiated government allegations against the accused individuals.

By refusing to release copies of original, authentic flight manifests and to allow interviews with personnel responsible for the boarding of the four aircraft of 9/11, **the airlines manifest their complicity in covering up** the mass murder.

**Chapter 4:** Religious Muslims do not drink alcohol, gamble and engage in extra-marital sex. The common explanation given, as the alleged perpetrators' motive for carrying out 9/11, is that as religious Muslims **they strove to attain martyrdom** and reach paradise. Muslims who systematically violate Islamic norms cannot hope enter paradise. ...

It follows from the above facts, that the persons seen drinking, whoring and gambling in the US preferred earthly delights to the intangibles of paradise. In other words, **they did not intend to die on 9/11**. Several bookings for connecting flights confirm that the respective persons weren't planning death.

**Chapter 5:** One of the reasons adduced by officials to explain why the authorities failed to notice the hijackers while in the U.S., is that they behaved in a discreet manner and did not raise suspicion on themselves. **This claim is false**. As I have shown in this chapter, **several of the alleged hijackers behaved in a particularly provocative manner**, were stopped by the police for speeding, presented themselves repeatedly in government offices, and one of them even emphasized his admiration for Osama bin Laden to a government employee.

The point here is not the apparent lack of suspicion by police officers and others who encountered these “terrorists”. Such lack of suspicion is both plausible and understandable. The point is that **people who plan a terrorist attack in a foreign country would try to give no pretext for being scrutinized or investigated**. Their lack of concern, again, manifests that they had no terrorist plans and felt secure and protected.

[Please see Trevor Aaronson's book *The Terror Factory*. You will be amazed at the number of times FBI has been caught running terrorism by the use of sting operations. Elias Davidsson was aware of the 1993 hit on the basement of the WTC. The patsy, Emad Salem, made **hours of tape** recording of FBI instructing him! NY Times actually reported this on October 28, 1993.]

**Chapter 6:** Numerous pundits have told us that the 9/11 hijackers were extremely well organized, sophisticated. Their best pilot, Hani Hanjour, emerged to be a **hopeless bungler**. In the present chapter we focused on the conduct of the hijackers before 9/11, as documented mainly by the FBI.

We discovered bunglers who lose their driver's licenses and their airline tickets, need a translator at the airport, and do not know what they hold in their hand. **These are the klutzes – so we are told by eminent personalities – who defeated U.S. air defenses on 9/11.**

This chapter reveals for the first time why the person going under the name “Mohamed Atta” was tasked to go to Portland, Maine, on September 10, 2001 and return to Boston on the morning of September 11 with a connecting flight.

*Chapter 7:* The main findings of this chapter are:

1. The FBI, responsible for the investigation of 9/11, **did not carry out an investigation** to determine whether the four aircraft that were allegedly hijacked on 9/11 had actually crashed.
2. The FBI did not carry out a formal identification of the aircraft debris found at the three locations where the aircraft allegedly crashed on 11 September 2001.

In legal parlance, we can say that the US authorities **failed to formally identify the tools of the crime** that resulted in the deaths of approximately 3,000 people on 11 September 2001.

These conclusions are shared by George Nelson, who describes his own experience with the identification of crashed aircraft, as follows:

"In 1989 I graduated from the Aircraft Mishap Investigation Course at the Institute of Safety and Systems Management at the University of Southern California. In addition to my direct participation as an aircraft accident investigator, **I reviewed countless aircraft accident investigation reports** for thoroughness and comprehensive conclusions for the Inspector General, HQ Pacific Air Forces during the height of the Vietnam conflict.

"In all my years of direct and indirect participation, I never witnessed nor **even heard of an aircraft loss, where the wreckage was accessible, that prevented investigators from finding enough hard evidence** to positively identify the make, model, and specific registration number of the aircraft - and in most cases the precise cause of the accident (...)

"not one piece of hard aircraft evidence has been produced in an attempt to positively identify any of the four aircraft."

*Chapter 8:* The main findings of this chapter are:

— Photographic **evidence of aircraft wreckage from the three alleged crash sites** is sparse and inconclusive.

— At none of the three locations designated as aircraft crash sites did eyewitnesses observe wreckage that could plausibly come from a Boeing 757 or 767 aircraft.

— **No bodies or blood were sighted at the UA93 crash site**, but numerous paper documents belonging to UA93 passengers and crew members were reportedly found there.

*Chapter 9:* This chapter provides documentary evidence that at least two of the known 9/11 flights (UA175 and UA93) were still airborne after their alleged crash time. The blueprint for such deception was Operation Northwoods proposed in 1962 by the Joint Chiefs of Staff, signed by Chairman Lemnitzer.

*Chapter 10:* The **hijacking legend** that accompanies the official account of 9/11 **is largely based on phone calls believed to have been made from the aircraft** by passengers and crew members. I found no reason to deny that these calls were made or to suspect that the receivers of these calls deliberately misrepresented the calls. A thorough analysis of all calls is found in my book *Hijacking America's Mind on 9/11*. In

this chapter I provided some of the main reasons for asserting that the **callers could not have reported real events.**

***Chapter 11:* More than 3,000 architects and engineers have become members of Association of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth and demand a new, independent, investigation of the disintegration of the WTC buildings. ...This manifests a professionally significant rejection of NIST's findings.**

Even lay persons cannot fail to note that **NIST officials ignored a host of apparently significant facts, such as the numerous explosions witnessed** before the disintegration of the Twin Towers, and the presence of molten steel in the pile. The evidence presented ... leads to the inevitable conclusion that the Twin Towers were intentionally demolished by some type of explosives. From that conclusion it follows that **the buildings' demolition had to be timed to take place shortly after** the impact of aircraft on the buildings, in order to create the appearance of causality between the events....

Concluding that the WTC buildings' disintegration was not caused by fire, but by explosives, would not only expose the incompetence and/or dishonesty of NIST experts, of obstructing justice in the pursuit of the true perpetrators of 9/11, but **the far greater offense, namely supporting the myth which served to justify wars of aggression and the erosion of constitutional rights.**

***Chapter 12:* NIST's theory of the collapse of WTC-7 is not credible even to a layman. Furthermore, NIST, as an agency of the U.S. government, couldn't be expected to endorse facts that would have incriminated the government in mass-murder.**

Facing the wealth of evidence indicating that WTC-7 was deliberately demolished, **the last line of defense was to contend that rigging the building for a controlled**

**demolition would have been impossible without detection** by the numerous occupants of the building. NIST's Final Report, for example, argues that "preparations for a blast scenario would have included removal of column enclosures or walls, weld torches to cut column sections, and placement of wires for detonation....

**The following conclusion appears inescapable:** The demolition of WTC-7 must have been planned and was most likely coordinated with the destruction of the Twin Towers, the incident at the Pentagon, and **simulated aircraft hijackings**.

Having determined that WTC-7's demolition had been planned to occur on 9/11, the following questions remain: Why was WTC-7 demolished? Was the OEM established in WTC-7 to play a role in the attacks of 9/11? ... Who rigged WTC-7 for demolition? Was WTC-7 scheduled for demolition in the morning but failed? Did people die in WTC-7?

**Chapter 13:** [The book does not provide a conclusion for this chapter. I will quote from Chapter 13 on "Scaring Congress"]:

Dennis Hastert, **Speaker of the House**: "All of a sudden, two of my security guys, one on each side of me, picked me up and whisked me away. I said, 'What's going on?' They said, 'We think there's a fourth plane and we think it's headed for the Capitol.'" Tom Daschle, **Majority Leader, U.S. Senate**: "There was a mad scramble, literally running out of the Capitol building. The cell phones weren't working.... I recall feeling almost like a refugee, standing in line waiting to get my turn to use the landline to call my wife." **Rep. Porter Goss**: [ex-CIA] "There wasn't any plan. You've now taken 535 of the most important people in the country and put them out on the lawn."

*Chapter 14:* The U.S. authorities failed in their legal and political obligations to thoroughly and impartially investigate the crime of 9/11: They failed to investigate the crashes; they tried to prevent a congressional investigation; they tried to undermine that investigation once it took place.... The United States judiciary failed to bring to justice even one person implicated in the mass murder of 9/11. Germany sentenced an innocent person for 9/11, probably to please its U.S. masters.

*Chapter 15:* This chapter provides evidence that the U.S. government established a **sophisticated mechanism to deter the families of 9/11 victims from voicing embarrassing questions about the events.** The method was successful. The main actors in this psychological operation were **Special Master Kenneth R. Feinberg, District Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein** and the law firm Motley Rice.

*Chapter 16:* A key episode of the 9/11 operation were the simulated aircraft hijackings [like a drill] that took place in the East of the United States in the morning of 9/11. These simulations caused, **as could be foreseen, a huge confusion among those who direct aircraft movements.** This confusion provided plausible explanations for the alleged **failure of the U.S. Air Force to intercept** the “terrorists” ... Simulated attacks are routinely carried out by the military in order to exercise responses to real attacks....

**Specialized companies have emerged that provide personnel, equipment and fake wounds for such exercises.** One company based in the U.K. says that it “can supply up to two hundred fully trained and rehearsed actors.” It also can supply “make up, wounds and blood. The company provides experts in communication “for broadcasting emergency messages to the public” and use social media. ...

A thorough analysis of the phone calls, reportedly made from the aircraft by passengers and crew members on the morning



of 9/11 demonstrates that no real hijackings had taken place on 9/11 and **that the callers were merely acting the role of hijacked passengers**. It is **unknown what became of these callers after the simulation ended**. As none has been seen alive since that day, they have presumably been “**disposed of**” to ensure that they will never reveal the nature of this operation. [In sum, "Drills are us."]

*Chapter 17* [Note: Davidsson did not write a conclusion for Chapter 17, as the whole 17th chapter was his conclusion to his book. Let's watch where he spotlights the “Achilles heel.”]:

The attacks of 9/11 and subsequent attacks which followed a similar pattern serve to maintain a **global counterterrorism hysteria** that serves many governments. In the garb of combating terrorism, governments **institute arbitrary rule**, reduce government accountability and **justify surveillance**.

Their final aim, as a service to the ruling minority, is to hollow out democracy, leaving only a façade. An entire security industry thrives off the terrorism hysteria. Some governments use also the counterterrorism ideology to justify their foreign military interventions. But truth has the tendency to seep through. **The truth about 9/11 may be regarded as the Achilles heel of the ruling oligarchies, because they have no defense available**. The quest for the truth on 9/11 can, therefore, help empower and unite all those who cherish freedom, social justice and peace to act for a system change.

Comment by Mary W Maxwell

Don't forget that the value of this book isn't that it tracks down all sorts of fascinating details about government chicanery, which it does, but that it encourages us to go past all that.

Elias Davidsson was devoted to law. He saw the solution as people sticking up for what is right, that is, what has already been agreed to via laws, principles, and religious ideals.


Today we diminish the way in which truth and law are our best weapons. Still, note the contortions officials use, when accused, make up justifications. And how they have tweaked relevant statutes so that innocents are arrestable, while guilty walk free.

**"The Jews".** I cannot resist bringing up the subject of "a Jewish conspiracy to rule the world." I know some people who are obsessed by it. Well, bully for them -- if they can determine who runs the world, we will all (including the citizens of Israel) be better off. My speculation is as follows:

The idea of conquering the globe has been around at least since QE I, whose occult advisor, John Dee, had an urge for it. Further back was a plot by the Roman Church to put everyone under control. A "Black nobility" of Jewish bankers in Venice moved to Amsterdam, then London, to start the Bank of England. And since 1890, when Cecil Rhodes's gold rolled in, there has been an open effort to coordinate US and UK foreign policy via the Council on Foreign Relations. (Members of CFR have become our presidents and Cabinet members.) The 1903 Protocols of the Elders of Zion have been fulfilled to the letter, yet it does not seem to be a way to achieve Greater Israel. It's about a program to control of the world, but Jews today are hardly in the lead of that.

I find the fascination with Jewish plotters to be a normal habit of humans; we love to blame. This particular blame has a bad side effect: many who suspect 9/11 was done by "the Jews" think it's politically incorrect to say so, and so they leave the whole matter of 9/11 aside. Thus they never concentrate on a genuine hunt for the killers. How foolish can you get? I invite Jewish Americans to get on with the job of solving 9/11. That should put paid to the accusations. Come on, this is urgent.

### 3. Sleuthing: Was Ziad Jarrah Framed and Murdered before the 9/11 Shanksville Crash?



*Aysel Sengün and Ziad Jarrah holidaying in Paris in 2000 (Source of Photo: Terry McDermott)*

***by Elias Davidsson***

Ziad Jarrah is the alleged suicide-pilot of flight UA93. On 10 September 2001, a farewell letter, purported to have been written by him to his fiancée, Aysel Sengün in Germany, was sent to the wrong address. It was thereupon returned to the United States and eventually fell in the hands of the FBI.

The letter was presented to the press as a farewell letter and thus as proof of Ziad's intention to die. Ziad's uncle, Jamal Jarrah, suspected that the letter had been fabricated. He considered it suspicious that the address was mistaken, as Ziad had known his girlfriend for five years and would not have made such an error. There is no known evidence that Ms. Sengün authenticated it. Let us ask: If Ziad did not intend to die on the next day -- September 11, 2001 -- why would he write a farewell letter?

Ziad's fiancée, Ms. Sengün, was in hospital on 11 September 2001, after having her tonsils removed. Two days later, after being released from hospital, she was interviewed by

unidentified “German authorities” at Police Headquarters in Bochum, Germany. Prior to her interview, she had called the police and advised that she was no longer able to reach Ziad. She said she was seriously concerned about her Lebanese friend. Having learned about the attacks in the United States she was afraid that something might have happened to him.

She did not know that **the U.S. authorities had already planned to designate Ziad as one of the suicide-pilots of 9/11.** His name only appeared in the media one or two days later. After telling the police about Ziad and his interest in learning to fly, as well as about his flight studies in Florida, she told them about Ziad’s last telephone call. Here are excerpts from Ms. Sengün’s police deposition which she made under penalty of perjury on 13 September 2001 (as reported by FBI):

“We rarely had written contact. Writing was simply not his thing. ... Looking back, we spoke on the phone almost daily ... spoke to him on the phone last on Tuesday, 9/11/2001, I believe it was between 3 p.m. and 4 p.m. I believe it was rather 3 p.m. He called me. I took the call in my room at the Catholic Hospital in Hattingen Blankenstein. He called me frequently during the week. I believe it was on Thursday, on Saturday, on Sunday and on Tuesday. However, I don’t remember precisely. The telephone connection last Tuesday was good. [Tuesday was 9/11.] There were no background noises.

"During the phone call [redacted] a lady from the nursing staff came to my room and asked what I wanted to eat the following day. I tried to put her off for five minutes. She absolutely did not want to wait and I was not able to concentrate on the call with my friend. Our telephone conversation was practically disrupted by the nurse when I then kept it brief and told my friend good-bye.”

Note that Ms. Sengün was firm about the time of Ziad’s call. Her deposition was made merely two days after the call. She

possessed no motive to lie about the time of the call. She could not have known how important the time of the call had been for the United States of America and the Western alliance.

For 3p.m. in Germany was 9a.m. in the Eastern United States, when flight UA93 was already cruising at high altitude from where calls with cellphones could not be made. Therefore, Ziad must have made his call from the ground after the take-off of flight UA93, **which proves that he was not on that flight.**

Ms. Sengün certainly did not realize that her deposition would become so important! At the end of her deposition, Ms. Sengün was asked by her interviewers to call the flight school in Florida where Ziad had studied, because the flight school had tried to contact her. In her second call attempt, a female voice introduced herself and promised to connect her to the appropriate person. After a short while, a non-identified male voice answered. After “some questioning,” he told Ms. Sengün that Ziad Jarrah was “wanted by the police” in connection with the 9/11 attack. He asked her about Ziad’s whereabouts.

Ms. Sengün said she was unable to provide any information. At that point he told her that her friend Ziad was “no longer alive.” This exchange is extremely disturbing for it suggests that the male speaker lied to her by stating that Ziad was “wanted by the police,” knowing that he was already dead.

As a rule **people do not lie, except for important reasons.** So why did the speaker lie to Ms. Sengün? At this point, we are forced to conjecture. Let us assume the following scenario: If parallel to his flight training, Ziad was working for a United States intelligence agency, which might have paid for his flight schooling or made him irresistible offers for his future, his task might have been to travel around the United States. Unknown to him, the purpose of such travel may have been to build the future hijackers’ legend. But officially the story is that they

made efforts **to case airports** and aircraft in preparation for their hijacking operation. That was the popular explanation given after 9/11 for the alleged hijackers' continuous travel around the United States. **To justify Ziad's trips, his handlers may have given him trivial assignments.**

Let us further assume that Ziad smelled a rat and decided to skip flight UA93 he had booked. His absence at the airport would be noted by his handlers. They'd be terrified, lest Ziad reveal to the world what he suspected. His handlers would thus send a commando to search and "neutralize" him.

This is **pure conjecture, but there is no evidence that Ziad Jarrah boarded flight UA93**, or possessed the skills to pilot a Boeing 757. As no one has seen him after 9/11, **he was most probably assassinated on that very day.**

[The 9/11 Commission Report, at 9-11 commision.gov, says that during Flight UA93, "Jarrah stopped the violent maneuvers of the "Let's roll" guys at 10:01, and said "Allah is the greatest!"]
---

Let us now return to the conversation with Ms. Sengün. She was first told that Ziad was "wanted by the police." The purpose of that statement may have been to test her reaction, or more exactly to find out what Ziad had told her.

Had he told her that he feared for his life, the staff member's telling her that he's dead would have made her extremely suspicious about the circumstances of his death. As Ziad did not tell her of his suspicions, possibly because he never told her about his intelligence activities, it was then safe to tell Ms. Sengün that Ziad was already dead.

It is not known whether Ms. Sengün got suspicious because of the sequence of questions, or whether she believed the official legend about his demise. As to her statement about the time of

Ziad's call, German investigators stipulated that Ms. Sengün had been mistaken. They wrote in an internal memorandum that the call must have been made 2-4 hours earlier. Did Ms. Sengün agree? It's not possible to ask her, as German authorities swiftly transferred her to the witness protection scheme that makes her inaccessible, if she is still alive.

Comment by Mary W Maxwell

That last point is very interesting. It suggests that the German government was in on the whole deal, and this suggests that few of the world's governments (or any?) are truly independent. But it also means that there is someone -- Ziad's girlfriend, Aysel Sengun -- who is still alive and with whom we could seek a supervised visit.

It has now been more than two decades since she was reportedly put into protective custody. This is a '9/11 proof' worth pursuing. By the way, why did the German government think she was in need of protection? Who did they imagine would harm her?

Note: On 6 September 2018, Elias Davidsson provided insights into Germany's apparent collusion with Syria and Egypt, in the matter of "recruiting terrorists." His article, "The Case of 9/11 Recruiter Muhammad Zammar," is at [GumshoeNews.com](http://GumshoeNews.com). It's too detailed to appear in this book. Interestingly, Amnesty International got involved on behalf of prisoner Zammar who had been in solitary confinement three years looked "skeletal."

I should also mention that Ziad Jarrah is one of the persons for whom the media presented "proof" of having flown on 9/11 in the hijacked airlines. Namely, a burnt passport, with intact photo:





Did you fall for it? I did.

#### 4. Comparing Several 9/11 Books



HIJACKING AMERICA'S MIND ON 9/11  
Counterfeiting Evidence



(L) *Cognitive Infiltration*, by David Ray Griffin (C) *Hijacking America's Mind on 9/11; Counterfeiting Evidence*, by Elias Davidsson (R) *Towers of Deception*, [note the media towers] by Barrie Zwicker

by Mary W Maxwell

Let's face it, the 9/11 Truth Movement has not made a significant dent, 21 years down the line. As with the truth about JFK's assassination, after half a century, many citizens *have* become educated as to what really happened – or at least to what did *not* happen – but this doesn't "lead to anything."

All the while, textbooks and news media have proceeded with the old false story, showing not the slightest embarrassment to repeat things that have been conclusively disproven.

I am very interested in the disconnect between public awareness and "bringing the miscreants to book." There is never a prosecution. There is never a legislative enactment to correct laws that frustrate us. Even the political rivals of the guilty party do not engage in pertinent mudslinging -- as would be the case during other controversies.



This chapter will introduce, to anyone who hasn't followed the literature, some prominent names and some solid books on 9/11. I congratulate ten such persons who seem to be driven by the search for truth, or who simply can't abide a lying environment. Note: this is not a competition to pick the best.

**Ten Persons.** Truth seekers may arise from any location or occupation. It's difficult to predict who will step forward. My five "nominees" in the United States are: David Ray Griffin, a theologian; Kevin Robert Ryan, a former worker for NIST (National Institute for Standards and Testing); Cynthia McKinney (b 1955), a Congresswoman; Christopher Bollyn, a critic of Israel; and William Veale, a civil rights lawyer. The other five are outside the US: Barrie Zwicker (b 1934), a newsman in Canada; Elias Davidsson (b 1941), a composer in Germany; James Corbett, a Canadian blogger who lives in Japan; Laurent Louis (b 1980), an ex-member of the Belgian Parliament, and Yukihiisa Fujita, a member of Japan's Diet.

It's very pleasant to note in 2021 that all ten are still alive. Only one has been jailed, Laurent Louis -- but that was for calling his prime minister a pedophile. Christopher Bollyn got thrown to the ground, by police or coverts in front of his children. [Update: Griffin and Davidsson are now deceased.]

Of the ten, three were legislators -- McKinney, Fujita, and Louis. I wonder how they felt about being the only member of a large assembly to speak out. McKinney, one of 435 reps, had a go at Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld during a hearing.

It's understandable that a newsman such as Barrie Zwicker, or blogger Jim Corbett, would pick up on the fact that their colleagues were not investigating the event and would feel angry or frustrated about that. It is understandable that Christopher Bollyn would grab his chance to spotlight Israeli connections. It is natural that a NIST worker, Kevin Ryan, who

read his agency's report and saw flaws in it, would start to insert himself into the case. Elias Davidsson has had a longtime layperson involvement with international law. He does not hesitate to see the human rights implications of 9/11 for Muslims around the world and in the United States.

That leaves the theologian, David Ray Griffin. You could say that his occupation requires him to speak truth, and to elucidate sin. Sure, that's true, but isn't that also job of the thousands of clergymen? Yet they are lip-locked when the subject of 9/11 comes up.

## Five Books

Not all of the ten persons named above have authored a book, but some have, and most of those have come out with two or more books on the topic of 9/11. Griffin wrote ten.

Here I'll list five works that I am familiar with: Barrie Zwicker's, *Towers of Deception*; Christopher Bollyn's *The Dual Deception of 9/11 and the Fraudulent War on Terror*; David Ray Griffin's *The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions*, Elias Davidsson's *America's Betrayal Confirmed* and Kevin Ryan's *Another Nineteen: Legitimate 9/11 Suspects*.

Some 9/11 truth books appeared early on. The first was Nafeez Ahmed's 2002 book in the UK: *The War on Freedom: How and Why America was Attacked*.

Next was Thierry Meyssan's *11 Septembre: L'effroyable Imposture*, translated as *The Big Lie* (2002). Amazon says it "went on France's bestseller list in its second week and became the highest-grossing book in a single week in Europe ever." Nothing like that happened in the US.

Third was Gore Vidal's *Dreaming War*, which stemmed from his essay in 2002 in the UK's *Observer*. It made reference to Nafeez Ahmed's book. Michael Ruppert was one of the first voices on the Internet to home in on the details of the deceptions. His 2004 book is *Crossing the Rubicon*.

Personally, I never saw those works by Ahmed or Meyssan, but I saw Vidal on TV saying that it was not OK that the Air Force did not go aloft speedily to intercept the planes. And I saw the blog by Ruppert, which was mainly about Peak Oil.

Mostly, I was in the dark until 2005. Sure, I could see that the activity of bombing Afghanistan to get a Saudi man, Osama bin Laden, was not cricket, and I knew the 2002 Homeland Security Act was outrageous, but I accepted the general story of the attacks, by hijackers, on the World Trade Center.

It wasn't until I happened to see a law-student article, in 2005, on the Hutton Inquiry, that I was forced to look into 9/11. Lord Hutton's court concerned the death of British weapons inspector David Kelly. It looked like Kelly had been bumped off for opposing the UK government's claim that Iraq had "weapons of mass destruction." The next year, 2006, I tried running for a seat in Congress from New Hampshire. One plank in my campaign platform was "9/11 was an inside job." The mainstream media duly ignored me. Who would have guessed, in 2006, that another 17 years would sail by without the nation coming to grips with such a fantastic crime? And how many more years will sail by!

Now here are the 5 prominent authors I mentioned:

**Barrie Zwicker** had worked in mainstream media for many years. With Dick McDonald, Zwicker edited *Inside the Canadian Media*, criticizing the "sameness" of reportage by all outlets. He had also made documentaries and in 1983 wrote *War, Peace and*

*the Media*, stating that there was unbalanced coverage of the USSR that helps foment an arms race. In 2002, he produced a video about 9/11 entitled *The Great Deception*, and in 2006, his book *Towers of Deception*. I think if someone reads the Zwicker book, without even needing to know of the positive investigations, they could deduce that media is engaged in criminal cover-up, and therefore crime is present.

**Chris Bollyn** is related to Henry VIII's wife, Anne Bollyn. I was lucky to attend his two-hour lecture in Massachusetts in September 2017, which brought together many aspects of the 9/11 problem. Bollyn's beef is mainly with Israel and Zionists. He pointedly asks: Who had control of airports security? Who was in charge of the narrative? Who could guarantee that if things went wrong, the real attackers would be able to escape? Who controlled insurance aspects of the case? Who said the US should get on a war footing? Who destroyed the WTC evidence? Who prevented lawsuits? US Attorney General Michael Mukasey is one of his targets, as is Judge Alvin Hellerstein who handled all lawsuits related to the attacks.

**David Ray Griffin's** preparation for discovering truth of 9/11, probably came from his awareness that nations often go to war on false premises. His first book on 9/11 is called *A New Pearl Harbor* (2004). He credits Paul Thompson's diligent work on the Internet (see Creative Commons). In 2005, Griffin did a detailed critique of 115 errors in the official Report published by the 9/11 Commission. Also, this theologian was ready to reflect on the religious connection, in *Christian Faith and the Truth Behind 9/11: A Call to Reflection and Action*. I find his 2011 book *9/11: Ten Years Later* very important in that it raises the problem of the lack of a solution.

**Elias Davidsson's** first book, in 2013, was *Hijacking America's Mind on 9/11: Counterfeiting Evidence*. What an accurate title! Davidsson credits the Nafeez Ahmed book for inspiration, and a friend who gave him the French book by Meyssan.

**Kevin Robert Ryan** is the author of the book that I consider to be the most helpful of them all: *Another Nineteen; Legitimate 9/11 Suspects*. After all, it is now two decades past the event and the persons who were actually responsible for that day's horror and the subsequent horror for Iraq and Afghanistan, are walking free. Ryan points to the Saudi involvement in 9/11 and to the various persons whose actions on the stock market contain rather obvious implications for "prior knowledge" of the 9/11 attacks. Also, Ryan names as one of his "nineteen suspects" Paul Bremer, who later became governor of Iraq. Think about it. Ryan's nominees have never even been called in for questioning. You may remember that when Vice President Richard Cheney was called to speak to the 9/11 Commission, he exempted himself from having to testify under oath. Did he have something to hide?

### **State Crimes against Democracy, with Media in Charge**

The subtitle of David Ray Griffin's 2011 book (*9/11 Ten Years Later*) is: When State Crimes against Democracy Succeed. How True! In it, Griffin records the insights of Paul Craig Roberts, from a 2011 GlobalResearch.ca article, as follows:

"The purest example of how Americans are shielded from truth is the media's (including many Internet sites) response to the large number of professionals who find the official explanation of September 11, 2001, inconsistent with everything they, as experts, know about physics, chemistry, structural engineering, architecture, fires, structural damage, the piloting of airplanes, the security procedures of the United States, NORAD's capabilities, air traffic control, airport security, and other matters. These experts, **numbering in the thousands**, have been shouted down by know-nothings in the media who brand the experts as 'conspiracy theorists.'"

-- end of quote from Paul Craig Roberts. Griffin himself said:

"The 9/11 Commission Report, and the NIST reports on the Twin Towers and WTC-7 – have been extremely effective attacks on the American democratic system. The effectiveness of these reports has been magnified by the refusal of the press, the academy, and the religious institutions to deal with the contradictions and provably false claims in these reports."

## Where Are the Other Nine Thousand?

Other good writers, please forgive my omitting your name; this chapter is not meant to cover the field. "Small is beautiful." The huge number of small blogs and YouTube videos that first pointed to Trouble have created a community of Truthers that will most likely be the way the world will survive the onslaught of tyrannical rule. We are the Resistance.

Granted, some substantial percent of Internet's 'alternative' writers are on the payroll. They play the part of dissidents and are known as the controlled opposition. The Department of Defense has admitted to deploying soldiers for this literary assignment.

What I can't understand is a journalist who studies 9/11 and yet comes up believing the party line. How can this be? I have just now google-searched for "books on 911" and got this:

"Steve Coll, *Directorate S: The C.I.A. and America's Secret Wars in Afghanistan and Pakistan* (2018). Coll, a staff writer at *The New Yorker* and **dean of the Graduate School of Journalism at Columbia University** also got a Pulitzer Prize for *Ghost Wars*, which looked at how Afghanistan helped give rise to al-Qaeda before 9/11".... *Kirkus Reviews* praised it as "an impeccably researched history of 'diplomacy at the highest levels....'"

I ask: Doesn't he know? How can he not know? Never mind. It's not too late. Real investigators can now pick up where Elias Davidsson left off and carry us to victory. Yay!

## 5. Australia's Dr Haneef Got an Apology



*by Mary W Maxwell*

Come and listen to a story about a man named Haneef. Dr Muhamed Haneef had entered Australia on a special program for foreign doctors and was working at a hospital in Queensland. He ventured home to India when his wife there gave birth in 2007. But at the airport in Brisbane Haneef got arrested for terror-related activities – Australia's first quarry after the passage of the 2005 anti-terrorism laws.

What is Haneef's story doing in the Elias Davidson book? I am recounting it here in case you want to use it to tell your friends some 9/11 truths. Most of my friends refuse to countenance anything but the 9/11 official story (complete with hijacked Mohamed Atta leaving his will in a suitcase at Boston's Logan Airport -- not to mention a passenger's tooth being found in a tree at Shanksville). Clearly, it's hard to break through.

Below, I'll lift Wikipedia' on the Haneef case. Its being set in Australia may make it easier for an American to swallow rather than it having occurred in the Land of the Free. And you won't have to flash any conspiracy websites to tell folks about it.

The Dr Haneef tale teaches us how impossible it is to deal with the authorities. Indeed that may be why Wikipedia is willing to carry it -- a subtle lesson to the plebs "You'll never win, don't try." But this case got local coverage and eventually government paid **compensation** to the innocent doctor.

## ***Wikipedia's entry on Muhamed Haneef:***

In December 2010, Haneef returned to Australia to seek damages for loss of income, interruption of his professional work, and emotional distress. He was awarded compensation from Australian government. The amount of compensation awarded was not disclosed, but was described by sources as “substantial”.

**Arrest.** He is the first person detained under the 2005 Australian Anti-Terrorism Act and the first to have his detention extended under the Act, **being detained for twelve days without being charged with a crime.** [The 2005 laws were passed in response to a UN treaty.]

Australian Federal Police Commissioner Mick Keelty acknowledged that Haneef “may have done nothing wrong and may at the end of the day be free to go.”

**The One-way Ticket.** At the time of his arrest, Haneef was attempting to make a one-way trip to India. Authorities discounted the possibility that Haneef was returning to see his six-day-old daughter, who had neonatal jaundice, and wife who had given birth. [See?]

Haneef's father-in-law said the doctor wanted to take his wife and daughter back to Australia after getting the infant a passport, and therefore travelled without a return ticket. **The AFP claimed in a court affidavit** that Haneef, “had no explanation as to why he did not have a return ticket” from India to Australia. Yet the record of interview shows that he gave a detailed explanation. Haneef told police that, as he did not have funds in his Australian bank account, his father-in-law had booked and paid for the one-way ticket with an understanding that "when I go there we can arrange for the coming back ticket."



**The SIM Card.** Australian authorities alleged that as Haneef left Britain in 2006 he recklessly provided assistance to a terrorist organisation by leaving his relative, Sabeel Ahmed, a SIM card and the balance of a two-year mobile phone contract. [Have you ever done that?] Relatives have said that he left the SIM card behind to save money by not surrendering the remaining value of the contract to the telephone company.

**The prosecutor claimed the SIM card was found inside the vehicle used in the Glasgow Airport attack. This allegation, central to the case, has proved to be false.** [You may wonder if the persons who set this up have been traced. Do you want to punish them? They've raided your purse to pay Haneef.]

Investigating British police officers have concluded that the case is being driven by politics rather than policing.

Mick Keelty revealed that Scotland Yard had initially told Australian Federal Police investigators that the SIM card was found in the jeep, confirming that the conduit for the SIM card error was the Australian Federal Police, contrary to Mick Keelty's previous denials.[!!!]

*Still continuing the Wikipedia article here:*

**The Diary.** There has been confusion with the handling of evidence.

Australian intelligence authorities are reportedly probing a report in the Indian newspaper <i>The Asian Age</i> that Haneef supposedly belonged to the now banned Student Islamic Movement of India during medical school.
---

Australian police present[ed] their own notes to Haneef under the impression that they were diary entries

written by Haneef. This led to inaccurate claims that the police had written in the diary.

**Detention.** Queensland Police and Corrective Services Minister Judy Spence said the conditions of Haneef's detention included no contact with other inmates, meaning he would be alone in a cell for all but one hour a day, when he is allowed to exercise.

"Anyone who is charged under terrorist legislation is obviously seen as a greater threat to the good order of our society than other types of prisoners," she said.

[especially the kind that give SIM cards away].

**Charge.** Australian authorities charged Haneef under Section 102.7(2) of the Criminal Code Act 1995. An offence under this section of the Act carries a maximum penalty of 15 years in prison. The basis of the charge was the allegation that he had intentionally provided support [the SIM card] to an organization deemed to be a terrorist organisation.

A Commonwealth prosecutor told Magistrate Jacqui Payne: "Dr Haneef lived with these people. He may have worked with these people. He associated with these people. He is their second cousin."

**Cancellation of Visa.** Immigration Minister Kevin Andrews announced that Haneef's visa has been cancelled immediately on "character grounds" and, if released on bail, he will be taken into immigration detention. Mr Andrews said that the Australian Federal Police will issue a "**criminal justice certificate**", the effect of which is that Haneef will remain in immigration detention while legal proceedings are afoot. Mr Andrews said he had revoked Haneef's 457 temporary skills visa on character grounds, "on reasonable suspicion."

He further said “I’m satisfied the cancellation is in the national interest. I have a responsibility and a duty as minister under the Act to turn my mind to the question of whether Haneef passes the character test.”

This decision was criticised by the head of the **Australian Bar Association, Stephen Estcourt who said “He can’t do that.”** On 31 July, Mr Andrews claimed to have canceled Haneef’s visa based in part on an online chat that Haneef had with his brother.

In the hearing, **Justice Spender described as “absolutely astounding”** the government’s argument that mere association with a suspected criminal means a non-citizen fails the character test for the purposes of his visa, stating that even he could not pass the character test as he had represented murderers in the past.

### **Leakage of Interview Transcript.**

On 18 July 2007, **Haneef’s barrister Stephen Keim** confirmed that he had leaked a transcript of Haneef’s initial interview with the AFP to the media in order to counter **what he described as a campaign of damaging leaks by law enforcement agencies.**

Australia’s Attorney-General, Philip Ruddock, claimed that to ensure a fair trial, Haneef might have been forced to spend more time in detention as a result of the leaked transcript. [Swearda God.]

**Reaction of Government of India.** Meanwhile, in India, Australian High Commissioner John McCarthy was summoned to the Ministry of External Affairs, and told of India’s concern over the way Haneef was being treated. This comes after Haneef’s wife complained to the Prime Minister of India. The prime minister of India

was quoted as to have said that he could not sleep the whole night owing to Haneef's arrest.

**Dropping of Charge by the DPP.** On 27 July, all charges against Mohamed Haneef were dropped before Magistrate Wendy Cull in the Brisbane Magistrates Court. Prosecutor A.J. McSporran said that there would be "no reasonable prospect of a conviction of Haneef being secured." He told the court that prosecutors had made two mistakes at a bail hearing on 14 July. One was their claim that Haneef's SIM card had been **found in a burning jeep** at Glasgow Airport. The second error was their accusation that Haneef **had once lived with** some of the UK bombing suspects. (See Ch 10.)

**Calls for a Formal Apology.** The then premier of Queensland, Peter Beattie, on 30 July, said that Haneef had been treated "appallingly ... Kevin Andrews should be the subject of an inquiry], and the handling of the whole issue should be subject to a total reassessment." [Gobbledegook -- just get 'em. Actually Mrs Haneef fought the charges tooth and nail; that's what brought the government to heel.] -- end of the *Wikipedia* article

## Comment

There are many 'Haneefs,' some still in prison. Muslims were rounded up right after 9/11, on such charges as credit card fraud, with media telling us how many terrorists were captured. Others were simply detained indefinitely under the Material Witness Act.

Senator Diane Feinstein's committee finally wrote a report in 2014 on "Legal Defense for Using Techniques Considered Torture." A complete disgrace to our nation. Can you fathom that Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, who is still in Gitmo for having "masterminded the entire 9/11 event," has been waterboarded many times? Who's to blame? You are.

## **PART TWO**

### **ELIAS DAVIDSSON HUNTS FALSE FLAGS**

## 6. France: The Bataclan Concert Shootings, 2015



*by Elias Davidsson*

*Note: According to pbs.org, this shooting incident, outside Paris, killed 130 people and wounded nearly 400 others. Elias Davidsson came up with sensible questions, straightaway. He posted them on November 15, 2015 at his website, juscogens.org. -- MM*

Here are the questions Davidsson has raised:

1. The Bataclan attackers came by car they left outside. What became of that car?
2. When did police and special forces arrive to the Bataclan?
3. Why did it take more than two hours to assault the attackers at the Bataclan?
4. What did the police do in these two hours?
5. How many forces participated in the assault on the attackers?
6. How long did it take to overcome the attackers?
7. Did any independent person witness the police's assault?

8. Why did they insist that three attackers blew themselves up and one was shot dead, if the next day this figure has changed?
9. What was the role of the woman seen with the attackers? Who is she?
10. Who witnessed the circumstances in which the attackers of the Bataclan died?
11. Why has the situation at the Bataclan been described as “hostage taking”?
12. Why did the attackers fail to kill their “hostages”?
13. Did the attackers speak French without accent, as claimed by witnesses?
14. Who from the police negotiated with the attackers, as mentioned by witnesses, and about what was negotiated?
15. Did anyone really blow himself up outside the Stadium? Are there any independent witnesses?
16. Who issued bomb threats earlier in the day?
17. Were some of the attackers 15-18 years old, as estimated by the Institut médico-légal?
18. Who was shooting from the window of the Bataclan on the outside, as experienced by Le Monde journalist Daniel Psenny and witness Carole Masmemba, and why?
19. Who left a car related to the attack in Montreuil?
20. Why were weapons left the car in Montreuil?
21. Will the police release the CCTVs from the attacks, that it is currently examining?
22. Did the alleged attackers shoot at the police in Bataclan in self-defense?
23. From where did the attackers obtain weapons, explosives and cars?

24. How could the police immediately identify the type of explosives used?
25. What did the Procureur de Paris mean when he said that five terrorists had been "neutralized"? Were they killed?
26. What was the origin of the IS communiqués?
27. From where were they sent?
28. How is it possible to authenticate these communiqués?
29. What is the telephone number and email address of the Islamic State's government (It is assumed that a government ruling over a huge territory has a fixed location, uses telephones, etc.)
30. How was France's president able to announce an emergency, the closure of borders, and designate the attacks as an "act of war" before consulting his government and before the attacks had ended?

Comment by Mary W Maxwell

Elias Davidsson's website is published jointly in English, French, German, and Icelandic. He named it "juscogens.org" after the term in international law that means a peremptory norm, from the Latin: compelling law. These are norms that can't be over-ridden. According to Dictionary.com, *peremptory* means insisting on something in a brusquely or imperious way.

You may be thinking that Davidsson lived in an unreal world. Correct -- he focused on ideals. But he understood that fighting the bad guys requires weapons. Ideals can be practical weapons. And we need many, many more Elias Davidssons insisting on them in a brusquely or imperious way. Jump to Part Three now if you want to see Elias at his brusque, imperious best.



## 7. Australia: Hostages and "Commonalities," 2014



(L) Waitresses are told to hold an Arabic-language flag in the window of Lindt Cafe, Photo: ABCnews.com (R) Cop, Photo: the guardian.com

*by Elias Davidsson*

*Note: This chapter is the Foreword that Elias wrote for my book "Inquest: Siege in Sydney." It contains his valuable list of "**commonalities**."*

This book [*Inquest*] demonstrates that the fear from terrorism did not skip Australia. But terrorism is not limited to what lonely or depressive individuals wish to impose on us. The most potent form of terrorism is that planned and executed by cool minds in comfortable offices for strategic reasons and profit -- in short, by governments.

Maxwell's book suggests that the incident at the Café Lindt in Sydney belonged to the category of terrorism often designated as "false flag" terrorism, or simply covert state terrorism. False-flag operations are planned and executed covertly under the auspices of state agencies but staged to appear as authentic terror.

The purpose of false-flag operations is to generate public revulsion towards those who are presented as the perpetrators and their alleged cause. Such revulsion provides governments with popular legitimacy to proceed with foreign or domestic measures, that they would hardly be able to adopt otherwise.

During the Cold War in Europe, NATO organized and trained terrorist cells, operating under the code-name Gladio. They carried out bloody terrorist operations attributed publicly to leftist organisations – in order to diminish the attraction of Communist parties.

The existence of the Gladio network was revealed by no less than Italy's Prime Minister Giulio Andreotti [1919-2013] in a speech to the Italian parliament in 1990. It was followed by a resolution of the European Parliament calling on all its members to dissolve these secret networks and reveal what they did during the Cold War. Only Switzerland, Italy and Belgium complied, and only partly. Creating and maintaining the perception of a fictional threat is thus a well-established method of governance.

The present study by Mary Maxwell raises two sets of questions. The first one is what motivated Monis, the accused, to carry out the reported operation, if he acted alone. The second is whether the police or other unidentified actors, were involved in facilitating this operation.

Mary Maxwell has ranged broadly, in her typical way, in attempting to tackle this forensic question. As state authorities do not relish disclosing all the evidence, she cannot prove beyond reasonable doubt the role of the State in staging the Sydney siege, but she provides sufficient evidence to *presume* such a role.

Her strong conviction is manifested by her courage to openly accuse her government for this criminal operation. I find her accusations justified and join myself to her accusations. Was the Sydney siege a *sui generis* attack committed for domestic reasons (or private motives), or part of a global strategy that transcends Australia?

The 1990s were used to build up that enemy perception, including the promotion of icon Osama Bin Laden. American strategists realized however, that absent a traumatic event, akin to Pearl Harbor, it would be difficult to rally the population behind an aggressive and focused policy. That traumatic event is now known as 9/11, a brilliantly staged horror show that made US citizens support war and restrictions on their own liberties.

**There are commonalities between the various terrorist operations carried out in recent years.** Let me list some:

1. In virtually all major terrorist operations since 9/11 (outside zones of armed conflict), **the alleged perpetrators died**. We are told that the suspect killed himself or was killed by police acting in self-defense. Typically, no independent person witnesses the circumstances. We have only self-serving testimonies by anonymous police officials to go by. Note: if these operations had been committed by authentic militants, one would expect public authorities to do all they could to capture the suspects alive in order to question them, describe their *modus operandi*, reveal financial sources, and explain their motives.
2. Despite police forces and commandos possessing a vast arsenal of non-lethal means (such as tear gas or smoke bombs) with which **to neutralize dangerous individuals, these have not been employed**. It follows that that death of the “terrorist” was desired.
3. Investigations are not done properly. For authorities striving to establish the truth about a terrorist incident, the death of the suspected perpetrator represents a loss. For authorities implicated in the crime, it is, however, a boon: **The suspect cannot be brought to court and cannot, therefore, spill the beans** or demonstrate that he had been framed. Also, relevant information becomes “**classified**”.

Even in those few cases where an investigation or inquest had taken place after a terrorist incident, it is **marred by omissions**, irregularities or worse. The work of the 9/11 Commission is a sorry case in point. **None of these investigations were in any way impartial and independent.**

4. Another similarity between many (though not all) of the terrorist operations is that **the alleged perpetrators had been previously known by the police** or by intelligence services, and were no pious Muslims. Their personal and legal vulnerability makes such individuals easy to recruit to serve as patsies.

5. A further common feature of numerous recent cases is that **they were not claimed by any *bona fide* organisation** nor accompanied by a clear political demand, both of which are hallmarks of an authentic terrorist operation. Al Qaeda and the Islamic State are certainly not *bona fide* organisations:

Statements allegedly issued by these entities cannot be authenticated, their leaders cannot be questioned, they possess no physical address, telephone number, or website, have no recognized manifesto or program that details their objectives.

There exists circumstantial evidence that statements and videos **allegedly published by Al Qaeda and the Islamic State are actually produced by US and British corporations.**

These “jihadist” products may be distributed to media by Zionist outfits such as SITE Intelligence Group, Jihadology, and IntelCenter.

The Sydney siege appears to me, therefore, as a contribution by the Australian government to the aforementioned global strategy, **namely the maintenance of the fiction of a global Islamic terrorist threat.**

The Security Council of the United Nations claims periodically that international terrorism represents “one of the most serious threats to peace and security.” To the eminent members of the Security Council, I bring some news. Had they examined global and regional statistics on terrorism, they would have discovered that the effects of terrorism outside zones of armed conflict – authentic and synthetic combined – are statistically very small.

While it cannot be excluded that occasionally a crazed person would kill someone and claim he acted in the name of Islam, such rare cases do not threaten peace and security. Their effects are even negligible in comparison to ordinary crime.

A last observation relates to citizens’ investigations, such as the one undertaken here by Dr Maxwell. Although it is tempting to dig into each case of a suspected false-flag operation, I argue that the case has been sufficiently made: Western governments engage in a long-term policy of maintaining the fiction of a global Islamic terrorist threat. Spending efforts to forensically examine each case of suspected false-flag attack, is therefore superfluous. [Pot calling kettle black are we, Elias?]

**Identifying typical hallmarks of a false-flag operation should by now suffice for presuming state complicity. The onus must be on state authorities to debunk this presumption of guilt by proving their good faith.** While “presumptions” are not sufficient for a legal case, they are sufficient to put state authorities on notice as the main suspects.

Regarding the contrived justifications for wars and for establishing the infrastructure of an Orwellian state, citizens should name and shame those responsible for promoting the legend of 9/11 and the fiction of a global Islamic terrorist threat. They should demand the removal of such persons from positions of influence. [All bolding in this chapter was added by Maxwell]

## 8. Germany -- Truck Rampage at a Berlin Mart, 2016



*The yellow bus behind the truck at the Berlin Christmas-time Market*

*by Felicity Hingston*

*Note: This is a book review of Elias Davidsson's 2018 book: "Der gelbe Bus: was geschah wirklich am Breitscheidplatz in Berlin?" -- MM*

In his book “The Yellow Bus,” Elias Davidsson bars no holds to shed some *true* light on Berlin Christmas Market incident. A truck drove into some shoppers in Breitscheid Square, Berlin, on December 19, 2016. The book is written in German; there is as yet no English translation.

Despite hyped media coverage at the time, there are in fact few people prepared to discuss the event, and there are copious amounts of contradictions about what actually took place: basics like how many people were killed or injured and indeed whom, how fast the truck was travelling. ‘Eye-witness’ accounts vary greatly and several have not been verified. Mr Davidsson’s attempts to do so were met with silence, complete lack of co-operation and indeed legal threats.

**The Christmas Shopping Terrorist Event.** The general depiction of events is vague at best: A 40-ton truck entered the

Christmas Market pedestrian area from an initially disputed direction sometime around 8pm, at a speed somewhere between 40 & 80 km/h, braking (or not) and destroying 'several' stalls. There were up to 50 people injured (including psychologically) & some '12' killed.

The body of a man was found in the driver's cabin (though some initial reports claimed the cabin was empty), identified as the original driver of the truck, Lukasz Urban, a Pole. He had been shot, perhaps some hours before. Initial autopsy reports conflict as to whether he was still alive at the time of the 'event'.

Despite modern forensics there is still no confirmation of his time of death nor a final autopsy report to be found on the internet. Even with this, the 'general voice' hails him as a hero who tried to wrest back control in his final minutes.

**Efforts To Find Him?** The alleged perpetrator was 'identified' by fingerprints **and documents found in the cabin** and two phones (found somewhere). He had been under close police scrutiny as an illegal refugee and known danger to society, but *surveillance of him was lifted just prior to the event!*

A foot pursuit by a brave bystander led in the direction of the Tiergarten, where the alleged perpetrator 'gestured' to a surveillance camera 'in the typical manner of ISIS', "Allah Akbar". The pursued person managed to cross several borders until he was finally shot and killed by Italian police at a border check some days later, where he allegedly pulled a gun on them.

It was subsequently 'established' and reported that he had recently been fast-radicalised and converted to extreme Islam. There was also the widespread security camera failure and a dropping out of the emergency radio network caused confusion and a lack of communication. Consequently it took 50 minutes, I believe, for paramedics to arrive on the scene.

**What about the Bus?** Mr Davidsson's book "The Yellow Bus" highlights the presence of an articulated yellow BVG (Berlin Verkehrsbetriebe, that is, the Berlin transit authority) bus, visible in several published images of the scene, standing some 20 m behind the resting place of the truck.

According to one seemingly 'privileged' journalist's video (JH's), the bus arrived within minutes of the truck. It remained there until the truck was towed away the next morning, as can be seen in an RT Deutsch video. However, despite the prime position of this bus, no statement was taken from the driver, nor was any report published on the almost-guaranteed surveillance camera from the bus.



*Top view of the truck and the yellow bus in lower part of the picture*

Why would such potential material evidence be omitted? There are also people in civilian clothing quietly standing around the bus. Surely such a dramatic incident would evoke a far less relaxed response. I find it strange that no information came from the bus (driver or camera) despite its prime vantage point. Was the bus prevented from continuing its regular route *for some reason*? Was it even on a regular route?

Clearly there was no impact on the bus from the truck, so damage was NOT a factor. Was the bus, as Mr Davidsson intimates (in my view), transport for the 'extras' (aka crisis actors)? It must have had *some* role, and this would be logical



for a false flag. It does seem questionable that the bus turned up so 'timely' and that it remained there until the truck was towed away next morning.

I find it interesting that the ID of the bus would have been quite clear from the 'dashcam' video, but has this been further investigated? I believe not. So, who was in the bus when it arrived, and were there ANY passengers when it left the next morning? I believe these are quite reasonable questions that only the driver of the bus can answer conclusively..

**The Style of Davidsson's Book.** In detail, the author of this important book peels back the layers to raise such doubts about the official report, so that even a child could see the contradictions. Despite frustration at his efforts to gather more information from unwilling sources, he diligently presents the facts, supported by an extensive amount of reference notes including over 150 pages of comprehensive appendices.

Pages 219 through 342 contain Eye-witness reports, listed alphabetically and sourced. Very few were willing to give Elias more detail when he contacted them directly. Some threatened legal action, others were so traumatized they weren't willing to re-visit the 'attack'. Others seemed willing, then suddenly NOT. One thing stands out: the reports don't support each other well at all. *Those that do, seem to even use the exact same words!*

Somewhat repetitive in describing exactly who is who (to ensure no confusion about exactly what official roles they held), Mr Davidsson takes us on a meticulous sleuth's journey. He examines the timings, the vehicles, the alleged perpetrator, the victims who died and those who were injured. He recounts the steering of the flow of information, the blatant cover-up and lack of explanation by the authorities. From all that, the reader must come to a **firm conclusion that 'they' do not want a clear explanation to go public about this.**

## How Should We React?

Davidsson provides comparison with many other terror attacks – leaving little room for us to question his assertion that a police state is underway, and that international terrorism is the mechanism of fear mongering by which the world leaders intend to implement a police state globally. He shows commonalities to various other ‘incidents’ that to date remain largely unexplained and questionable.

For me to suggest that we all need to ‘wake up’ may appear arrogant, but on reading this book I must urge everyone to pull their head from the sand and stop accepting without questioning.

I draw particular attention to Mr Davidsson’s stated hope (p12) “that information published here will encourage those people who, to date, have remained silent about the facts of the case”... to “relinquish their silence” and to contribute to the clarification of these facts. And further, “convey intelligence to those remaining democrats and freedom-lovers that could help in their resistance against wars and the insidious imposition of a police state”.

Mr Davidsson has done an excellent expose of the Berlin ‘event’ and I can only hope those ‘silent to date’ accept his invitation to contribute – be they facts, corrections or omissions – in order for the truth to emerge about all such ‘events’ AND to send the message to the global leaders-would-be-puppeteers that we are NOT THEIR PUPPETS.

— *Felicity Hingston is a schoolteacher in Greater Sydney.*

*Note: In an interview with GlobalVillageSpace.com, on 8 June 2019, Davidsson said that this book, Der Gelbe Bus, was selling well in Germany and was, at that point, in its fifth edition!*

Most persons reading this book have long since accepted the fact that 9/11 was not the work of terrorists. But it's very hard to make a 'convert.' Psychologists may chalk this up to the way in which a national leader is like a father and we instinctively refuse to believe that Dad can do wrong. It is just too frightening to think your main protector won't protect you.

But Americans easily believe that foreign leaders do mean things. So if you are trying to make converts, it may pay to start with overseas examples, such as this Berlin item. After showing them Mrs Hingston's review of it, you could show a comparative party-line version. This one is from [eurojust.europa.eu](http://eurojust.europa.eu):

**Berlin terrorist attack of December 2016** ...It left 12 people dead and more than 70 victims. One of the victims was the truck's original driver [Urban Lucasz], who was found shot dead in the truck. The perpetrator was a Tunisian national inspired by ISIL propaganda. Four days after the attack, he was killed in a shootout with police near Milan, Italy. The German National Desk was involved ... and Eurojust was instrumental in facilitating a proper and timely exchange of information on judicial level. In addition, Eurojust had access to its network of national correspondents for Eurojust for terrorism matters."

Let me add a new item to Elias's 'commonalities' list: The truck rampage occurred at a happy time -- everyone loves Christmas shopping. This is like the setting of the Boston Marathon bombing -- a healthy outdoor event. But now even the happy events may bring death -- and all parents must dread hearing that their child has been shot at school. This is part of a plan, to create "turbulence" in society by depriving people of their familiar routines, as well as making them wary of everyone. I believe the Tavistock Institute has had a hand in applying psychology to the creation of turbulence. We can overcome these tricks by exposing them. Thanks to Elias for persisting!

## 9. India -- The Mumbai Hotel Bombings, 2008



*Taj Mahal Palace Hotel in Mumbai, India. Photo: Reuters  
Per thewire.in, 166 were killed and 300 injured.*

*by Mary W Maxwell*

For nine years, Elias Davidsson of Germany studied the 2008 attacks in Mumbai, India. He was able to do all his research from publicly available sources. His book, *The Betrayal of India*, is over 800 pages. He has concluded that the attack, on Indian soil, was done by a collusion between India and the United States. He also suspects that Israel was involved.

Note: Until 1947, the Pakistani people lived in India, they were citizens of India. As followers of Islam, they were a religious minority in that country. They broke away via a “partition” during which millions were killed.)

**The Rabbit.** Elias gave an excellent speech in Islamabad, in 2019, in which he pulled a rabbit out of a hat. You can see it on You-Tube. (Better have some Kleenex handy for when he talks about Truth.). First, he gave overwhelming factual evidence, from the record, that the Mumbai attacks were not done by Pakistan. His audience was composed of Pakistanis, so you might think his message to them was “Rise up and condemn India.” No way. He said – and here comes the rabbit – “You should rise up and *help* India.”

Davidsson advised them to go to the Indian people and say “We can help you throw off the injustice pressed upon you by your own government.” He also said (having in mind his 800-page book) “You have a great weapon – truth.”

Davidsson may have disappointed some of the listeners when he said he did not think the main reason for India to do the false flag of Mumbai (pinning the flag on Pakistan) was to stir up a fight with Pakistan or to besmirch its people. **He found that India (and/or its allies) had much more compelling motives to do the dirty deed.** The first two are: **to increase the militarization of police, and to kick-start the security industry.** He says within a week of the attacks all hotels and restaurants were ordering cameras and hiring security guards. (Worldwide, the security business takes in \$400 billion.)

**The Big Brotherization of India.** The thrust of the Elias's new idea of “international relations” is that Pakistan can help India. It can give that country THE TRUTH which will allow Indians to see what is happening. The worst that is happening – I have seen it happen in America and Australia – is the beefing up of Big Brother. This has to do, Elias says, both with increased surveillance and with control of the media. He points out how the media was told how to cover the Mumbai attacks.

A big surprise to Davidsson himself came when he discovered a document in which the **biggest business group** in India gave “marching orders” to the government as to how it should proceed on these matters. It was not phrased as a request, he says, or even as a recommendation. It was a marching order.

Think again of the title of the book – “The *Betrayal* of India.” Betrayal in what sense? How about betrayal of their fellow Indians by Indian businesspersons? How about the treason aspects of Indian military people giving the open-door treatment to American snipers, bomb throwers, or whatever.

Elias, a Jew, goes berserk when he sees Muslims mistreated. I think all Pakistani's, as well as all Palestinian Muslims, can safely call him Brother Elias. And **now the Hindu and Christian population of India are welcome to call him Brother**, too!

Here is a review by Sultan M Hali, journalist at *Pakistan Today*:

**Over a decade after**, India and the world are no nearer solving the mystery of who planned and executed the gruesome Mumbai attacks, but India continues to use the gory episode, blaming Pakistan for it and whipping it incessantly with it. It was a pleasure to meet Elías Davidsson at a seminar in Islamabad. The narrative, that India had painstakingly built to blame Pakistan, is **invalidated by the neutral author** who proved that it was based on Chanakyan guile and deceit.

The investigative author, taking the judicial principle of *Cui Bono* (who gains?), unravels not only the motivations and the cover-up of the Indian government but also the multifaceted interests of international actors, Israel and the USA. The Mumbai police was rewarded with funds and equipment, while India's armed forces received an immediate 21% hike in military spending with promises of increases.

**Henry Kissinger had been a guest at Taj Mahal Palace Hotel, three days prior to the attack.** He had met with 'top executives from **Goldman Sachs** and **India's Tata group** in the Taj to 'chat about American politics'. He tried to implicate Pakistan. The main beneficiary of 26/11 may be Hindu nationalist constituencies by the 'elimination' of Hemant Karkare. [A likely purpose of one attack was to kill Karkare.]

The well-respected Prof Graeme MacQueen of Canada was a colleague of Davidson in studying the London Tube bombings. Here is a review by MacQueen, at transcend.org. The page numbers shown refer to Elias's huge Mumbai book, *The Betrayal of India: Revisiting the 26/11 evidence* (2017).

"Neither the police, nor the judge charged with trying the sole surviving suspect, made public a timeline of events (188-189; 688-689). Even the most basic facts of when a given set of attacks began and when they ended were left vague. Key witnesses were not called to testify. Witnesses who said they saw the terrorists commit violence, or spoke to them, or were in the same room with them, were ignored by the court (e.g., 279).

"One victim was apparently resurrected from the dead when his testimony was essential to the blaming of Pakistan (229-230). Crime scenes were violated, with bodies hauled off before they could be examined (682-683). Identity parades ("line-ups") were rendered invalid by weeks of prior exposure of the witnesses to pictures of the suspect in newspapers (101; 582). Indian authorities declined to order autopsies on the dead at the targeted Jewish center in Nariman House. The dead, five out of six of whom were Israeli citizens (427), were instead whisked back to Israel by a Jewish organization based in Israel, allegedly for religious reasons (453). Religious sensitivity seems to have extended to a large safe at the crime scene, which the team also transported to Israel (454).

**"One lawyer who agreed to defend the accused was removed by the court and another was assassinated (670).** The public was told there was extensive CCTV footage of the attacks, despite the mysterious malfunctioning of the majority of CCTV cameras on the days in question (97-98; 109 ff.; 683 ff.); but only a very small percentage of the claimed footage was ever released and it suffers from ... conflicting time-stamps and signs of editing (111). The suspect, after being convicted and sentenced to death, was presumably executed, but the hanging was done secretly in jail and his body, like the bodies of the other dead "terrorists," was buried in a secret place (37; 623)."

Dear Reader, please don't become "accustomed" to these outrages. As shown in my Chapter 7 above, Elias pinpointed the commonalities. In a court of law it is sufficient to demonstrate a pattern of behavior as a kind of evidence. Go for it!

**GVS: Has the Indian government reacted to your book?**

**Elias Davidson:** They have reacted like everybody else – they have hidden under the tables – no reaction. Nobody in the official governments in India or anywhere else, no media or journalist reacts to my writings. How can they do it? They can only call me an idiot conspiracy theorist. They cannot deal with the facts which are there with all the sources.

**GVS: What attracted you to study the Mumbai attack?**

**E.D.:** I began with London and then I went to attacks in Djerba, Istanbul, etc. I wanted to write an anthology on terror attacks with different chapters, including Bali. So, I came to the issue of Mumbai and began to work on it. I never went to these places because I work like a historian, taking information and studying it. So, I deliberated on all of these and tried to find a common thread to compare them. It took me two years of work. I just went on researching and had contacts with people in India. I am very thankful to Inspector S. M. Mushrif, who wrote the first critical book on Mumbai attacks called, *'Who killed Karkare?'* So, I got in touch with him, told him what I was doing, and he encouraged me. [We add our thanks to Mushrif.]

**GVS: What's the significance of Karkare's assassination?**

**E.D.:** That is very simple – nationalist Hindus threatened him because he was exposing Hindu terrorism and he was shot. He confided to different people, who confirmed that he was afraid for his life, even on the day of his murder. The current Prime Minister of India, Modi, had publicly threatened him and called him a traitor [Recall the Berlin truck rampage]: The German government accuses Anis Amri, an Indonesian guy, who rode a truck and killed twelve people. That was all bullshit. And he



was murdered in Milano. You see, all these people are eliminated before they can talk, so there is no trial. [See Tsarnaev.]

**GVS: Couldn't Karkare have been an accidental victim?**

**Elias Davidson:** Exactly, this is what the official account says. However, if you look at all the details around the assassination, you will find nothing accidental. For example, police officers who were around the place at the time of the assassination got an order to stand down and not impede. They said it publicly that they were not supposed to go to that place.

**VS: How many attackers were there in total?**

**E.D.:** I have no clue. The official story says ten, but there could have been way more. One attacker, Ajmal Kasab, was captured alive on the cameras with a gun and then made a confessional statement.... But the first news was that two people were killed at Girgaon Chowpatty, through the night the story slowly changed that he was not killed but was shot; then a doctor came and said that he was never shot. So, we have three versions....

**GVS: You could have raised questions to Indian officials.**

**Elias Davidson:** Do you think, realistically, that a guy sitting in Germany will get answers from Indian authorities who are implicated in that? This is not realistic. I am writing letters every day to officials in India, to ask questions, and I never get any response. They don't want to implicate themselves. I would have liked to talk to witnesses, but can never [do that.]

Secondly, an essential thing, was to accelerate the buildup of a national security state or a domestic surveillance state or the big brother state in India; this was a program that had started earlier but accelerated automatically after Mumbai.

As I discovered later, India may be a test laboratory for the US, on how to create a big brother society in such a big country. So, it might have even been supported by Obama and the administration at the time, to use India as a laboratory.

**GVS: Questioning 9/11 makes people question your credibility, how did you come around to not believing in the American statements on 9/11 in the first place?**

**Elias Davidson:** My book was published in the US in 2013. I commented in black and white with all the sources mentioned. The entire story of 9/11 was a lie.... There is documented evidence from American sources that two of the four planes were still in the air at the time of the alleged crash.

Nobody until today has challenged a single fact in the book. Why? Because there is nothing to question. This is thoroughly documented. Many people in America endorse this book. Anybody who is trying to challenge me, please do so. I would be satisfied because I get bored of always getting commended. Please call it wrong. I have sent letters to American professors rebut the book, but nobody takes up the challenge.

I had a discussion with Elias about the FBI intercepting the phone calls at the very scene. He agreed that this was outstandingly sloppy of the FBI. But then, you can't beat the cheek of the National Geographic video of the FBI "catching" Jahar Tsarnaev on a (non-existent) video laying a bomb in Boston.

Note: Sultan Hali adds this in his review of *Betrayal of India*:

This scribe inquired of the worthy author, since he had made a forensic examination of the evidence, did Pakistan have a case in taking the matter to the International Court of Justice at The Hague, since India had leveled very serious allegations of its being responsible for the attack? Davidsson said yes, we should consider bringing a case of libel against India.

## 10. Britain -- Who Bombed London's Tube, 2005?



(L) Madrid train bombing of 2004, Photo: Encyclopedia Britannica  
(R) TIME's cover says "Inside the Manhunt: An Iraqi Connection?"

by Mary W Maxwell

I begin with the statement of the Coroner, Lady Hallett, in her final Inquest report of the London Tube bombings:

**"For the purposes of this report I can say without a shadow of a doubt that the four men who detonated the bombs and therefore murdered the fifty-two innocent people were Mohammed Siddique Khan, Shehzad Tanweer, Germaine Lindsay and Hasib Hussain. It is not generally a proper function of an inquest to attribute blame or apportion guilt to individuals, nor is it a proper function of a Coroner to express opinions in the verdicts returned. [...] However, the exceptional circumstances of these Inquest mean that it is appropriate to name the bombers within this Rule 43 report, which is not subject to the same constraints. [Bolding added]"**

"There are three principal reasons: the bombers are dead. There can be no question of prejudicing any criminal or civil proceedings against them and I cannot defame them. Further, I cannot consider the issue of preventability, one of the most important of the issues I have set, without stating in positive terms that they were the bombers. Finally, the evidence is

utterly overwhelming. To argue or find to the contrary would be irrational. It would be to ignore a huge body of evidence from a vast array of sources."

Personally, I am deeply grateful to this Coroner for telling such horrendous lies. She makes it possible for us to see that judges can act without scruple even when facing the public and even when "fulfilling" specific rules of law. No one, no one, who has looked at the evidence can come to a conclusion that those four patsy Muslims did the bombings.

I have heard two other judges tell lies about Muslims: Judge Michael Barnes, as coroner of New South Wales blaming an Iranian for the 2014 deaths of two people in Sydney and Judge George O'Toole, Jr, as federal district judge in Boston, blaming two Muslim Chechens for the deaths of three people at the 2013 Marathon. However, neither of those judges did such a picture-postcard-perfect job as did Lady Hallett.

Oh my, I have just gone to Wikipedia to see her background. Heather Barrett, born 1949, daughter of a secretary general of International Police Association, graduated Hughes College Oxford, became a high court judge, is married to a high court judge, became treasurer of the Inner Temple in 2011, was vice-president of the Criminal Division of the Court of Appeal from 2013 to 2019, was created a baroness in 2019. "In December 2021, **she was announced as the chair of the public inquiry into the UK Government's handling of the Covid-19 pandemic.**" Think about it. "On 29 June 2022, the Government accepted Baroness Hallett's **proposed terms of reference for the inquiry.**" Think about it.

Critical books by UK historian Nicholas Kollerstrom, Graeme MacQueen, of Canada, Nafeez Ahmed of UK, and Davidsson of Germany. have dealt with "7/7." I'll only point out the basic structure of the international plot: both to slander Muslims and at the same time create a complete story of terrorism. The London bombings were typical, we might even say 'textbook.'

**Eight recurring features** are: the accused has left his identity card in a conspicuous place, all relevant surveillance cameras just happened to be not working that day, **a drill is being held close by at the very same time**, the accused had downloaded some instructions for making a bomb, he has committed violence previously, **the police reports keep changing, the evidence gets destroyed**, the terrorist gets killed on the spot, thus obviating the need for a trial.

The media, staring within an hour of the event, shoves it down everyone's throat, always complete with references to the bad guys and with human interest stories of how a brave person helped others at the scene. A child's death and funeral will be recounted. No discussion of actual criticisms will be made but possibly media will disparage conspiracy theories.

**Tonnage**, anyone? I'd now like to introduce a new term, "tonnage." When you look at a case of a Muslim bad guy, ask "What's the tonnage?" If the event has a majority of the eight features listed above, that is at least 5 features, you can close the case right there: it's fake.

I point to a maxim of law *Contra spoliatorem, omnia praesumuntur*. "Against the person who destroys (or hides) evidence, everything can be presumed." They are guilty, no? Why else would they get involved? I learned this maxim in my law school's course on Equity, but the Court of Equity in the US seem to have gone the way of all flesh. We can bring it back and use its relaxed, sensible procedures.

Come on, Everybody, we don't have to put up with the likes of Baroness Hallett. She is now holding a high legal position. Yet the law, that she and all of us inherited, goes directly against what she is doing. It can't be that she "gets it wrong." She does know the maxims. She does know that due process is a highly rational way of providing the weak with some protection against the king. The Baroness ain't doin' law.

I say Judge Hallett's occupation of the bench is a form of imposterism. She works for another cause entirely. The fault lies with us for putting up with this blasphemy of the law and more generally for propping up the elite. Quite possibly it's not their fault. Like being born into a Mafia family, you go with the flow. I wish some of them would have the derring-do to break out.

Now let me furnish a bit of data from Elias online book *The London Transport Bombings*, and also from a 2019 review by Antony C Black of the 2009 Kollerstrom book, *Terror on the Tube*. Elias says he relied somewhat on Kollerstrom, and on work by Nafeez Mosaddeq Ahmed, David Minahan, and Tom Secker. Please feel free to skip all this if you're already persuaded that Houston has a problem.

### **The Nature and Origin of "the Explosives"**

Four London Transport vehicles got hit on July, 2005. One was a bus that was passing through Tavistock Square (yes, seriously), and three were subway trains (known as the Tube) at Aldgate, Edgware, and Russell Sq. I now quote Black's review of Kollerstrom, to which he comments that his report on the inquest does not do justice "to the full, rich theatre of the absurd that was the 7/7 Inquest of 2010." The Inquest continued to say that the bombs were homemade, overlooking all the contrary analysis. Examples of the real evidence:

"1. Clifford Todd, a senior government forensic analyst, weighed in to the effect that the devices were, "unique in the UK and possibly the whole world."

2. Concerning the Tavistock blast, the Inquest was told by Kim Simpson, government explosives expert, that, "the main charge used did not consist of any previously seen composition..."

3. Testimony in regard to the blast at Russell Square revealed that, "no traces of HMTD or TATP or, indeed, any other explosive was found."

4. At Edgeware Road, “the standard test for organic explosives [allegedly used by the Four] proved to be negative...”

5. And at Aldgate, a question relating to organic explosives elicited the response, “That’s right, we tried to see if we could find that and, in the end, we weren’t successful, so we couldn’t draw any conclusions from that.” And so on and so forth.

6. Moreover, as a string of experts were to testify in the inquiry, it turns out that the production of TATP – that the Four were alleged to have produced – is not quite such an amateur affair after all, needing special equipment and considerable know-how to produce. It is so dangerously volatile in transport that the likelihood of all four bombers having even made it to their targets without a prior detonation seemed a virtual impossibility. So the TATP theory was *quietly dropped*.

Reviewer Antony C Black adds that, tellingly enough,

"Back on July 12<sup>th</sup>, 2005, i.e., only five days after the bombings, the police had stirred up huge fanfare over their alleged discovery of a large quantity of explosive situated in a ‘bomb factory’ at 18 Alexandra Grove, Leeds, a substantial quantity of which was then supposedly found in a car parked at Luton Station! By the time of the Inquest, all of this evidential material, and any potential analysis that might have accompanied it, had simply vanished."

By the way, it was Elias Davidsson who pointed out, for the benefit of the **wrongly-convicted "Boston bomber" Jahar Tsarney**, that the Internet material on "How to make a bomb in the kitchen of your Mom" is said to be from a Muslim source, but in fact is made by Israel's media group "Inspire."

As to the deaths of the four Pakistanis, that took place somewhere other than in the trains. By the way, crucial evidence of their non-involvement is the fact that **the floorboards of the train flew up** -- the bomb must have been planted underneath.

On the subject of an inquest's legal purpose, Elias notes the following exchange between Dr. Awani Kumar Choudhary, a physician who treated one of the fatalities, and Andrew O'Connor, of the Inquest legal team:

"Choudhary: I have not seen the post-mortem report, but I thought that she was bleeding from somewhere ... So if the post-mortem says that she was not bleeding from anywhere, just had a spinal injury, I will be surprised...

"O'Connor: Since you ask about the post-mortem, can I simply inform you that, as with all the other casualties of the day, no internal post-mortem was conducted into Gladys Wundowa, so unfortunately, much as we would like the answers to the questions that you've asked, they don't –

"Choudhary: I'm absolutely sure that she had had internal injury as well as a spinal injury, and I'm absolutely surprised that a post-mortem has not been done through and through.

"O'Connor: Well, Mr Choudhary, that isn't a matter to concern you. "Choudhary: Sorry.

"O'Connor: we don't need to concern ourselves about that matter."

Elias Davidsson says: "**Let the last sentence melt on our tongue!** If it is of no concern for an inquest how a victim died, what then is the concern of the inquest?"



Mohammad Sidique Khan



Sherzad Tanweer



Germaine Lindsay



Harib Hukam



## Elias Davidsson Describes the Usual Process for Blame

Four young British Muslims were named as the suicide-bombers: Kahn, Tanweer, Lindsay, and Hussein. This is from Davidsson's book, *London Transport Bombings of 2005*: "British media began immediately to promote unsubstantiated, unverified and unverifiable allegations regarding their alleged radicalisation, relying almost entirely on unidentified security sources.

"A typical example of such coverage was 'Britain's Enemy Within' by Scotland on Sunday of July 17, 2005. Apart from attributing unreservedly the crime to these four young Muslims who have not been found guilty by any judicial authority, the authors cited 'some friends', unidentified 'Pakistani intelligence officials' or 'US investigators', as sources. [Beware 'sources.']

"Throughout their piece they attempted to make the point that ordinary, nice, friendly young British Muslims are capable to conceal within their hearts murderous intentions and carry these out against random citizens that might include Muslims."

Apparently Australian Prime Minister John Howard was not called to the Inquest to say how he had been able to announce the death toll of the London bombings -- 52 persons -- just 24 hours after the event. Davidsson says:

"This was, indeed, the final official number released later by the British authorities, after some victims had died in hospital from their injuries after July 7. But how would PM Howard know that number in advance? Was he prescient or was the number of fatalities to be released to the public predetermined? These are, indeed, highly disturbing questions."

See the Kollerstrom book for amazing explanation of how these four Muslims got chosen and how they died. Some say they were shot near the wharf. If so, a memorial should be built there -- a memorial for victims being culturally standard.

**PART THREE**

**HOW CAN LAW HELP US THINK?**

## 11. A Human Right to Truth, Hooray!



*This is thought to be the Bible of Nat Turner, who led the slave rebellion in Virginia in 1831. Bible is at Museum of African America history.*

***by Elias Davidsson***

*Note: This 2006 article is abridged. The full article, and footnotes, can be downloaded from [scribd.com](https://www.scribd.com), and [Hanskoehler.com](https://www.hanskoehler.com). -- MM*

### **1. The Right to the Truth As a Democratic Right**

The right to the truth regarding the circumstances in which offences against the public order and human rights have been committed is linked to the principle of democracy. Accountability, of which the transparency of official conduct is an essential feature, aims to safeguard the public against arbitrary rule., the right to the truth, and to public trials and the right of access to government information, may be regarded as three types of accountability rights in a democratic society.

Although international human rights instruments do not explicitly refer to the right to the truth, it has been referred to by human rights courts and in documents adopted by various bodies of the United Nations.<sup>7</sup> This right is also regarded as implicit in existing provisions of human rights treaties. In 2005, the UN Commission on Human Rights adopted an Updated

Set of principles to combat impunity. The first subset of principles, the Right to Know, includes the following principles:

Principle 2: Every people has the inalienable right to know the truth about past events concerning the perpetration of heinous crimes and the circumstances and reasons that led, through massive or systematic violations, to the perpetration of them.

Principle 5. States must take appropriate action, including measures necessary to ensure the independent and effective operation of the judiciary, to give effect to the right to know. Appropriate measures to ensure this right may include non-judicial processes that complement the role of the judiciary.

Societies that have experienced heinous crimes perpetrated on a massive or systematic basis may benefit in particular from the creation of a truth commission ... to prevent the disappearance of evidence. Regardless of whether a State establishes such a body, it must ensure the preservation of, and access to, archives concerning violations of human rights and humanitarian law.

Truth is – philosophically – a tricky concept. In the present context, truth should be regarded as a social value. The right to the truth is neither a fictional notion nor a frivolous demand, but a procedural and, arguably, legal right that serves purpose.

**2. The Right to the Truth as a Form of Individual Reparation.** According to Article 2(3) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), victims of human rights violations are entitled to an ‘effective remedy’ including the right to learn the truth on these violations. In 1989 the UN adopted Principles on the Effective Prevention and Investigation of Extra-Legal, Arbitrary and Summary Executions, and in 1991 a Manual on the implementation of these principles. According to paragraph 9 of the UN Principles: ‘the broad purpose of an inquiry is to discover the truth about the events leading to the suspicious death of a victim.’

In 2005, the UN General Assembly affirmed the duty of states to provide victims of human rights violations with ‘full and effective reparation ... which include[s] where applicable verification of the facts and full disclosure of the truth’ ...and ‘inclusion of an accurate account of the violations that occurred in international human rights law and international humanitarian law training and in educational material at all levels.’

The Inter-American Court for the Protection of Human Rights (IACHR) has through its jurisprudence [that is, case rulings] given substance to the concept of the right to the truth:

‘The right to the truth is subsumed in the right of the victim or his next of kin to obtain clarification of the events that violated human rights and the corresponding responsibilities from the competent organs of the State, through the investigation and prosecution that are established in Articles 8 and 25 of the Convention.’ In 1998 the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights has for first time recognized that the right to the truth belongs to members of society at large as well as to the families of victims of human rights violations.

#### A. The Duty to Investigate

In order to ascertain the truth, a human rights violation must be investigated. The Basic Principles (2005) set out the specific obligation to investigate violations in the context of the overall obligation to ensure respect for human rights: ‘The obligation to respect, ensure respect for and implement international human rights law and international humanitarian law ...includes, inter alia, the duty to ... investigate violations effectively, promptly, thoroughly and impartially and, where appropriate, take action against those allegedly responsible in accordance with domestic and international law.’

Before the adoption of the Basic Principles (2005), the UN Human Rights Committee (UNHRC), in its General Comment no. 31, pointed out that states are under the duty to protect individuals subject to their jurisdiction not just against

violations of the [ICCPR] by [their] agents, but also against acts committed by private persons or entities that would impair the enjoyment of Covenant rights....

The 'Minnesota Protocol', which comprises Part III of the United Nations Manual on the Effective Prevention and Investigation of Extra-Legal, Arbitrary and Summary Executions, lists desirable procedures of an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding a suspicious death. These include, inter alia, specific tasks to be accomplished at the crime scene, processing of evidence, avenues of investigation and identification and interviews of witnesses. The 'Minnesota Protocol' also provides a guideline for the establishment of independent commissions of inquiry and the performance of autopsies.

#### B. Standards of Investigation

While states are under the obligation to investigate violations of human rights and international humanitarian law, they sometimes attempt to avoid investigations which may embarrass or implicate high officials. In order to cover up official complicity, states sometimes stage an investigation designed to fail. The IACtHR explicitly warned: 'The State has the duty to commence ex officio and without delay, a serious, fair, and effective investigation which is not undertaken as a mere formality condemned in advance to be fruitless.'

... The notion that failure to effectively investigate arbitrary killings could be a violation of human rights has been confirmed in numerous judgments by the ECHR. In these judgments the court addressed five criteria that permit the evaluation of the effectiveness of an investigation, namely: promptness, thoroughness, impartiality, independence and transparency.

(i) Effectiveness of investigations. An investigation must be assessed on the basis of all relevant facts and with regard to the practical realities of investigation work. It is not possible to reduce the variety of situations which might occur to a bare checklist of acts of investigation....

While human rights courts generally avoid implying that ineffective investigations of human rights violations represent deliberate obstruction or a cover-up by the state, the European Court of Human Rights, ECHR, expressed its view in one case that ‘the astonishing ineffectiveness of the prosecuting authorities ...can only be qualified as acquiescence in the events’.

[Human Rights Watch notes: "In a ruling on July 26, 2007 the European Court of Human Rights found the Russian government responsible for 11 killings that took place in Aldi, a suburb of Grozny, in February 2000. HRW.org documented as a massacre in Chechnya that Russian riot police and contract soldiers carried out in the midst of a sweep operation several days after Russian forces had taken control over the area.

"The court's unanimous ruling held Russia accountable for the 11 deaths, inhuman and degrading treatment of one of the applicants, failing to conduct an effective investigation, and failing to provide an effective domestic remedy for the abuses. It ordered the Russian government to pay the applicants more than US \$225,000 in material and moral damages."]

The ECHR has also considered that a violation by a government of the right to life can be inferred from the failure by the government to provide ‘a plausible explanation ...as to the reasons why indispensable acts of investigation have not been performed.

["In today's Chamber judgments in the case Inderbiyeva v. Russia and Kadirova and Others v. Russia, the European Court of Human Rights held, unanimously, that there had been:

**Violation of Article 2** of the Convention concerning the inadequate investigations into the death and disappearance of the women in both cases;

**Violation of Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment)** concerning the mental suffering of the applicants, all close relatives of the disappeared women...

**Violation of Article 13 (right to an effective remedy) in conjunction with Article 2** The cases concerned the alleged killings and lack of effective investigation into the death of four civilian women during security operations by Russian servicemen in the Chechen Republic in 2000."]

(iii) Thoroughness of investigations

According to paragraph 9 of the UN Principles: There shall be thorough, prompt and impartial investigation.... It shall include an adequate autopsy, analysis of all physical and documentary evidence and statements from witnesses...

In the case-law of the ECHR we find that that the lack of thoroughness (or effectiveness) was inferred from omissions by the state, such as **failure by the investigating authorities to take reasonable steps to secure evidence; ignorance of obvious evidence (failure to ‘connect the dots’); failure to collect all the evidence that could have clarified the sequence of events; failure to report troubling facts; failure to interrogate certain people or to ask certain questions in interrogations; failure to ascertain possible eye-witnesses ... [or] to clarify important inconsistencies; [or] to consider alternative hypotheses for unnatural death;... failure to preserve evidence at the scene (of the crime) and taking all relevant measurements; failure to inquire about motives.**

(iv) Independence of investigations

The UN Human Rights Committee emphasizes the need for ‘administrative mechanisms’ to ‘investigate allegations of violations (...) through independent and impartial bodies.’

Members of such a commission shall be chosen for their recognised impartiality, competence and independence as individuals. In particular, they shall be independent of any institution, agency or person that may be the subject of the inquiry. The commission shall have the authority to obtain all information necessary to the inquiry and shall conduct the inquiry as provided in these principles.<sup>40</sup>



Those **potentially implicated in extra-legal, arbitrary or summary executions shall be removed from any position... of control or power**, over complainants, witnesses and their families, as well as over those conducting investigations.

The ECHR repeatedly mentioned the necessity ‘for the persons responsible for and carrying out the investigation to be independent from those implicated in the events’. The Court added: ‘This means not only a lack of hierarchical or institutional connection but also a practical independence.’

(v) Impartiality of investigations

Impartiality requires that investigators examine with an open mind all relevant evidence, including that which contradicts their ‘firm conviction’<sup>45</sup> and include in the scope of their investigation the possibility of official involvement in the crime...

(vi) Transparency of investigations. According to paragraph 16 of the UN Principles ‘Families of the deceased and their legal representatives shall be informed of, and have access to, any hearing as well as to all information relevant to the investigation, and shall be entitled to present other evidence.’

The reporting requirements of an investigation are also spelled out in the UN Principles: A written report shall be made within a reasonable period of time on the methods and findings of such investigations. It shall be made public immediately and shall include the scope of the inquiry, procedures and methods used to evaluate evidence as well as conclusions and recommendations based on findings of fact and on applicable law.

The report shall also describe in detail specific events that were found to have occurred and the evidence upon which such findings were based, and list the names of witnesses who testified, with the exception of those whose identities have been withheld for their own protection. The Government shall, within a reasonable period of time, either reply to the report of

the investigation, or indicate the steps to be taken in response to it. The ECHR explicitly related the need for transparency of investigations to the democratic right of official accountability:

Where events lie wholly or largely within exclusive knowledge of the authorities...strong presumptions of fact will arise in respect of injuries and death, which occur. Indeed, the burden of proof may be regarded as resting on the authorities to provide a satisfactory and convincing explanation.

Here is one example of the reasoning by the ECHR regarding the lack of transparency in an investigation: The Court notes ...that throughout the investigation the applicant and the rest of the family were entirely excluded from the proceedings. Contrary to the usual practice under national law, they were not granted the official status of victims in criminal proceedings.... They were never informed or consulted about any proposed evidence or witnesses, including the appointment of posthumous psychological and psychiatric experts, so they could not take part in instructing the experts.

-- Elias Davidsson thanks Pétur Knútsson and Þorbjörn Brodason of the University of Iceland, for their valuable observations and suggestions.

Comment by Mary W Maxwell

Please try to publicize Elias Davidsson's foregoing exegesis of the right-to-truth. Elias was a moralizer. He was 81 years of age at death; I am 76. We grew up in a generation where it was taken for granted that putting on the old thinking cap would have results. We also understood that members of the professions such as doctors and judges had responsibility to act for society and to guard their personal reputation. We took as normal, and assumed it to have been normal since at least biblical times, that law exists to provide a response to myriad forms of human badness and sadness.

As seen in the above article by Elias, international law has in it many protections. Are they suited to our 9/11 complaints? Well, not exactly. The US does not participate in international law. The Basic Principles Treaty of 2005 was signed by the US but not ratified. It takes a 2/3 vote by the US Senate to make a treaty part of the law of the land. Another treaty referred to, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights does have the US as a signatory, but we stated many "reservations."

This is not the end of the line. You can pressure the Senate to sign any treaty. If we did sign, our *domestic* courts could adjudicate matters paying at least lip service to the principles. Constitutionally we don't deal in international courts. Elias mentioned the European Court of Human Rights. It is at Strasbourg and serves only European nations. Happily, at times it does take the upper hand against a nation or business.

When I was studying law in Germany, we learned the case of Princess Caroline of Monaco. She won a lawsuit against the paparazzi who invaded her children's privacy by photographing them at the beach. That is, "Strasbourg" was able to render a judgement based on rights stated in Europe's law. Of course Americans are not a member of the European Union.

(The EU countries are: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Republic of Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain and Sweden.)

We're also not a party to the InterAmerican Court of Human Rights. The State signatories that have ratified the American Convention are: Argentina, Barbados, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, and Uruguay. Venezuela was in, but 'denounced' it in 2013.

Needless to say, the US did not join the ICC, International Criminal Court, in 2002. Anyway it behaves strictly politically. Some Brits tried to bring Tony Blair to the ICC for war crimes but they did not get very far. Can any member state invoke "universal jurisdiction" to try America leaders? Any state can do what it likes, but generally they demur.

In 1980, *Filitiga v Pena-Irala* a US court (Second Circuit) ruled for a man who had been tortured by Paraguay in breach of an anti-torture treaty. The judge said "Indeed, for purposes of civil liability, the torturer has become like the pirate and slave trader before him: *hostis humani generis*, an enemy of all mankind."

I said we should spread the news of the "human right to truth" and its principles, such as the right to a prompt, transparent, independent investigation, despite the US's non-membership. This year 2023 is the 75th anniversary of Universal Declaration of Human Rights, passed in 1948 as a Resolution by the UN General Assembly. It was modeled on our Bill of Rights and had the effect worldwide of encouraging folks to get unslaved.

During President Carter's administration (1977-1981), there was much happy excitement by Americans about assisting the people of South America to get rights. We were too naïve to realize that the US's hand in that continent was malignant. See Gerard Colby and Charlotte Dennett's *Thy Will Be Done* (1995), as to how Nelson Rockefeller ran many nations there and had the help of Evangelical churches [CIA-led] in doing so.

We are not a good neighbor in human rights. When a measure is proposed in the General Assembly that would help the Third World, it often gets a near-unanimous Yes vote, but for the two hold-outs, US and Israel, sometimes joined by El Salvador. It took me to the PhD level of study in Politics to find that factoid out, so efficient is the media in withholding news from us all.

What prevents you now from being a good neighbor, a caring human? Nothing. Just go do it. Don't let the bad guys fool you.

## 12. Covid and the Ghost of Eichmann



*Hannah Arendt (1906-1975)*

*Elias Davidsson (1941-2022)*

*by Elias Davidsson*

The following quotes from Hannah Arendt's famous essay "Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil" have been selected for their contemporary interest. Readers are invited to compare these observations with the current situation, in which large majorities of citizens blindly obey official regulations that violate fundamental human rights. Under each quote from Arendt, I [that is, Elias] add my personal comments, in brackets.

p. 26-27: "[The judges in Eichmann's] case rested on the assumption that the defendant, like all 'normal persons', must have been aware of the criminal nature of his acts, and Eichmann was indeed normal insofar as he was 'no exception within the Nazi regime.' However, under the conditions of the Third Reich, only 'exceptions' could be expected to react 'normally'. This simple truth of the matter created a dilemma for the judges which they could neither resolve nor escape."

[In today's situation, it is those who refuse to wear masks who are reacting "normally" to such infringement of their physical

integrity. To accept mask-wearing in daily life is clearly not a “normal” human behaviour. It must be coerced.]

p. 48 “Dimly aware of a defect that must have plagued him [Eichmann] even in school – it amounted to a mild case of aphasia – he apologized, saying ‘Officialese [Amtssprache] is my only language’. But the point here is that officialese became his language because he was genuinely incapable of uttering a single sentence that was not a cliché.”

[In today’s situation, we encounter everywhere regulations of our lives couched in “officialese” that becomes gradually part of the language and of ideology—such as “social distancing.”]

p. 50 **Eichmann: “Whatever I prepared and planned, everything went wrong, my personal affairs as well as my years-long efforts to obtain land and soil for the Jews. I don’t know, everything was as if under an evil spell; whatever I desired and wanted and planned to do, fate prevented it somehow. I was frustrated in everything, no matter what.”**

[Such self-pity is typical of powerful men and women who find themselves in the dock.]

p. 51 “Eichmann needed only to recall the past in order to feel assured that he was not lying and that he once had been in perfect harmony. And that German society of eighty million people had been shielded against reality and factuality by exactly the same means, the same self-deception, lies, and stupidity that had now become ingrained in Eichmann’s mentality.”

**[The similarity with today’s German society, shielded against reality, needs no comment.]**

p. 51 “During the war, the lie most effective with the whole of the German people was the slogan of ‘the battle of destiny for the German people’ [der Schicksalskampf des deutschen Volkes], coined either by Hitler or by Goebbels, which made self-deception easier on three counts: it suggested, first, that the war was no war; second, that it was started by destiny and not by Germany; and, third, that it was a matter of life and death for the Germans, who must annihilate their enemies or be annihilated.”

**[The current global “battle” against Covid-19 is based on similar arguments, namely the need for a “total war” against the virus; the claim that it is simply a result of destiny (i.e., the “war” was not planned); and that we need to annihilate the virus before the virus annihilates us. This is the nature of totalitarian slogans.]**

85 “All [official] correspondence referring to the matter [Holocaust] was subject to rigid ‘language rules’, and, except in the reports from the Einsatzgruppen, it is rare to find documents in which such bald words as ‘extermination’, ‘liquidation’, or ‘killing’ occur. The prescribed code names for killing were ‘final solution’, ‘evacuation’ (Aussiedlung), and ‘special treatment’ (Sonderbehandlung); deportation – unless it involved Jews directed to Theresienstadt, the ‘old people’s ghetto’ for privileged Jews, in which case it was called ‘change of residence’ – received the names of ‘resettlement’ (Umsiedlung) and ‘labor in the East’ (Arbeitseinsatz im Osten).... A high official in the Foreign Office once proposed that in all correspondence with the Vatican, the killing of Jews be called the ‘radical solution’. [The language rules] proved of enormous help in the maintenance of order and sanity in the various widely diversified services whose cooperation was essential in this matter. Moreover, the very term ‘language rule’ (Sprachregelung) was itself a code name; it meant what in ordinary language would be called a lie.”

["Language rules" have become in recent decades an overt and powerful tool to manipulate public opinion, in fact far more sophisticated than was the case in the Third Reich.]

p. 93 **"It is important to remember that counsel for the defense, Dr. Servatius, pleaded not superior orders but 'acts of state' and asked for acquittal on that ground – a strategy Dr. Servatius had already tried unsuccessfully at Nuremberg."**

[The planners and perpetrators of the Corona restriction measures will undoubtedly claim in their future trial to have acted in their official and legitimate capacity or to have followed superior orders. Will society accept this defense?]

p. 98-99 "In actual fact, the situation was just as simple as it was hopeless: the overwhelming majority of the German people believed in Hitler – even after the attack on Russia and the feared war on two fronts, even after the United States entered the war, indeed even after Stalingrad, the defection of Italy, and the landings in France. Against this solid majority, there stood an indeterminate number of isolated individuals who were completely aware of the national and of the moral catastrophe; they might occasionally know and trust one another, there were friendships among them and an exchange of opinions, but no plan or intention of revolt."

**[While the situations are widely dissimilar — no international wars — the similarity with the current situation is the existence of an overwhelming majority of people who believe in state truths and act on the base of this belief, while only an indeterminate number of isolated individuals are "completely aware of the national and the moral catastrophe" that is being brought upon the world.]**



p. 105-106 “What stuck in the minds of these men who had become murderers was simply the notion of being involved in something historic, grandiose, unique (‘a great task that occurs once in two thousand years’), which must therefore be difficult to bear. This was important, because the murderers were not sadists or killers by nature.”

[Is this description applicable to the unelected designers of the Great Reset, who meet in the World Economic Forum at Davos to plan a “historic, grandiose” new future for humanity, based on a total digital environment? Are they aware that their plan – which is not secret – would make billions of human beings superfluous and the rest of humanity dependent on a handful of IT corporations? Will they plead guilty to having had a marvelous but wrong vision?]

p. 118 **“As Eichmann told it, the most potent factor in the soothing of his own conscience was the simple fact that he could see no one, no one at all, who actually was against the Final Solution.”**

[We have not yet reached that situation. Yet political leaders make particular efforts not to acknowledge and see opposition to their decisions – **such bad-faith efforts will have to be considered as elements of the crime for which these leaders will have to be judged.**]

p. 126 Eichmann “fervently believed in success, the chief standard of ‘good society’ as he knew it. Typical was his last word on the subject of Hitler. Hitler he said,

‘may have been wrong all down the line, but one thing is beyond dispute; the man was able to work his way up from lance corporal in the German Army to Führer of a people of almost eighty million... His success alone proved to me that I should subordinate myself to this man.’”

His [Eichmann's] conscience was indeed set at rest when he saw the zeal and eagerness with which 'good society' everywhere reacted as he did. He did not need to 'close his ears to the voice of conscience,' as the judgment has it, not because he had none, but because his conscience spoke with a 'respectable voice', with the voice of respectable society around him. That there were no voices from the outside to arouse his conscience was one of Eichmann's points, and it was the task of the prosecution to prove that this was not so, that there were voices he could have listened to, and that, anyhow, he had done the work with a zeal far beyond the call of duty."

[Eichmann's perception, seeing everywhere citizens obedient and adoring Hitler, may not be fully applicable to the current situation. Yet, such perception may exist and soothe the conscience of numerous political leaders who isolate themselves from the public and find comfort in the "respectable society" of obedient subjects.]

p. 127 "The sinister Dr. Otto Bradfisch, former member of one of the Einsatzgruppen, who presided over the killing of at least fifteen thousand people, told a German court that he had always been 'inwardly opposed' to what he was doing."

**[We will probably hear such voices in the future by all the collaborators of the existing dictatorship.]** [Bolding added]

Comment by Mary Maxwell

It does not seem reasonable that we should have to repeat history. Why are we trying to accommodate new Eichmanns? The thing is to rub a few neurons together and grow up. It can be done. Our ancestors did a lot of neuron-rubbing. And we did not lose their products -- they are in the library.

Note: Today, lawyer Reiner Fuellmich is running "Nuremberg 2," a citizens' grand jury for crimes connected to Covid.

### 13. Sanctioning April Gallop's Judge



(L) *Smoky Pentagon*, Photo: *defense.gov* (R) *April Gallop*, at *ansa.com*

*by Mary W Maxwell*

This article is about a 9/11 lawsuit, *Gallop v Cheney*, filed by a female soldier, April Gallop, who worked at the Pentagon. It's quite a shocker of judicial arrogance and malfeasance. Before getting to it, let me mention the current status of the legal activity by the Lawyers Committee for 9/11 Truth.

That group asked the US District Court of Southern District of New York to form a Grand Jury to investigate the matter of the collapse of the three buildings at the World Trade Center. The request was acknowledged, but no word has been forthcoming. The Architects and Engineers website says:

“Attorney Mick Harrison, Litigation Director for the Lawyers’ Committee, stated: “We understand the need for secrecy relating to what occurs before the Special Grand Jury. However, the law does not preclude the U.S. Attorney from communicating with the Lawyers’ Committee regarding whether our Petition and Supplement have in fact been provided to the Special Grand Jury, and whether our attorneys and scientific experts will be allowed to present evidence. “The U.S. Attorney has been silent on these important questions.

[**Mandamus.**] Out of necessity, we have just advised the U.S. Attorney that we are considering filing a federal court petition under the federal mandamus statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1361, and the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 702, 706, to compel the U.S. Attorney to perform its duties....”

**Gallop v Cheney.** April Gallop was a private in the Army. On that famous day, September 11, 2011, she had just returned from maternity leave and brought her son Elisha to enroll him in the Pentagon's Day Care Center. She was told to go to her desk first, in the very part of the campus where the attack soon occurred. She and the baby were injured. Normally, military people can't sue for injuries received on the job.

The following are excerpts from the lawsuit she filed against Cheney, Rumsfeld and Air Force Gen Myers. Her attorney was William Veale, at the Center for 9/11 Justice in California. He got fined \$15,000 by the judge, as a professional sanction.

“[Plaintiff April Gallop] attempted to learn what the proper procedure would be if an attacker were seen in the sky approaching the Pentagon. It would be this:

“First, since Cheney knew for 71 minutes that a plane was coming towards Washington, there should have been an alarm sounded within the Pentagon building so employees could run for safety. Indeed such alarms, complete with evacuation of the building, had been so common in the past that employees found them annoying.

“Second, the jets that should have been scrambled were capable of going from their hangars to a height of 29,000 feet in three minutes, and were very capable of dealing with an attacker plane. Again, that was common practice: 67 times in the 9 months prior to 9/11, when aircraft went astray in the US, Air Force jets went aloft in response.

The thing that hit the Pentagon cannot have been a Boeing 757 for at least three reasons [she says]:

**“One:** the story that a hijacker named Hani Hanjour piloted the plane makes no sense. He was an amateur, and the 330-degree turn maneuver that was required is not only beyond his capability but beyond that of even a skilled pilot.

**“Two:** the nose of a Boeing contains radar equipment and therefore its outer shell is porous; it could not have made its way intact through the concrete wall (as Rumsfeld said it did).

**“Three:** Gallop says: ‘as shown on CNN television, a large military aircraft, identified as an E-4B – the so-called Doomsday Plane, which carries the most complete and sophisticated military command and control apparatus – **was circling above Washington at the time the Pentagon was hit.** It was in a perfect position to coordinate the detonation and/or missile shot.’” -- End of excerpts from the Pleadings

I shall now quote some bits from the dismissal. Yes, the judge denied the whole nation the chance to see the facts of 9/11 brought forward in the proper, non-political way, in a courtroom. Gallop’s case occurred in 2010 -- years after 9/11.

## **Judge Denny Chin’s Dismissal**

I’ll now quote five of the many items from the US District Court’s ruling, and add my own comments to each:

**I.** “These affidavits [by theologian David Ray Griffin and physicist Steven Jones] only contain conclusory statements and personal opinions without evidentiary support.”

Comment: Books by Griffin and Jones contain meticulous research and much evidence re 9/11. -- MM

**II.** “Plaintiffs concede that their complaint is alleged ‘without reference to any binding or even analogous precedent’.”

**Comment: How could a person get access to ‘precedent’ of government officials blowing up buildings? -- MM**

and “Factual allegations contained in the complaint, must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level.”

**Comment:** Ms Gallop is not “speculating” when she tells what is in the official 9/11 Commission report: US Secretary of Transportation Norman Mineta stated that a young man in the White House kept coming into the room to tell Cheney how close the plane was getting, and asked if orders NOT to shoot had been changed. Cheney replied in the negative. -- MM

**III.** “Plaintiffs have provided no factual basis to support a meeting of the minds.”

**Comment:** That is, one must show that the conspirators actually agree on things. Everyone knows that Cheney, Rumsfeld, and Myers work together. Is Judge Chin joking? -- MM

**IV.** “Plaintiffs assert that under the doctrine of equitable tolling, the statute [of limitations] was ‘extended by additional acts of concealment in furtherance of the conspiracy.’ The purpose of the time-bar... is to preclude the resuscitation of stale claims.”

**Comment:** The issue is hardly stale, as the event of 9/11 is called upon constantly to support new legislation and foreign invasions. -- MM

**Note: The judge called Gallop’s claims “delusional and fantastic.”** He has since become an Appeals Court judge of the Second Circuit, that is, New York.

## The Appeal

So then what happened? Ms Gallop appealed the dismissal of her case to the U.S. Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. The judges were Winter, Walker, and Cabranes. Their decision was made on April 27, 2011. They said "We ... agree with the District Court that Gallop's allegations of conspiracy are baseless and spun entirely of "cynical delusion and fantasy."

The text of their ruling is as follows (but abridged):

"April Gallop appeals from a March 18, 2010 judgment of dismissing her complaint asserting violations of her constitutional rights ...a common law tort of conspiracy to cause death and great bodily harm, and a violation of the Antiterrorism Act...

"Gallop alleged that defendants, former senior government officials, caused the September 11, 2001 attacks in order to create a political atmosphere in which they could pursue domestic and international policy objectives and to conceal the misallocation of \$2.3 trillion....

"We hold that the District Court did not err in concluding that Gallop's claims were frivolous, and affirm.

**"Background.** As the sentient world well recalls, on the morning of September 11, 2001, "agents of the al Qaeda terrorist organization hijacked commercial airplanes and attacked the World Trade Center and the national headquarters of the Department of Defense" See, e.g., The 9/11 Commission Report: Final Report. ...

"Apart from these factual allegations, the Complaint hypothesizes a fantastical alternative history to the widely accepted account of the "explosion" that injured Gallop and

killed hundreds of other men and women inside the Pentagon. Among other things, Gallop's complaint alleges that American Airlines Flight 77 did not crash into the Pentagon ...

"Instead, the Complaint alleges that the United States' most senior military and civilian leaders cause[d] and arrange[d] for high explosive charges to be detonated inside the Pentagon, and/ or a missile of some sort to be fired at the building... to give the false impression that hijackers had crashed into the building....

"On May 6, 2009, defendants moved to dismiss Gallop's complaint on the following bases: (1) that defendants are entitled to qualified immunity; (2) that the Antiterrorism Act fails to provide a cause of action against U.S. government officials; (3) that Gallop's constitutional claim is untimely, and, in any event, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; (4) that all of her claims are barred under the doctrine of judicial estoppel; and (5) that all of her claims are frivolous.

"To survive dismissal, Gallop "must provide the grounds upon which [her] claim rests through factual allegations sufficient 'to raise a right to relief above the speculative level.'" As the Supreme Court explained in *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, a complaint that merely "tenders naked assertions devoid of further factual enhancement" fails to meet standard.

"A court may dismiss a claim as 'factually frivolous' if the sufficiently well-pleaded facts are 'clearly baseless' that is, if they are 'fanciful,' 'fantastic,' or 'delusional.'

"While, as a general matter, Gallop or any other plaintiff certainly may allege that the most senior members of the United States government conspired to commit acts of terrorism against the United States, the courts have no obligation to entertain pure speculation and conjecture.



“Indeed .... the complaint utterly fails to set forth a consistent, much less plausible, theory for what actually happened that morning in Arlington. We therefore agree with the District Court that Gallop’s allegations of conspiracy are baseless and spun entirely of “cynical delusion and fantasy.”



*This is the hole in the Pentagon wall. Gallop walked out this hole onto the grass. Source of photo unknown.*

**Recusal?** Gallop’s Attorney Veale then requested a rehearing, based on the judges being prejudiced (in part because one of the three judges, John Walker, is a first-cousin of George W Bush). In reply, the Court, on July 7, 2011, ordered Veale to show cause why he should not pay sanctions. Said the judges:

“Indeed, rather than pursuing his client’s interests, Veale’s actions appear to be malicious – intended, in bad faith, to use his position as an attorney of record to harass and disparage the court.... Such conduct, in our view, is ground for consideration of further appellate sanctions.”

## We Are Not Dead Yet

As Kimberly Davis, bereaved sibling of wrongly executed Troy Davis, likes to say: "It's not over till God says it's over." There are at least three ways we could re-enliven *Gallop V Cheney*. The **first** is that someone, anyone really, could petition the original court for a "Writ of Error Coram Nobis," showing that the court was defrauded, in various ways, in 2010. **Second**, the plaintiff could ask to present evidence that has since come to light. Namely, the FBI testified in the trial of Zacharias Moussaoui that the telephone records of Flight 77 show that Barbara Olsen made one call, not two as in the official story, and that the one call lasted for 0 seconds. (This is elaborated in Elias Davidsson's book *Hijacking America's Mind on 911*.)

**Third**, it is now time for "the baby" to come forward with a lawsuit concerning the injuries he received on 9/11 at the Pentagon. Gallop's son, Elisha, who was an infant on the day, is now 20. I believe the statute of limitations for him did not begin to toll until his 18th birthday. Let's hear it for Elisha!

**Update:** Many stories of bombings and shootings include a child's death. In a recent YouTube interview, Ms Gallop mentions that when she arrived at work, she phoned her boss to say she would be going to the Day Care center to deposit the child. The boss said "No, bring him here." I realize I am dropping an outrageous and unsupported hint here, namely that perhaps Elisha's life was meant to be sacrificed. But many people on the Pentagon campus that day *did* know what was going to happen. Many people at each fake terrorist scene are party to the secret. You and I can't imagine doing anything like this, so we push it out of our mind, rather than contemplate it.

That is a habit we need to overcome. Note: Ms Gallop says that her boss went away "to a meeting", so did not suffer the hit.

Anyway, it's not over till God says it's over.

## Where Are the Journalists for Gallop's Important Case?

Consider the tone in this journal article, by Debra Cassens Weiss, entitled **‘Fantastical’ 9/11 Lawsuit Could Lead to Sanctions for Lawyer, 2nd Circuit Says**”, April 28, 2011:

“In an opinion issued on Wednesday, the New York City-based 2nd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed. The case had been argued only three weeks before. The court said the complaint was frivolous and affirmed dismissal, calling the suit a “fantastical alternative history.”

“The plaintiff, April Gallop, said she was working at the Pentagon with her infant son on the day of the attacks, and both sustained head and brain injuries from the collapse of the building’s ceiling and walls. She alleges the Pentagon was destroyed, not by a plane crash, but possibly by a missile or explosives on the orders of U.S. leaders, the appeals court said.

According to the opinion, **she claimed the conspiracy was motivated by a desire to create a political atmosphere where officials could pursue their policy objectives and to conceal trillions of dollars in defense misappropriations....** [Emphasis added]

"Nor did the plaintiff cite any facts to support a conspiracy among the defendants, according to the opinion."

Gallop’s lawyer is identified as William Veale of Walnut Creek, Calif. In an interview with the ABA Journal, Veale said opinions by the appeals panel and then-U.S. District Judge Chin were “both entirely, in all due respect, dishonest. They **didn’t mention half of what we presented to them** in the complaint. They simply disregarded mountains of evidence.” [Emphasis added]

**Enter, the American Bar Association.** Dear Reader, are you waiting eagerly for the legal profession, and all constitutional scholars, to come to the aid of their colleague William Veale?

Before: WINTER, WALKER, and CABRANES, *Circuit Judges*.  
Case 10-1241 cv, Gallop v Cheney, Rumsfeld, Myers. 2011

"Following this Court's April 27, 2011 order for April Gallop and her counsel of record to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for filing a frivolous appeal, ... sanctions are imposed on Gallop's counsel of record pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 38, 28 U.S.C. § 1927, and the inherent power of this Court. One of Gallop's counsel of record, William Veale, is further sanctioned for filing a frivolous and vexatious motion to disqualify the panel "and any like-minded colleagues" from considering Gallop's petition for panel rehearing. In addition, Gallop's lead counsel of record, Dennis Cunningham, is admitted *pro hac vice* for the purpose of this appeal, and is ordered to show cause why he should not be separately sanctioned for his ...role in drafting the ...filings."

Have you wondered why Congress passes laws that cater to an industry, in this case the court industry? They do it for all industries, under pressure. They don't know how to say No. Granted, it is reasonable for judges to be able to discipline lawyers who truly offend the court. But the ABA goes along with preventing a Veale or a Cunningham from speaking out. How is a court not the right place to speak out on a crime case?

There is a group called Lawyers for 9/11 Truth but they acted against winning their own case, in my opinion, by asking the SDNY to produce a grand jury. That's Hellerstein's court and we all knew it wouldn't cooperate. The Truth group should recruit, in a proper manner, an unbiased Grand Jury. It is constitutional for citizens to investigate and indict. The heist of that function by government is unconstitutional. We need to raise the hue and cry. And not a minute too soon!

## 14. UN Security Council Resolution 1368



*United Nations Security Council, New York, Photo: state.gov*

**by Elias Davidsson**

*Note: This article, concerning 2001, was written in 2014. Bolding added.*

The first overt diplomatic achievement by the United States related to 9/11, was Resolution No. 1368. It was adopted after a short meeting of the UN Security Council on September 12, 2001. The resolution contained the routine statements of condemnation and of solidarity with the 9/11 victims and their families. But this particular resolution manifested three puzzling features whose implications are yet to be fully understood.

Resolution 1368 included a one-paragraph preamble in which the Council “recognized the inherent right of individual or collective self-defence in accordance with the Charter.” By this statement the Council gave the United States a wink that it may, if it wishes, use military force against the country from which presumed attackers of 9/11 had come.

Note that the Council did not “authorize” the United States to use military force, as it had done in the case of the invasion and occupation of Kuwait by Iraq in 1990,[1] but chose an *indirect* format to convey to the United States the message

that the Council would look the other way and ask no questions, if the United States would use military force against foreign states in response to 9/11.

That is precisely what happened: The U.S. bombing campaign against Afghanistan and the subsequent occupation of that country was not condemned by any member of the Security Council, although it was a violation of customary international law – as established on the basis of the so-called Caroline case. That is, the resort to self-defense is regulated; it requires “a necessity of self-defence, instant, overwhelming, leaving no choice of means, and no moment of deliberation.” Furthermore, any action taken must be proportional, “since the act justified by the necessity of self-defence, must be limited by that necessity, and kept clearly within it.”

Resolution 1368 also condoned a blatant act of aggression. The International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg (1945) called the waging of aggressive war “not only an international crime; it is the supreme international crime, differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole.”

I [Elias Davidsson] claim that by including the Charter’s provision on self-defense into Resolution No. 1368, Council members *contributed to the violation of customary international law* and the commission of the supreme international crime by the U.S. government, namely aggression.

## **How Was The 9/11 Matter International?**

Furthermore, the Council designated the events of the preceding day as an act of “international” terrorism, and “a threat to international peace and security” without being provided with the slightest evidence in support of these assertions. The Council is not known to have at any time requested or received such evidence.

Note: it is the “threat to international peace” that basically gives the UNSC the authority to invite members to get in there. I am referring to the famous Chapter 7 Article 39 of the UN Charter:

**"The Security Council shall determine the existence of any threat to the peace, breach of the peace, or act of aggression and shall make recommendations, or decide what measures shall be taken in accordance with Articles 41 and 42, to maintain or restore international peace and security."** [Bolding added]

Per the US's official account, on 9/11, four airliners in domestic routes were hijacked by paying passengers, later designated as foreign terrorists. U.S. authorities have at no time alleged that the United States had been attacked or invaded from outside its borders on September 11, 2001, or that it expected new attacks. Even if the official account on 9/11 had been true, it would not have amounted to an act of “*international*” terrorism, but would remain a large-scale act of domestic terrorism by travelers whose international connections had not been established.

A puzzling feature is the swiftness with which Resolution 1368 was adopted. Had the above two features not been included in the resolution – calling it international terrorism and a threat to peace -- there would be nothing odd about the fact that it was adopted one day after the attacks. Numerous governments and inter-governmental organisations adopted resolutions on the very day of the attacks, September 11, 2001, in which they condemned the attacks and expressed solidarity with the victims **(but they rightly refrained from designating the events as an ‘international’ attack).**

**Vast Implications.** The two features discussed above were neither self-evident nor necessary, and had vast legal and political implications. It is inconceivable that individuals sitting

in the Council, representing their governments, would approve the wording of Council resolutions **on the base of their personal feelings, no matter how strong**. Drafts of Security Council resolutions, particularly those which contain legal precedents or entail legal consequences, are typically examined – down to their punctuation – by legal experts *in the home countries* of the Council's members. It is inconceivable that experts around the world would be able to assess within hours the legal and political ramifications of the features discussed above.

I can conceive of only two explanations for this apparent swiftness: Either the United States (backed by its NATO allies) threatened the governments of the other Security Council members with severe sanctions, should they fail to adopt this resolution, or the draft resolution had been circulated to, and approved by selected members of the Security Council prior to the events of 9/11, in order to ensure its speedy adoption on September 12, 2001. Both explanations give rise to questions that remain largely unexamined.

Now for a comment on the probity of information put before the UNSC. The Security Council does not have to base its decisions on proven facts. It may legally base its operative decisions on hunches, hypotheticals, hearsay and even fantasy. The Security Council would be legally entitled to determine that the earth is flat, if such determination would politically suit its members. The members of the Council are admittedly under the legal obligation to act in good faith, but **no international entity has been set up to examine whether they have complied with this principle**, and if violated, to invalidate decisions based on the breach of this principle.[3]

The readiness of all members of the Security Council to serve American foreign policy aims, as reflected in the provisions of Resolution No. 1368, must be regarded as a historical



achievement by the United States. How this achievement was secured remains undetermined.

**The UN's Fourth Pillar.** For years, I [Elias Davidsson] have been a lonely voice pointing out that the UNSC's Permanent Five (US, UK, France, Russia and China) have a common agenda to define "international terrorism" as a major threat to world peace. This common decision, to which they are committed, demonstrates that the Permanent Five, contrary to popular perceptions, collude against the truth and against the interests of the world's peoples.

Their definition is a monumental lie. Terrorism is not even a threat to the sovereignty, national defense, or political order of any country. While terrorism (attacks on civilians for political purposes) is a crime, the number of people killed yearly by terrorist acts in most countries lies between zero and 10, far less than the number of homicides. Yet, the Security Council has since 2001 regularly resolved that international terrorism is "one of the most serious threats to peace and security."

The first sign of this perfidious collusion of the five Permanents was the aforementioned Security Council resolution 1368, adopted at noon time on September 12, 2001. The council, without any evidence to go by, designated the attacks of the previous day as an act of « "international" terrorism and gave the United States, in addition, a green light to attack any country of its choosing.

Last month [in 2014] The UK-based organization Saferworld has lamented the rise of counter-terrorism in the UN's agenda. They note that the Charter of the United Nations established a new institution with three founding pillars: peace and security, human rights and development: "Over the past 20 years however, a fourth pillar – counter-terrorism – has begun to emerge, through multiple UN Security Council

resolutions, a global strategy from the UN General Assembly, and the creation of a stand-alone UN Office of Counter-terrorism.”

**Good Guys?** I urge all those who for various reasons believe Russia and China to be “the good guys” as opposed to the NATO “bad guys”, to take a second look at this perception. *The five permanent members [the P5] of the UN Security Council have shown their common commitment to the counter-terrorism ideology*, for it provides every government with justifications to clamp on human rights and democracy. This is precisely their common agenda, and it bears no relation to Al Qaeda, ISIS or other real or fake terrorist organisations.

The P5 have hereby declared a war against the world’s peoples. The United Nations has become a tool of oppression. “We the People” can trust no government and no state to restore our rights. **We must join hands across borders** without state or corporate interference to restore an acceptable world order.

Comment by Mary W Maxwell

Here is what US Ambassador to the UN, John Negroponte, wrote this to the UNSC on 7 October 2001 to justify warring on Afghanistan. I reckon this says it all, as far as international law goes:

"[We have] initiated actions in the exercise of its inherent right of individual and collective self-defense following armed attacks that were carried out against the United States on September 11, 2001. ... My Government has obtained clear and compelling information that the Al-Qaeda organization, which is supported by the Taliban regime in Afghanistan, had a central role in the attacks.... In carrying out these actions, the United States will continue its humanitarian efforts to alleviate the suffering of the people of Afghanistan. We are providing them with food, medicine and supplies."

## 15. Punishment for Israel's War Crimes?



*King Hussein of Jordan lights a cigarette for Yitzhak Rabin.  
Photo: Jerusalem Post*

***by Elias Davidsson***

*Note: A GumshoeNews article on Julian Assange and War Crimes, by Mary Maxwell sparked a response from human rights scholar Elias Davidsson. He sent us this piece that he had written in 1992 and revised a quarter century ago, in 1994. It was posted at juscogens.org in 2005.*

There have been calls by non-governmental organisations and eminent citizens for the trial of individual leaders, for their alleged participation in war crimes. More and more people recognize the importance of holding individual public leaders responsible for their deeds and failings. Since the Nurnberg and Tokyo trials of the 1940s in which the leading World War II criminals were tried [and many executed], no judicial body of any country has been willing to initiate legal measures for the apprehension and trial of the world's leading war criminals, in spite of States' legal obligations to do so.

The Israeli-Arab conflict, or more correctly the Zionist-Palestinian conflict, has lasted for almost a century. In the course of this conflict, many acts of violence were committed by both sides. But not every act is defined in international law as a war crime or a crime against humanity. Only the most

odious crimes are considered as offences against Mankind, which all states, individually and collectively, are required to repress. The Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 and their Additional Protocols of 1977, provide a list of such crimes.

The present memorandum provides evidence about the responsibility of Mr. Yitzhak Rabin. It also includes a preliminary legal discussion about the acts in question, the responsibility of Mr. Rabin [1922-1995], and the duties of states to prosecute.

### **1. Mr. Rabin Was Responsible for Ethnic Cleansing/Deportation in 1948**

On 12 July 1948, Lt. Colonel Yitzhak Rabin, Chief of Operations Operation Dani, issued the following order to Yiftah Brigade headquarters:

“1. The inhabitants of Lydda must be expelled quickly without attention to age. They should be directed towards Beit Nabala.”

The implementation of this order is described in the authoritative book *The birth of the Palestinian refugee problem, 1947-1949* by Israeli historian Benny Morris (Cambridge University Press, 1987) and more in detail in Michael Palumbo's book *The Palestinian catastrophe: The 1948 expulsion of a people from their homeland*, Quartet Books (1987).

The authors estimate that approximately 50,000 civilians (Arabs) were expelled from Lydda and Ramleh under Mr. Rabin's orders. As a prelude to the flight, hundreds of civilians were killed by Israeli soldiers. Many more died in the ensuing 'march to exile'.

Although Zionist leaders claimed that the expulsions were based on military necessity, the fact that the refugees were not

allowed to return and declarations by Zionist leaders about the necessity to reduce the number of Arabs in the incumbent Jewish state lend credence to the claim (by Palestinians) that the expulsions were part of a master plan of “ethnic cleansing”.

The deportation of civilians constitutes a Crime against Humanity under Article 6(c) of the Nürnberg Charter and a grave breach of the Fourth Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949, as specified in Article 147 thereof. The unjustifiable delay in the repatriation of the inhabitants of Lydda and Ramleh (who have never been allowed to return) constitutes a grave breach of the First Additional Protocol.

## **2. Mr Rabin Ordered in 1967 the Destruction of Three Villages and the Expulsion of Their Inhabitants**

In the 1967 war between Israel and Jordan, Yitzhak Rabin was Chief of Staff of the Israeli Defence Forces. In this short war some 5,000 inhabitants from three villages in the Latrun triangle between Tel Aviv and Jerusalem (Emwas, Beit Nuba, and Yalou) were expelled by the Israeli army and their villages totally destroyed.

Israeli soldier Amos Kenan, who took part in the expulsions, described these acts in a report sent to all Israeli Members of Parliament. According to press reports, including one in *Jerusalem Post* of 24 October 1991, Mr. Yitzhak Rabin admitted on Canadian TV having given the order to destroy the villages.

The inhabitants of these villages were never allowed to return and rebuilt their village nor bury their dead in the lands of their former village. The unjustifiable delay in the repatriation of the inhabitants of the above three villages constitutes a grave breach of the First Additional Protocol to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 (article 85.4).

In addition, the Geneva Conventions as well as their additional protocols prohibit “at any time and in any place whatsoever .... collective punishments”. It is submitted that the destruction of these villages – every single house in them – constitutes ‘collective punishment’ within the meaning of the Conventions.

### **3. Mr Rabin Urged Israeli Soldiers to Break the Bones of Palestinian Youngsters**

In mid-January 1988, Mr. Rabin, then Israeli Defense Minister, announced a policy to restore order in the Occupied Territories by “force, might, beatings”. More specifically, he urged Israeli soldiers to summarily (and viciously) beat Palestinian demonstrators. Israeli soldiers implemented this policy, breaking the hands or arms of many demonstrators with methodically directed blows, using sticks and stones.

In some cases, Palestinian youngsters were rounded up from their homes, brought to remote areas, and there, while a couple of soldiers held them, had their bones smashed. The international outrage called forth by a 40-minute long TV-film secretly shot, and showing how Israeli soldiers smash the bones of a Palestinian youth, compelled the Israeli government to halt these extra-judicial and inhuman punishments.

Israeli soldiers, **charged for using excessive force and brought to trial in Israel**, have testified that the orders for these practices originated from above, including from Yitzhak Rabin. Although the Israeli press published numerous testimonies, which all mention Mr. Rabin as the instigator of these practices (e.g., *Ha'aretz*, 9 April) Mr. Rabin was never asked to testify in court. No inquiry was initiated regarding his role in these policies.

### **4. Yitzhak Rabin Ordered in 1993 Indiscriminate Attacks of Civilian Population Centers in Lebanon**

The *Sunday Times*, 1 August 1993, reported under the heading “Rabin’s war”:

“Late yesterday, he [Rabin] called off his personal seven-day war in southern Lebanon.... Rabin’s War – Operation Accountability – has killed at least 130 and sent hundreds of thousands of Lebanese fleeing towards Beirut.... Southern Lebanon had become a land without people.... About 80 villages have been hit.”

According to the London-based *Middle East International* (MEI) of 6 August 1993, the “majority of casualties were civilians. At least 55 towns and villages were very heavily damaged, including Nabatiya, a major regional center of Lebanon with a normal population of around 100,000. [This seven-day bombardment of southern Lebanon was] “expressly designed (as Mr. Rabin himself announced) to render South Lebanon ‘uninhabitable’ and to create a tidal wave of refugees so huge that it would destabilise the government in Beirut and bend it to Israel’s will ....”

According to Lebanese government estimates (reported in MEI): “Some 400,000 people – mainly Shi’ite Muslims – fled their homes in panic, with about half of them converging on Beirut and its southern suburbs. While some had families and friends to go to, others arrived with only the clothes they stood in, and had no money to fend for themselves”.

Both the Hague Conventions of 1907 and the Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 contain specific provisions that prohibit attacks on civilian populations. It specifies as a ‘grave breach’ (war crime) “making the civilian population or individual civilians the object of attack” as well as “launching an indiscriminate attack affecting the civilian population or civilian objects in the

knowledge that such attack will cause excessive loss of life, injury to civilians or damage to civilian objects”.

Further details are provided by the Protocols, all of which lead to the conclusion that the Israeli attack on South Lebanon constituted a ‘grave breach’ of international humanitarian law. The individual who bears the primary responsibility for the Israeli operation against South Lebanon is Yitzhak Rabin, in his double capacity as Prime Minister and Minister of Defense.

## **LEGAL DISCUSSION**

Are the facts allegedly attributed to Mr. Rabin true? Are the sources trustworthy? What is the evidence that Mr. Rabin had anything to do with these acts? Do the acts under consideration constitute prosecutable crimes under international law?

Another set of questions would deal with the problems involved in bringing a person such as Mr. Rabin to trial. Although it is true that in many situations, it is impossible to bring about the prosecutions, it is nevertheless of public utility to expose leaders’ crimes as widely as possible, isolate such people politically and morally and cause small but significant changes of policy.

Such publicity would at least prevent war criminals traveling between world capitals in total impunity and be feted as peace heroes, as Mr. Rabin has been. It might be argued that in some circumstances, an individual charged with war crimes, might voluntarily seek due process, either to clean his name, or to avoid extra-judicial retribution.

### **The Authenticity/Reliability of the Evidence**

In all above cases there has been a wide coverage given by the press and in scholarly works to the acts committed. In the first



two cases (expulsion from Lydda and Ramleh and expulsion from the 3 villages around Latrun), there is no controversy about the fact that the population of these two towns was expelled. A disagreement persists regarding the aim of the expulsion. Israel maintains that the expulsions were carried out because of military necessity.

From the point of view of international humanitarian law, it does not matter why a civil population is deported. Such deportations are prohibited in all circumstances and constitute war crimes. Furthermore, if it were true that the expulsions were carried out because of military necessity, the expelled would be allowed to return to their homes, once the hostilities ended. But Israel has not only refused to allow such return but allowed Jewish immigrants from other countries to permanently occupy the abandoned houses in Ramleh and Lydda and planted a park on the land of the villages destroyed in 1967.

Ample evidence has been published in 1988 in the Israeli press and the international press about acts of beatings and breaking of limbs by Israeli soldiers. Such evidence also includes visual documentaries, testimonies by Israeli soldiers and testimonies of victims. There is no controversy about the fact that such acts were carried out.

The last case, regarding the massive attack on South Lebanon, was reported in the international press. The effect of the attack was documented in great detail, particularly the flight of hundreds of thousands of civilians from their homes in South Lebanon and their plight.

### **Mr. Rabin's Personal Involvement in the Above Acts**

As to Mr. Rabin's personal implication in the above acts, this has never been questioned by anyone. As quoted above, Mr.

Rabin has publicly acknowledged to have ordered the commission of the first two actions (1948 and 1967). While Mr. Rabin did not yet explicitly admit to have urged Israeli soldiers “to break the bones” of Palestinian demonstrators, his declared policy in 1987/88 to crush the Palestinian uprising by “force, might, beatings” and the numerous testimonies in Israeli courts by Israeli IDF personnel implicating Mr. Rabin in such punishments [can be used] to charge him with instigating and condoning these acts.

As for the IDF attack on South Lebanon in 1993, it can be assumed that it was committed under direct orders from and permission by Israel’s Prime Minister and Minister of Defense, Mr. Yitzhak Rabin. Although one might argue that other Israeli nationals, primarily leaders of the IDF, were implicated in this gross violation of international law, this does not mitigate Mr. Rabin’s primary responsibility for this act. [End of Elias article]

Comment by Mary W Maxwell

**Sharon and Olmert.** Rabin had two terms as Prime Minister: 1974-77 and 1992 until his assassination in 1995. Why was he assassinated? Who knows? Maybe it was because someone was afraid that Davidsson’s ideas would spread around.



*Two prime ministers of Israel: (L) Ariel Sharon in office from 2001 to 2006 (R) Ehud Olmert, from 2006-2009*

I recall the embarrassing moment when Ariel Sharon had to cancel a meeting in Brussels, as people in Belgium were

planning to capture him for war crimes. The signatories to the Geneva Conventions agree that if the responsible country won't prosecute its leaders, another country should feel obliged to do so. This is from *The Guardian*, November 28, 2001:

"Israel flatly rejected the right of a Belgian court to try its prime minister, Ariel Sharon, for war crimes as the judges yesterday began considering whether they had jurisdiction in the explosive case. Officials said the appeal court would reach a decision in January on whether to investigate Mr Sharon's role in the massacre of hundreds of Palestinians in Lebanon in 1982, when he was Israel's minister of defence.

"Mr Sharon has avoided visiting Belgium since the complaint was filed in the summer by 23 survivors of the killings, under a controversial law which allows Belgian courts to prosecute foreigners for human rights violations committed abroad. The Appeals Court in Belgium ruled against bringing a case."

Elias Davidsson has pointed out to me that: "It is the obligation of the Signatories of the Geneva Conventions to initiate legal procedures, either in domestic courts or through a collective mechanism under UN auspices, for the prosecution of war criminals. This duty is absolute and cannot be waived - legally -- by political or other considerations."

Lately, another ex-PM of Israel got into trouble This is from *Jewish Telegraphic Agency*, July 25, 2019: "Ehud Olmert canceled a trip to Switzerland after being warned that he would be arrested on suspicion of war crimes.

"Olmert had been scheduled to travel to Switzerland on Monday, but was warned over the weekend by Israeli defense and justice officials that he would be detained for questioning upon landing.... Officials said his detention would cause great embarrassment to Israel. "Olmert was prime minister during

the 2006 Second Lebanon War and the three-week Gaza military operation known as Cast Lead that started in late December 2008. He reportedly told the officials that he would tell the Swiss that Israel was fighting terrorist organizations – Hezbollah and Hamas, respectively — during the wars.”

I have heard that Henry Kissinger is also very cautious about traveling. I'll bet Donald Rumsfeld is, too. You may recall that Spain asked the UK for extradition of Augusto Pinochet for having tortured a Spaniard in the 1973 coup d'etat in Chile against President Salvador Allende. The UK Home Secretary did not counter this request by saying it was illegal. It was legal. It let Pinochet go free on the grounds of his age and infirmity.



**Update:** Today, April 7, 2023, Israel attacked Gaza Strip. This photo from Ahraf Amra, a stringer for AP, appeared in Gazette, Colorado. It looks like the dustification technique. (An aside: I think women ought to be the deciders of war. Males can't agree to hold back if they have a better weapon. If the above is a DEW, it may be used to destroy the whole world. Is that crazy or what? -- MM)

It's likely that some Israelis, and maybe Jewish Americans, will think it was disloyal of Elias to publish the above article. "One's leader is one's leader." What poor thinking! We should thank Davidsson for at least trying to make it fashionable to refer to the law, even when the accused is one's 'leader.' When Rabin was into ethnic cleansing, Elias was a 7-year-old, watching.

**PART FOUR**  
**ELIAS WROTE SATIRE, TOO**

## 16. A Generous One-Star Amazon Review by Elias



*A book by Gabriel Weimann*

*by Elias Davidsson*

*Introduction by Mary W Maxwell*

From 1951-1952 I attended an all-Jewish kindergarten and never recovered from it. I mean all-Jewish except me. Or maybe the experience juda-icized me. Anyway, I have always thought Jews are worth listening to. During the famous 1960s, Jewish scholars in America shone with their deep sense of justice and love of truth.

For some reason, Jews seem to have gone underground -- one rarely hears from them on vital subjects of our social life. I suspect this is because the mainstream media discriminates against intellectual interviews of any kind. It could also be that conspiracy theories about Jews, or Israel, have taken a toll. Thus, I get a special kick out of reading anything Davidsson writes as he is so old-school. He would be the last person to hold back for worries about taking an unpopular approach to this or that. Actually he thrives on it.

I shall present here his Amazon review of a book about alleged cyberspace terrorism. Students would do well to use Elias'

review as a template against which to judge any book that purports to present an accurate account of anything. Davidsson, who himself is not an academic, gets very riled up when academics are sloppy.

**I became aware of this review when Elias sent me a copy of an email he wrote** to Gabriel Weimann, the author of *Terrorism in Cyberspace*, and gave me permission to reprint it:

Prof. Gabriel Weimann, Department of Communication  
University of Haifa, Israel

Dear Prof. Weimann,

I would like to draw your attention to my review of your book *Terrorism in Cyberspace*, posted on Amazon as comment. While I do not consider you as a scholar, but rather as an intellectual prostitute, I view prostitution as an honorable profession. I would be thankful to hear your opinion regarding my book review, should you wish to challenge my designation.

Shalom,

Elias Davidsson

### **Amazon Review**

Herewith Davidsson's review of *Terrorism in Cyberspace*, by Gabriel Wiemann, with foreword by Bruce Hoffman.

The author's book is presented by Bruce Hoffman, who wrote the introduction, as embodying "the hallmarks of Weimann's decades of scholarship: presenting a comprehensive, thoughtful, and sober analysis – supported by voluminous empirical evidence and trenchant, revealing examples."

Gabriel Weimann's book does not deserve such ode. One of the elementary tasks of a scholar is to substantiate the facts he or she is presenting by attaching to factual claims verifiable and trustworthy evidence.

Another elementary task of scholarly writing is to refrain from omitting relevant facts. As shown below, Weimann's book represents a collection of unsubstantiated claims and negligent omissions. His book lacks therefore scholarly value. Bruce Hoffman's book on terrorism, is incidentally the subject of a review by myself.

The following are merely selections from Weimann's unsubstantiated claims and negligent omissions, with the page number indicated:

p. 4: "Today, all terrorist organisations, large or small, have their own websites, Facebook pages, or uploaded YouTube videos" [The author failed, upon my written request, to indicate a single URL for such website]

p. 5: "Al-Qaeda core have publicly discouraged sympathisers from travelling to conflict zones in order to join them." [The author does not provide any evidence for such call by Al-Qaeda]

The author provides on p. 9 the following explanation how he and his team succeeded to locate terrorist websites: "To locate the online terrorist websites, frequent systematic scans of the internet were conducted using the various keywords and names of organisations in the database [that preexisted the search for these organisations...]

First, the standard search engines (e.g., Google, Yahoo!, Bing) were used. The Internet is a dynamic arena: websites emerge and disappear, change addresses, or are reformatted [Note the



passive language, as if no traceable human beings make these changes].

Years of monitoring the terrorist presence online has provided information on how to locate their new sites, how to search in chatrooms and forums of supporters and sympathisers for the new ‘addresses’ and how to use links in other organisations’ websites to update existing lists.

This was often a Sisyphean effort, especially since in certain instances – for instance, al-Qaeda’s sites – the location and the contents of the sites changed almost daily.” [Going by this explanation, only people like him – and not ordinary young Muslims – can through “Sisyphean efforts” locate jihadi sites whose location “changes almost daily”.

One is entitled to ask: Who has a motive to create a “jihadi” website and delete it almost immediately, if not those intending to prove that such websites exist but do not wish anyone to examine these sites?]

p. 10: “In 2003 there were more than 2,600 terrorist websites. The number rose dramatically, and by October 2013, the project archive contained more than 9,600 websites serving terrorists and their supporters.” [For these claims, the author provides not a shred of evidence, nor does he explain what is included by the term “terrorist websites”.]

p. 21: “The anonymity of the internet is very attractive for modern terrorists.” [The author fails to explain why anonymity is attractive to organisations that allegedly seek members and sympathizers. The author fails to mention that anonymity is absolutely necessary for intelligence agencies whose agents impersonate jihadists].

p. 22: “An abundance of more sophisticated measures and technologies also increase the difficulty of identifying the originator, recipient, or content of terrorist online communications. These include encryption tools, and anonymising software that are readily available online for download.”

[SITE Intelligence Group, run by Rita Katz, an Israeli, Zionist, woman, is certainly no impartial source on Islamic terrorism. SITE does not provide evidence that a jihadist media group by the name of al-Nusra Media Battalion at all exists. The author does not mention the possibility that this “jihadist media group” might be located in the offices of Mossad, CIA or in Hollywood.]

p. 32-3: “The eleventh issue of Inspire published online in June 2013, devoted almost all of its forty-odd pages to glorifying what it calls the BBB: the “Blessed Boston bombings”. ...The main takeaway from the June 2013 issue is that its editors are unabashedly pleased that copies of their magazine were found in the Tsarnaev brothers’ home.”

[The author does not provide the source for this allegedly jihadi magazine – Inspire – whose publisher has no name, address, phone number or website]

p.33: “Al-Qaeda operatives relied heavily on the Internet for the planning and coordination of the 9/11 attacks. Numerous messages that had been posted in a password-protected area of a website were found by federal officials on the computer of arrested AQ terrorist Abu Zubaydah, who masterminded the 9/11 attacks.”

[The author appears to be completely ignorant about the 9/11 attacks. First, there is no evidence, whatsoever, that Al Qaeda operatives planned, coordinated or carried out these attacks. This fact alone disqualifies the author from claiming the title of

a scholar. Second, not even the US government has claimed that Abu Zubaydah has masterminded the 9/11 attacks.

In the official US legend, which in turn is fraudulent, the 9/11 attacks were masterminded by one Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, who allegedly confessed from his prison in Guantánamo to have mastermind 9/11 as well as some other 30 terrorist plots, including an attempt on the life of the pope. The U.S gov't has shown no inclination to have him tried and sentenced]

p. 33-4: “Mohammed Atta’s final message to the other 18 terrorists who carried out the 9/11 attacks is reported to have read: ‘The semester begins in three more weeks. We’ve obtained 19 confirmations for studies in the faculty of law, the faculty of urban planning, the faculty of fine arts, and the faculty of engineering’ (quoted in Fouda and Fielding, 2003)”

[The author cites controversial authors, who in turn have provided no credible source for this bizarre message. No official source is known to have corroborated the above claim.]

p. 34: “Following a popular business trend, many [terrorists] have turned to e-commerce, selling CDs, DVDs, T-shirts, and books as a means of raising cash.” [The author fails to produce a single concrete and verifiable example of such commerce]

p. 34: “Many terrorist organisations have added links to their sites which advise visitors on how to donate funds electronically via bank transfer.” [The author fails to produce a single concrete and verifiable example of such attempts at collecting money]

p. 35: “The terrorists who carried out the July 7, 2005 attacks on the London transportation system were also self-financed, in part through credit card fraud.”

[The author does not provide any reference to his claim that the alleged authors of the London attacks engaged in credit card fraud. The author omits to mention that the official account of the London bombings is disputed.]

p. 37: According to the author Osama bin Laden remarked in 2002: “It is obvious that the media war in this century is one of the strongest methods; in fact, its ratio may reach 90% of the total preparation for the battles.”

[The author does not cite any verifiable source for this alleged remark by Osama bin Laden. This statement would not make any sense, as neither bin Laden nor “Al Qaeda” owned any media with which to prepare a battle]

p. 40: “The most visible part of AQ’s online presence involves the spread of propaganda, which is created by the group’s media production branch, As-Sahab. [The author does not produce any concrete evidence that this “media production branch” exists.]

“It uses modern technology and semi-professional hardware to produce its video statements and distribute them worldwide.”  
[The author presents no source for these claims]

“Al Qaeda also operates radio and television broadcasting online along with its online production facility, the GIMF, one of Al Qaeda’s mouthpiece groups.”

[The author produces no evidence for this claim, either. A German court revealed, incidentally, that GIMF was a joint venture between the FBI, SITE Intelligence Group and German intelligence (BND), who bamboozled a handful of gullible young German Muslims to play around with jihadist materials that they posted on the internet under the name GIMF.

The operative behind this scam was revealed as Joshua Devon, Rita Katz's husband, and employee of SITE Intelligence Group. According to German media scholar Sabine Schiffer, German intelligence (BND) tasked SITE with this scam. These young people did not produce videos but merely posted videos they got from...somewhere. After being entrapped, they were arrested, tried and sentenced, ensuring production of news reports about the continuous threat of terrorism.]

p. 45: "A simple search for jihadi videos on YouTube, will reveal hundreds of AQ video clips." [The author fails to refer to a single example of a video clip on YouTube that is produced by the ubiquitous Al Qaeda.]

Comment by Mary W Maxwell:

In a 2016 article at Gumshoe, Elias wrote an equally dismissive piece about Bruce Hoffman, Weimann's Foreword writer. Hoffman's book is named *Inside Terrorism* (!) Davidsson said:

The author, Bruce Hoffman, was for a long time a director at RAND Corporation in Washington, which he designates in his book as an "independent, objective, nonpartisan research institution" (p. xi). Bruce Hoffman is not only an author of junk science, but is periodically invited to comment on CNN, the Washington Post, etc, as an "expert" on terrorism.

I do not intend to provide a review of all the author's scholarly sins, as this would require a volume exceeding in size the very book in review. I will limit myself to point to a few elements that demonstrate (a) the deceptive nature of the book; and (b) its utter lack of scholarly value.

(Note: A comment under the above Gumshoe article, from the late Don Wreford, an ex-torturee of Tavistock, says: "The Rand Institute is an offshoot of the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations, all part of the same organization.")

## 17. The German Ice Cream Police during Covid



*Beware the Coronaschutzverordnung! Photo rferi.org and abc.net.au*

*by Mary W Maxwell*

My experience of German life is limited to a happy semester at the Law School of University of Mannheim in 2002. I did have a few chuckles over the fine points of bureaucracy, such as the requirement for students to submit assignments: "Hand-in Part One on Wednesdays between 1pm and 2:30pm, and Part Two on Tuesdays between 10am and 11:30 am."

Likewise, an Aussie friend of mine, who is fluent in German, said she went to a gym in Berlin and actually had to quit the exercise of "the step" (merely moving up and down one stair, to rhythm), as the instructions being called out were so detailed.

Now comes this story, with documentation, of a man who was fined 400 Euros for not walking 50 meters away from the ice-cream vendor before taking a bite. **I received it from Elias Davidson who accurately describes the incident as Kafkaesque.** The arrestee himself, Heinrich Vetter, from the small town of Korschenbroich, seems to have provided the wording, as translated into English by Herr Davidsson:

**Brief Description of the Incident:** On April 1, 2021, Mr. Vetter bought an ice-cream and sat down on a bench to enjoy

it. Two officials of the public order office (who are not policemen) immediately approached him and told him that he wasn't allowed to eat ice-cream within a 50-meter radius from where he purchased the ice-cream as this was prohibited by the Covid-19 regulations. He then accepted to move along. The officials did not relent but demanded from Mr. Vetter to disclose his personalia.

This story is representative of German bureaucratic madness. In this case, the consequences were trivial. But this is not always the case, as history has shown.

**Tourists are urged to visit the Federal Republic of Germany, in order to observe this bizarre aspect of German culture.** The Corona measures provide hopefully a last and unique historical opportunity for documenting this tradition.

#### **Penalty Notice sent to Mr. Vetter by the Town Office of Korschenbroich on 8. June 2021**

Dear Mr. Vetter, According to our findings, you have committed the following **administrative offences**. (a) On 01.04.2021, at around 17:15, you were found by the Public Order Office of the city of Korschenbroich at Eiscafe Ciprian, Kirchplatz 5 in 41352 Korschenbroich, eating ice cream. **You were not 50 meters away from the point of sale.**

According to § 14 (2) Section 3 of the regulation for the protection against new infections with the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 (**Coronaschutzverordnung – CoronaSchVO**) in the version valid from 29.03.2021, the consumption of food and beverages within a radius of 50 meters **around the gastronomic establishment, in which the food or beverages were purchased, is prohibited.** (b) Furthermore,

you were asked by the two employees of the Public Order Office during the inspection to provide your personal details. Despite being instructed by the employees about your obligation to do so, you refused to provide your personal details. Instead, you moved away from the place of the inspection. You then complied with a surrender of your personal details only with the involvement of the police of the Rhine District Neuss and after a threat of a search by the police officers. [Mr. Vetter refutes this last allegation] [...]. When asked about the minimum distance according to the CoronaSchVo, you immediately reacted very indignantly and questioned the measures.

Regarding the accusation to b) you state among other things that it would not be true that the police had to threaten you with a search. In this regard, a consultation with the police officers who were called in revealed that you also had to be asked several times by the police to provide your personal details and that you were then advised that you would be searched in order to find personal documents. **Only after this and repeated instructions did you hand over your identity card to the police**, but you still refused to have your personal details taken by the employees of the public order office.

Further the police confirmed that you behaved uncooperatively during the entire control situation **and that you did not take seriously the employees of the order office and the two assigned police officers**. In summary, you have violated the aforementioned regulations through your behavior; on this basis, the following fines will be levied against you.

[...]. Signed, Mr. Berns, City Attorney

**Response by Mr. Vetter to Mr. Berns, City Attorney, 14. June 2021**

Dear Mr. Berns, I hereby file an objection against your penalty



notice of 378,50 Euro dated 8. 6. 2021 (reference: 325108/210128), which I found in my mailbox on 10. 6. 2021!

In this penalty notice I get to read unfortunately once again that I would have complied with the surrender of my personal data only after threat of a search by the police officers! That this is not true, I had already told you in my email on April 18, 2021 (at 22:22 h). **I immediately handed over my identity card to the police officers!** To me there I was not threatened by the police!!! That would not have been necessary with me!!!

Mr. Jansen and Mrs. Brauweiler of the police station Korschenbroich will be able to confirm that I acted in a cooperative manner and was not unfriendly to them!

However, since the police officer (Mr. Jansen) immediately handed over my ID card to one of your employees, I protested and demanded that it be immediately returned to the police. That was duly done. In addition, it was said that your 2 coworkers did not possess the necessary confidence in me. By the way, with my email of 18. 4. 2021, I asked you to give me the names of your 2 employees who harassed me when I enjoyed my ice cream on 1st April 2021. **Don't I have a right to know the names of your 2 employees involved?**

As I was sitting on the bench (**next to a public bookcase**) lapping up ice cream and engrossed in an interesting book from the bookcase, one of your two unidentified employees suddenly stood in front of me and said to me, **“that wouldn't be allowed like that!”** My answer was then that I would move with my ice-cream further away, if that was not permitted here. **I also said to him then that we are dealing with a [public] swindle, whereupon your coworker said to me, that I don't have to tell him that, he would know that himself.** After a friend from Düsseldorf had gone and your first employee was also no longer around, I thought that the matter had been

settled and I could also go. But I only got a few steps on my way before I was told by your second employee that he had to have my personal data. I could not, of course, understand that demand, especially since the first of your two employees had already told me “that he knew we were dealing with a swindle!” Then an older gentleman interfered, who was apparently of my opinion. The second identified employee then engaged with that man. So I decided not to linger any longer in this less than hospitable place!

... If you would further insist that I only surrendered my ID card after a threat of a bodily search by the police, then I must first demand a personal confrontation with the two policemen involved, so that at least we could clarify the matter truthfully! In addition **I would like to obtain an appointment with you, so that we could determine the exact distance between the ice cafe Ciprian (Kirchplatz 5) and the bench where I sat enjoying my ice-cream!** And also to determine where I could have been allowed to enjoy the ice cream!

**Hardly anyone can understand what and where and when and how one is allowed to make anything these days.**

We certainly need regulatory agencies. But we need no agencies, which are now in the process of enforcing on the population one bullshit measure after the other and impose fines! **I can only call such a conduct an abuse of power!** Every one of us wishes nevertheless a peaceful living together. But in the way it runs at present, we cannot unfortunately talk anymore of a peaceful togetherness.

With very kind regards, Heinrich Vetter (Address/Telephone)

[All bolding added]

*Note: Methinks Herr Davidsson has met his match! -- MM*

## 18. Did Larry Silverstein Fake His Guilt?



*The dancing Israelis, boasting on television. Story at [adl.org](http://adl.org)*

*by Elias Davidsson*

We all know that Jews love to be victims. We learned that they eagerly ordered tickets on the trains transporting them and their children to the death camps in the 1930s. The present essay illustrates this particular Jewish trait by showing how Jews have incriminated themselves as suspects for the mass-murder of 9/11. Their self-sacrificial purpose was to shift the blame from Gentiles onto themselves. The real perpetrators of 9/11 are hopefully thankful.

### 1. The five “dancing Israelis”

There were once five young Israeli Jews who filmed the burning Twin Towers in the morning of 9/11 while making high-fives and laughing. They did their stunt in full public display, knowing that they would draw attention and perhaps be arrested. Thankfully, a woman, appropriately named Maria, noticed them and called the police. After getting arrested with suspicious box cutters and a van containing traces of explosives, the five young men spent two months in prison.

After the FBI produced a mountain of reports about these men, they were sent home to Israel. There, they soon appeared on a TV show, stating that their task in New York had been

“to document the event.” According to their conduct, their admission and the items they carried in their van, their assigned role was to incriminate Israel as an accomplice of 9/11.

## 2. **The “4,000 Jews who did not come to work”**

On 12 September 2001, the *Jerusalem Post* reported that the Israeli Foreign Ministry had expressed its concern about the fate of 4,000 Israelis believed to work in or around the World Trade Center in New York. This announcement metamorphosed mysteriously into reports in Arab and Muslim media that 4,000 Jews did not come to work in the World Trade Center on 9/11, because they were forewarned.

The Foreign Ministry of the Jewish State appears to have deliberately seeded the suspicion that Israelis (or Jews) were forewarned of the 9/11 attacks.

## 3. **Odigo**

It was reported in the news that two employees of Odigo, Inc., an Israeli company with headquarters in New York and offices in Herzliya, north of Tel Aviv, received warnings of an imminent attack in New York City about two hours before the 9/11 attacks. Odigo, one of the world’s largest instant messaging companies, has its headquarters two blocks from the WTC. The story made it soon to the world media.

Odigo Vice President of Sales and Marketing Alex Diamandis told *Nensbytes*, in a telephone interview, that the warning message did not identify the World Trade Center as the attack target. Diamandis declined to say whether the warning named the targets for the attack. “Providing more details would only lead to more conjecture,” he said.

Christopher Bollyn uses this story to infer Israeli foreknowledge of the attacks. He linked the aforementioned story of the 4,000 forewarned Israelis with the message received by Odigo. He failed, however, to explain how a

message received by Odigo two hours before the 9/11 attacks could have reached 4,000 Israelis, let alone that they were actually forewarned.

#### **4. Zim American-Israeli Shipping**

On the day of 11 September 2001, the Zim Shipping Company was 48.6 percent owned by the Israeli government. The company's entire U.S. operations "were run out of the 16th floor [of the WTC's North Tower]" with about 160 employees.

Zim announced in April 2001 its decision to relocate from New York to Norfolk VA. It said it expects to open its new headquarters by September 4, 2001. Nadav Kaplan, Zim-American's project manager for the relocation, said Zim-had begun in December 1999 to look for new headquarters. [Among the finalists were Houston and Richmond]. Norfolk emerged as the victor after a February tour of the finalists by executives of the Israeli shipping company, he [Kaplan] said.

As it turned out, Zim opened its headquarters in Norfolk only on 18 October 2001. It relocated there nine days before 9/11, but maintained twenty people working out of the WTC office, although only 10 were there on the day of the attacks. They all escaped to safety. Did Zim publish its relocation plans in order to provide Christopher Bollyn and his friends with incriminating evidence against itself?

#### **5. The "art students"**

A vast art-selling operation by young Israelis that appeared suspiciously as a spying operation, surfaced in the United States in 2000. It was reported by *The Washington Post*, *Fox News*, *Salon.com*, *Ha'aretz* and most extensively by the Atlanta-based alternative newspaper *Creative Loafing*.

Agents of the federal Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), first began noticing that as many as 120 young Israelis,

who claimed to peddle art works, many of them veterans of the Israeli military's intelligence corps, or experienced in signal interception and ordnance [!], were traveling door-to-door from Atlanta to Texas. They often turned up at offices of the DEA and other government agencies, on one occasion at Tinker Air Force Base in Oklahoma, where American spy planes are serviced, and on several occasions at the homes of federal law enforcement agents.

In at least one case, the students were spotted in an Atlanta-area office that housed an FBI office not widely known to the public. A 60-page draft report detailing the students' activities was compiled by a DEA agent and happily leaked to the press.

Christopher Bollyn, citing *Forward*, which he describes as "one of the oldest and most respected Jewish newspapers in America," suggests that the alleged Israeli art students "almost certainly had advance foreknowledge about the impending 9/11 attacks." As evidence he wrote that they lived "close to the homes of Arabs suspected of involvement in the Sept. 11 terror attacks." The problem is that no Arabs were involved in the attacks of 9/11.

Leaving aside the simple question of how selling arts works at DEA offices could have facilitated 9/11, it should be noted that this story was reported by pro-Israel media, such as *Fox News*, the *Washington Post* and the Israeli *Ha'aretz*. It again appears that Israel's friends tried to highlight suspicious activities of young Israelis in order to provide Christopher Bollyn and his friends with "evidence" of Israel's foreknowledge of 9/11.

## **6. Eddie Guigui Shalev**

Do you remember the one and only Hani Hanjour, who according to U.S. official accounts, managed to fly a Boeing 757 into the side of the Pentagon? All his interviewed

instructors described Hani as a poor pilot who could hardly maintain a one-engine Cessna in the air.

But there was one man who gave good marks to Hani: An Israeli by the name of Eddie Guigui Shalev. He was a flight instructor at Congressional Air Charters of Gaithersburg, Maryland. Prior to coming into the United States, Shalev served in the Israeli Defense Forces as a paratrooper. He was the only flight instructor invited by the 9/11 Commission to describe Hani Hanjour's piloting skills.

In August 2001, Shalev was asked to evaluate Hanjour's flying ability. Shalev said that Hanjour did not use instrument navigation but "a landmark or terrain recognition system for navigation." He stated, based on his observations, that Hanjour was a "good" pilot. Shalev said to Quinn John Tamm that he had been interviewed on 13 September 2001 by the FBI.

Contrary to the hundreds of released 302-reports that summarize interviews conducted by FBI agents in connection with the 9/11 attacks, the 302-report on Shalev's interview was not released. The 9/11 Commission staff did not interview Hanjour's numerous instructors who considered him a sub-standard pilot, only this Israeli instructor who gave Hanjour good marks. The Commission staff then recommended Shalev to be included as a witness in a panel of instructors....

For those who search for a "smoking gun" of Israel complicity in 9/11, I just described one. By giving good marks to Hani Hanjour, the Israeli man gave Hanjour the necessary confidence to steer a Boeing 757 into the Pentagon. The only question in my mind: Why did pro-Israeli media in the United States suppress this story?

**Mohammed Atta, Abdul Aziz Alomari, Marwan Alshehhi**  
Three of the alleged hijackers of 9/11, Mohamed Atta, Marwan

Alshehhi and Abdulaziz Alomari, received their mail at 3389 Sheridan Street, Hollywood, Florida. This was Mohamed Atta's official address in the US, as shown on his commercial pilot license. His and Alomari's reservations for flight AA11 were thus mailed to this address. Marwan Alshehhi's driver's license was issued to this address. UPS delivered more than 20 packages to this address for Marwan Alshehhi.

Bollyn and his friends might be interested to know that the above building also hosts NACPAC, the nation's largest pro-Israel political action committee. Perhaps Mohamed Atta's real name was Moshe Atara, Alshehhi's – Mor Hashaked and Alomari's – Avraham Lemari. But what were they doing in Florida, if none of them hijacked aircraft on 9/11?

## 8. **ICTS**

ICTS is a Netherlands-based aviation and transportation security firm headed by former Israeli military commanding officers and veterans of government intelligence and security agencies. ICTS's U.S. subsidiary Huntleigh – according to the yearly reports of the company – handled passenger security checks for flight UA175, which reportedly departed from Logan Airport, Boston, on the morning of 9/11.

According to Christopher Bollyn, ICTS is or was a Mossad company. It “let the bad guys on the plane”. PER THE STORY. As no Muslim hijackers participated in the attacks of 9/11, who were these “bad guys” and what was their role? Was ICTS also tasked to incriminate Israel?

## 9. **Dov Zakheim**

Dr. Dov Zakheim, who is reportedly an ordained rabbi, signatory of the Project of a New American Century, a person who held numerous high-ranking academic, political and business positions in the United States, accepted in May 2001 Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld's invitation to become the Comptroller and Chief Financial Officer of the Department of



Defense. Four months after Zakheim was hired and one day before 9/11, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld announced that \$2.3 trillion of Pentagon funds could not be accounted for.

Certain people read into this announcement a hidden message, namely that Zakheim bore some responsibility for this colossal scandal, short of accusing him of embezzlement. Zakheim did apparently not mind. Did he contribute to this story, following the tradition of Jewish self-incrimination? In any case, he continued as Comptroller of the Pentagon until April 2004.

The story of the unaccounted trillions vanished from mainstream media but thrives, due to the efforts of Bollyn and his friends, as further evidence of Jewish malfeasance. These valiant combatants for the truth still owe us an explanation, namely how bookkeeping tricks in the Pentagon could have facilitated 9/11.

#### **10. Larry Silverstein**

Larry Silverstein, a New York real-estate mogul, is described as the most candid insider with foreknowledge of the 9/11 attacks. His is nearly a poster-boy for the claim that 9/11 was a Jewish operation.

Silverstein, who on 9/11 owned already WTC-7, publicly and proudly announced in April 2001 to have secured, with the help of Australia's Westfield group, a 99-year lease on the Twin Towers for \$3.2 billion, outbidding others. On 24 July 2001, Silverstein took delivery of the complex in a ceremony, in which "he thrust a giant key chain into the air like a glittering trophy of his ascent from the rags district." He then insured the buildings for \$3.55 billion per terrorist occurrence and claimed from insurers a whopping \$7.1 billion, arguing that the two towers were attacked in two "occurrences."

The cost of rebuilding the complex was estimated between \$4 and \$6 billion. The underwriters, including Swiss Re and 21 other insurance companies, battled Silverstein in court. Their interpretation of the agreement was that the attacks on the WTC constituted a single, not a double, terrorist occurrence.

After a lengthy litigation – widely covered by U.S. and European media – the court granted Silverstein a payout of \$4.55 billion, a sum surpassing the strict interpretation of the single occurrence coverage but significantly lower than the claim he had made on behalf of the investors.

Silverstein wanted also everyone to know that he luckily survived the 9/11 attacks. He told journalist Deborah Sontag of *The New York Times* that, exceptionally on that day, he did not attend his regular breakfast meeting in Windows on the World, at the top floor of one of the Towers. The reason? his wife, Clara, reminded him of an appointment with his dermatologist. Although known as a shrewd businessman, he apparently lacked the imagination to plan a better alibi, say a three-weeks' holiday in Norway, that would put him far away from the scene of crime.

If all that was not sufficient for self-incrimination, he ensured that his notorious phrase “pull it” would provide food to 9/11 truthers. By this phrase, he suggested that he gave the order to demolish WTC-7. Forget for a minute that rigging a building such as WTC-7 for demolition takes weeks, if not months.

Going by *The New York Times*, Silverstein's company lost four employees in the 9/11 attacks. These include John M. Griffin, 38, of Waldwick, New Jersey, Charles Wilson Magee, 51, of Wantagh, New York and two others, whose names have not been published. This fact alone, if true, in conjunction to his alleged foreknowledge of the attacks, would have made him eligible to the death sentence. Yet, why do Bollyn and his

friends, do not demand the death sentence for Silverstein? Don't they fight for justice?

Silverstein has instituted legal proceedings against AMR, the holding company of American Airlines, claiming that the airline had failed to secure the cockpits of the airliners, thus making their alleged hijacking possible. This, in turn, facilitated the airliners' impact on his buildings and to their destruction, he argues. He demands damages. AMR could, evidently, defend itself against these accusations by disclosing that no hijackings had at all taken place. But AMR would hardly do so.

The alternative for AMR would be to placate Silverstein with a big out-of-court settlement. Knowing that 9/11 was a false-flag operation allows people like Silverstein to blackmail those who do not wish to disclose the truth. I wish him good luck.

As for Silverstein's love affair with Israel, we have his own words, cited by the *Jerusalem Post* of 18 May 2000. After years of unsuccessfully attempting to sell to the Israelis the idea of a free-trade zone in the Israeli Negev, he gave up and said: "Don't try to do business in Israel, certainly don't do business with the government because you'll get killed. I'm never going to do this again."

## **The Cartoon**

If the incriminating statements cited above were not sufficient, *Ha'aretz* published a cartoon by Amos Biderman on 30 October 2014, depicting the Israeli prime minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, as a terrorist pilot flying a plane into one of the towers of the World Trade Center.

The publication of this cartoon led Christopher Bollyn to state as "self-evident" that Netanyahu, Ehud Barak, Shimon Peres, Chertoff, and a host of senior members of Israeli intelligence

were the real architects and perpetrators of the false-flag terror attacks of 9/11." Bollyn apparently lacks humor.



Comment by Mary Maxwell

I'm going to throw a spanner in the works now by opening the question: Who really is in charge? Is it possible that, as Elias has hinted, there is a great game going on, in which Jews are set up? I think it is more than possible; it is probable. After all, persons doing major criminal things would wish to remain *unidentified*, wouldn't they? The dancing Israelis are a giveaway.

In recent decades, several European countries have criminalized Holocaust revisionism. This has made Jews look bad -- "They're suppressing free thought." But the legislators who passed those laws are mostly non-Jews. In Germany, even the 92-year-old Ursula Haverbeck is in prison. She says Konrad Adenauer admitted that two million Christians did not make it out of her area, Breslau, in 1945. Censoring Ursula harms the reputation of "Jewry" -- I suspect that's the intended outcome.

The Internet rightly buzzes about "The Protocols of the Elders of Zion." That document is a spot-on prediction of all that has happened but does it pin down the true authors? For now, my guess is No. In Chapter 24 below I'll talk about real anti-Semitism and our lust for blaming. Let's blame the *right* party!

## 19. Homo Caninus May Be Our Future



*Photo: media.gatesnews.com*

*by Elias Davidsson*

In the shadow of the Corona crisis, a global digital dictatorship is being established. But is this dictatorship sustainable? Will people not one day rebel against it? How could the dictators ensure the *permanent* enslavement of the majority of humanity? Here is the most likely scenario.

### **What Is Meant by Homo Caninus?**

By 'Homo caninus' I do not mean a variant of Homo sapiens on four legs, or with a dog's muzzle. This is no satire. Homo caninus won't have an outwardly different appearance from today's human, but will differ in his genetic set-up by possessing the desirable characteristics of a dog — loyalty, obedience, and an uncomplaining nature.

This new human, Homo caninus, will not question orders. He will not rebel. He will not seek freedom. He will carry out his master's orders without complaint. He will remain loyal to his master.

## **Homo Caninus Can Replace the Need for Propaganda**

Power elites of all times try to gain complete control over people, resources and processes. Democratic demands, human rights and personal liberties disturb rulers.

Rulers must constantly defend themselves and their privileges against popular aspirations for democracy and freedom. Until the 20th century, power elites used religion and nationalism as the preferred means of manipulating and controlling populations.

In the 20th century, the science of public relations was developed to manipulate people without resorting to religion and nationalism. The science of public relations has proven its salt, but is *unable to eradicate the natural aspiration of people for autonomy and freedom*. Manipulation through propaganda only works as long as victims don't perceive the manipulative intent.

Media literacy impairs the effectiveness of propaganda! Propaganda must therefore be changed again and again to maintain control over people. One must therefore expect the ruling elite is looking for methods to permanently “cure” ordinary people from their pesky aspirations for freedom and autonomy.

The ruling elites' ideal of the ordinary person is that of a loyal, docile, and obedient creature — the best attributes of a dog. Today's technology offers tempting possibilities for the permanent creation of such subjects.

## **Digital Dictatorship Is But an Interim Solution.**

During the Corona crisis, a global digital dictatorship is being established. In fact, this dictatorship is already largely in place. The NSA and large IT corporations (Google, Apple, FB,

Amazon, Microsoft) are able to store, evaluate and use the global information flow to manipulate and control people.

They can access virtually every bit of information regarding people's lives. The last step of total control — the abolition of cash — is just waiting for the push of a button. This stage has been already reached in China.

But a digital dictatorship will not succeed in eradicating the rebellious spirit of *Homo sapiens*, his desire for autonomy and freedom. The history of mankind has shown that despite ruthless dictatorships, people have always sought and found means to free themselves from or circumvent such rule. ***Only the biological elimination of the human desire for freedom and autonomy can ensure the permanent dictatorship of the elites.***

It is true that during the Corona crisis, millions of people submitted willingly to state regulations and recommendations. But this behaviour could only be elicited by a massive propaganda operation. The effects of propaganda fades, however, with time. Propaganda is unable to maintain a permanent state of yes-man-ism.

A power elite that seeks permanent domination can only ensure it by creating a *new type* of human creature that, while capable of cognitive operations and rational thinking, has shed its desire for freedom and autonomy and behaves faithfully, submissively and obediently. That's why I call this species *Homo caninus*.

The digital dictatorship alone cannot ensure the enslavement of the majority of people, as slaves are genetically the same as free people. They have the same desire for freedom and autonomy and thus will always contain a potential for rebellion. Only the production of the species *Homo caninus*, by genetic modification, can ensure permanent enslavement.

## Can a Homo Caninus Be Created?

- (1) Is the power elite capable of entertaining such a dystopic vision?
- (2) Is technology capable of subduing Man's desire for freedom and autonomy?
- (3) Will the majority of people accept the idea of becoming Homo caninus?

The first question is easy to answer. If those in power were psychologically able to plan and carry out the Holocaust, and other atrocities in living memory, then yes. The species *Homo sapiens* is psychologically capable of committing any crime.

The second question is beyond my expertise. We know, however, that genetic modification goes on all the time in the selecting of livestock, with excellent results. We know that a male animal's sexual urge can be curtailed by spaying, or even just by chemical castration that blocks the male hormones.

For humans, we know that scientists are able to affect people's moods, even by remote control, by electric stimulation of specific brain areas. Even a dose of hypnosis can change someone's attitude. Thus, as a layman, I cannot, exclude the technological feasibility of the *Homo caninus* plan, even unto the altering of DNA.

The third question — Will people accept the idea of becoming *Homo caninus*? — needs to be answered by the public. The behavior of millions of people during the Corona crisis suggests that most people, if hit by shock propaganda, are willing to give up their autonomy and freedom. But the effects of such shock propaganda dissipate with time. Propaganda alone cannot ensure permanent enslavement.



No one should be complacent and wait for the rulers to publicly announce their DNA-based Homo caninus agenda. They will never speak of such a plan. Nor should we assume that such an agenda would be introduced with a big bang.

If such plan is carried out, it would be introduced piecemeal, possibly in the garb of legitimate medical interventions to cure illnesses. One should not assume, either, that the resulting Homo caninus will differ outwardly from Homo sapiens. Such people will simply be more docile, obedient and loyal on the average. ***This will be sufficient to prevent uprisings and revolutions.***

### **Can We Prevent the Creation of the Homo Caninus?**

Ah, but must we all walk meekly to the slaughter, or the operating table as the case may be? **The answer depends on whether the human race is willing to defend the species known as H Sapiens, a species biologically endowed with a sense of justice and the desire for personal autonomy.**

The tendency of most people to prefer convenience and opportunism over the tedious defense of their basic human rights points out the likely future....**Those in power are meanwhile advancing their plans to biologically entrench their rule.**

[Note: Davidsson has elsewhere quoted Zbigniew Brzezinski in *The Grand Chessboard* (1998):

"Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization. The pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The public supported America's engagement in WWII largely because of the shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor."]

**20. Chancellor Merkel, Please Do Good (Open Letter from Elias Davidsson, dated October 20, 2018, not sent)**



*German Chancellor Angela Merkel and Canada's Prime Minister Justin Trudeau paid their respects at the site of the Berlin terror attack  
(Photo by H. Hanschle)*

***by Elias Davidsson***

*Note: This is not strictly satirical. It is wishful thinking by a German citizen -- MM*

Dear Chancellor Merkel,

I am writing to you regarding the fake terrorist attack that took place at a Christmas market in Berlin on 19 December 2016.

As we both know, you were forced by dark forces that reside outside Germany to authorize and cover up this act of public deception. I guess that this decision was hard on you.

The facts of this particular case are meanwhile seeping into public awareness. More and more citizens suspect that the official account on the Berlin attacks are contrived and that

your government is covering up the facts. Such suspicions do not only undermine your credibility and that of your government, but also of the civilian institutions who participated in this act of deception, including the medical profession, firefighters, emergency workers and the police. When such institutions cannot anymore be trusted, the very rule of law is under threat. I doubt that this is your intention.

I therefore call upon you, Mrs. Merkel, to publicly acknowledge the dilemma you had to face before authorizing the above operation, reveal the identities of those who railroaded you to this decision and the nature of the pressure they used on you. By acknowledging these facts you can redeem the loss of confidence that you have experienced in recent months and place yourself at the service of the people who voted for you. Acknowledging these facts will make it harder on your enemies, internal and external, to harm you.

I wish to use this opportunity to draw your attention to the case of my friend Mounir el Motassadeq, a Moroccan national who has spent more than 10 years in a German prison, innocent of any crime. Mr. el Motassadeq was unjustly convicted by a Hamburg court and sentenced to 15 years imprisonment because of Germany's subservience to the United States. This conviction tars the reputation of Germany's justice system and that of your government. Unless the German government apologizes to Mr. el Motassadeq and awards him his due remedies, this crime will remain a historical blot on Germany, on its government and on all those who colluded in punishing this innocent man.

Praying and hoping that your sense of propriety will prevail and that no one will harm you for having read and acted upon this letter, I remain,

respectfully, Elias Davidsson

Addendum: a thought for our young soldiers -- MM:

TO GERMANY

by Charles Hamilton Sorley (1895-1915)

You are blind like us. Your hurt no man designed,  
And no man claimed the conquest of your land.  
But gropers both through fields of thought confined  
We stumble and we do not understand.  
You only saw your future bigly planned,  
And we, the tapering paths of our own mind,  
And in each other's dearest ways we stand,  
And hiss and hate. And the blind fight the blind.

When it is peace, then we may view again  
With new-won eyes each other's truer form  
And wonder. Grown more loving-kind and warm  
We'll grasp firm hands and laugh at the old pain,  
When it is peace. But until peace, the storm  
The darkness and the thunder and the rain.

*Note from PoetryFoundation.org:*

Charles Hamilton Sorley was born in Aberdeen, Scotland. The son of William Ritchie Sorley, a professor of moral philosophy, Charles was a precocious and academically gifted child. The family moved to Cambridge when he was five, and Sorley attended King's College choir school and Marlborough College, with some study in Germany. Sorley was in Germany in 1914 when World War I broke out, and he was interned for one night in prison at Trier. Making his way back to England, he enlisted in the Army and served in the trenches in France.

Sorley was killed in the Battle of Loos **at the age of 20.**

**PART FIVE**

**ARTICLES ON RELATED TOPICS**

## 21. Kevin Robert Ryan: Nineteen Legitimate Suspects



*Official White House photo. Richard Cheney watching towers on TV*

*by Mary W Maxwell*

Kevin Robert Ryan has turned out a tremendous book, entitled **“Another Nineteen: Investigating Legitimate 9/11 Suspects”** (2013). I will omit Ryan’s coverage of familiar figures, even though he does bring new information about them: Armitage, Bremer, Bush, Carlucci, Clarke, Eberhart, Giuliani, Goss, Perle, Rumsfeld, and, of course, VP Cheney.

Let me introduce five ‘winners’ in the 9/11 Causation Stakes:

Duane Andrews of SAIC, Peter Janson of AMEC, Brian Jenkins of RAND, Barry McDaniel of Stratesec, and Wirt Walker of KuwAm. Yes, that’s *wirt* as in Bird thou never wert.

I had hardly heard these names before, and knew only one of the acronyms, RAND. It’s not just a matter of coming up with additional *dramatis personae*. These men’s careers, and those hidden organizations, provide a big explanation of what goes on in “deep state” as Ryan puts it.

## Five Whom We Can Arrest without Further Ado

Here I propose that all five men (who are among Ryan's "suspects") could be arrested immediately under the Material Witness Act. That is not the same as charging them with a crime. The Material Witness Act has been on the books in the US since 1793. It can be used when someone is a flight risk. These five men certainly do possess vital information.

An interesting point about Wirt Walker is that he and his wife were identified by the Securities and Exchange Commission as possible insider traders regarding 9/11. They purchased 56,00 shares of Stratesec on September 6, 2001. I hadn't realized that anyone had been named. I thought the famous put-option thing was, like so much else (the videotapes of the Pentagon hit?), under wraps – permanent, illegal, outrageous wraps.

Let's go alphabetical. **Duane Andrews** is the Chief Operations Officer (the "COO") of SAIC. You might pronounce it "Say Ik." It does just about everything worthy of its name: Science Applications International Corporation. Who created the national database that tracks terrorists? Say Ik. Who supplied airports with screening equipment? (I always wondered about that.)

Say Ik. Who helped create the official account of what happened at the WTC? Go on, say it: \_\_\_\_\_.

When Duane Andrews (I will call him Duane) was commissioned in the US Air Force in 1967, he thought he was going to be doing bacteriological warfare (the kind that is being practiced against us in the US even as we speak) but instead went to Vietnam, perhaps in the brutal Phoenix Program.

Ever wonder what kind of person is a Congressional staffer? Are they just secretaries you can get by calling a temp agency?

Apparently not. Duane was such a staffer during the Reagan years (1981-89). Working for a particular House committee -- the Intelligence Committee, natch -- he got to see (or suppress as the case may be) many a CIA secret, "congressionally."

He then became Assistant Defense Secretary, wouldn't you know. And are you old enough to remember who was Reagan's Secretary of Defense? (Think Nicaragua, Angola, Grenada) Why, it was Cheney.

(Bush, Sr was VP under Reagan and then he, Poppy, became prez in 1988, and took Quayle for his VP as a sort of insurance policy. Then, in 2001, Cheney became VP for Bush's son, L'il Bush. Although Cheney was only VP on 9/11, he appeared to be the person to be in charge that day.)

## Foreign Hands, But Not the Ones You Imagined

Next up, for going to jail as a material witness, is **Peter Janson** of AMEC. That's a British company that guards Aramco in Riyadh, Saudi. I visited there once and learned that the houses of American workers have a "workroom," i.e., a still. I mean some people can be dry for only so long....

AMEC's subsidiary company, "AMEC Construction," was in charge of renovating the Pentagon. They decided to steel-reinforce, against a potential hit, the outer wall. The author of the book I am dealing with here, Kevin Ryan, knows full well that the hit was not "potential." That is, the builders were thinking of what they were gonna do on 9/11. Yes, true.

Thanks to his employment with AMEC, Peter Janson was thus in control of that part of the building for many months leading up to 9/11. Indeed Kevin Robert Ryan says Janson was in the correct room 12 minutes before the hit, "fixing the air conditioner." I call that 'unmitigated cheek.'
--



Now for **Brian Jenkins** of RAND. I personally think RAND is in charge of dispensing AIDS and other diseases. RAND used to be part of the US government but, like many of the other parts that do naughty things, it has been privatized.

Brian is not someone you want to meet in a dark alley. By the age of 23 he was in the US Special Forces in Guatemala, which in 1965, was death-squad territory. In 1971, he wrote a paper for RAND on how to ‘Vietnamize’ the Indochinese war. By the 1980s he (Jenkins) was an architect of the Contra war in Nicaragua, which was aimed aim at civilians and infrastructure.

God forgive us all.

### **The *Achille Lauro* Did Not Have GPS?**

As of 1986, Brian was advising the Secretary of State on terrorism! And who was that Secretary? I’ll give a hint. He is the one who said in his memoirs that he didn’t chase after the *Achille Lauro* cruise ship from which Ralph Klinghoffer was thrown overboard for being a Jew, as it was somewhere in the Mediterranean and the Sec’y of Defense Cap Weinberger couldn’t find it.

I am so glad Schultz put that preposterous statement in his memoirs, as it clarified what I had already thought of that incident, which is that it was of the killer bee variety. Psy-war is everything, you know. And taxpayer funded, to boot.

(Oops, I just looked up RAND’s website. The heading is “A Passion for Changing the World” and the first “policy focus” listed on the menu is “Children and Families.” Oh-oh.)

In 2000, Brian became an advisor to the Hart-Rudman Commission (I wonder who commissioned that commission) on Homeland Security, of which Lynne Cheney was a member.

So was Lee Hamilton. No doubt he was warming up for his hard slog on the 9/11 Commission.

The fourth of our five selectees, from Ryan's book "Another Nineteen," is **Barry McDaniel**. He works for Stratesec (which I pronounce like "Straight Security"). Barry was Deputy Director for Readiness at the US Army Material Command. Then he became vice-president at BDM International, a subsidiary of the Carlyle Group. The term 'revolving door' hardly does justice.

### **Pipelines, in Both Senses of the Word**

After leaving army in 1988, Barry McDaniel had said that one of his army tasks had been the Southwest Asian Petroleum Distribution Project. (Did you know that the Army does things like that?) Ryan assumes it has to do with pipelines in Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan, and speculates it may have included **arming the Mujahadin with "material"** from the US Army Material Command. Why not? Brzezinski said Go for it.

Stratesec has such clients as United Airlines and the Los Alamos Laboratories. For our purposes, it ran the security at ... ta-da... the twin towers, and also Dulles Airport. Thus, Barry McDaniel had a ringside seat. Ryan mentions in passing that a security company gets access to all the records. So whatever is going on at, say, Dulles, Stratesec knows of it.

Which Dulles brother was the airport named for, anyway? John Foster or Allen? Haven't we got any heroes that qualify for such a remembrance? How about "the Gary Webb Airport"?

Finally, to the put-option suspect, **Wirt Walker**. His company is KuwAm. I pass over the fact that he is Bush's cousin and I pass over the fact that he is also related to Judge Walker who nixed the appeal of April Gallop in *Gallop v Cheney*, and I pass

over the amazing fact that he seems to have digs near Oklahoma City. Nudge, nudge.

The reason I am passing over all that, and much more, is to get quickly to the full spelling of KuwAm. It is: Kuwait America. And thereby hangs a tale. Ryan's book emphasizes the big doings that go on between some of our military and the kingdom of Kuwait.

### **Diagonal Drilling across an Ocean?**

Basically, the Kuwaitis own the corporation that owns Stratesec. So if there was foreign control on the famous day, it was that of Kuwait. Many people have said that security at the WTC was run by Israelis. Ryan thinks otherwise. His book is extremely well foot-noted. Please give it a go.

I have not scratched the surface here. You will be amazed at how real is the "deep state," as Kevin Ryan calls it. It's an old boy network in which **you can move around from one directorship to a colonelship** in the blink of an eye. (See, in my 2011 book *Prosecution for Treason*, a "curriculum vitae" of Averill Harriman who held umpteen governmental positions in his day. In Australia, John Herron MD, was similarly occupied.

And where did this all come from? I believe it is done by what Catherine Austin Fitts calls "Mr Global." It is based outside the US, or by globalists inside Wall Street. I thus believe these events are not American. But that means that the citizens of America must take positive action, posthaste, to detach the offending deep state.

Please, America, do that. And go to YouTube to see the 59-minute video on the Phoenix program in Vietnam. I hold you responsible, by your silence.

## 22. On Resistance to Evil by Force



(L) *Ivan Ilyin*, Photo: [NYbooks.com](http://NYbooks.com) (R) *An Ilyin follower: Vladimir Putin*, Photo: [foreignaffairs.com](http://foreignaffairs.com)

*by Mary W Maxwell*

Ivan Ilyin's "On Resistance to Evil by Force" (trans by K Benois) was first published in Berlin in 1925. It gives the Russian Orthodox position on, evil, which is well worth a listen.

Do you remember "the fall of the wall" in 1989? The wall in Berlin that had separated East and West Germany since 1945 was occasionally breached by protestors — who were shot on sight — but by the late 1980s, Communism was collapsing, and the wall could not hold. German families were reunited. At that point, the government in Bonn that had run the western part of Germany was able to raid the Communist headquarters of the eastern part.

They found that the spy organization STASI had employed, or otherwise commanded, a full 30% of East German citizens. Some divorces followed when people learned that their spouse had worked for an evil government! I deduced from the STASI figure that 30% is probably the minimum proportion of spies and collaborators needed to keep oppressed people oppressed. Sad to say, looking around me now, I think the number of collaborators in the US is far greater than 30%. Here's hoping they got sucked in rather unknowingly, and would like a way

out. It seems that every, and I do mean every, news reporter on TV, is part of a big game. They hand out amazing lies, with a straight face, and these are lies that underpin a takeover of our government. Are such media persons, as individuals, deluded? Or have they made a choice?

## Quoting Ilyin's Diagnosis of Passivity

On p 7 of a chapter on Non-resistance to Evil, Ilyin says:

“What would “non-resistance” [to evil] mean, in the sense of the absence of any resistance? This would mean accepting evil: letting it in, and giving it freedom, scope and power. If under these conditions evil occurred, **and non-resistance continued, it would mean subordination to it, a surrender of the self to it, participation in it, and finally, turning oneself into its instrument**, ... its plaything, an absorbed element thereof.” [Emphasis added]

I hasten to say that I [MM] have never diagnosed anyone in this way. My stuff is usually about people being psychologically ‘in denial’ or simply being ignorant of the power schemes. In fact, when “defending” folks who rail against conspiracy theory, I have often diagnosed their central problem as conformity. Following the crowd is a strong controller of human behavior! It is very hard to speak out.

But let's check out more of Ilyin's profound analysis of human morality and immorality. He says:

“Therefore the non-resistor of evil sooner or later arrives at the need to assure himself that evil is not so bad and that it is not so definitively evil, that it has some positive features... and when aversion subsides and evil is no longer experienced as evil, then acceptance imperceptibly becomes total: the soul

begins to believe that black is white, becomes black itself, and finds that it approves and enjoys." (p 8)

**My Take on This.** Ilyin goes on to say that "the non-resistor to evil is absorbed by it and becomes possessed." I honestly don't know if Ivan Ilyin is correct. The strongest evil that I have been exposed to (but only second hand or third hand) has to do with the MK-Ultra program, and related atrocities committed by Tavistock in its search for micro-control of the human individual.

Experimenters used cruelty and terror in the way a cook might use a blender or a frying pan: "whatever works is OK." And the persons doing it were not, I believe, chosen from a pool of psychopaths. Schoolteachers, psychologists, nurses, all participated. I am still stumped as to how they overcame their moral proclivities. Possibly Ilyin is right. Possibly the human brain takes whatever is happening and works it into a pattern of OK-ness. (Note: the method of the lying TV reporter may differ greatly from that of the guy who turns on the electric current to torture a 3-year-old. Or maybe not?)

I reached out to buy Ilyin's book [in 2022] when it was recommended by a man who is grasping with all his might to find out what's up with the "war in Ukraine." And, by the way, that war brings me back to the issue of the dishonest news service. Were we to understand that Rupert Murdoch and other moguls create wars by guiding public opinion deceptively, we could look skeptically at any report in the press about "uprisings" or "coups." Indeed, it would be nice if Rupert said "Anything you read in my papers was written to give me and the other Big-Boy globalists a financial advantage and a reduction in your sovereignty."

**The Book's Title: On Resistance to Evil by Force.** Next, let's get down to a part of the book "On Resistance to Evil by Force" to see what tricks the author can hand us that may

stimulate real physical resistance to today's evil-doers. (Hmm: should these tricks have been used to stop the initial success of the Bolshevik Revolution, or to get the native population of southern Africa to trip up Cecil Rhodes?) Ilyin summarizes it like this, in Chapter 21: **"A strong man sees the tragedy of his situation and goes out to meet it, enter it, and eliminate it."**

But wait. In his Chapter 4, Ilyin covers "On Inducement and Violence" He wrote it wrote to counteract Leo Tolstoy's theory, popular at the time, that violence itself is the evil. The external physical action is not what matters. What matters is the state of your soul, **your freedom to choose** and decide.

Per Ilyin, **it's up to each person to allow or not allow herself to be induced to think or do what the other person is inducing her to do.** Also, therefore, she acts wrongly if she makes someone do something unwillingly. Each sovereign person has control over his participation in evil. Ilyin writes:

"Tolstoy and his adherents see only ... physical violence against others.... [They don't acknowledge] the possibility of mental compulsion and mental violence towards others. Hence they reject as evil] all unnecessary and godless interference in the lives of others." (p 28) I ask: How about those TV reporters? How about teachers who are still naming the wrong assassin for JFK? Aren't they performing violence on the listener's mind?

### **Ilyin's Caution about the Sword**

Ilyin says: "Man finds himself compelled to **help others in their struggle to stop the activities of those who have already surrendered to evil** and are seeking universal destruction." Since the word "sword" appears in the title of this chapter you would expect that he gets right down to it, and rules

morally on whether you are allowed to kill. As follows: **“Fighting evil always requires heroism.** Not only when it is exercised in the form of internal efforts, educating, and cultivating his spiritual wings but also in the form of a compelling and suppressing sword.” (p 194) [Note: The verb ‘to suppress’ in Ilyin’s book means holding activity back, such as imprisoning a person.]



*Francesco Boneri: Christ Chasing the Money Lenders out of the Temple (violently)*

“Christ did not teach the sword. He taught love. But not once, not in a single word, did He condemn the sword, neither in the sense of an organized state for which the sword is the last sanction, nor in the sense of military tiles and affairs. [See] His words on the paying of taxes, and in a conversation with Pilate. ... It is love that raises us to great heights: [including to] the sacrifice of life.” (pp 195-196)

“When a person enters into conflict with villains and resorts to force, the sword, or cunning, he has [no] right to lay aside the burden of decision and responsibility and transfer this to the divine. The man who fights villains must see for himself, perceive and evaluate all the conditions... he must understand that he is forced to resort to these means....” (p 184)

Ilyin’ clearest word against Tolstoy’s pacifism:



“It is impossible to impose an absolute prohibition against force and the sword for **recourse to them can become morally and religiously mandatory.**” (p 181)

### **Forgive the Sinner? More than That!**

This book, “On Resistance to Evil by Force,” hardly mentions law. I love to rely on law, such as my favorite High Court of Australia ruling, in *Zecovic v DPP*:

“The question to be asked in the end is simple. It is whether the accused believed upon reasonable grounds that it was necessary in self-defence to do what he did. If he had that belief and there were reasonable grounds for it, or if the jury is left in reasonable doubt about [it] he is entitled to an acquittal.”

Look — Ivan Ilyin goes deeper: “If an attacker assails a ‘beloved’ person and I prefer at that moment that they be killed rather than to put myself in physical opposition to the attacker, then all my love turns out to be an example of affected grandstanding.” (p 78) Holy cow!

It’s clear that Ilyin truly believes in holiness and in your duty to help others achieve holiness. I admit that I cheated a bit by looking for his “justifications” for things that I want to see justified. Here he speaks of **the person who is too weak to act**:

“He sins in his own way, in his own way he addresses his weakness and malicious inclinations, but he takes upon himself the guilt of the villain (for he indulges him and passively abets his atrocities).” (p174)

Wow! And Ilyin also opines that when God said, “Love your enemy,” He did not mean “Love God’s enemies”! [Ahem. Psalm 139:21: "Do not I hate them, O LORD, that hate thee? and am not I grieved with those that rise up against thee?"]

**Get Lifted Up.** Finally, I have to tell you that I was shocked at the extent to which this author encourages us to help the sinners to stop sinning. Just imagine how easy it could be for your Aunt Tillie to stop being afraid of 9/11 truth, if she felt she was on a mission to make life nicer for, say, Dick Cheney -- by improving his character and giving him a chance at perfection. I mean it's better than telling her that it's her duty to whoop him.

It is pleasing to hear that Ilyin is the favorite philosopher of Russia's current leader, Vladimir Putin. Does Putin really care about people? Let's recall Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn's famous line from *The Gulag Archipelago: 1918 to 1956*:

“And how we burned in the camps later, thinking: What would things have been like if every Security operative, when he went out at night to make an arrest, had been uncertain whether he would return alive and had to say good-bye to his family?

"Or if, during periods of mass arrests, as for example in Leningrad, when they arrested a quarter of the entire city, people had not simply sat there in their lairs, paling with terror at every bang of the downstairs door and at every step on the staircase, but had understood they had nothing left to lose and had boldly set up in the downstairs hall an ambush of half a dozen people with axes, hammers, pokers....

"The Organs would very quickly have suffered a shortage of officers and transport and, notwithstanding all of Stalin's thirst, the cursed machine would have ground to a halt! If...if...

"We didn't love freedom enough. And even more – we had no awareness of the real situation.... We purely and simply deserved everything that happened afterward.”

What will be your moan a few years from now when "Artificial Intelligence" has sway over humanity, and even the finest mind cannot compete?

## 23. Judy Wood's Dustification Makes Sense



*Photo: front cover of the book “Where Did the Towers Go?”*

*by Mary W Maxwell*

Judy Wood’s 20006 book “Where Did the Towers Go?” is a masterpiece. I am only up to page 78 so far. Dr Wood (PhD in mechanical engineering) easily disproves the claim that the collapse of WTC twin towers was caused by fire, as is ludicrously touted by the NIST (that’s the National Institutes of Standards and Technology, within the US Commerce Department).

But she has also destroyed the theory of controlled demolition, the pancaking of the 110 floors of the tower. She presents instead an explanation based on directed energy, a la Nikola Tesla’s work which has been hidden from the public.

I find it annoying that Dr Wood gets hostile when people refer to her work as theory or opinion. She says she only collects empirical evidence. I am in no position, and will never be, to analyze the science of directed energy. I am also unable to run her story against, say, Prof Niels Harrit’s thermite story or the mini-nuke theory of how the towers collapsed.

But her book has many wonderful surprises. Here I will report what she says on three topics: jumpers, seismic signal, and the bathtub. Her answer to the question “Where did the towers go?” is that they got dustified, i.e., they broke into tiny particles and drifted away — thanks to a directed energy weapon.

## Jumpers



*Photo: 911wtcfreehostia.com*

The chapter on Jumpers is the human-interest highpoint of Judy Woods’s writing. (All of her book is clear, and she sounds like a teacher who wants to impart knowledge, not engage in polemics.) We all knew there were a few jumpers but she quotes several First Responders who said “It was raining people.” Wood estimates up to hundreds of jumpers. The sound of them falling made a loud noise if they hit the canopy above the lobby. One jumper killed a fireman by sheer weight. A first responder said he saw one jumper vaporize in the air. Many said the bodies splashed on the ground.

Wood speculates that they may not have truly jumped, in the sense of making a decision to suicide. In the photo above, the man has somehow got further away from the building than would be the case if he fell from gravity. That may possibly be from a force related to the directed energy.

Or the jumpers may have been running away from something like an ADS, Active Denial System, whose pain can't be tolerated. The US military has used ADS on the streets in Iraq and last year, and in Canberra the Australian police used it on Aussies during a protest in 2022. GOD HELP US.

## **"The Bathtub"**

I have skipped the first part of the book in which Dr Wood makes a dog's breakfast of the pancake idea. Just consider this single point: In a pancake collapse, pressure must be put by each floor onto the floor beneath it, causing it to fall on the next one down, etc. But if the trouble started on the 80th floor, thanks to those awful plane hijackers, what of the 30 floors above the fire? Let's call it "the Muslim fire." The government's theory says that the pancaking began at the top (110th floor). So who provided collapsing pressure for each of those 30 floors above the Muslim fire?

As far as I know, Wood is the only person who has said the pancake theory would falter on that point alone. I don't know if the Architects and Engineers truth panel thought of it. Did you think of it? Anyway, Judy has another item to add to the dog's breakfast. The towers were located at the foot of Manhattan, an area in which several of the lines of New York's subway system meet. Even before the Towers were constructed in the early 1970s, a protection had to be built to prevent Hudson River water flooding the subway tunnels. This structure (a bit like a moat) is known as "the bathtub."

On page 45, Judy Wood, a mechanical engineer, says:

"The World Trade Center (WTC) towers did not "collapse" on 9/11/01. They didn't have sufficient time to collapse because they were destroyed faster than is physically possible for a gravity-driven collapse. The evidence indicates that they were

reduced to particles of dust in mid-air. This in itself rules out Conventional Controlled Demolition where a building is knocked off its supports and thereafter slams to the ground.

“My own journey [Ms Wood] through the actual physical evidence began when I considered the so-called WTC ‘bathtub,’ the name given to the retaining wall that protected the foundations of the WTC from water, even though these retaining walls extended as far as 70 feet below the water table.

“If the towers had indeed collapsed, or if conventional bombs had blown up the building, there would have been an enormous amount of material slamming down onto the WTC bathtub and foundation.”

Yet here it is, in good shape:



The Bathtub, Photo: 911 research.wtc7.net

## Seismic Signal

Woods third proof, luckily has two well-documented events to use for comparison. The government reported that in Palisade NY, 34 kilometers from lower Manhattan, a seismic reading was made both at the times of the planes hitting each building and the times of the fall of each tower.

This can be compared against statistics from a small earthquake in midtown Manhattan on January 2001, and against a 2006 case of a controlled demolition of the Kingdome building in Seattle WA. Per Judy Wood's mathematics, if the twin towers came down by pancaking, the seismic reading should have been 3.8 on the Richter scale, but it was only 2.3 (and she hints that even the report of 2.3 may have been, how you say, doctored).

From Page 77 of Judy Wood's book, regarding Kingdome:

"Carefully placed explosives — 4,461 pounds in all — collapsed the 25,000-ton roof like a cake taken out of the oven too soon. More than 21 miles of detonating cord exploded in a flash. Rapid puffs of smoke followed, and the massive roof ribs that formed the Dome's 20 arches buckled first in three pie-shaped wedges. Then came the remaining three roof wedges, followed instantly by explosions in the support columns and in the roof's tension ring, which had held the roof together by exerting 8 million pounds of force around its base."

The Kingdome property has a footprint much wider than that of the towers. The towers weighed about a million tons of material, all crashing down into two small footprints, compared to Kingdome's mere 130,000 tons being distributed widely. So, the Kingdome earthquake should have been much smaller than the WTC's. Guess how much Kingdome registered on Richter?

Answer to my question: both WTC and Kingdome registered similar seismic signal: 2.3. Isn't that amazing? Oh, and the WTC earthquake should have lasted longer: 18 seconds, but it lasted only 8 seconds, says Judy Wood.

Note: bedrock conditions are important, too in affecting earthquake-equivalent Richter readings. Kingdome's land gave a cushioning effect, whilst in Manhattan the bedrock under the Towers should have made for stronger seismic signal.

## Who Did 9/11?



You may think the person in the lower right corner did not "do" 9/11. You might giggle to see her there. But shouldn't we equally giggle at all the photos?

Or at ourselves for being so horrendously duped?



## Treason

Hmm. I've just made up a new couplet:

**“Treason doth never prosper, what’s the reason?  
For if 51% of the people do it, it’s by definition not  
treason.”**

Personally, I do not know who attacked the WTC on September 11, 2001. Let's concentrate on the bathtub issue. It seems to me that the men who arranged for the destruction of the towers had to be careful not to harm the bathtub. Wow. That's a vote in favor of directed-energy theory. Maybe it also accords with mini-nukes. But we can see that an old-fashioned controlled demolition would have ruined the bathtub.

So we've got to picture men (gender no bar here, OK?) sitting at a table discussing how to get those towers down and yet not slam a lot of weight onto the bathtub. When did they meet? I guess they met before 1970. When skyscrapers are put up, the builders must show how they could be demolished, if necessary, without harming nearby buildings or any other basic infrastructure — in this case, the bathtub.

I am arguing that before the towers went up, in the early 70s, some dastardly bastards (for want of a better designation) already knew about Nikola Tesla's technology. They talked about protecting the bathtub, at some far future time, when “we” (whoever the hell “we” is) decide to perform the drama of the century. Ka-boom, two towers will disappear, and the nation will be duly terrified.

Finally, let's get back to the dastardly bastards, the DBs. I am the author of a 2011 book entitled *Prosecution for Treason*. So you may think I wish to hunt down some suspects. And I am the plaintiff in *Maxwell v FBI et al*, blaming the government for the

Marathon affair. I did name suspects for that case. And Kevin Ryan has produced such a list for 9/11 baddies, entitled "Another Nineteen." And Christopher Bollyn makes a good case for Israel being the perpetrator of 9/11.

But I am now of the mind that we're ALL in this. We all did 9/11. I don't mean you and I would sit there in 1970 calmly planning how the bathtub should be spared whilst 3,000 people above are meeting their death. ("It rained people.") Granted, there's a subset of us who were at that table. But just by not paying attention, we supply the subset of DBs with support.

We all said "Rah, rah, get Bin Laden for attacking the WTC" didn't we? We all gave in to the surveillance legislation, and the upcoming "social credit score system." We all ducked hearing about the fact that another 3,000 New Yorkers died from breathing the air around the towers. We knew KSM -- Khalid Sheikh Mohammed -- was getting tortured at Gitmo for having masterminded (?) 9/11. We put "the world's best mayor" (Giuliani) on the cover of TIME magazine. Didn't we?

I'm not trying to get the DBs off the hook. I am merely wishing to switch the focus to the whole society. Yes, there were key liars in the 9/11 affair, but we have all allowed lying to become normalized as a part of American culture. This can change!

My suggestion is that we aim at the fear component. Probably 90% of Americans know, deep down, that 9/11 was an inside job, but are afraid to face it. I say, come on, hurry up, face it.

Take a deep breath and decide to let your neighbors call you a mental case. That's a harsh fate to endure, but it's nowhere near as harsh as what you might soon have to endure, if you stick with your instinct to block out the real facts. Even the DBs are not going to have a nice life. The whole civilization has gone mad. HELP!

## 24. The Cruelty of Anti-Semitism



(L) Chancellor Otto von Bismarck (C) Witch burning, Photo: Encyclopedia Britannica (R) Chancellor Hitler at Berlin in 1938, Photo: AP

by Mary W Maxwell, LLB

I recently found a 1956 book entitled “The Magic Background of Modern Anti-Semitism: An Analysis of the German-Jewish Relationship.” It was written by Adolf Leschnitzer from lectures he gave at Free University of Berlin from 1952 to 1954. He served in WWI as a German soldier and then was a history teacher in high schools until he emigrated, to escape persecution, in 1939 -- to Netherlands and then to England.

The book's theme “Magic Background,” is that for two centuries -- the 1200s and 1300s -- there was violent persecution of Jews in Germany. Yet, oddly, the cruelty lightened up during the 1500s and 1600s. Why? because it got replaced by a focus on witchcraft. Then it came back again in 1800s, and in 1919-1924 after WWI, and in 1933 thanks to the 1929 depression.

### Interesting Historical Facts

Here are three background factors discussed in this very readable and very ideology-neutral book: First, there wasn't a “Germany,” as such, until the 19th century. There was Prussia and other areas of German-speaking people. It was partly feudal. It did not participate in the intellectual liberation, such as found in the Paris salons, or cogitate on the American republic.

Second, Jews in Germany did not receive Emancipation until late. They got partial emancipation in 1812, via the Prussian edict “On the Civil Equality of the Jews,” then full equality in 1872. At that time, by an edict of Bismarck (1815-1898), first chancellor of the German Empire, the serfs were also freed.

Third, because the lower classes got mobility at the same time as the Jews, anyone could now go to university, become professionals, and own land. The two groups came through it together and were friends -- in symbiosis. Many Jews assimilated fully and after 1872 most were indifferent to their religion. Some followed the recommendation to get baptized. Page 78:

“They were irreligious. They thought they had found in science ... an adequate substitute for religion. They did not attend religious services.... They paid their Jewish community taxes. One could sever all ties with Judaism without being baptized, in Prussia they could do so by a simple declaration to a court.”

Jews made up only one percent of the German population but after they could get formal education they became writers, doctors, lawyers, musicians, judges, and contributed heavily to the cultural blossoming of Germany.

Page 27: “The first stage began in the last two decades of the 1600s when a handful of well-to-do Jewish families were again granted permission to live in the capitals of a few German states, such as Vienna or Berlin. ... The state assisted in the creation of a Jewish upper bourgeoisie.... The first personal relationships between Christians and Jews evolved. Thus Lessing and Mendelsohn struck up their friendship in 1756. The process was set in motion by the mercantilist economic policy of absolutism.... The Jews pioneered in some fields in which other businessmen were late or hesitant to enter.... Assimilation followed each other within two or three generations.”

**Witches, Anyone?** Leschnitzer’s insight into anti-Semitism has merit. Jews were accustomed to persecution. They were

downtrodden and looked down upon. (I believe it's natural for the dominant group to give little recognition to the lower class or hate them.) But this took the form of "magic." Jews could be blamed for anything. The author got this idea from the chronology, pointed out above, that during the 1500s and 1600s in Europe people went crazy blaming "witches." I was unaware that many thousands got burned at the stake or hanged for being witches. That was from 1575 to 1699. Page 97:

"In a time of transition [the advent of science], when many people did not know which way to turn, witchcraft mania was directed primarily against the female sex. The general feeling that womankind is strange grew to absurd suspicion, which was in turn activated by the grotesque superstitiousness of the age."

Jews have had to put up with plenty of unfair criticism simply because folks hold a negative view that can't be reasoned with. Psychologists know how important it is for individual to find a scapegoat. And masses can do this, too. Pages 140-143:

"Emotions, ideas, desires... were available to be manipulated for political purposes.... A new psychological vacuum had arisen which needed to be filled.... For a long time, anti-Jewish feeling found expression in words, in social discrimination, but only rarely in outbreaks.... Highly inflammable materials were being assembled. Evil gossip... fear... baseless and bottomless hatred were swarming in the air....

"Declining classes generally believe they are suffering an injustice. They are inclined to blame the classes which are rising at the time. The lower middle classes between 1850 and 1880 linked their own difficulties with the prosperity of the Jews in a cause-and-effect relationship.... [By 1933] the number of [Germans] threatened with ruin swelled exorbitantly. The wild senseless anti-Semitic charges pulled out of air became a kind of magic word in Nazi mouths... creating the precondition for dictatorship. [Hence] a systematic fostering of mass hypnosis.

“The racist doctrine of the inequality of man -- the German was able by birth, destined to the highest achievement, the Jew worthless -- was the dynamite that blew up the democratic egalitarian conception of the republic. In *Mein Kampf*, Hitler makes it clear that the masses must always be presented with but ONE enemy. There was the passionate desire to find the villain and make him answer for his crimes.”

I think we see this happening now. People are in fear, yet able to pretend the causes are something other than what they are. Leschnitzer was writing in the 1950s: he had no way of seeing our situation. The tragedy we are facing is the takeover by the globalists. I, like others, am keen to identify who is responsible. Surely it takes massive coordination: for geo-engineers to make hurricanes, and financiers to plan depressions, and Tavistockians to control our minds, and Mafias to supply the hitmen of errant leakers. Logistically this can't all be done by “the Jews.”

I know of scholars who believe it is "the Jews." They do intensive research and find plausible clues in history. But they decline to look for clues that would take them elsewhere. Here is an example, which I often mention. Many Americans think AIPAC is running Congress. Without doubt, there are unofficial congressional whips who do get our legislators to pass particular laws (by bribe, by blackmail, whatever). The “I” in the acronym AIPAC stands for Israel. This does NOT mean that Jews, or Israel, are running Congress.

As for congresspersons who are dual citizens of Israel, they come under US law. I say ignore the 'dual' status. It is un-American to treat a US citizen per his ethnicity. Sorry, he's just a plain old American. Ah, but three of the top Cabinet posts in the US government are filled by Jewish individuals this year, 2023 -- State, Treasury, and Justice. Are they doing evil things? I think they are. Are they doing so because they are, ethnically or religiously, children of Judaism? I don't think so. Are they acting *for the sake of* 'the tribe'? Surely you jest. Might they be clubby? All in cahoots? Of course, there are clubs everywhere.

## **Apologies Are Due, for Anti-Semitism**

Jews have been vulnerable to attack as a group — as when, say, Queen Isabella kicked the Jews out of Spain in 1492, despite their great contribution to Spanish culture. According to History.com, “Many died trying to reach safety, and in some cases, it is believed that refugees paid for passage to other countries only to be thrown overboard by Spanish captains.”

In 2001, the Massachusetts House of Representatives declared innocent the many women who were executed as witches in Salem in the 1600s. Recently, the government in Scotland has apologized for its witch hunting. I suppose the motivation for this is that, since everyone now knows that the executed persons were really not witches, it is time to correct the record.

I think apologies are due to Jews for persecutions that occurred throughout millennia. I apologize for my part. Prejudice is cruel. No doubt Davidsson experienced it. Also, it holds all of us back from what we might be progressing toward today.

That said, it is essential to quit using the trigger word "anti-Semitism" against persons who criticize Israel or Zionism. Is the nation known today as 'Israel' a gift from God? No, it is a political state like any other -- if not more so. It needs criticism in the same way the US needs criticism. Gagging is not smart.

## **Nothing Succeeds Like Divide and Rule**

If I recall, we were taught in political science courses, that a ruler can easily control a people by splitting them into two warring factions. The professors should have added: "So let's make this known to every schoolchild, so they won't fall for it."
---

Today it's pathetic how the two major Parties are called the blue and the red. One may blame media, but the fact is, people love it. I think hating is one of the positive, not negative, emotions. We instinctively want the camaraderie of our subgroup.

The overlords always use it to their advantage -- both to take our attention off of themselves, and to make for social disunity.

To repeat: Adolf Leschnitzer's 1956 book, "The Magic Background of Modern Antisemitism," is a wonderful contribution to the study of an era, 1872 to 1933. The author, a German Jew, shows sympathy to Germans for their economic plight and does not blame them, as a people, for Nazi policy. That book is now 63 years old. I hope there's a contemporary Leschnitzer out there who can help us. Let me quote again his insight: there was "a systematic fostering of mass hypnosis." Such a thing is hardly limited to Germany. We see it today.

The book at hand is an intellectual biography of Elias Davidsson., hence I don't want to impose my own theories except where they are in accord with his. Chapter 18 reveals Elias's impatience with blaming Jews for 9/11. I don't know if he shared my suspicion that the myriad hints about a Jewish hand in the event may have been planted by "the real perpetrators."

I was elated to find Leschnitzer's book, as **it helps isolate the issue of plain-old anti-Semitism**. Studying anti-Semitism historically is worthwhile. Ah, there's another huge matter that needs to be studied, which is Who is running the show today?"

What did Elias say about that? His one-track-mind wanted to prove that the terrorists were not Muslims. He pointed the finger at many low-level cheaters: the media that brainwashed us about Bin Laden, cops who hid evidence, parliamentarians who talked gobbledygook. The word "confirmed" in the title of Elias's book *America's Betrayal Confirmed* indicates his satisfaction that by 2020 he was able to *disprove* the official 9/11 tale. We can tell from Chapter 15's excoriation of Rabin that Elias is not averse to calling out his Jewish cousins. I doubt he knew of the barbarity of the Jewish commissars during the Bolshevik "revolution" [Read: takeover.] But even if Jews were barbaric, or lusted for takeover, they've hardly cornered the market in that department. I recommend we look all around, *all* around.



**Update.** April 13, 2023. By jove, this morning I got an email from Kevin Annett who for many years has been trying to establish a republic in Canada. He quotes Tom Paine from 1791: "A nation without a sovereign [except] the people themselves! Our bold American experiment has provoked the hatred and wicked design of tyrants.... Yet for all that, it is not a foreign enemy that will destroy our Republic, but only we ourselves." He quotes Langston Hughes from 1937: "Let America be **that great strong land of love**/Where never kings connive nor tyrants scheme/ That any man be crushed by one above."

Annett: "One of my early memories as a boy is the joy I felt whenever we crossed the border to visit our US relatives. Something in the air was different, as if a cage door had sprung open and I was lifted on an updraft from heaven. The same wonderful freedom infused every American I met, from worn dirt farmers to my city-dwelling cousins.... I understood why Americans love their country, not out of a jingoistic patriotism but from a sense of responsibility to safeguard that sacred spirit." (and) "It is when a civil war threatens to tear a nation apart that people must search for their deeper unity."

Kevin Annett, who knows his onions, says:

"End the domestic civil war. Establish an emergency coalition government between Republicans and Democrats on a joint program to reassert national and economic sovereignty. There is a heartfelt good will that unites Americans that transcends politics and religion: a bond that is conspicuous to me, as a spiritual and political exile from Canada. I witnessed the power of that fellowship in the spring of 2017, at a meeting of the citizens of Grant Township, Pennsylvania. That the people had taken matters into their own hands and established self-governance was as purely American as their neighborly unity."

Annette thinks Canada and the US ought to conjoin! Find him at Internat'l Tribunal for Crimes of Church and State. ITCCS.

## 25. The Judiciary Thwarts All Truthers



*(L) The bus at Tavistock Sq, on 7/7, Photo: NPR.com (C) Heraldry of the legal fraternity in UK (R) Baroness Hallett*

If you are of the Baby Boomer generation, you can recall when we Americans took it for granted that justice could be achieved in a court, thanks to Rule of Law. In Chapter 10, I emoted about the loss of law in England, when Judge Hallett did not let the facts of the London bombing get aired. Makes you wonder what she thinks her job is. The same can be said for American law; it seems to have no supporters in high places.

In regard to 9/11, never was a court used to solve any part of the crime. No trial was needed for dead hijackers. Actually, they did not die on planes; we don't know where they were killed off. Or maybe they were only fictional characters to begin with. A few of them contacted media or government immediately to say they had nothing to do with 9/11.

This chapter will discuss efforts that were made to bring the situation to a judge, any judge. Many who filed a case outside of New York were told that they had to go to Judge Hellerstein's federal court in the US Southern District of New York, the SDNY. Supposedly he was randomly appointed to the 9/11 issues but if so, that tells you that all the SDNY judges were prepared to do what he did -- suppress the truth.

Let's first mention that the majority of 9/11 victims, such as office workers in the Towers or their bereaved families were paid off to stay out of court. I quote Davidsson, Page 259:

"Merely eleven days after 9/11, the US Congress established the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund. The scheme was sneaked into the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act. ... To administer the VCF, Attorney General John Ashcroft appointed Ken Feinberg. Next of kin who signed a waiver ultimately received an average of \$2.1 million. Th richest among them received up to \$7 million."

**Bev Eckert, a widow, decided not to follow suit.** In 2003, her Opinion piece at *USA Today*, showed her disapproval:

"I've chosen to go to court rather than accept a payoff from the 9/11 victims' compensation fund. Instead, I want to know what went so wrong with our intelligence systems. I want to know why two 110-story skyscrapers collapsed in two hours and why escape and rescue options were so limited.

"I am suing because unlike other investigative avenues, including congressional hearings and the 9/11 commission, my lawsuit requires all testimony be given under oath and fully uses powers to compel evidence. Lawmakers capped the liability of the airlines at the behest of lobbyists who descended on Washington.

"The caps on liability... means the playing field is tilted steeply in favor of those who need to be held accountable.... I owe it to my husband to see that all of those responsible are held accountable. My husband's life was priceless, and I will not let his death be meaningless. *My silence cannot be bought.*"

Bev's silence began when she died in a plane crash. Just think if that was a hit on a whistleblower, other passengers died, too.

Michael Doran, a pro bono lawyer who was helping victims also died in a plane crash in the same year as Eckert: 2009.

Judge Alvin Hellerstein, now age 90, had been appointed by Pres Clinton in 1998. Before that, he was a house lawyer for Cantor Fitzgerald, located on the 90th floor of the South Tower; 658 of their employees died that day. Cantor's case came to him as a judge and he offered to recuse himself but the lawyers OK'd him. I note that Michael Mukasey had been on that bench since 1988 retiring in 2006 to be Bush's AG.

**I am now quoting from** Benjamin Weisersept's article in the September 9, 2016 New York Times, entitled "Judge in 9/11 Suits Feels No Regret That None Ever Went to Trial"

"Mike Low, whose daughter Sara Low, 28, was a flight attendant on American Airlines Flight 11 ... had long been vocal about wanting to 'find some answers,' as he said in 2007. The Lows settled in 2010. 'There was so little accountability or justice,' Mr. Low said. 'As a father, my daughter was murdered. It still hurts me today that I couldn't achieve that.' At his request, the Motley law firm has donated public court documents from the case to the National September 11 Memorial Museum in Sara Low's memory. Mr. Low said he hoped someday the museum could receive a full archive of discovery materials from the litigation."

Weisersept also points out that the British High Court was the first to adjudicate the matter of whether, the attacks constituted one or two terrorist attacks. Try this for surrealism:

"Was the damage caused by one or more occurrences or series of occurrences "arising out of one event" for the purposes of aggregation under their outwards contracts with AIOI. The arbitrators, applying the Dawson's Field unities test, found that the losses were caused by two events, being two successful hijackings of two aircraft. [Ah, as opposed to the hijacking of America's mind.] AIOI appealed that decision under sec 69 the

Arbitration Act 1993 (an error of law). But Mr Justice Field firmly rejected AIOI's appeal, upholding and endorsing the Award of Ian Hunter QC, and David Peachey."

"The fully informed observer possessed of the true facts on 9/11 would have been aware that four flights were hijacked within minutes of each other pursuant to a co-ordinated plan to turn them into guided missiles loaded with aviation fuel to be used in attacks on the Twin Towers, the Pentagon and the Capitol Building or the White House, and the Claimant was placing great emphasis on the fact that the Twin Tower attacks had been deliberately co-ordinated."

(They mean by terrorists. Did lawyers keep a straight face?)

**Now consider the RICO suit filed by Attorney Phil Berg** for plaintiff Wm Rodriguez, a janitor in the Twin Towers. As explained in Chapter 13 above, Judge Chin slapped a sanction on William Veale for filing April Gallop's plea re injury to her son at the Pentagon on 9/11. Berg got sanctioned \$10K for being "unethical" in another case (unrelated to 9/11).

RICO stands for the Racketeer-influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, passed in 1970. You must find two "predicate acts" -- almost any two felonies -- and show that the accused acted in an enterprise over a period of up to ten years.

Rodriguez did not say that the government did 9/11; he said the US was negligent in not dealing with the terrorists, such as by the usual protocol of intercepting any stray planes.

... III. Facts on which claims for relief are predicated:

- A. The WTC Twin Towers, as well as WTC building #7, were destroyed by controlled demolition, as clearly proven by the laws of physics; this demolition could only have been an 'inside job.'

- B. FEMA, which removed the evidence before it could be independently examined, maintains a black-op shadow government designed to replace the elected government of the United States.
- C. Defendants deliberately concealed the fact that they had ample warnings of terrorist attacks and failed to act on them, a war on terrorism being necessary to justify their political agenda.
- D. Defendants conspired to and did allow the attacks to happen by delaying military interception of the hijacked planes....
- E. The enterprise has engaged in a conspiracy to commit election fraud.
- F. The Enterprise's Florida recount riot: additional predicate RICO acts.
- G. Additional allegations as to individual defendants, predicate acts of racketeering committed by them, and their roles in the RICO enterprise.

(Note: in 2019 I filed a RICO suit, *Maxwell v FBI* for matters connected to the Boston Marathon bombing. But didn't win.)

Dear Reader, that wraps up the 25-chapter "Book of Elias." On a later date, I will try to chart some new territory in an Epilogue. The field is open, and your suggestions will be taken seriously. The plan is to ask How can we clean up the whole 9/11 mess? To let it continue is just not an option.

Note: I am contactable at [MaxwellMaryLLB@gmail.com](mailto:MaxwellMaryLLB@gmail.com) or at my website: [www.ConstitutionAndTruth.com](http://www.ConstitutionAndTruth.com). Or at my other website: [www.JaharCompletelyInnocent.com](http://www.JaharCompletelyInnocent.com). Or by snail mail at: 175 Loudon Rd, Apt 6, Concord NH 03301, USA.

Look forward to meeting you. I am willing to give lectures. Have truth, will travel.

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Mary Maxwell was born in Boston in 1947. She obtained a bachelor's degree at Emmanuel College and then a Master of Liberal Arts at Johns Hopkins. In 1980 she moved to Australia for marriage, and earned a PhD in Politics and a law degree.

Her principle intellectual interest is evolutionary biology. Four of her books on that subject were published by university presses in the 1990s. Then she somehow became a full time dissident. The main impetus for this was her discovery that mind control really does exist and was practiced on American children at military bases for many years, by using torture.

Dissident books she has written include *Prosecution for Treason* (2011), *Consider the Lilies* -- about cancer cures -- (2013), and *Fraud Upon the Court* (2015). She is co-author with Dee McLachlan, of *Truth in Journalism* (2015) and of her first false-flag book, *Port Arthur: Enough Is Enough* (2015).

That was followed by investigations of other cases notably the Boston Marathon bombing, the Sandy Hook mythical school shooting, Pizzagate, and the Covid swindle. These resulted in Maxwell's filing various court cases. It also resulted in her running for Congress, once from Alabama and twice from New Hampshire where she has lived since 2018. At Adelaide Fringe Festival she produced five plays, one was a moot court.

Since 2018 she and Dee McLachlan have been attempting to stop Australia's child trafficking crimes. It is hard to accept that so many citizens can't even see it. Mary is currently in fear of her life. Aren't you? If not, you are not paying attention!

That said, MM has had a very lucky life and is so grateful.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY



Various books from my shelf. Most of these try to identify "the problem," but two of the books seek to solve it: *Eutopia*, by Philip Allott and *Half Earth* by EO Wilson. They say: Act now or lose the chance forever.



## **EPILOGUE: THE GREAT PURGE**

It seems that there are rulers of the world who coordinated a plan to show people that "terrorists" are operating everywhere. But the violent events are inside jobs, often using high tech!

The late Elias Davidsson (1941-2022) found telltale commonalities. Ten examples: The terrorists are picked from Muslim communities, they are killed during the event, eyewitnesses are not called to testify on what they saw, police and government agencies proclaim that secrecy is needed, the official report changes often when contradictions get noted, whistleblowers disappear, investigations fail to examine even the most obvious clues, media get in very early with a mention of who dunnit, media keep repeating the original story long after it has been disproved, and the alleged group that supported the bombers is not a bona fide organization.

Prof Graeme MacQueen lists another five: a drill similar to the event may be running at the same time, the perpetrators' identity documents get conveniently left behind, the terrorist may have been in touch with police earlier as an informant, coroners do not follow standard procedures, and evidence gets lost.

This book concentrated on 9/11 but also pointed to other false flags: Paris, London, Mumbai, Sydney, and Berlin. There were many injured and dead. Even today false flags are happening.

It is reasonable to say that the likely motive behind all this is to get ready for totalitarian control. The non-stop propaganda keeps people in a state of anxiety. Folks are disappointed in their leaders, wondering who will save them. Amazingly, even academics won't hold a discussion that criticizes the overlords.

Meanwhile, a lot of people are aware of the lying and start to assume that there is no longer such a thing as truth or reality. No judge at any level has said "Yes, I see what is happening here, and we must get to the bottom of it by adjudicating

particular cases." (Courts dumped my anti-vax lawsuit as quickly as they dumped my RICO complaint against the FBI's lies about the Marathon bombing.) And it is shocking to realize that no member of Congress says "Hey, wait a minute. I don't accept fake terrorism and I won't vote for laws that ruin democracy."

Still, this book is far from a dirge. Elias was "in it to win it". He was always looking for ways to make law prevail. The website he founded, [juscogens.org](http://juscogens.org), is about "preemptory norms." That is, there are protections of vulnerable human beings (and which of us is not vulnerable?) that are agreed to by all and sundry.

Davidsson may have got the 'sundry' part wrong! As we can see, there's a class of people -- I'd hate to say "upper class" -- that has no scruple about hurting people. Let's dwell on this. It is ridiculous to claim that underneath it all, people are good. People are both good and bad, and much has to do with circumstance. We humans fit into the system around us. The current system will need a lot of rearranging, down the track.

But for the present, we need to do whatever can be done to prevent the complete takeover by monsters. It's not like anything that happened in the past -- Sodom and Gomorrah, the invasions of Genghis Kahn, the exploits of slavery. This time, some jerks are trying to change humanity from the DNA up.

Can you imagine those jerks having a worthwhile idea about what the future of humanity should be? No, they have lost contact with much of what is normal for humans. It's my best guess that they are panicking. That's the fate of alpha animals in any animal group -- when you are on the top rung, the likelihood is that a challenger will kick you off. But in our species, there's a twist: alphas are using whatever scientific tools we create for them -- to kill all of us!

There are major genocides going on. Please get a grip. Please do not lose confidence in normal humanity.

Keep your sense of humor and sense of balance. Your participation is needed. Elias was sure he found the solution in law. He had a lot of the Moses in him. I tried to tell Davidsson that international law is a joke and was written specifically to waste our time, as it's unenforceable. As he himself admitted in Chapter 14 above, none of the UNSC big five: US, France, China, Russia, or UK vetoed Resolution 1368 which "justified" an attack on innocent folks in Afghanistan.

Sill, law is the answer to power. Rewards and punishments are natural human motivators. That hasn't stopped just because we're overwhelmed by power today. Fie on the powerful! Fie!

Elias often suggested Truth Commissions as the way to go. I agree. They can be run from your front lawn. I recommend moot court trials, also. You can be sued for defaming persons but not if they are deceased. I would compose a moot trial of Donald Rumsfeld and Colin Powell for their 2001 crimes. In the UK, the Chilcot Report of 2009 gathered material on the Iraq war -- Tony Blair ducked out but we can utilize it.

Regarding 9/11, all the people who were killed need to be avenged. That includes all the patsies such as the four Pakistani British citizens accused of the London Tube bombings, and the Boston brother, Tamerlan Tsarnaev. Elias's chapters contain dozens of names of officials, media persons, and lawyers who lied. Plus names of whistleblowers and eyewitnesses who spoke the truth. We don't have to just sit and stare at all that.

Davidsson was onto Covid and other swindles, as seen in the chapters on ice cream police, Homo caninus, and Eichmann. Still, I believe a focus on 9/11 makes this book more valuable, as it equips the reader to walk away with a firm sense of it.

In a nutshell: 9/11 was an inside job, and subsequent warring against Middle East "terrorists" was unjustified. No matter how hard it is to swallow that, the time to swallow it is now.

## INDEX

- Aaronson, Trevor 35  
ABA 113-115  
ACARS 29  
Active Denial System 181  
actors 40, 72  
Afghanistan 18, 24, 33, 51, 53,  
54, 118, 122, 170  
Ahmed, Nafeez 14, 50-52, 84  
AIPAC 191  
Allott, Philip 200  
Alomari, Abdul 152  
alpha animals 202  
American Institute of Steel  
Construction 31  
American Society of Civil  
Engineers 31  
Andreotti, Prime Minister 66  
Andrews, Duane 166-168  
Andrews, Kevin 58  
Annett, Rev Kevin 193  
anti-Semitism 147-156, 187-  
192  
anti-terrorism law 111, 112  
apology 66, 102, 163, 191-192  
Architects and Engineers for  
9-11 Truth 38, 107, 181  
archives, right to 92  
Arendt, Hannah 26, 101  
art students 150  
Ashcroft, A-G John 112, 195  
Atta, Mohamed 55, 139, 152  
Ballinger, Edward 28-30  
Barnes, Judge Michael 84  
Basic Principles of Human  
Rights 94  
Bataclan 20, 62-64, 201  
bathtub, the 182-185  
BBB: Blessed Boston  
Bombings 138  
Beamer, Todd 28, 29  
Berg, Phil 197  
Berlin Mart 71-75, 162, 201  
Betrayal of India 7, 76-82  
Bible, Nat Turner's 91  
Biderman, Amos 125, 156  
Big Brotherization 77-78  
Bin Laden, Osama 30, 36, 67,  
119, 186  
Black Antony C 86-87  
Bollyn, Christopher 49, 52,  
149-156, 186  
Box, Woody 29  
BPAT 31  
Bradfish, Dr Otto 106  
Bremer, Paul 53, 166  
Brinkema, Judge Leonie 13  
Brodason, Þorbjörn 100  
Brzezinski, Zbigniew 161, 170  
brother, "Call Elias Bro" 78  
business marching orders 78  
Cabranes, Judge 111, 116  
Card, Andrew 23  
Carter, President J 31, 100  
charges dropped by DPP 60  
Chertoff, Michael 156  
Chin, Judge Denny 109-115  
Choudhary, Dr Awani 88  
citizen's arrest 27  
Colby, Gerard 100  
commonalities of false flag  
cases 67-68, 75, 79  
Consensus Panel 13

controlled demolition 39, 155,  
 179, 182-183, 185, 196  
 Corbett, James 49  
 Covid pandemic 9, 20, 26, 84,  
 101, 106, 147  
 crime against humanity 15, 123  
 dancing Israelis 147, 148, 156  
 Daschle, Sen Tom 39  
 dastardly bastards 185-186  
 Davidsson, Elias 1-203  
 Davis, Kimberly 114  
 Dennett, Charlotte 100  
 deportation as crime 123, 129  
 Devon, Joshua 141  
 DEW 132, 179, 185  
 Diamandis, Max 148  
 Doran, Michael 196  
 drill 28, 40, 85, 171, 201  
 Dulles, John and Allen 170  
 dustification 32, 132, 179-186  
 earthquake 183  
 Eckert, Bev 195-196  
 Eichmann, Adolf 26, 101-106  
 equitable tolling doctrine 110  
 ethnic cleansing 124, 125, 132  
 European Court of Human  
 Rights 94-98  
 evil 25, 102, 118, 172-178, 190  
 evidence, UNSC lacks 119-122  
 executions, guilty of 92, 94, 97  
 explosives 38, 63, 86, 147, 163  
 false flag operation 8, 65-69  
 FBI 12, 29, 33, 36, 43, 82, 114,  
 147-150, 185, 198, 202  
 Feinberg, Special Master Ken  
 41, 195  
 FEMA 30, 31, 198  
 Field, Mr Justice 197  
 Fitts, Catherine Austin 171  
 Florida recount 198  
 "force, might, beatings" 126  
 Fuellmich, Dr Reiner 106  
 Fujita, Yukihiisa 49  
 Fusspot Award 7  
 Gallop, April 107-116  
 Gallop, Elisha 108, 116  
 Gaza attack 132  
 Geneva Conventions 124-131  
 German-Jewish relationship  
 187-193  
 Gitmo 60, 186  
 Gittings, Charlie 6  
 Giuliani, Rudi 166, 186  
 GlobalVillageSpace 80-82  
 Goss, Rep Porter 39, 166  
 government criminality 32  
 grand jury 27, 107, 116  
 Great Purge 201  
 Griffin, David Ray 50-52  
 Griffin, John M 155  
 Gumshoe News 17, 19, 141  
 Hague Convention 127  
*Haaretz*, 126, 151, 155  
 Hali, Sultan 78, 82  
 Hallett, Baroness 83, 85, 194  
 Haneef, Dr Muhamed 55-60  
 Hanjour, Hani 35, 109, 150  
 Harriman, Averill 171  
 Harrison, Mick 102  
 Harrit, Prof Niels 179  
 Hastert, Speaker Dennis 40  
 Haverbeck, Ursula 155  
 Hellerstein, Judge Alvin 40, 52,  
 166, 194

Herron, Dr John 171  
*Hijacking America's Mind on*  
*9/11* 33, 38, 49  
 Hingston, Felicity 10, 19, 70-  
 74  
 Hitler, Adolf 124, 184, 187  
 Hoffman, Bruce 135, 136, 141  
 Holland, President Francois 64  
 Homo caninus 157-161  
 Homeland Security 51, 169  
 Howard, Prime Minister J 89  
 human rights law 91-100  
 Hussein, Hasib 83, 88  
 Hussein, King of Jordan 123  
*hosti humani generis* 100  
 ice cream law 26, 142-146  
 ICC, Int. Criminal Court 100  
 Ilyin, Ivan 172-178  
*Inquest: Siege in Sydney* 65-69  
 inside job 51, 186, 197, 203  
 Inspire media group 87, 138  
 Inter-American Court for the  
 Protection of Human Rights  
 from Internat'l Terrorism 121  
 Iraq 24, 51, 83, 117, 170, 181  
 Islamic state 64, 68  
 IT corporations 68, 105, 150  
 Janson, Peter 168  
 Jarrah, Ziad 43-47  
 Jenkins, Brian 166, 169  
 Jerusalem 6  
 Jews 6, 42, 102, 103, 134, 147-  
 148, 188-192  
 jihadist videos 68  
 Jones, Steven 109  
 journalism 54  
 jumpers 180-181  
 jus cogens 6, 25, 64, 202  
 Kahn, Mohammed 80, 88, 89  
 Kakare, Hermant 80  
 Katz, Rita 138  
 Kelly, David 51  
 Kenan, Amos 125  
 Kennedy assassination 48, 175  
 Kissinger, Henry 78, 132  
 klutzes 36  
 Knútsson, Pétur 98  
 Kollerstrom, Nick 84, 86-89  
 Kuwait 171  
 Latrun triangle 125  
 law 25  
 Lebanon war 126-128  
 Leschnitzer, Adolf 187-193  
 Lindsay, Germaine 83, 88, 89  
 London Tube bombing 29, 78,  
 83-89, 140  
 Louis, Laurent 49  
 loyal to his master 157  
 Lydda and Ramleh 124  
 MacQueen, Prof Graeme 78-  
 79, 84, 201  
 Mafia families 86, 191  
 Magee, Charles Wilson 155  
 Marathon 29, 75, 84, 139, 198  
 Marr, NEADS Commander 28  
 Material Witness Act 27  
 Maxwell, Mary 65, 123, 184  
 McDaniel, Barry 170  
 McKinney, Rep Cynthia 49  
 McLachlan, Dee 2, 19, 199  
 media 33, 55, 85, 192  
 Merkel, Angela 162-163  
 Meyssan, Thierry 36  
 Mineta, Sec'y Norman 110

Minihan, David 86  
 Minnesota Protocol 94  
 Mohammed K S 60, 139, 186  
 molten steel 38  
 Motley Rice law firm 40, 196  
 Moussaoui, Z 13, 28, 114  
 Mukasey, A-G Michael 52, 196  
 Mumbai hotel bombs 7, 76-82  
 Myer, US Army Gen 108, 116  
 NATO 21, 66, 120, 122  
 Naureen, Adeela 7  
 Negroponte, John 122  
 Nelson, George 48  
 Netanyahu, Prime Minster  
     Benjamin 156  
 Nine-Eleven 1-203  
 NIST Final Report 31, 32, 179  
 NORAD 53  
 nullification 27  
 Nuremberg 104, 106, 118, 123  
 obstruction of justice 27  
 O'Connor, Andrew 88  
 Olmert, Prime Minister Ehud  
     130-131  
 Olsen Ted 28  
 Olsen, Barbara 7, 13, 28, 114  
 Operation Dani 124  
 Operation Northwoods 37  
 Orwellian infrastrucure 69  
 O'Toole, Judge George 84  
 outlawry 27  
 pancaking 171, 179, 183  
 Paradise for Muslims 34  
 Pearl Harbor 31, 52, 67, 161  
 Pentagon crash 107-116, 153  
 Peres, Prime Minister Shimon  
     156  
 perfidy of UNSC 121  
 plank punishment 32  
 PNAC 153  
 Powell, Colin 203  
 prejudice 113, 186  
 presumptions 69, 98  
 Princess Caroline 99  
 promptness of investigation 94  
 propaganda 75, 140, 158, 160  
 protest in Canberra 174  
 Protocols of Elders 42, 156  
 pulling a rabbit 76  
 puppets, not their, 8, 74  
 "pure speculation and conject  
     ure" 112  
 Putin, Vladimir 172, 178  
 Rabin, Prime Minister Yitzhak  
     123-130  
 RAND 141, 169  
 recusal 113  
 RGS remote ground station 29  
 RICO suit 27, 197-198, 202  
 right to truth, principles of  
     91-98  
 Roberts Paul Craig 53  
 Rumsfeld, Sec'y of Defense  
     Donald 49, 108-110, 116,  
     132, 153, 203  
 Ruppert, Michael 51  
 Ryan, Kevin R 49, 166-171  
 Saferworld 121  
 Salem, Emad 35  
 Saudi involvement 53  
 scrambling the jets 108  
 Secker, Tom 86  
 security industry 53, 77  
 seismic signal 180, 182, 183

self-deception 102, 103  
 self-defense 27, 177  
 self-pity in the dock 102  
 Sengun, Aysel 43-47  
 Shalev, Eddie G 150  
 Shanksville crash 11, 29, 43, 55  
 Sharon, Prime Minister Ariel  
     130  
 Sherman Anti-Trust Act 27  
 shields against reality 102  
 Silverstein, Larry 153-156  
 smashing children's bones 126  
 Solzhenitsyn, Aleksandr 178  
 Sontag, Deborah 154  
 Sorley, Charles Hamilton 164  
 state truth 104  
 Taj Mahal Palace Hotel 76, 81  
 Tanweer, Shezad 83, 88, 89  
 TATP explosives 84, 87  
 Tavistock Institute 86, 75, 141,  
     174, 191, 194  
 terrorism in cyberspace 134  
 Tesla Nikola 25, 179, 185  
 Thompson, Paul 52  
 turbulence of society 75  
 Todd, Clifford 86  
 tongue, melt on your 88  
 torture 132, 141, 174, 179, 199  
 treason 32, 77, 177, 185  
 truck rampage 70  
 Trudeau, Prime Minister Justin  
     162  
 truth as a right 91-100  
 truth as weapon 77  
 Truth Commission 27, 92, 203  
 Turner, Nat 91  
 Tsarnaev, Jahar 2, 30, 82,  
     87, 198  
 United Nations 27  
 UN Commission on Human  
     Rights 92  
 UN Security Council 68, 117-  
     122  
 US Supreme Court 30  
 Urban, Lukasz 71, 75  
 vaporized 173  
 Veale, William 49, 108-112  
 Vetter, Heinrich 142-146  
 Victim Compensation Fund  
     15, 195  
 Vidal, Gore 51  
 Vietnam 171  
 Walker, Judge John 111, 116  
 Walker, Wirt 169  
 war as evil 118  
 war crimes 123-132  
 Weimann, Gabe 134-141  
 Weiss, Debra Cassens 114  
 Weisersept, Benjamin 196  
 Wilson, Edward O 200  
 Winter, Michael 29  
 Winter, Judge 111, 116  
 witch-burning 187-192  
 witness protection 47  
 Wood, Dr Judy 179-186  
 yellow bus 70-75  
 Zakheim, Dov 152  
 Zammar, Muhammad 47  
 Zelikow, Philip 30, 31  
 Zionism 8, 57, 68, 123-125  
     138, 192  
 Zwicker, Barrie 49-50